

A WHITNEY TYPE THEOREM FOR APPROXIMATING BY QUASIPOLYNOMIALS

M-B.A. Babayev

1. Let  $\delta \subset \{1, \dots, n\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bar{n}$ ,  $x \in R^n$ ,  $x_\delta = \{x_i, i \in \delta\} \in R^{|\delta|}$ , where  $|\delta|$  - is the cardinality of  $\delta$ . Similarly  $\mu_\delta$  and  $\alpha_\delta$  are defined, where

$$\mu_i, \alpha_i \in N; \hat{X}_j = (x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n).$$

Similarly define  $\hat{X}_\delta$ . Further,  $\delta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\delta(1), \dots, \delta(|\delta|)\}$ ,

$$\sum_{\alpha_\delta=0}^{\mu_\delta-1} = \sum_{\alpha_{\delta(1)}=0}^{\mu_{\delta(1)}-1} \dots \sum_{\alpha_{\delta(|\delta|)}=0}^{\mu_{\delta(|\delta|)}-1}; X_\delta = \prod_{i \in \delta} x_i^{\alpha_i}.$$

Denote  $P_{\mu_\delta}(x)$  the polynomial of the group of variables  $X_\delta$  of power  $\mu_\delta-1$  (i.e. of power  $\mu_i-1$  with respect to  $x_i, i \in \delta$ ) with coefficients, depending on remaining variables:

$$P_{\mu_\delta}(x) = \sum_{\alpha_\delta=0}^{\mu_\delta-1} C_{\alpha_\delta}(\hat{X}_\delta) X_\delta^{\alpha_\delta}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  denote some set of subsets  $\bar{n}$ ;  $\mu_{\mathcal{D}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\mu_i | \exists \delta \in \mathcal{D}, \mu_i \in \mu_\delta\}$ .  
 Expression

$$\prod_{\mu}^{\mathcal{D}}(x) = \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{D}} P_{\mu_\delta}(x)$$

will be called quasipolynomial of power  $\mu_{\mathcal{D}}-1$ .

Everywhere in this paper  $f$  - real or complex valued functions of  $n$  real variables. Let  $K=I^n$ ,  $I=[0,1]$ , and  $Y=Y(K)$  is one of the spaces  $C(K)$  or  $L_p(K)$ ,  $0 < p \leq \infty$ . Given  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mu_{\mathcal{D}}$  consider the best approximation of function  $f \in Y$  by set  $(\prod_{\mu}^{\mathcal{D}})_Y$  of all quasipolynomials  $\prod_{\mu}^{\mathcal{D}}(x) \in Y$ :

$$E(f, \mathcal{D}, \mu)_{Y(K)} = \inf_{\prod_{\mu}^{\mathcal{D}}(x) \in (\prod_{\mu}^{\mathcal{D}})_Y} \|f(x) - \prod_{\mu}^{\mathcal{D}}(x)\|_{Y(K)}.$$

Let  $X = X(T)$  - is a linear space of functions  $f: T \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ , where  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ;  $H$  - is a subspace of  $X$ . We shall call family of linear bounded operators  $\nabla_{\theta}: X(T) \rightarrow X(T)$ , depending on vectorial parameter  $\theta \in G = G(H, T)$  exact annihilator of subspace  $H$ , if

$$f \in H \iff \forall \theta \in G, \nabla_{\theta} f = 0.$$

In this paper an exact annihilator, characterizing class  $(\prod_{\mu}^{\mathcal{D}})_Y$  is constructed. The power of the best approximation of function  $f$  by the set of quasipolynomials  $(\prod_{\mu}^{\mathcal{D}})_Y$  with the help of the exact annihilator of this set at spaces  $C(K)$  or  $L_p(K)$ ,  $0 < p \leq \infty$  is established.

2. Everywhere in what follows  $S, S_k, i_s, j_s$  - natural numbers. Take

$$\eta \subset \mathcal{D}, \bar{\eta} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\eta(s) \mid s \in \bar{\eta}\} = \{\eta(1), \dots, \eta(|\bar{\eta}|)\}, \eta(s) \in \bar{\eta}; X_{\eta} = \{X_s, s \in \bar{\eta}\}.$$

Similarly  $K_{\eta}$  is defined. Let  $X_s^{(i_s)} \in I$ ,  $1 \leq i_s \leq \mathcal{M}_s$ ,  $s \in \bar{\mathcal{D}}$ . We shall need notations

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\mathcal{M}_k} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{\mathcal{M}_{\eta(1)}} \dots \sum_{k=1}^{\mathcal{M}_{\eta(|\bar{\eta}|)}}; |K_{\eta}| = \sum_{s \in \bar{\eta}} K_s;$$

$$(1) \quad \left( \prod_{X} \right)_{\eta} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{s \in \bar{\eta}} \prod_{1 \leq i_s < j_s \leq \mathcal{M}_s} (X_s^{(i_s)} - X_s^{(j_s)});$$

$$\left( \prod_{X} \right)_{\neq k} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{s \in \bar{\eta}} \prod_{\substack{0 \leq i_s < j_s \leq \mathcal{M}_s \\ i_s, j_s \neq K_s}} (X_s^{(i_s)} - X_s^{(j_s)});$$

$$f\left(\prod_{j=1}^n X_j^{(i_j)}\right) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}, X_j^{(i_j)}, X_{j+1}, \dots, X_n).$$

$f(x_{\delta}^{(i)}) (\delta c \bar{n})$  are defined similarly. Associate with set  $\mathcal{D}$  family of operators

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{D}, \theta} : X(K) \rightarrow X(K), \quad r \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i \in \bar{\mathcal{D}}} \mathcal{M}_i,$$

$$\theta = \left( x_{\mathcal{D}(1)}^{(1)}, \dots, x_{\mathcal{D}(1)}^{(\mathcal{M}_1)}, \dots, x_{\mathcal{D}(\bar{\mathcal{D}})}^{(1)}, \dots, x_{\mathcal{D}(\bar{\mathcal{D}})}^{(\mathcal{M}_{|\bar{\mathcal{D}}|})} \right) \in \mathbb{I}^r;$$

$$(2) \quad \nabla_{\mathcal{D}, \theta} f = \sum_{\eta \subset \mathcal{D}} \sum_{k_{\eta}=1}^{\mathcal{M}_{\eta}} (-1)^{|k_{\eta}| - |\bar{\eta}| + |\eta|} f(x_{\bar{\eta}}^{(k_{\eta})}) \left( \prod_{\neq k} x_{\eta} \right) \left( \prod_{\bar{\eta}} x_{\bar{\eta}} \right).$$

Associate with set  $\eta = \emptyset$  in (1) number 1, and with set in (2) - summand  $f(x) \left( \prod x \right)_{\mathcal{D}}$ . The next theorem shows, that the family of operators  $\nabla_{\mathcal{D}, \theta}$  is exact annihilator of the class of quasipolynomials in spaces  $Y(K)$ .

**Theorem I.** Let  $f: K \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ ,  $f \in C(K)$  or  $L_p(K)$ ,  $0 < p \leq \infty$ .

Then

$$f(x) = \prod_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{D}}(x) \iff \forall \theta \in \mathbb{I}^r, \quad \nabla_{\mathcal{D}, \theta} f = 0.$$

Theorem I for functions  $f \in C(K)$  is given in [10], where a sketch of its proof is also presented. There are  $r$  parameters  $x_s^{(i_s)}$ ,  $1 \leq i_s \leq \mathcal{M}_s$ ,  $s \in \bar{\mathcal{D}}$  in  $\nabla_{\mathcal{D}, \theta} f$ . Denote  $s \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{I}^r$ .

Notation  $\| \nabla_{\mathcal{D}, \theta} f \|_{Y(K \times S)}$  means, that norm is taken through all  $x_i$ ,  $i = \bar{1}, \bar{n}$ ,  $x_s^{(i_s)}$ ,  $1 \leq i_s \leq \mathcal{M}_s$ ,  $s \in \bar{\mathcal{D}}$ , i.e.  $\nabla_{\mathcal{D}, \theta} f(x)$  is considered to be an element of space  $Y(K \times S)$ .

Now define power of the best approximation  $E(f, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{M})_{Y(K)}$ .

**Theorem 2.** I. For any  $f \in C(K)$

$$(3) \quad A(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}}) \| \nabla_{\mathcal{D}, \theta} f \|_{C(K \times S)} \leq E(f, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{M})_{C(K)} \leq B(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}}) \| \nabla_{\mathcal{D}, \theta} f \|_{C(K \times S)};$$

II. For any  $f \in L_p(K)$ ,  $0 < p \leq \infty$

$$(4) \quad C(J_{\mathcal{D}}, P) \|\nabla_{\mathcal{D}, J_{\mathcal{D}}} f\|_{L_P(K \times S)} \leq E(f, \mathcal{D}, J_{\mathcal{D}})_{L_P(K)} \leq D(J_{\mathcal{D}}, P) \|\nabla_{\mathcal{D}, J_{\mathcal{D}}} f\|_{L_P(K \times S)},$$

where

$$B(J_{\mathcal{D}}) = (\|(\prod_{x})_{\mathcal{D}}\|_{C(S)})^{-1}; \quad A(J_{\mathcal{D}}) = \left(\sum_{\eta \subset \mathcal{D}} \prod_{s \in \eta} J_s\right)^{-1} \cdot B(J_{\mathcal{D}});$$

$$D(J_{\mathcal{D}}, P) = (\|(\prod_{x})_{\mathcal{D}}\|_{L_P(S)})^{-1};$$

$$C(J_{\mathcal{D}}, P) = \left(\sum_{\eta \subset \mathcal{D}} \prod_{s \in \eta} J_s\right)^{-\gamma} \cdot D(J_{\mathcal{D}}, P); \quad \gamma = \max(1, 1/P).$$

(Note that the statements of theorem 2 for functions  $f \in C(K)$  was formulated in [10])

**Proof of theorem 2.** Take a collection of fixed  $x_s^{(i_s)} \in I$ ,  $1 \leq i_s \leq J_s$ ,  $s \in \bar{\mathcal{D}}$ .

Denote  $\nabla_{\mathcal{D}, J_{\mathcal{D}}}^{|\eta|}$  summands in (2) corresponding to each collection containing  $|\eta|$  elements, i.e.e.

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{D}, J_{\mathcal{D}}} f = \sum_{|\eta|=0}^{|\mathcal{D}|} \nabla_{\mathcal{D}, J_{\mathcal{D}}}^{|\eta|} f.$$

Then

$$(5) \quad \nabla_{\mathcal{D}, J_{\mathcal{D}}} f = \nabla_{\mathcal{D}, J_{\mathcal{D}}}^{|\emptyset|} f + \nabla_{\mathcal{D}, J_{\mathcal{D}}}^{|\eta|} f + \sum_{|\eta|=2}^{|\mathcal{D}|} \nabla_{\mathcal{D}, J_{\mathcal{D}}}^{|\eta|} f.$$

By definition

Further,

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{D}, J_{\mathcal{D}}}^{|\eta|} f = \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{D}} \sum_{k_{\delta}=1}^{J_{\delta}} (-1)^{|k_{\delta}|-|\delta|+1} f(x_{\delta}^{(k_{\delta})}) (\prod_{\neq k} x)_{\delta} (\prod_{x})_{\mathcal{D} \setminus \delta}.$$

As far as  $\forall \eta \subset \mathcal{D}$

$$\left(\prod_{\neq k} x\right)_{\eta} = \prod_{s \in \eta} \prod_{\substack{j_s=1 \\ j_s \neq k_s}}^{J_s} (x_s - x_s^{(j_s)}) \cdot \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i_s < j_s \leq J_s \\ i_s, j_s \neq k_s}} (x_s^{(i_s)} - x_s^{(j_s)}),$$

is polynomial on variables  $X_s$ , of power  $J_s - 1$ ,  $s \in \bar{\eta}$ , then  $\nabla_{\mathcal{D}, J_{\mathcal{D}}}^{|\eta|} f$  is a quasipolynomial of power  $J_{\mathcal{D}} - 1$  defined by collec-

tion (5). Besides under condition  $|\eta| \geq 2$  for each  $\eta$  expression

$$\sum_{k_i=1}^{j_\eta} (-1)^{|k_i| - |\eta| + |\eta|} f(x^{(k_i)}) \left( \prod_{\neq k} x \right)_\eta \left( \prod x \right)_{\bar{\eta} \setminus \bar{k}}$$

is a special case of some  $P_{j_\delta}(x)$ ,  $\delta \in \mathcal{D}$ .

Therefore

$$\nabla^{(1)} f + \sum_{|\eta|=2}^{\mathcal{D}} \nabla^{|\eta|} f = \prod_{j_\mu}^{*\mathcal{D}}(x),$$

i.e. is a specific quasipolynomial of power  $j_\mu - 1$  defined by collection (5).

Consequently

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{D}, j_\mu, \theta} f = f(x) \left( \prod x \right)_\mathcal{D} + \prod_{j_\mu}^{*\mathcal{D}}(x).$$

Therefore

$$\left\| \nabla_{\mathcal{D}, j_\mu, \theta} f \right\|_{C(K)} = \left\| f(x) \cdot \left( \prod x \right)_\mathcal{D} + \prod_{j_\mu}^{*\mathcal{D}}(x) \right\|_{C(K)} \leq \left\| \nabla_{\mathcal{D}, j_\mu, \theta} f \right\|_{C(K \times S)} \implies$$

$$E \left[ f(x) \cdot \left( \prod x \right)_\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}, j_\mu \right]_{C(K)} \leq \left\| \nabla_{\mathcal{D}, j_\mu, \theta} f \right\|_{C(K \times S)}.$$

But

$$E \left[ f(x) \left( \prod x \right)_\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}, j_\mu \right]_{C(K)} = \left| \left( \prod x \right)_\mathcal{D} \right| \cdot E(f, \mathcal{D}, j_\mu)_{C(K)}.$$

Consequently

$$\left\| \left( \prod x \right)_\mathcal{D} \right\|_{C(S)} \cdot E(f, \mathcal{D}, j_\mu)_{C(K)} \leq \left\| \nabla_{\mathcal{D}, j_\mu, \theta} f \right\|_{C(K \times S)} \implies$$

$$(6) \quad E(f, \mathcal{D}, j_\mu)_{C(K)} \leq B(j_\mu) \left\| \nabla_{\mathcal{D}, j_\mu, \theta} f \right\|_{C(K \times S)}.$$

Let us establish lower bound in (3). As long as operator  $\nabla_{\mathcal{D}, j_\mu, \theta}$  is linear, for an arbitrary quasipolynomial  $\prod_{j_\mu}^{\mathcal{D}}(x)$ , by theorem I we have

$$\left| \nabla_{\mathcal{D}, j_\mu, \theta} f \right| = \left| \nabla_{\mathcal{D}, j_\mu, \theta} (f - \prod_{j_\mu}^{\mathcal{D}}) \right| \leq (1 + \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{D}} \prod_{s \in \delta} j_\mu^s +$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{\delta_i, \delta_j \in \mathcal{D}} \prod_{S \in \delta_i \cup \delta_j} \mathcal{M}_S^{|\delta_i| + \dots + |\delta_j|} \cdot \left\| f - \prod_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{D}} \right\|_{C(K)} \cdot \left\| (\prod \chi)_{\mathcal{D}} \right\|_{C(S)} = \\
& = \left( \sum_{\eta \subset \mathcal{D}} \prod_{S \in \eta} \mathcal{M}_S \right) \cdot \left\| f - \prod_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{D}} \right\|_{C(K)} \cdot \left\| (\prod \chi)_{\mathcal{D}} \right\|_{C(S)} \implies \\
& \left\| \nabla_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{M}, \theta} f \right\|_{C(S)} \left( \sum_{\eta \subset \mathcal{D}} \prod_{S \in \eta} \mathcal{M}_S \right)^{-1} \cdot \left( \left\| (\prod \chi)_{\mathcal{D}} \right\|_{C(S)} \right)^{-1} \leq \left\| f - \prod_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{D}} \right\|_{C(K)} \implies \\
(7) \quad \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D}) \left\| \nabla_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{M}, \theta} f \right\|_{C(K \times S)} & \leq E(f, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{M})_{C(K)}.
\end{aligned}$$

In case of space  $L_p$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \nabla_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{M}, \theta} f \right\|_{L_p(K)} & = \left\| f(x) (\prod \chi)_{\mathcal{D}} - \prod_{\mathcal{M}}^{*\mathcal{D}}(x) \right\|_{L_p(K)} \geq \inf_{\prod_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{D}}(x) \in L_p(K)} \left\| f(x) (\prod \chi)_{\mathcal{D}} - \prod_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{D}}(x) \right\|_{L_p(K)} = \\
& = \left| (\prod \chi)_{\mathcal{D}} \right| \cdot E(f, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{M})_{L_p(K)} \implies \\
E(f, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{M})_{L_p(K)} \cdot \left\| (\prod \chi)_{\mathcal{D}} \right\|_{L_p(S)} & \leq \left\| \nabla_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{M}, \theta} f \right\|_{L_p(K)} \left\| (\prod \chi)_{\mathcal{D}} \right\|_{L_p(S)} \implies \\
(8) \quad E(f, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{M})_{L_p(K)} & \leq \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{M}, \rho) \cdot \left\| \nabla_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{M}, \theta} f \right\|_{L_p(K \times S)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We shall establish lower bound in (4) separately for  $p \geq 1$  and for  $0 < p < 1$ .

Under  $p \geq 0$  for  $\forall \prod_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{D}}(x) \in L_p(K)$

$$\begin{aligned}
(9) \quad \left\| \nabla_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{M}, \theta} f \right\|_{L_p(K \times S)} & = \left\| \nabla_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{M}, \theta} (f - \prod_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{D}}) \right\|_{L_p(K \times S)} = \\
\left\| \sum_{|\eta|=0}^{|\mathcal{D}|} \sum_{\eta \subset \mathcal{D}} \sum_{k_i=1}^{\mathcal{M}_i} (-1)^{|k_1| - |\eta| + |\eta|} \left[ f - \prod_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{D}} \right] \left( \overset{\vee}{\chi}_{k_i} \right) \right. & \left. \left( \prod_{\neq k} \chi \right)_{\eta} \left( \prod \chi \right)_{\mathcal{D} \setminus \eta} \right\|_{L_p(K \times S)} \leq
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left\| (f - \Pi_{\mathcal{J}_m}^{\mathcal{D}})(\Pi_X) \right\|_{L_p(K \times S)} + \\
&+ \sum_{|I|=1}^{|\mathcal{D}|} \sum_{\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{D}} \sum_{k_i=1}^{M_i} \left\| [f - \Pi_{\mathcal{J}_m}^{\mathcal{D}}](\hat{x}_{\mathcal{I}}^{(k_i)}) (\Pi_X)_{\neq k} \cdot (\Pi_X)_{\bar{\mathcal{D}} \setminus \bar{\mathcal{I}}} \right\|_{L_p(K \times S)} \leq \\
&\leq \left\| f(x) - \Pi_{\mathcal{J}_m}^{\mathcal{D}}(x) \right\|_{L_p(K)} \cdot \left\| (\Pi_X)_{\mathcal{D}} \right\|_{L_p(S)} + \\
&+ \sum_{|I|=1}^{|\mathcal{D}|} \sum_{\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{D}} \sum_{k_i=1}^{M_i} \left\| (f - \Pi_{\mathcal{J}_m}^{\mathcal{D}})(\hat{x}_{\mathcal{I}}^{(k_i)}) \right\|_{L_p(K)} \cdot \left\| (\Pi_X)_{\neq k} \cdot (\Pi_X)_{\bar{\mathcal{D}} \setminus \bar{\mathcal{I}}} \right\|_{L_p(S)}.
\end{aligned}$$

As it was mentioned above, norm  $\|\cdot\|_{L_p(K \times S)}$  is taken with respect to variables  $x_i^{(a)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x_i$ ,  $i = \overline{1, n}$  and  $x_s^{(a_s)}$ ,  $1 \leq a_s \leq M_s$ ,  $s \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}$ . Here the norm  $\|[f - \Pi_{\mathcal{J}_m}^{\mathcal{D}}](\hat{x}_{\mathcal{I}}^{(k_i)})\|_{L_p(K)}$  is taken in respect to variables  $\hat{x}_{\mathcal{I}}$  and  $x_{\mathcal{I}}^{(k_i)}$ , and the norm  $\|(\Pi_X)_{\neq k} \cdot (\Pi_X)_{\bar{\mathcal{D}} \setminus \bar{\mathcal{I}}}\|_{L_p(S)}$  is taken in respect to remaining variables.

Further we have from (9)

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \nabla_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}_m, \theta} f \right\|_{L_p(K \times S)} &\leq \left\| [f - \Pi_{\mathcal{J}_m}^{\mathcal{D}}](x) \right\|_{L_p(K)} \left\| (\Pi_X)_{\mathcal{D}} \right\|_{L_p(S)} \cdot \left( \sum_{\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{D}} \prod_{s \in \bar{\mathcal{I}}} M_s \right) \Rightarrow \\
\left( \sum_{\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{D}} \prod_{s \in \bar{\mathcal{I}}} M_s \right)^{-1} \left\| (\Pi_X)_{\mathcal{D}} \right\|_{L_p(S)}^{-1} \left\| \nabla_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}_m, \theta} f \right\|_{L_p(K \times S)} &\leq \left\| f - \Pi_{\mathcal{J}_m}^{\mathcal{D}} \right\|_{L_p(K)}
\end{aligned}$$

or

$$(10) \quad C(\mathcal{J}_m, \rho) \left\| \nabla_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}_m, \theta} f \right\|_{L_p(K \times S)} \leq E(f, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}_m)_{L_p(K)}.$$

At last, for  $0 < p < 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \nabla_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}_m, \theta} f \right\|_{L_p(K \times S)}^p &\leq \left\| [f - \Pi_{\mathcal{J}_m}^{\mathcal{D}}](x) \right\|_{L_p(K)}^p \cdot \left\| (\Pi_X)_{\mathcal{D}} \right\|_{L_p(S)}^p \cdot \left( \sum_{\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{D}} \prod_{s \in \bar{\mathcal{I}}} M_s \right)^p \Rightarrow \\
\left( \sum_{\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{D}} \prod_{s \in \bar{\mathcal{I}}} M_s \right)^{-p} \left\| \nabla_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}_m, \theta} f \right\|_{L_p(K \times S)}^p \left\| (\Pi_X)_{\mathcal{D}} \right\|_{L_p(S)}^{-p} &\leq E(f, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{J}_m)_{L_p(K)}^p \Rightarrow
\end{aligned}$$

$$(11) \quad \left( \sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{D}} \prod_{S \in \bar{\eta}} \mu_S \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left\| \left( \prod_X \right)_{\mathcal{D}} \right\|_{L_p(S)}^{-1} \cdot \left\| \nabla_{\mathcal{D}, \mu, 0} f \right\|_{L_p(K \times S)} \leq E(f, \mathcal{D}, \mu)_{L_p(K)}.$$

Relations (6)-(7) prove part I, and (8), (10)-(11) part II of theorem 2.

**R e m a r k.** Theorem 2 is valid also, when variables  $X_S \in \bar{I}$  are arbitrary and points are equidistant

$$X_S^{(i_s)} : X_S^{(i_s+1)} = X_S^{(i_s)} + h_s, \quad h_s > 0, \quad 1 \leq i_s \leq \mu_s - 1, \quad S \in \bar{\mathcal{D}}.$$

One can convince himself in this by following the proof of this theorem.

**3. P r e p o s i t i o n.** Whitney's theorem follows from theorem 2.I.

**P r o o f.** Let  $n = \bar{I}$ ,  $d(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)$  - is diameter of the set, consisting of points in brackets,  $\mathcal{A}(\mu)$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_1(\mu)$  - are constants depending only on  $\mu$ .

$$(12) \quad X_i, X_{i+1} \in [0, 1], \quad X_{i+1} = X_i + h, \quad \forall i = \overline{1, \mu-1}, \quad X_{\mu} \in [0, 1], \quad h \neq 0$$

$$[X, X_1, \dots, X_{\mu}; f, X] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [X, X_1, \dots, X_{\mu}]_f \prod_{i=1}^{\mu} (X - X_i),$$

where  $[X, X_1, \dots, X_{\mu}]$  - is separated difference of power  $\mu$  of function of one variable  $f = f(x)$ . Let us use relations courteously presented to me by P.M. Tamrasov:

$$(13) \quad [X, X_1, \dots, X_{\mu}; f, X] \leq \mathcal{A}(\mu) \left[ \frac{d(X, X_1, \dots, X_{\mu})}{d(X_1, \dots, X_{\mu})} \right]^{\mu-1} \omega_{\mu, 2}(f, d(X, X_1, \dots, X_{\mu})) \leq \\ \leq \mathcal{A}_1(\mu) \left[ \frac{d(X, X_1, \dots, X_{\mu})}{d(X_1, \dots, X_{\mu})} \right]^{\mu-1} \omega_{\mu, 1}(f, d(X, X_1, \dots, X_{\mu})),$$

where  $\omega_{n, N}(f, \delta) - N$  - is uniform modulus of smoothness, and also  $\omega_{n, 1}(f, \delta)$  - is usual (arithmetic) modulus of smoothness (see [7]). The first inequality in (13) is a special case of lemma 5.7.1 from [7], p.224, and the second is a special case of theorem 15 and its corollary from [8], p.18.

By virtue of the remark to theorem 2 in case  $n=1$  and validity of conditions (12) the right inequality from (3) can be rewritten in the form

$$(14) \quad E(f, P_{\mu-1})_{C(I)} \leq B(\mu) \left\| \nabla_{\mathcal{D}, \mu, 0}^* f \right\|,$$



where the norm at the right hand side is taken with respect to  $h, x, x_i \in I, x_i + (\mu-1)h \in I$  and

$$\nabla_{\mu,0}^* f = f(x) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq \mu} (x_i - x_j) + \sum_{k=1}^{\mu} (-1)^k f(x_k) \prod_{\substack{0 \leq i < j \leq \mu \\ i, j \neq k}} (x_i - x_j).$$

Here it is accepted  $x_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\mu,0}^* f &= \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\mu} \frac{f(x_k)}{\prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq k}}^{\mu} (x_k - x_j)} \right) \cdot \prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq \mu} (x_i - x_j) = \\ (15) \quad &= [x, x_1, \dots, x_{\mu}] \prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq \mu} (x_i - x_j). \end{aligned}$$

Using (13) and (15) in (14) gives

$$\begin{aligned} E(f, P_{\mu-1})_{C(I)} &\leq B(\mu) \left\| [x, x_1, \dots, x_{\mu}] \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{\mu} (x - x_j) \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq \mu} (x_i - x_j) \right\| \leq \\ (16) \quad &\leq B(\mu) \left\| \mathcal{H}_1(\mu) \left[ \frac{d(x, x_1, \dots, x_{\mu})}{d(x_1, \dots, x_{\mu})} \right]^{\mu-1} \omega_{\mu,1}(f, d(x, x_1, \dots, x_{\mu})) \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq \mu} (x_i - x_j) \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Further  $d(x_1, \dots, x_{\mu}) = |x_{\mu} - x_1| = (\mu-1)h$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, x_1, \dots, x_{\mu}) &\leq 1; \quad \left| \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq \mu} (x_i - x_j) \right| = \left| \prod_{j=2}^{\mu} (x_1 - x_j) \right| \cdot \left| \prod_{2 \leq i < j \leq \mu} (x_i - x_j) \right| = \\ &= h \cdot 2h \dots (\mu-1)h \cdot \left| \prod_{2 \leq i < j \leq \mu} (x_i - x_j) \right| \leq h^{\mu-1} \cdot (\mu-1). \end{aligned}$$

Then the right hand side of inequality (16) will have the form

$$B(\mu) \cdot \left\| \mathcal{H}_1(\mu) \cdot \frac{1}{(\mu-1)^{\mu-1} h^{\mu-1}} \cdot h^{\mu-1} \cdot (\mu-1)! \omega_{\mu}(f, 1) \right\|,$$

i.e.

$$E(f, P_{\mu})_{C(I)} \leq C_{\mu} \sup_{0 \leq x, x + (\mu-1)h \leq 1} \left| \Delta_h^{\mu} f \right|,$$

which is Whitney's theorem.

4. Exact annihilator of class of quasipolynomials  $(\prod_{\mu}^D)_Y$  can be expressed, through separated differences. We shall need notations

$$b_s \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{j_s=1}^{j_s} (x_s - x_s^{(j_s)}); \quad a_s = b_s^{-1}; \quad \forall \alpha \in \bar{\mathcal{D}}, \quad a_\alpha \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{s \in \alpha} a_s.$$

If  $\alpha = \{s_1, \dots, s_k\}$ , then  $a_\alpha = a_{s_1} \dots a_{s_k} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_{s_1, \dots, s_k}$ .  
 It is known that the separated difference of function  $f$  with respect to variable  $x_s$  of power  $j_s$  can be defined by formula

$$[x_s] f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [x_s^{(0)} \dots x_s^{(j_s)}] f = \sum_{k_s=0}^{j_s} \frac{f(x_s^{(k_s)})}{\prod_{\substack{i_s=0 \\ i_s \neq k_s}}^{j_s} (x_s^{(k_s)} - x_s^{(i_s)})}.$$

Derive in the following way the separated difference of function  $f$  with respect to group or variables  $x_{\bar{\eta}}$  of power  $j_{\bar{\eta}}$  (with respect to  $x_i$  of power  $j_i$ ,  $i \in \bar{\eta}$ )

$$[x_{\bar{\eta}}] f = [x_{\bar{\eta}(1)} \dots x_{\bar{\eta}(|\bar{\eta}|)}] f = \sum_{k_{\bar{\eta}}=0}^{j_{\bar{\eta}}} \frac{f(x_{\bar{\eta}}^{(k_{\bar{\eta}})})}{\prod_{s \in \bar{\eta}} \prod_{\substack{j_s=0 \\ j_s \neq k_s}}^{j_s} (x_s^{(k_s)} - x_s^{(j_s)})}.$$

**Theorem 3.** Exact annihilator of class of quasipolynomials can be defined by using the separated differences by formula

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{D}, \theta} f = \sum_{\eta \subset \mathcal{D}} (-1)^{|\eta|} \sum_{\nu \subset \bar{\eta}} (-1)^{|\nu|} [x_\nu] f \cdot b_\nu \cdot \left( \prod x \right)_{\mathcal{D}}.$$

5. Historical reference. Earlier for isolated special cases

estimations  $E(f, \mathcal{D}, \mu)_{Y(T)}$  were obtained, where finite differences served as annihilators. Mainly the case of  $n=1$  (approximation of the function of a single variable by polynomials) was investigated. The first result here belongs to Whitney [1-2] (the case, when  $T$  is a closed interval from  $\mathbb{R}$ ). A new proof of this result is given in [6]. Further cases  $T=I, Y=L_p, p \geq 1$  [3] and  $Y=L_p, 0 < p < 1$  [5] were treated. For an arbitrary  $n$  the case  $\delta_i = \{i\}, i = \overline{1, n}, T=K, Y=L_p, 1 < p \leq \infty$  was considered in [4], and the case  $\forall \mathcal{D}, \mu_i = 1, i = \overline{1, n}$  (approximation by sums of functions of fewer variables), where  $Y=C(T)$  or  $L_p(T), 0 < p \leq \infty; T=K, \mathbb{T}^n$  ( $n$ -dimensional torus) or  $\mathbb{R}$  in [9]. The last case is valid both for real and complex valued approximated function  $f$  of real variables.

Finally, [10] presents scheme of the proof of the statement that, the union of operators  $\nabla_{\mathcal{D}, \mu, \theta} f$  is an exact annihilator of class of quasipolynomials  $\prod_{\mu}^{\infty}(x) \in C(K)$  and formulates theorem 2.I about the degree of the best approximation.

As it was shown above in case  $n=1$  Whitney's theorem follows from theorem 2.I.

Several special cases of quasipolynomials for the first time were presented by Ya.S.Bugrov [11], H.S.Shapiro [12] and M.K.Potapov (for example [13]).

#### R E F E R E N C E S

1. H. W h i t n e y. On functions with bounded  $n$ -th differences. J.Math.Pures.Appl., 9, vol.36(1957), 67-95.
2. H. W h i t n e y. On bounded functions with bounded  $n$ -th differences, Proc.Amer.Math.Soc., 10, number 3, 1959, 480-481.
3. Ю.А.Б р у д н ы й. Об одной теореме локальных наилучших приближений. т.124, №6, 1964, 43-49.
4. Ю.А.Б р у д н ы й. Приближение функций  $n$  переменных квази-многочленами. Изв.АН СССР, сер.матем., т.34, №3, 1970, 564-583.
5. Э.А.С т о р о ж е н к о. О приближении алгебраическими многочленами функций класса  $L_p, 0 < p < 1$ . Изв.АН СССР,

- сер.матем., т.41, №3, 1977, 652-662.
6. Bl.S. e n d o v. A new proof of the H. Whitney's theorem, Compt. rend. Acad. bulg. seir., 35, number 5, 1982, 609-611.
  7. П.М.Т а м р а з о в. Гладкость и полиномиальные приближения, Киев; Наукова думка, 1975, 271с.
  8. П.М.Т а м р а з о в. Конечно-разностные тождества и оценки модулей гладкости суперпозиций функций. Препринт ИМ АН УССР, № 77-5, 1977, 20с.
  9. М.-Б.А.Б а б а е в. Оценки наилучшего приближения функции многих переменных, ДАН СССР /в печати/.
  10. М.-Б.А.Б а б а е в. Оценки наилучшего приближения обобщенными квазиполиномами, Теория функций и приближений, Труды II Саратовской зимней школы, 1964, /в печати/.
  11. Л.С.Б у г р о в. Приближение тригонометрическими полиномами функций многих переменных, Труды Научного объединения преподавателей физико-математических факультетов педагогических институтов Дальнего Востока, I /математика/, Хабаровск, 1962, 28-49.
  12. H.S.S h a p i r o. Some theorems on Chebyshev approximation. II, J. math. analysis and appl., 17, number 2, 1967, 262-268.
  13. М.К.П о т а п о в. Приближение "углом" и теоремы вложения, Mathematica Balkanica, 2, 1972, 183-198.

Institute of mathematics and mechanics  
Baku-370692 USSR