

ASYMPTOTICAL HOLOMORPHY OF SOME MAPPINGS

Melkana Alexandrova Brakalova

Let $\varphi(x)$ be a twice continuously differentiable function, defined in the interval $[0, \infty)$, where $\varphi(x)$ is positive for all $x \in [0, \infty)$. Let us consider the semistrip $S = \{z = x + iy: x > 0, |y| < \varphi(x)\}$ in the z -plane ($z = x + iy$). Let S_0 belong to the w -plane ($w = u + iv$) and $S_0 = \{w = u + iv: u > 0, |v| < \frac{\pi}{2}\}$. Let $w = w(z)$ be the conformal (univalent) function which maps the semistrip S onto the canonical semistrip S_0 , so that the triple of points $(0, \pm \varphi(0))$ and $z = +\infty$ are transformed into $(0, \pm \frac{\pi}{2})$ and $w = +\infty$ respectively. The problem that was considered and solved by A.A.Goldberg and T.V.Strocik in their article [1] has the following formulation: Find a mapping $F(z): S \rightarrow S_0$, which should be asymptotically conformal to the mapping $w(z)$, or in other words that $F(z) - w(z)$ uniformly tends to zero when $x \rightarrow +\infty$, where some restrictions are imposed on the function $\varphi(x)$. $\varphi(x)$ is said to be the boundary function of S and $w(z)$ is a conformal "strip mapping".

The contribution of the present study is the proof of the asymptotical holomorphy of $F(z)$. The asymptotical holomorphy means that $F(z)$ asymptotically satisfies the Cauchy-Riemann's equations e.g. the expressions:

$$\frac{\partial \operatorname{Re} F(z)}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \operatorname{Im} F(z)}{\partial y} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re} F(z)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \operatorname{Im} F(z)}{\partial x}$$

tend uniformly to zero with respect to y , when $x \rightarrow +\infty$.

It is obvious that an asymptotical conformity does not imply the asymptotical holomorphy (as e.g. there are such functions which tend to zero but their derivatives do not).

Let the boundary function $\varphi(x)$ of S satisfy the following three conditions:

1. The total variation of $\operatorname{arctg} \varphi'(x)$ is bound in the interval $[0, \infty)$;
2. q and x_0 should exist, so that $0 < q < 1$, $x_0 = \operatorname{const} > 0$ and for all $x \geq x_0$ the relation holds:

$$\varphi''(x) \geq -q \frac{(1 + \varphi'^2(x))(\sqrt{1 + \varphi'^2(x)} + 1)}{\varphi(x)}$$

3. The integral $\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\varphi \varphi''^2}{1 + \varphi'^4} dx$ is convergent.

A.A.Goldberg and T.V.Strocik [1] proved the following:

Theorem 1. Let the boundary function $\varphi(x)$ satisfy the conditions 1., 2. and 3. and let $w(z)$ be the conformal strip mapping from S onto S_0 . In the case when $x \rightarrow +\infty$ uniformly with respect to y , the following equation holds:

$$w(z) = + \frac{\pi}{2} \int_{t_0}^{t(x,y)} \frac{\varphi'(s)}{\varphi(s) \operatorname{arctg} \varphi'(s)} ds + i\eta(x, y) + o(1)$$

where η is a suitable real constant, and t and η ($t \geq t_0, |\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$) represent the unique solution to the system of equations:

$$x = t - \frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi'(t)} + \frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi'(t)} \cdot \sqrt{1 + \varphi'^2(t)} \cos \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \eta \operatorname{arctg} \varphi'(t) \right) \quad (1)$$

$$y = \frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi'(t)} \cdot \sqrt{1 + \varphi'^2(t)} \sin \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \eta \operatorname{arctg} \varphi'(t) \right)$$

Let us denote the following functions:

$$(2) \quad F(x, y) = \lambda + \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot \int_{t_0}^{t(x, y)} \frac{\varphi'(s)}{\varphi(s) \operatorname{arctg} \varphi'(s)} ds$$

$$\begin{aligned} g(x, y) &= \operatorname{Re} F(x, y) & h(x, y) &= \operatorname{Im} F(x, y) \\ u(x, y) &= \operatorname{Re} w(z) & v(x, y) &= \operatorname{Im} w(z) \\ \xi^1(x, y) &= \operatorname{Re}(w(z) - F(x, y)) & \xi^2(x, y) &= \operatorname{Im}(w(z) - F(x, y)) \end{aligned}$$

According to Theorem 1, the next equalities hold uniformly with respect to y :

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \xi^1(x, y) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \xi^2(x, y) = 0.$$

The result of the present study is:

Proposition: The mapping $F(x, y)$ is asymptotically holomorphic, i.e.:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} \right) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \right) = 0$$

hold uniformly with respect to y .

Proof: From the definitions of the functions in (2) we obtain:

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} = \xi_x^1 - \xi_y^2 \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} = -(\xi_y^1 + \xi_x^2).$$

If we derive $g(x, y)$ with respect to x and y we can obtain:

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \xi_x^1 - \xi_y^2 &= \frac{\pi}{2} t_x \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi \operatorname{arctg} \varphi'} - \eta_y \\ \xi_y^1 + \xi_x^2 &= \frac{\pi}{2} t_y \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi \operatorname{arctg} \varphi'} + \eta_x \end{aligned}$$

For the partial derivatives of the functions $t(x, y)$ and $\eta(x, y)$ which are implicitly defined by the system

(1) the following equalities are valid:

$$(4) \quad t_x = \frac{y \eta}{\Delta}, \quad t_y = -\frac{x \eta}{\Delta}, \quad \eta_x = -\frac{y t}{\Delta}, \quad \eta_y = \frac{x t}{\Delta},$$

where $\Delta = x_t \cdot y_\eta - x_\eta \cdot y_t$

The partial derivatives of the explicit functions $x = x(t, \eta)$ and $y = y(t, \eta)$ which are defined in (1) are:

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} x_t &= \frac{\varphi \cdot \varphi''}{\varphi'^2 (1 + \varphi'^2)} \left(\sqrt{1 + \varphi'^2} - \cos \alpha - \frac{2\eta}{\pi} \sin \alpha \cdot \varphi' \right) + \cos \alpha \sqrt{1 + \varphi'^2} \\ y_t &= \sqrt{1 + \varphi'^2} \sin \alpha + \frac{\varphi \varphi''}{\varphi'^2 \sqrt{1 + \varphi'^2}} \cdot \left(\frac{2\eta}{\pi} \varphi' \cos \alpha - \sin \alpha \right) \\ x_\eta &= -\frac{\alpha}{2} \sin \alpha \frac{\varphi \sqrt{1 + \varphi'^2}}{\varphi'} \\ y_\eta &= \frac{\alpha}{2} \cos \alpha \frac{\varphi \sqrt{1 + \varphi'^2}}{\varphi'} \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = \frac{2\eta}{\pi} \operatorname{arctg} \varphi'$.

Using the condition 2. it can be calculated that:

$$(6) \quad \Delta \geq \frac{|\alpha|}{|\varphi'|} \frac{|\varphi|}{|2|} (1 + \varphi'^2) (1 - q).$$

Then using (3), (4), (5) and (6) we obtain:

$$(7) \quad |\xi_x^1 - \xi_y^2| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{|\varphi''|}{(1-q)} \frac{|\sqrt{1 + \varphi'^2} - \cos \alpha - \frac{2\eta}{\pi} \varphi' \sin \alpha|}{\operatorname{arctg} \varphi' |\varphi'| (\sqrt{1 + \varphi'^2})^3}$$

$$(8) \quad |\xi_y^1 + \xi_x^2| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{1}{(1-q)} \frac{|\varphi''| \left| \frac{2\eta}{\pi} \varphi' \cos \alpha - \sin \alpha \right|}{|\varphi'| (\sqrt{1 + \varphi'^2})^3}$$

We should point out that from condition 1. follows the existence of the two limits:

$$(9) \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi'(x) = \tilde{\gamma} \text{ where } \tilde{\gamma} \text{ is a real constant, } 0 \leq \tilde{\gamma} < \infty \text{ or } \tilde{\gamma} = +\infty \text{ and}$$

$$(10) \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|\varphi''(x)|}{1 + \varphi'^2(x)} = 0.$$

Let us estimate the right part of (7) in the following three cases:

1^o $\tilde{\gamma} = 0$. Substituting $\varphi' = \mu$ and applying several times Lopital's rule we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sqrt{1 + \varphi'^2} - \cos \alpha}{\varphi' \operatorname{arctg} \varphi'} &= \lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sqrt{1 + \mu^2} - \cos \frac{2\eta}{\pi} \operatorname{arctg} \mu}{\mu \operatorname{arctg} \mu} = \\ &= \frac{\left(\frac{2\eta}{\pi}\right)^2 + 1}{2} = \delta(\eta) \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{2} \leq \delta \leq 1$.

Consequently,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} |\mathcal{E}_x^1 - \mathcal{E}_y^2| \leq \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|\varphi''|}{(1 + \varphi'^2)} \cdot \frac{\delta(\eta)}{\sqrt{1 + \varphi'^2}} = 0$$

2^o $0 < \tilde{\gamma} < +\infty$. Then obviously

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} |\mathcal{E}_x^1 - \mathcal{E}_y^2| = 0$$

3^o $\tilde{\gamma} = +\infty$. Then:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} |\mathcal{E}_x^1 - \mathcal{E}_y^2| \leq \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\pi |\varphi''|}{1 + \varphi'^2} \frac{(\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{\varphi'^2}} - \frac{\cos \alpha}{\varphi'} - \frac{2\eta}{\pi} \sin \alpha)}{2 \sqrt{1 + \varphi'^2} (\sqrt{1 + \varphi'^2})^3 \operatorname{arctg} \varphi' (1 - \eta)} = 0$$

because of (10).

Therefore, we can conclude that:

$$(11) \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} |\mathcal{E}_x^1 - \mathcal{E}_y^2| = 0$$

uniformly with respect to y and independently of the value of $\tilde{\gamma}$.

Using (8) and (10) we can see that in each of the cases 1^o, 2^o, 3^o:

$$(12) \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} |\mathcal{E}_y^1 + \mathcal{E}_x^2| = 0$$

uniformly with respect to y .

From (11) and (12) follows the asymptotical holomorphy of the function $F(z) = f(z) + ig(z)$ which we had to prove.

* * *

References

1. Goldberg, A.A. and T.V. Strocik, Conformal mapping of symmetric half-strips and angular regions, Litovsk, Mat. Sb. 6 (1966), 227-239.