

UNIFORM APPROXIMATION ON THE WHOLE REAL LINE

József Gróf

1. Introduction. Starting from the Szász operators

$$S_n(f; x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) p_{n,k}(x) \quad \left(p_{n,k}(x) := e^{-nx} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!}, \quad x \geq 0 \right)$$

we define the operators

$$H_n(f; x) := \frac{1}{e^{nx} + e^{-nx}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) + (-1)^k \cdot f\left(-\frac{k}{n}\right) \right] \frac{(nx)^k}{k!}$$

($n = 1, 2, \dots$; $x \in \mathbb{R} :=]-\infty, \infty[$).

In [1] we outlined the idea leading to the definition of H_n , in [2] we discussed the rate of uniform approximation for the operators H_n on finite intervals of the type $[-a, a]$. In this paper we study the uniform approximation on the set $\mathbb{R} \setminus]-a, a[$, where $a > 0$.

2. Notations. Let $A, B, \beta, \delta, M, K_1, K_2, \dots$ be non-negative numbers, $0 < \beta < 1$, $0 \leq \delta < 1$.

Let F_j ($j=1, 2, 3, 4$) denote the set of continuous functions $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the condition (C_j) below (we also suppose that g is differentiable in the case of F_2 and F_3 , and twice differentiable in F_4 - on the interval $]\frac{a}{2}, \infty[$).

$$(C_1) \quad |g(y) - g(t)| \leq A \frac{(y-t)^\beta}{t^{\beta/2}} \quad \left(\frac{a}{2} < t < y < \infty \right);$$

$$(C_2) \quad |g'(t)| \leq A/\sqrt{t} \quad \left(t > \frac{a}{2} \right);$$

$$(C_3) \quad \begin{cases} |g'(y) - g'(t)| < A \frac{(y-t)^\delta}{t^{(1+\delta)/2}} & (\frac{a}{2} < t < y < \infty), \\ |g'(t)| < B & (t > a); \end{cases}$$

$$(C_4) \quad |g''(t)| < \frac{A}{t} \quad (t > \frac{a}{2}); \quad |g'(t)| < B \quad (t > a).$$

Moreover for a function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ let

$$\|g\|_a := \sup_{|t| > a} |g(t)|; \quad g^-(t) := g(-t) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

3. Theorem (T_j) /j=1,2,3,4/. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $a > 0$.

If $f, f^- \in F_j$, then $\|H_n(f) - f\|_a = O(n^{-\alpha_j}) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$, where

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{\beta}{2}, \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \alpha_3 = \frac{1+\delta}{2}, \quad \alpha_4 = 1.$$

(Our proof will show that Theorems (T₃) and (T₄) are also valid for $a=0$.)

4. Lemmas (L1), (L2), ..., (L8). Let $x > 0$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

$$(L1) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right) p_{n,k}(x) = 0; \quad (L2) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right)^2 p_{n,k}(x) = \frac{x}{n};$$

$$(L3) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left|\frac{k}{n} - x\right|^\gamma p_{n,k}(x) < \left(\frac{x}{n}\right)^{\gamma/2} \quad (0 < \gamma < 2);$$

$$(L4) \quad \sum_{\left|\frac{k}{n} - x\right| \geq \frac{x}{2}} p_{n,k}(x) < \frac{4}{nx}; \quad (L5) \quad \sum_{\left|\frac{k}{n} - x\right| \geq \frac{x}{2}} \left|\frac{k}{n} - x\right| p_{n,k}(x) = \frac{2}{n};$$

$$(L6) \quad \left| \sum_{\left|\frac{k}{n} - x\right| < \frac{x}{2}} \left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right) p_{n,k}(x) \right| < \frac{2}{n}; \quad (L7) \quad \left| \sum_{\left|\frac{k}{n} - x\right| < \frac{x}{2}} \left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right) (-1)^k p_{n,k}(x) \right| < \frac{3}{n};$$

$$(L8) \quad H_n(f; -x) = H_n(f^-; x) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}).$$

5. The proof of the Lemmas. A simple computation gives the validity of the Lemmas (L1), (L2), and (L8). (L3) follows from (L2) using the Hölder inequality

$$\sum_k a_k b_k < \left(\sum_k a_k\right)^{1/\alpha} \left(\sum_k b_k^\beta\right)^{1/\beta} \quad (a_k, b_k > 0, \alpha > 1, \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} = 1)$$

$$\text{with } a_k = \left(\left| \frac{k-x}{n} \right|^2 p_{n,k}(x) \right)^{\gamma/2}, \quad b_k = \left(p_{n,k}(x) \right)^{(2-\gamma)/2}, \quad \alpha = \frac{2}{\gamma}, \quad \beta = \frac{2}{2-\gamma}.$$

(L4) follows from (L2), taking into account that

$$\frac{x}{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{k-x}{n} \right|^2 p_{n,k}(x) > \sum_{\left| \frac{k-x}{n} \right| \geq \frac{x}{n}} \left| \frac{k-x}{n} \right|^2 p_{n,k}(x) > \left(\frac{x}{2} \right)^2 \sum_{\left| \frac{k-x}{n} \right| \geq \frac{x}{2}} p_{n,k}(x).$$

From (L2) and (L4), using the Cauchy inequality we get (L5):

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\left| \frac{k-x}{n} \right| \geq \frac{x}{2}} \left| \frac{k-x}{n} \right| p_{n,k}(x) &< \left(\sum_{\left| \frac{k-x}{n} \right| \geq \frac{x}{2}} \left| \frac{k-x}{n} \right|^2 p_{n,k}(x) \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{\left| \frac{k-x}{n} \right| \geq \frac{x}{2}} p_{n,k}(x) \right)^{1/2} < \\ &< \left(\frac{x}{n} \frac{4}{nx} \right)^{1/2} = \frac{2}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

To prove (L6) we start from (L1):

$$0 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k}{n} - x \right) p_{n,k}(x) = \sum_{\left| \frac{k-x}{n} \right| \geq \frac{x}{2}} \dots + \sum_{\left| \frac{k-x}{n} \right| < \frac{x}{2}} \dots$$

(L6) follows from this equality and (L5):

$$\left| \sum_{\left| \frac{k-x}{n} \right| < \frac{x}{2}} \dots \right| = \left| \sum_{\left| \frac{k-x}{n} \right| \geq \frac{x}{2}} \dots \right| < \sum_{\left| \frac{k-x}{n} \right| \geq \frac{x}{2}} \left| \frac{k-x}{n} \right| p_{n,k}(x) < \frac{2}{n}.$$

A simple computation gives that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k}{n} - x \right) (-1)^k p_{n,k}(x) = -2xe^{-2nx}$.

Hence, by using (L5) we get

$$\sum_{\left| \frac{k-x}{n} \right| < \frac{x}{2}} \left(\frac{k}{n} - x \right) (-1)^k p_{n,k}(x) < \frac{2}{n} + 2xe^{-2nx} = \frac{2}{n} (1 + nxe^{-2nx}) < \frac{3}{n}.$$

6. Preliminary part of the proof of the Theorems. It is enough to show that

$$(1) \quad f, f^- \in F_j \Rightarrow \sup_{x > a} \left| H_n(f; x) - f(x) \right| = o\left(n^{-\alpha j}\right) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Indeed, if $f, f^- \in F_j$, then $f^-, (f^-)^- \in F_j$, therefore (1) implies

$$(2) \quad f, f^- \in F_j \Rightarrow \sup_{x > a} \left| H_n(f^-; x) - f^-(x) \right| = o\left(n^{-\alpha j}\right) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Using (L8), it follows from (2) that

$$(3) \quad f, f^- \in F_j \Rightarrow \sup_{x > a} \left| H_n(f; -x) - f(-x) \right| = o\left(n^{-\alpha j}\right) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Thus (1) implies (3). Therefore, for the rest of this paper we assume that $x > a$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & H_n(f; x) - f(x) = \\
 & = \frac{1}{e^{nx} + e^{-nx}} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) + (-1)^k f\left(-\frac{k}{n}\right) \right] \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} - f(x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [1 + (-1)^k] \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} \right\} = \\
 & = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-2nx}} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right] p_{n,k}(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[f\left(-\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(-x) \right] (-1)^k p_{n,k}(x) + \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + [f(-x) - f(x)] e^{-2nx} \right\} =: \frac{1}{1 + e^{-2nx}} (U + V + Z).
 \end{aligned}$$

7. The proof of Theorem (T₁). Let $x > a$, where $a > 0$.

$$|U| < \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right| p_{n,k}(x) = \sum_{\substack{k < \frac{x}{2} \\ \frac{k}{n} < \frac{x}{2}}} + \sum_{\substack{k > \frac{3x}{2} \\ \frac{k}{n} > \frac{3x}{2}}} + \sum_{\substack{k > \frac{x}{2} \\ |\frac{k}{n} - x| < \frac{x}{2}}} =: U_1 + U_2 + U_3.$$

Putting $M := \max_{[0, a]} |f|$ and using that $f \in F_1$, we have

$$(4) \quad |f(x)| \leq |f(x) - f(a)| + |f(a)| \leq \frac{A}{a^{\beta/2}} (x-a)^{\beta} + M \leq K_1 x^{\beta} + M.$$

A similar estimate holds for $|f(-x)|$, therefore we get

$$|Z| \leq K_2 x^{\beta} e^{-2nx} \quad (x > a).$$

In U_1 we have $|f(\frac{k}{n})| \leq M$. Hence, using (4) and (L4) we get

$$U_1 \leq (K_1 x^{\beta} + 2M) \sum_{\substack{k > \frac{x}{2} \\ |\frac{k}{n} - x| > \frac{x}{2}}} p_{n,k}(x) \leq (K_1 x^{\beta} + 2M) \frac{4}{nx} \leq \left(\frac{K_1}{a^{1-\beta}} + 2\frac{M}{a} \right) \frac{4}{n}.$$

To estimate U_2 we consider $\frac{k}{n} \geq \frac{3x}{2}$, $f \in F_1$ and use (L3):

$$U_2 \leq \frac{A}{x^{\beta/2}} \sum_{\substack{k > \frac{x}{2} \\ |\frac{k}{n} - x| > \frac{x}{2}}} \left| \frac{k}{n} - x \right|^{\beta} p_{n,k}(x) \leq \frac{A}{x^{\beta/2}} \left(\frac{x}{n} \right)^{\beta/2} = A n^{-\beta/2}.$$

In U_3 we have $|f(\frac{k}{n}) - f(x)| \leq A \left| \frac{k}{n} - x \right|^{\beta} / \left(\frac{x}{2} \right)^{\beta/2}$. Hence, using (L3) we have

$$U_3 \leq A \left(\frac{2}{x} \right)^{\beta/2} \sum_{\substack{k > \frac{x}{2} \\ |\frac{k}{n} - x| < \frac{x}{2}}} \left| \frac{k}{n} - x \right|^{\beta} p_{n,k}(x) \leq A \left(\frac{2}{x} \right)^{\beta/2} \left(\frac{x}{n} \right)^{\beta/2} = A \left(\frac{2}{n} \right)^{\beta/2}.$$

Then we have $|U| \leq K_2 n^{-\beta/2}$ with a K_2 independent of x ($x > a$). The sum V can be estimated in the same way.

8. The proof of Theorem (T₂). If $g \in F_2$, then

$$|g(y) - g(t)| \leq |g'(\xi)| (y-t) \leq \frac{A}{\sqrt{\xi}} (y-t) \leq A \frac{y-t}{\sqrt{t}} \quad \left(\frac{a}{2} < t < y < \infty \right),$$

therefore $g \in F_1$ with $\beta = 1$. Hence Theorem (T₁) implies (T₂).

9. The proof of Theorem (T₃). Let $x > a$, where $a > 0$. Since $H_n(f; 0) = f(0)$, we can suppose that $x > 0$.

$$|U| \leq U_1 + U_2 + U_3^*, \text{ where } U_3^* := \left| \sum_{|\frac{k}{n}-x| < \frac{x}{2}} [f(\frac{k}{n}) - f(x)] p_{n,k}(x) \right|$$

(U, U_1, U_2 are defined in section 6 and 7.) The estimation of Z and U_1 is considered for $a > 0$ and $a = 0$ separately.

For $a > 0$, from $f \in F_3$ we obtain

$$(5) \quad |f(x)| \leq |f(x) - f(a)| + |f(a)| \leq |f'(\xi)| (x-a) + M \leq Bx + M.$$

A similar estimate holds for $|f(-x)|$, therefore we get

$$|Z| \leq K_3 x e^{-2nx}.$$

From (5), (L4) and $a > 0$ as in section 7 we obtain

$$U_1 \leq (Bx + 2M) \frac{4}{nx} \leq (4B + \frac{8M}{a}) \frac{1}{n} \leq K_4 \frac{1}{n}.$$

For $a = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Z| &\leq \left\{ |f(-x) - f(0)| + |f(0) - f(x)| \right\} e^{-2nx} < \\ &< \left\{ |f'(\xi_1)| + |f'(\xi_2)| \right\} x e^{-2nx} < Bx e^{-2nx} < K_5 \frac{1}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

For $a = 0$ the sum U_1 can be estimated in the same way as the sum U_2 below.

$$\text{In } U_2 \text{ we have } \left| f(\frac{k}{n}) - f(x) \right| \leq \left| f'(\xi_{n,k}) \left(\frac{k}{n} - x \right) \right| \leq B \left| \frac{k}{n} - x \right|.$$

Hence, and from (L5) we get $U_2 \leq 2B/n$.

$$U_3^* \leq \left| \sum_{|\frac{k}{n}-x| < \frac{x}{2}} f'(x) \left(\frac{k}{n} - x \right) p_{n,k}(x) \right| + \left| \sum_{|\frac{k}{n}-x| < \frac{x}{2}} [f'(\xi_{n,k}) - f'(x)] \left(\frac{k}{n} - x \right) p_{n,k}(x) \right| =:$$

$$=: U_{31}^* + U_{32}^*, \text{ where } x < \xi_{n,k} < \frac{k}{n} \text{ or } \frac{k}{n} < \xi_{n,k} < x.$$

$$\text{By (L6) we have } U_{31}^* = |f'(x)| \left| \sum_{|\frac{k}{n}-x| < \frac{x}{2}} \left(\frac{k}{n} - x \right) p_{n,k}(x) \right| \leq 2B/n.$$

From the first inequality of (C₃) it follows that

$$U_{32}^* \leq A \left(\frac{2}{x} \right)^{(1+\delta)/2} \sum_{|\frac{k}{n}-x| < \frac{x}{2}} |\xi_{n,k}^{-x}|^\delta \left| \frac{k}{n} - x \right| p_{n,k}(x).$$

From the inequality $|\xi_{n,k}^{-x}| \leq \left| \frac{k}{n} - x \right|$ and (L3) we get

$$U_{32}^* \leq A \left(\frac{2}{x}\right)^{(1+\delta)/2} \left(\frac{x}{n}\right)^{(1+\delta)/2} = K_6 n^{-(1+\delta)/2}.$$

The sum V can be estimated in the same way as the sum U , however in the case of V_{31}^* we use (L7) instead of (L6).

10. The proof of Theorem (T₄). If $g \in F_4$, then

$$|g'(y) - g'(t)| = |g''(\xi)(y-t)| \leq \frac{A}{\xi}(y-t) \leq A \frac{y-t}{t} \quad \left(\frac{a}{2} < t < y < \infty\right),$$

therefore $g \in F_3$ with $\delta = 1$. Hence Theorem (T₃) implies (T₄).

11. Remark. The proof of the Theorems shows that

$$f \in F_j \Rightarrow \sup_{x \geq a} |S_n(f; x) - f(x)| = O\left(n^{-\alpha_j}\right) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

where $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

References

1. J. Gróf. Konstruktion einer folge linearer Operatoren zur Approximation auf der ganzen reellen Achse. Constructive Function Theory '81. sofia 1983. (Proceedings of the International Conference on Constructive Function Theory Varna, June 1-5, 1981). p. 336-340.
2. J. Gróf. Függvényapproximáció az egész számegeyenesen, súlyozott hatványsorokkal. Matematikai Lapok 29 (1-3) 1977-1981. p. 161-170.

Veszprém University of Chemical Engineering
 Institute of Mathematics
 P.O.Box 158 8201 Veszprém Hungary