

SOMETHING ELSE ABOUT THE
MEROMORPHIC CONTINUATION OF FUNCTIONS

Ralitzza Kovačeva (Sofia)

1. Let D be a domain in the complex plane \bar{C} , E a continuum in D , and $G = D - E$. It will be assumed throughout this paper, that the domain G is double-connected and regular with respect to the Dirichlet problem. We shall denote by $h(z)$ (for $z \in G$) the harmonic measure on ∂E with respect to G . Let $F = \bar{C} - D$ and let $C = C(E, F)$ and $g = g(G)$ be the capacity of the condenser (E, F) and the Riemann modulus of the domain G , respectively. It is known that $g(G) = \exp[C(E, F)^{-1}]$. Denote by $g(z)$ the harmonical conjugate function to $h(z)$ in G . We set $u(z) = \exp[-(h+ig)(z) \cdot C^{-1}]$. In the case being considered the function u is holomorphic and univalent in the domain G and represents it on the annulus $\{w, g^{-1} < |w| < 1\}$.

There exist sequences $\alpha = \{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\beta = \{B_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $A_n(z) = \prod_{k=1}^n (z - \alpha_{n,k})$, $B_n(z) = \prod_{k=1}^n (z - \beta_{n,k})$ with $\alpha_{n,k} \in E$, $\beta_{n,k} \in F$, $k=1, \dots, n; n=1, 2, \dots$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |A_n(z) \cdot B_n^{-1}(z)|^{1/n} = d \cdot |u(z)|$$

uniformly in G (on each compact subset of G), where d is a positive constant; if $\beta_{n,k} = \infty$ for some pair (n, k) , then the corresponding factor $1 - z \cdot \beta_{n,k}^{-1}$ is replaced by unity. Various constructions of such sequences α, β may be found, for example, in [1] and [2].

2. We consider a function f holomorphic on E ($f \in \mathcal{H}(E)$). The following construction is contained in [3]. Let (n, m) be an arbitrary

pair of nonnegative integers; we assume $n \geq m$. There exist polynomials p and q , $q \neq 0$, the degrees of which do not exceed n and m , respectively, such that

$$(2) \quad (f \cdot q \cdot B_{n-m} - p) \cdot A_{n+m+1}^{-1} \in \mathcal{H}(E)$$

We define $R_{n,m} = R_{n,m}^{\alpha,\beta}(f) = p \cdot (q \cdot B_{n-m})^{-1}$.

Although p and q are not uniquely determined by (2), for given n and m the construction yields unique rational function $R_{n,m}$ (the (n,m) -generalized Pade approximant for the function f corresponding to the pair (α, β)).

We set

$$R_{n,m} = P_{n,m} \cdot (Q_{n,m} \cdot B_{n-m})^{-1}$$

where $P_{n,m}$ and $Q_{n,m}$ have not a common divisor and $Q_{n,m}$ is monic. If $\deg Q_{n,m} = m$, then (2) holds with $p = P_{n,m}$, $q = Q_{n,m}$ and the rational function $R_{n,m}$ interpolates f at all the points $\alpha_{n+m+1,k}$, $k = 1, \dots, n$.

3. Let $\delta > \rho^{-1}$, and let

$$D_\delta = \{z, \delta < |u(z)|\} \cup E, \quad \partial D_\delta = \Gamma_\delta, \quad G_\delta = D_\delta - E$$

For $\delta \geq 1$, we assume $D_\delta = D$. The domain D_δ will be called canonical (for the geometric situation under consideration).

4. Fix a positive integer m ($m \in \mathbb{N}$). For each function f , holomorphic on E , we define $\mathcal{D}_m = \mathcal{D}_m(f)$ as the largest canonical domain, where f admits a continuation to a function meromorphic in \mathcal{D}_m and having not more than m poles there (the poles are counted with regard to their multiplicities).

5. The following theorem is found in [3]:

THEOREM 1: Let f be holomorphic on E , $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed and (α, β) be given as in (1). Then the sequence $\{R_{n,m}\}$ converges as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to the function f in capacity on each compact subset of \mathcal{D}_m .

Denote by $\nu(m) = \nu_f(m)$ the numbers of the poles of f in \mathcal{D}_m . It

follows from Theorem 1 that

THEOREM 2: If $v(m) = m$, then $R_{n,m} \rightarrow f$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on each compact subset of $D'_m = D_m \setminus \{ \gamma_k \}_{k=1}^m$, where $\gamma_k, k=1, \dots, m$ are the poles of f in D_m and

a) $\deg Q_{n,m} = m$ for all n sufficiently large;

b) the sequence $\{Q_{n,m}\}$ converges as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to $Q(z) = \prod_{k=1}^m (z - \gamma_k)$ with the speed of a geometric progression, according to the relation

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Q_{n,m} - Q\|^{1/n} \leq R^{-1} \cdot \max_{1 \leq k \leq m} |u(\gamma_k)|, \text{ where } \Gamma_R = \partial D_m$$

(the norm is understood in the space of coefficients);

Detailed explanations of these results are to be found in [3].

The following theorem which reflects the preceding assertion for some classes (α, β) has been proved by the author (see [4]):

THEOREM 3: Let $f \in \mathcal{H}(E)$, let α, β be given such that (1) is valid and such that $A_n(z) = \prod_{k=1}^n (z - \alpha_k)$, $B_n(z) = \prod_{k=1}^n (z - \beta_k)$, $\alpha_n \in E$, $\beta_n \in F$, $n=1, 2, \dots$

If there exists a polynomial $Q(z) = \prod_{k=1}^m (z - \gamma_k)$, $\gamma_k \in G$, $k=1, \dots, m$ such that for some $q, q < 1$

(3) $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Q_{n,m} - Q\|^{1/n} \leq q$
 then $D_m \supseteq D_\sigma$, where $\sigma = q^{-1} \max_{1 \leq k \leq m} |u(\gamma_k)|$ and all the points $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$ are poles of f in D_m .

Suppose (α, β) is given such that (1) is valid. We define

$$(4) \quad A_n(z) \cdot [B_n(z)]^{-1} \sim [d \cdot u(z)]^n \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

If there is a function $\lambda(z)$ continuous in G , $\lambda(z) \neq 0$ for $z \in G$, such that

$$A_n(z) \cdot [B_n(z) \cdot d^n \cdot u^n(z)]^{-1} \rightarrow \lambda(z)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on each compact subset of G .

The main result of the present work is the following

THEOREM 4: Let $f \in \mathcal{H}(E)$ and the sequences (α, β) be given such that

$$A_n(z) \cdot [B_n(z)]^{-1} \sim [d \cdot u(z)]^n \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

in the sense of (4). If there exists a polynomial $Q, Q(z) = \prod_{k=1}^m (z - \gamma_k)$, $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m \in G$ such that (3) holds for some $q, q < 1$, then $D_m \supseteq D_\sigma$ with

$$\sigma = q^{-1} \cdot \max_{1 \leq k \leq m} |u(\gamma_k)|.$$

It follows from Theorem 1 that all the poles of f in \mathcal{D}_m can be situated at the points $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$.

&2

In this section we shall produce preliminary lemmas to be used in the proof of the theorem.

Lemma 1: Let $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of complex numbers with $1 \neq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|^{1/n}$. Then there exists a monotone sequence of numbers $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\lambda_n / \lambda_{n+1} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n \lambda_n^{-1}| = 1$.

For each $r, r > 0$ denote by K_r the disk $K_r = \{w, |w| < r\}$, $\partial K_r = C_r$ and for each pair $(r_1, r_2), r_1 < r_2$ - by $D_{r_1 r_2}$ the annulus $\{|w|, r_1 < |w| < r_2\}$. Using lemma 1, we can present each function $\phi(w), \phi \in \mathcal{H}(K_r)$ in the following form: $\phi(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n \lambda_n (w \cdot r^{-1})^n$, where $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\phi_n| = 1$ and $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is the sequence indicated in Lemma 1.

Lemma 2: Let $\phi(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n \lambda_n (w \cdot r^{-1})^n$ be holomorphic in the disk K_r , $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\phi_n| = 1$. Suppose, $\{\psi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of functions, holomorphic in $D_{r_1 r_2}, r_1 < r < r_2$ and let $(\phi \psi_n) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_k^{(n)} (w \cdot r^{-1})^k$. Then, if $\{\psi_n\}$ converges as $n \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on each compact subset of $D_{r_1 r_2}$ to $\psi, \psi \neq 0$ in $D_{r_1 r_2}$, the following estimates are valid:

$$0 < \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\gamma_n^{(n)} \lambda_n^{-1}| < \infty$$

Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 have been proved in [5].

The following lemma which is due to Gončar (not published) is the basis for our later considerations:

Lemma 3: Let $\phi(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n \lambda_n (w \cdot r^{-1})^n$, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\phi_n| = 1$. Let $\{\psi_n(w)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be functions, holomorphic in $D_{r_1 r_2}$, containing C_r , such that $\psi_n(w) \sim w^n$ in $D_{r_1 r_2}$ in the sense of (4). Then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{C_r} \phi(w) \cdot \psi_n^{-1}(w) dw \right|^{1/n} = r^{+1}$$

(the integration on C_r is to be understood as an integration on each circle $C_{r-\epsilon}, \epsilon > 0$, which is "very" close to C_r ; $r_1 < r - \epsilon < r$)

Lemma 3 implies:

Lemma 4: Let the function $\phi(w)$ be holomorphic in the annulus $D_{r_1 r_2}$.

Suppose, the functions $\{\varphi_n(w)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are holomorphic in the annulus D_{r_1, r_2} , where $r_1 < r < r_2$ and $\varphi_n(w) \sim w^n$, $n \rightarrow \infty$, in the sense of (4) (in D_{r_1, r_2}). Then

$$(5) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{C_r} \phi(w) \cdot \varphi_n^{-1}(w) dw \right| = r^{-1}.$$

The proof of this lemma follows from Lemma 3. Indeed, in D_{r_1, r_2} we have

$$\phi(w) = \phi_1(w) + \phi_2(w)$$

where $\phi_1 \in \mathcal{H}(\bar{G} - K_{r_1})$ and $\phi_2 \in \mathcal{H}(K_{r_1})$.

Lemma 3 implies

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{C_r} \phi_2(w) \varphi_n^{-1}(w) dw \right|^{1/n} = r^{-1}$$

Then there exists a sequence $\Lambda, \Delta \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(6) \quad \lim_{n \in \Lambda} \left| \int_{C_r} \phi(w) \varphi_n^{-1}(w) dw - \int_{C_{r_1}} \phi_1(w) \cdot \varphi_n^{-1}(w) dw \right|^{1/n} = r^{-1}$$

On the other hand, for the function ϕ_1 we have the estimates

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{C_{r_1}} \phi_1(w) \cdot \varphi_n^{-1}(w) dw \right|^{1/n} \leq r_2^{-1}.$$

The last inequality and (6) yield that

$$\limsup_{n \in \Delta} \left| \int_{C_r} \phi(w) \varphi_n^{-1}(w) dw \right|^{1/n} \geq r^{-1}$$

But in the case being considered we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{C_r} \phi(w) \cdot \varphi_n^{-1}(w) dw \right|^{1/n} \leq r^{-1}.$$

The last two estimates prove completely Lemma 4.

Let us return to the case discussed in Theorem 4. Denote by $\varphi(w)$ the function which is inverse to the function $w(z) = d.u(z)$ in $D_{d, \delta}^{-1, d}$. We have $\varphi' \cdot w' = 1$. Since the domain G is double connected, the function φ is holomorphic in $D_{d, \delta}^{-1, d}$ and univalent.

Then Lemma 4 gives the following

Corollary: Let $\psi(z)$ be a function, holomorphic in G , $\delta, \delta^{-1} < \delta < 1$. Then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\Gamma_{\delta}} \psi(z) \cdot B_{n-m}(z) \{A_{n+m+1}(z)\}^{-1} \cdot u'(z) dz \right|^{1/n} = (d\delta)^{-1}$$

In this section we shall prove Theorem 4.

It follows from (2) and from the conditions of the theorem that

$$(8) \quad \int_E (P.f.B_{n-m})(z) \cdot [A_{n+m+1}(z)]^{-1} \cdot Q_{n,m}(z) dz = 0$$

for every polynomial P of degree not more than m-1, $P \neq 0$. This gives

$$(9) \quad 0 = \int_E (P.f.u'^{-1})(z) \cdot (Q_{n,m}.B_{n-m})(z) [A_{n+m+1}(z)]^{-1} \cdot u'(z) dz$$

If $\nu(m)=m$, then it follows from Theorem 1 that all the poles of f are situated at the roots of the polynomial $Q(z)$, i.e., at the points $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$. Consequently, $\gamma_k \in D_m$ for $k=1, \dots, m$. Now it is easy to prove that $D_m \supseteq D_\sigma$, where σ is given in the theorem.

Indeed, suppose that $|u(\gamma_1)| \leq \dots \leq |u(\gamma_m)|$ and let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{m_1}$ be the poles of f in the domain $D_{|u(\gamma_m)|}$; $m_1 < m$. We set $F(z) = (f.Q)(z)$ and $F_1(z) = f(z) \cdot (z-\gamma_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (z-\gamma_{m_1})$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be such a number that $f \in \mathcal{H}(D_{|u(\gamma_m)|} - D_{|u(\gamma_m)|-\epsilon})$. Since $F_1 \in \mathcal{H}(D_{|u(\gamma_m)|})$ it follows from (3) and from (8) that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\Gamma_{|u(\gamma_m)|-\epsilon}} F_1(z) \cdot (Q.B_{n-m})(z) [A_{n+m+1}(z)]^{-1} dz \right|^{1/n} < q \cdot (d_{|u(\gamma_m)|-\epsilon})^{-1}$$

This means, because of the arbitrariness of ϵ , that $(F_1.Q) \cdot u'^{-1} \in$

$\mathcal{H}(G_{|u(\gamma_m)|, q^{-1}})$. But $F_1.Q(z) = F(z) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{m_1} (z-\gamma_k)$. Consequently, $(F.u'^{-1}) \in \mathcal{H}(G_{q^{-1}, |u(\gamma_m)|})$. Since f is holomorphic on E and $u^i(z)$ in

G, the function F is holomorphic in the whole domain $D_{|u(\gamma_m)|} \cdot q^{-1}$.

But $F \in \mathcal{H}(D_m)$. Consequently, in this case, when $\nu(m)=m$, the domain

D_m contains D_σ , where σ is given by $\sigma = q^{-1} \cdot (\max |u(\gamma_k)|, k=1, \dots, m)$.

Suppose, now, that $\nu(m) < m$. Let $\gamma_{k_1}, \dots, \gamma_{k_\mu}$ be the poles of f in D_m ; $\mu < m$. We set $F_1(z) = f(z) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\mu} (z-\gamma_{k_i})$. We shall prove that in the conditions of the theorem the function F_1 has a holomorphic continuation in the whole domain D; this will mean, that $D_m = D$.

We set $\delta_0 = \sup\{\delta, F_1 \in \mathcal{H}(D_\delta)\}$. Obviously, $\delta_0 = \sup\{\delta, F_1 \cdot u'^{-1} \in \mathcal{H}(G_\delta)\} = \sup\{\delta, F \cdot u'^{-1} \in \mathcal{H}(G_\delta)\} \rightarrow q^{-1}(G)$.

Suppose that $\delta_0 < 1$. If $Q(z) \neq 0$ for $z \in \Gamma_{\delta_0}$, then

$$\left((Q_{n,m} \cdot B_{n-m})^{-1} \cdot A_{n+m+1} \right) (\varphi(w)) \sim w^n, n \rightarrow \infty$$

in the sense of (4) and Lemma 4 is applicable. This implies

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\Gamma_{\delta_0}} \delta_0 (F_{1,Q_{n,m} \cdot B_{n-m}})(z) \cdot (A_{n+m+1}(z))^{-1} dz \right|^{1/n} = (d\delta_0)^{-1}$$

But the last equality contradicts (8). Consequently, if $\delta_0 < 1$, then there is a zero γ_1 of the polynomial $Q(z)$ such that $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma_{\delta_0}$, $1 \leq l \leq m$. Then Lemma 4 implies that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\Gamma_{\delta_0}} \delta_0 (F_{1,Q \cdot B_{n-m}})(z) \cdot (A_{n-m-1}(z))^{-1} dz \right|^{1/n} = (d\delta_0)^{-1}$$

This is a contradiction to the estimate

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\Gamma_{\delta_0}} \delta_0 (F_{1,Q \cdot B_{n-m}})(z) \cdot (A_{n-m-1}(z))^{-1} dz \right|^{1/n} \leq q(d\delta_0)^{-1}$$

which follows from (8) and from the conditions of the theorem (remember, that $q < 1$). Thus $\delta_0 = 1$ and $\mathcal{D}_m = D$.

We have proved that in the conditions of Theorem 4 either the function f has exactly m poles in \mathcal{D}_m and $\mathcal{D}_m = D_\sigma$ with $\sigma = q^{-1} \cdot \max_{1 \leq k \leq m} |u_k|$, or $\mathcal{D}_m = D$, if the numbers of the poles of f in \mathcal{D}_m is less than m . This completes the proof.

References

- 1, J.L. Walsh, Interpolation and approximation by rational functions in the complex domain, 2nd ed., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I. 1956.
- 2, Thomas Bagby, On interpolation by rational functions, Duke Math. J. 36(1969), 95-104.
- 3, A.A. Gončar, On the convergence of generalized Pade approximants of meromorphic functions, Mat. b. 98(140)(1975), 564-577; English translation in Math. USSR Sb. 27(1975).
- 4, R. Kovaceva, Generalized Pade approximants and meromorphic continuation of functions, Mat. Sb. 109(151)(1979), 365-377; Engl. transl. in Math. USSR Sb. 37(1980).
- 5, T. Kakehashy, The divergence of interpolations I, II, III, Proc.

Japan Acad. of Sci 30(1954), 741-745.

6.A.A.Gončar, Poles of rows of the Pade table and meromorphic continuation of functions, Mat.sb.115(157)(1981),590-613; Engl.transl. in Math.USSR Sb.43(1982).

Institute of Mathematics

Bulgarian Academy of Sciences

1090 Sofia, P.O.Box 373

Bulgaria