

ONE DIAGONAL INTERPOLATION THEOREM AND ITS APPLICATION

Vasil A. Popov

Notations. We shall consider function defined on the interval  $[0,1]$ .  $C^{(k)}$  will be the space of all functions with  $k$ -th derivative continuous in  $[0,1]$ . We set  $f^{(k)} \in L_p$ ,  $p \geq 1$  if  $f^{(k-1)}$  is absolutely continuous and is an integral of  $f^{(k)} \in L_p$ . We set  $W_p^r = \{f : f^{(r)} \in L_p\}$ ;

$$\|f\|_{W_p^r} = \|f^{(r)}\|_{L_p};$$

$$\|g\|_{L_p[0,1]} = \|g\|_p = \left( \int_0^1 (g(x))^p dx \right)^{1/p}; \quad L_\infty = C = C^0.$$

We call the operator  $T$  semi-linear if

$$\|T(f+g)\|_A \leq \|Tf\|_A + \|Tg\|_A; \quad T: B \rightarrow A, \quad A, B -$$

Banach spaces.

Let  $H_n$  be the set of all algebraic polynomials of  $n$ -th degree,  $S_{n,k}$  - the set of all splines of  $k$ -th degree with  $n$  free knots in  $[0,1]$ ,  $R_n$  - the set of all rational functions of  $n$ -th degree. The corresponding best approximations in  $L_p$  are:

$$E_n(f)_{L_p} = \inf \{ \|f-p\|_{L_p} : p \in H_n \}$$

$$E_{n,k}(f)_{L_p} = \inf \{ \|f-s\|_{L_p} : s \in S_{n,k} \}$$

$$R_n(f)_{L_p} = \inf \{ \|f-r\|_{L_p} : r \in R_n \}.$$

1. First of all we should explain what we understand by "diagonal interpolation theorems". The well known Riesz - Thorin interpolation theorem states ( in one of its weak versions, see for example [1], [2] that if we have a linear operator  $T$  and  $T$  maps  $L_{p_i}$  in  $L_{q_i}$ ,  $i = 0,1$  and

$$\|Tf\|_{L_{q_i}} \leq M_i \|f\|_{L_{p_i}}, \quad i = 0,1$$

then  $T$  maps  $L_p$  in  $L_q$  for  $1/p = (1-\theta)/p_0 + \theta/p_1$ ,  
 $1/q = (1-\theta)/q_0 + \theta/q_1$ ,  
 with

$$\|Tf\|_{L_q} \leq M_0^{1-\theta} M_1^\theta \|f\|_p, \quad 0 < \theta < 1.$$

We call this type of theorems ( between two  $L_{p_i}$ ,  $i = 0, 1$  )  
 vertical interpolation theorems.

On the other side there exists another type of theorems -  
 when we consider differentiable functions in a space  $L_p$ . One  
 of these theorems, which is easy to obtain using the K- functional  
 of  $J$ . Peetre, see [4], [5], and is a typical theorem  
 in the theory of approximation, is the following:

If  $T$  is a semi-linear operator such that if  $f \in C^{(k)}$  than

$$\|Tf\|_C \leq M_0 \|f\|_C$$

$$\|Tf\|_C \leq M_k \|f^{(k)}\|_C$$

, then

if  $f \in C^{(r)}$ ,  $0 < r < k$ , we have

$$\|Tf\|_C \leq M_0^{1-r/k} M_k^{r/k} \|f^{(r)}\|_C$$

We call such type of theorems "horisontal" interpolation  
 theorems.

Under "diagonal" interpolation theorems we understand  
 theorems of the type:

If  $T$  is a (semi) linear operator and

$$\|Tf\|_{A_0} \leq M_0 \|f\|_{L_{p_0}}, \quad 1 \leq p_0 \leq \infty$$

$$\|Tf\|_{A_1} \leq M_k \|f^{(k)}\|_{L_{p_1}}, \quad 1 \leq p_1 \leq \infty, \quad p_1 < p_0,$$

then  $\|Tf\|_{A_\theta} \leq c M_0^{1-\theta} M_k^\theta \|f^{(r)}\|_{L_p}$

where  $c$  is an absolute constant,  $\theta = r/k$ ,

$1/p = (1-\theta)/p_0 + \theta/p_1$ ,  $A_0, A_1, A_\theta$  - Banach spaces,  
 ( $A_0, A_1, A_\theta$  can be equal).

Certainly some "diagonal" theorems are well-known. Let us remember only the Calderon's theorem [6] :

$$(1) \quad (W_{p_0}^{s_0}, W_{p_1}^{s_1})_{[\theta]} = W_p^s, \quad 1 < p_0, p_1 < \infty,$$

$$s = (1-\theta)s_0 + \theta s_1, \quad 1/p = (1-\theta)/p_0 + \theta/p_1, \quad 0 < \theta < 1,$$

$(A, B)_{[\theta]}$  denotes the interpolation space between A and B obtained by means of the complex method of interpolation using parameter  $\lambda$  ( see [2], [3] ).

Let us remark that here  $1 < p_0 < \infty, 1 < p_1 < \infty$  and this restriction appears in the proof because of Michlin's theorem for multipliers.

But the case  $p_0 = \infty, p_1 \geq 1$  is one of the most interesting cases, at least in approximation theory ( I suppose also in applications in the theory and numerical methods for differential equations). For example from the estimations

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} E_n(f)_p &\leq M_0 \|f\|_p \\ E_n(f)_p &\leq M_k \|f^{(k)}\|_p \end{aligned}$$

it follows

$$(3) \quad E_n(f)_p \leq M_0^{1-r/k} M_k^{r/k} \|f^{(r)}\|_p,$$

$1 \leq p \leq \infty, 0 < r < k, E_n(f)_p$  - an operator of the type of best approximation in  $L_p$ .

The estimation (3) is a typical "horizontal" interpolation theorem in the theory of approximation. Usual  $M_0 = 1, M_k = C(k)n^{-k}$  and then (3) gives

$$E_n(f)_p \leq C(r, k) n^{-r} \|f^{(r)}\|_p$$

$C(r, k)$  - a constant, depending only on r and k.

Estimations of the type (2) are typical for the classical polynomial approximations ( with algebraic or triginometrical polynomials). But recently the theory of spline and rational evolved in a considerable extent. It is known that the right estimations in these theories are not of the type (2).

For example for best approximation by polygons with free knots we have [7] :

$$E_{n,1}(f)_C \leq \|f\|_C; \quad E_{n,1}(f)_C \leq C'n^{-1} \|f'\|_{L_1},$$

where  $C'$  is an absolute constant.

For rational approximations we have the following estimations:

$$(4) \quad R_n(f)_C \leq \|f\|_C \quad (\text{the trivial estimation})$$

$$(5) \quad R_n(f)_C \leq C(p) n^{-1} \|f'\|_p, \quad p > 1,$$

$C(p)$  constant, depending only on  $p$  (see Y.A.Brudnii [10], V.A.Popov [9]),

$$(6) \quad R_n(f)_C \leq C'' n^{-2} \|f''\|_p, \quad p \geq 1,$$

$C''$  = an absolute constant [8].

The proofs of (5) and (6) are similar, but different - from the proof of (6) it does not follow the proof of (5).

My interest in "diagonal interpolation theorems" came with the question: if (5) (at least for  $p \geq 2$ ) follows from (6) by means of general considerations, not by using actually rational function's arguments.

The answer is positive (for (5) with  $p \geq 2$ ) and it follows from the results below.

2, we shall need the following lemma:

Lemma 1. Let the function  $f$  be such that  $f' \in L_p, p \geq 1$ . Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a function  $f_\varepsilon$  such that

$$\|f - f_\varepsilon\|_C \leq \varepsilon \|f'\|_{L_p}$$

$$\|f_\varepsilon^{(k)}\|_{L_q} \leq C(k) \varepsilon^{1-k} \|f'\|_{L_p},$$

where  $q = p/k$  and the constant  $C(k)$  depends only on  $k$ .

Proof. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Obviously we may assume that  $\|f'\|_p = 1$ . Let us divide the interval  $[0,1]$  into  $m$  parts by means of the points  $0 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_m = 1$  constructed by induction as follows:

Let  $x_0 = 0$ . Then  $x_1$  is the first  $x > x_0$  such that  $|f(x_1) - f(x_0)| = \varepsilon$ . Then  $|f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon$  for  $x \in [x_0, x_1]$ . If such  $x_1$  does not exist, we set  $x_1 = 1$ . Let  $x_i$  be given. Then  $x_{i+1}$  is the first  $x > x_i$  such that  $|f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_i)| = \varepsilon$ . Then  $|f(x) - f(x_i)| < \varepsilon$  for  $x \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]$ . If such  $x_{i+1}$  does not exist, we set  $x_{i+1} = 1$ . Since

$f' \in L_p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ ,  $f$  is absolutely continuous (see Notations) and after finite number of steps,  $m$  steps, we shall have  $x_m = 1$ . So we have points  $x_i$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, m$ ,  $0 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_m = 1$ ; with the properties:

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})| &= \varepsilon, \quad i = 1, \dots, m-1; \\ |f(x) - f(x_i)| &\leq \varepsilon, \quad i = 0, \dots, m-1; \\ |f(x_m) - f(x_{m-1})| &\leq \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate now  $\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} h_i^{1-p}$ ,  $p \geq 1$ ,  $h_i = x_i - x_{i-1}$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, m-1$ .

We have  $(1/p + 1/q = 1)$ , using Holder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &= |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})| = \left| \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} f'(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq (x_i - x_{i-1})^{1/q} \left( \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} |f'(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

or 
$$\varepsilon^p h_i^{-p/q} \leq \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} |f'(t)|^p dt$$

From here we obtain:

$$(8) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \varepsilon^p h_i^{-p/q} \leq \int_0^{x_{m-1}} |f'(t)|^p dt \leq \|f'\|_p^p = 1$$

(Since we have assumed that  $\|f'\|_p = 1$ )

(8): Since  $-p/q = -(1 - 1/p) = 1 - p$  we obtain from

$$\varepsilon^p \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} h_i^{1-p} \leq 1, \quad \text{or}$$

$$(9) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} h_i^{1-p} \leq \varepsilon^{-p}.$$

Let us construct now the function  $f_\varepsilon$ . From the theory of the spline functions it is well-known that for every points  $x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i-1} < x_i$ , there exists a spline of  $k$ -th degree  $S_k(x_{i-1}, x_i; x)$  with equidistant

knots in the interval  $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$  with the properties:

$$(10) \quad S_k(x_{i-1}, x_i; x) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \leq x_{i-1}$$

$$S_k(x_{i-1}, x_i; x) = 1 \quad \text{for } x \geq x_i$$

$0 \leq S_k(x) \leq 1$ ;  $|S_k^{(k)}(x_{i-1}, x_i; x)| \leq C(k) h_i^{-k}$  for all  $x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)$  except the knots of the spline (I.J.Schoenberg [11], see also [12]).

Now we set:

$$f_\varepsilon(x) = f(x_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})) S_k(x_{i-1}, x_i; x)$$

From (10) it follows that  $f_\varepsilon(x_i) = f(x_i)$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, m-1$ ,

$$f(x) = f(x_{m-1}) \quad \text{for } x_{m-1} \leq x \leq x_m = 1.$$

On the other hand from the construction of the points  $x_i$ , (7) and (10) it follows, that we have

$$(11) \quad \|f - f_\varepsilon\|_C \leq \varepsilon$$

and for all  $x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)$ , except the knots of the spline  $S_k(x_{i-1}, x_i; x)$  we have

$$(12) \quad |f_\varepsilon^{(k)}(x)| \leq \varepsilon C(k) h_i^{-k}$$

Let us calculate now  $\|f_\varepsilon^{(k)}\|_{L^q}$ . Using (12) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_\varepsilon^{(k)}\|_q &= \left( \int_0^1 |f_\varepsilon^{(k)}(x)|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \left( \frac{C(k)\varepsilon}{h_i^k} \right)^q h_i \right)^{1/q} \\ &= C(k) \varepsilon \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} 1/h_i^{kq-1} \right)^{1/q} \end{aligned}$$

If we want to use the estimation (9) we must set  $q = p/k$  and so we obtain:

$$\|f_\varepsilon^{(k)}\|_{p/k} \leq C(k) \varepsilon^{1-p/q} = C(k) \varepsilon^{1-k}$$

The lemma 1 is proved.

Let us remark, that in the calculation of  $\|f_\varepsilon^{(k)}\|_q$  we have not use that  $\|f_\varepsilon^{(k)}\|_q$  is a norm, so we have lemma 1 also for  $q = p/k < 1$ .

$\overset{\circ}{W}_q^k$ 

3. Let us consider the K-functional of J. Peetre between  $C$  and  
(see [4], [5]);

$$K(C, \overset{\circ}{W}_q^k; f; t) = \inf_{f=f_1+f_2} \{ \|f_1\|_C + t \|f_2^{(k)}\|_q \}$$

**Theorem 1.** Let  $f$  be such that  $f' \in L_p$ ,  $p \geq 1$

Then

$$K(C, \overset{\circ}{W}_q^k; f, t) \leq c'(k) t^{1/k} \|f'\|_p, \quad q = p/k,$$

where  $c'(k)$  is a constant depending only on  $k$ .

**Proof.** Using lemma 1 we have for  $q = p/k$ :

$$\begin{aligned} K(C, \overset{\circ}{W}_q^k; f, t) &\leq \|f - f_\varepsilon\|_C + t \|f_\varepsilon^{(k)}\|_q \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|f'\|_p + t c(k) \varepsilon^{1-k} \|f'\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

If we set  $\varepsilon = t^{1/k}$  we obtain

$$K(C, \overset{\circ}{W}_q^k; f, t) \leq (1 + c(k)) t^{1/k} \|f'\|_p$$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $T$  be a (semi) linear operator such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf\|_A &\leq M_0 \|f\|_C \\ \|Tf\|_A &\leq M_k \|f^{(k)}\|_q \end{aligned}$$

where  $A$  is a Banach space (usually  $A = \text{Cor } L_p$ ).

If  $f' \in L_p$  then

$$\|Tf\|_A \leq c''(k) M_0^{1-1/k} M_k^{1/k} \|f'\|_p, \quad p = kq,$$

where  $c''(k)$  is a constant depending only on  $k$ .

**Proof.** We have, using lemma 1:

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf\|_A &\leq \|T(f - f_\varepsilon)\|_A + \|Tf_\varepsilon\|_A \\ &\leq M_0 \varepsilon \|f'\|_p + M_k c(k) \varepsilon^{1-k} \|f'\|_p \end{aligned}$$

If we set  $\varepsilon = M_0^{-1/k} M_k^{1/k}$  we obtain the statement of the theorem.

Now we shall show how (5) for  $p \geq 2$  follows from (6)

We have, using lemma 1, if  $f' \in L_p$ ;  $k=2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} R_n(f)_C &\leq \|f - f_\varepsilon\|_C + R_n(f_\varepsilon)_C \leq \varepsilon \|f'\|_p + c'' n^{-2} \|f_\varepsilon''\|_{p/2} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|f'\|_p + c'' n^{-2} c(k) \varepsilon^{-1} \|f'\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

If we set  $\varepsilon = n^{-1}$ , we obtain (5) for  $p \geq 2$

REMARKS. 1. Using an analogue method it is possible to obtain that if  $f^{(r)} \in L_p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , then

$$K(C, W_q^{\circ k}; f, t) = O(t^{r/k}), \quad q = p r/k.$$

2. A natural question what happens if we consider fractional derivatives we shall consider later.

3. In theorems 1,2  $q$  can be  $< 1$ . But we don't know some operators of the type of best approximation for which we have an estimation of the type  $\|Tf\|_A \leq M_K \|f^{(k)}\|_q$ ,  $q < 1$ . Usually for  $p < 1$  we must use another norms (for example Besov's norms, see [10]).

### REFERENCES

1. A.Zigmund. Trigonometrical series.v.I,v.II,Moscow,"Mir", 1965, 1968 (in Russian).
2. J.Bergh,J.Lofstrom. Interpolation spaces.An Introduction.Springer-Verlag, 1976.
3. H.Triebel. Interpolation Theory, Fñction Spaces, Differential Operators. VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften,Berlin 1978.
4. J.Peetre. A theory of interpolation of normed spaces.Lecture notes, 1963 (Notas de matematica , 39, 1968, 1-86.)
5. J.Peetre. Espaces intermediaires et la theorie constructive des fonctions. C.K.Acad.Sci.Paris, 256, 1963,5455.
6. A.P.Calderon. Intermediate spaces and interpolation, the complex method. Studia Math. 24, 1964,113-190.
7. V.A.Popov. On approximation of absolutely continuous functions by splines, C.R.Acad.Bulg.Sci. 28,1975,1299-1301 (in Russian).
8. V.A.Popov. Uniform rational approximation of the class  $V_r$  and its applications, Acta Math.Acad.Sci. Hung.,29,1977,119-129.
9. V.A.Popov. On the connection between rational uniform approximation and polynomial  $L_p$  approximation of functions. Quantitative Approximation, Academic Press, New York,N.Y.,267-277.
10. Yk.A.Brudnyi. Rational approximation and exotic Lipschiz spaces Quantitative Approximation,Academic Press,New York,N.Y. 25-50.

11. I.J.Schoenberg. Cardinal Spline Interpolation, CBMS 12,SIAM, Philadelphia.
12. G.Freud,V.A.Fopov. Some questions connected with spline and polynomial approximation. Studia Sci.Math.Hung. 5,1970, 161-171 (in Russian).

Institute of Math. of Bulg.Acad. of Sci.  
1090 Sofia,F.O.Box 373  
Bulgaria