

APPROXIMATION AND INTERPOLATION  
 BY TRANSCENDENTAL POLYNOMIALS

Józef Siciak (Kraków)

1. Introduction. The aim of the paper is to prove the following two theorems. They have already been obtained in [3] by the same method. However the author hopes that their proofs presented here are more perspicuous than the former ones.

Let

$$(1) \quad h(z) = c_0 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

be a transcendental entire function. Let  $E$  be a compact subset of the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $\mathbb{C} - E$  is connected.

THEOREM 1. For every function  $f$  holomorphic in a neighborhood of  $E$  there exists a sequence of polynomials of two complex variables  $\{P_n(z, w)\}$  such that  $\deg P_n \leq n$  and

$$(2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sup_{z \in E} |f(z) - P_n(z, h(z))| \right)^{1/n} = 0.$$

It is known [4] that if the logarithmic capacity of  $E$  vanishes then for every function  $f$  holomorphic in a neighborhood of  $E$  there exists a sequence of polynomials of a complex variable  $\{p_n\}$  such that  $\deg p_n \leq n$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sup_{z \in E} |f(z) - p_n(z)| \right)^{1/n} = 0.$$

It follows that Theorem 1 is interesting only if the capacity of  $E$  is positive. Put

$$\rho_n(f, E) := \inf \{ \|f - p\|_E; p(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_n z^n \}$$

and let  $T_n$  be a polynomial of degree  $\leq n$  such that  $\rho_n(f, E) = \|f - T_n\|_E$ . It is known [4] that if  $\text{cap } E > 0$ , then

$$(3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\rho_n(f, E)} = 0,$$

if and only if there exists an entire function  $\tilde{f}$  with  $\tilde{f} = f$  on  $E$ . Therefore, if  $\text{cap } E > 0$  and if  $f$  is a holomorphic function in a neighborhood of  $E$  which cannot be extended to an entire function, then  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\|f - T_n\|_E} > 0$ , while the best approximation by "transcendental" polynomials  $P_n(z, h(z))$  satisfies (2).

THEOREM 2. Let  $h$  be a transcendental entire function on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $E$  be an infinite subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  with connected complement  $\mathbb{C}-E$ . Then for every  $n \geq 1$  there exists  $m_n := \binom{n+2}{2}$  points  $z_{n1}, \dots, z_{nm_n}$  in  $E$  such that for every function  $f$  holomorphic in a neighborhood of  $E$  there exists a unique polynomial  $L_n(z, w)$  of two complex variables with the following properties

- (i)  $\deg L_n \leq n$  and  $L_n(z_{nj}, h(z_{nj})) = f(z_{nj})$  ( $j=1, \dots, m_n$ ),  
(ii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sup_{z \in E} |f(z) - L_n(z, h(z))| \right)^{1/n} = 0$ .

The points  $z_{nj} \in E$  ( $j=1, \dots, m_n$ ) and the interpolating polynomials  $L_n(z, w)$  satisfying (i) and (ii) can be constructed as follows.

Let  $e_1, e_2, \dots$  be a sequence composed of all monomials  $z^k w^l$  of two complex variables  $(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2$  such that  $\deg e_n \leq \deg e_{n+1}$ , e.g.

$$\{e_n\} = \{1, z, w, z^2, zw, w^2, \dots, z^k, z^{k-1}w, \dots, w^k, \dots\},$$

so that  $e_1(z, w) = 1$ ,  $e_2(z, w) = z$ ,  $e_3(z, w) = w$ , etc.

Given any system of  $n$  points  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , we define the generalized Vandermondian  $V$  of order  $n$  by

$$(4) \quad V(p_1, \dots, p_n) := \det [e_i(p_j)]_{i,j=1, \dots, n}.$$

A system of points  $q_{n1}, \dots, q_{nm_n}$  (or shortly  $q_1, \dots, q_n$ ) of a compact subset  $K$  of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  is called a system of the extremal points of  $K$  with respect to  $V$  of order  $n$ , if

$$(5) \quad |V(q_1, \dots, q_n)| = \max \{ |V(p_1, \dots, p_n)| ; (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in K^n \}.$$

Put  $K := \{(z, h(z)) ; z \in E\}$  and  $z_{nj} := \mathcal{P}(q_j)$  ( $j=1, \dots, m_n$ ), where  $q_1, \dots, q_{m_n}$  is a system of the extremal points of  $K$  with respect to  $V$  of order  $m_n$ , and  $\mathcal{P}$  denotes the natural projection of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  onto the first coordinate plane. Since  $E$  is infinite and  $h$  is transcendental, one can easily show that

$$V(q_1, \dots, q_{m_n}) \neq 0, \quad n \geq 1.$$

The number of monomials  $z^k w^l$  with  $k+l \leq n$  is equal  $m_n$ . Therefore by the Cramer's formula the function  $L_n(z, w) = L_n(z, w; f)$  defined by

$$(6) \quad L_n(z, w; f) := \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} f(z_{nj}) L_n^{(j)}(z, w),$$

where

$$(7) \quad L_n^{(j)}(z,w) := \frac{V(q_1, \dots, q_{j-1}, p, q_{j+1}, \dots, q_{m_n})}{V(q_1, \dots, q_{m_n})}, \quad p := (z,w) \in \mathbb{C}^2,$$

is the unique polynomial satisfying (i).

The definition of the extremal points  $q_1, \dots, q_{m_n}$  of  $K$  implies that

$$|L_n^{(j)}(z,w)| \leq 1 \quad \text{on } K \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m_n.$$

If  $P_n(z,w)$  is a polynomial of degree  $\leq n$ , then

$$f(z) - L_n(z,w;f) = f(z) - P_n(z,w) + L_n(z,w;P_n - f).$$

Thus

$$\sup_{z \in E} |f(z) - L_n(z, h(z); f)| \leq (1 + m_n) \sup_{z \in E} |f(z) - P_n(z, h(z))|.$$

Hence, if  $P_n$  are polynomials satisfying (2), we see that the interpolating polynomials  $L_n(z,w) := L_n(z,w;f)$  satisfy (i) and (ii).

The proof of Theorem 1 is obtained in the next section by the method of the extremal function  $\Phi_K$ . The function  $\Phi_K$  is defined for every compact subset of  $\mathbb{C}^N$  ( $N > 1$ ) by the formula

$$(8) \quad \Phi_K(z) := \sup_{n \geq 1} (\sup \{ |P(z)|; P(z) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq n} c_\alpha z^\alpha, \|P\|_K = 1 \})^{1/n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^N,$$

where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$  and  $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_N$  (see [2]).

2. Proof of Theorem 2. We need the following Lemmas.

LEMMA 1 (Lemma 8.4 in [2]). Let  $P_j$  ( $j=1, \dots, m$ ) be a polynomial of  $N$  complex variables with  $\deg P_j \leq d$ . Given  $R > 1$ , put

$$(9) \quad D_s := \{ \varphi(z) < R^s \}, \quad 0 < s \leq 1,$$

where  $\varphi := (\sup_{1 \leq j \leq m} |P_j|)^{1/d}$ . If the polynomial polyhedron  $D := D_1$  is bounded, then for every function  $f$  holomorphic in  $D$

$$(10) \quad \rho(f, \bar{D}_s) \leq R^{s-1} \quad \text{for all } s \text{ with } 0 < s < 1,$$

where  $\rho(f, \bar{D}_s) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\rho_n(f, \bar{D}_s)}$  with  $\rho_n(f, \bar{D}_s) := \inf \{ \|f - P\|_{\bar{D}_s}; \deg P \leq n \}$ .

LEMMA 2. If  $K := \{ (z, h(z)); z \in E \}$ , then

$$(11) \quad \Phi_K(z,w) = +\infty \quad \text{in } \mathbb{C}^2 - K.$$

*P r o o f.* Put  $A := \{ (z, h(z)); z \in \mathbb{C} \}$  and let  $(a,b)$  be a fixed point of  $\mathbb{C}^2 - A$ . Put  $P_n(z,w) := w - h_n(z)$ , where  $h_n(z) = c_0 + c_1 z + \dots + c_n z^n$  is the  $n$ -th partial sum of the series (1).

Then  $\|P_n\|_K^{1/n} = \|h - h_n\|_E^{1/n} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence

$$|P_n(z,w)|^{1/n} = \|P_n\|_K^{1/n} \Phi_K(z,w) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{C}^2;$$

in particular

$$|b - h_n(a)|^{1/n} = |P_n(a,b)|^{1/n} \leq \|P_n\|_K^{1/n} \Phi_K(a,b), \quad n \geq 1.$$

In the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$1 \leq 0 \cdot \Phi_K(a,b),$$

which implies that  $\Phi_K(a,b) = +\infty$ .

Now one can prove (for details see the proof of Proposition 3.1 in [3]) that the function  $u(z) := \log \Phi_K(z, h(z))$  is in  $\mathbb{C}-E$  locally a limit of an increasing sequence of harmonic functions. Hence by the Harnack's principle  $u$  is either harmonic or  $u \equiv +\infty$  in  $\mathbb{C}-E$ . The function  $h$  being transcendental we get by Sadullaev [1] that  $u$  is not locally bounded in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Therefore  $u = +\infty$  in  $\mathbb{C}-E$  or equivalently  $\Phi_K = +\infty$  in  $\mathbb{C}^2-A$ .

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 1. Namely, since  $f$  is holomorphic in a neighborhood of  $E$ , we can assume that the function  $\tilde{f}(z,w) := f(z)$  is holomorphic in a bounded open neighborhood  $\Omega$  of  $K$ . By Lemma 2, given any fixed  $R > 1$ , we can find a positive integer  $d$  and a finite number of polynomials  $P_j(z,w)$  ( $j=1, \dots, n$ ) of degree  $\leq d$  such that

$$K \subset D_s \subset \Omega, \quad 0 < s \leq 1,$$

where  $D_s$  is the polynomial polyhedron defined by the formula (9).

By Lemma 1

$$\rho(f, K) \leq \rho(f, D_s) \leq R^{s-1} \quad \text{for all } s \text{ with } 0 < s < 1,$$

which implies that  $\rho(f, K) \leq 1/R$ . By the arbitrariness of  $R$  we get  $\rho(f, K) = 0$ , which is equivalent to the claim of Theorem 1.

#### REFERENCES

- [1], A.Sadullaev, An estimate of polynomials on analytic sets, *Izv.Akad.Nauk SSSR* 46(3)(1982), 584-534 (Russian).
- [2], J.Siciak, Extremal plurisubharmonic functions in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , *Ann. Pol. Math.* 39(1981), 175-211.
- [3], -----, Approximation by transcendental polynomials, *ibidem* 46(1985) (to appear).
- [4], J.L.Walsh, Interpolation and approximation by rational functions, Boston 1960.

Jagellonian University  
Institute of Mathematics  
Reymonta 4  
30-059 Kraków, Poland