## MODULI OF SMOOTHNESS ASSOCIATED WITH CHEBYSHEV SYSTEMS AND APPROXIMATION BY L-SPLINES

## Zygmunt Wronicz

1. Introduction. The following property of the modulus of smoothness of order n  $\omega_n(f,h)$  is known:  $\omega_n(P_{n-1},h)=0$  for any polynomial  $P_{n-1}$  of degree at most n-1. Let  $U=\{u_i\}_{i=0}^{n-1}$  be an extended complete Chebyshev system (ECT-system) in the interval I=[0,1] and let  $L=D^n+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}a_i(t)D^i$  be a linear differential operator with the null space  $N_L$  which is a linear span over the system U. The purpose of this paper is to generalize the modulus of smoothness of order n to a modulus of smoothness w.r.t. the operator L (the system U)  $\omega_L(f,h)$  such that  $\omega_L(u,h)=0$  for any  $u\in N_L$ . It appears that the majority of properties of the moduli  $\omega_n(f,h)$  hold for the moduli  $\omega_L(f,h)$ . Further we shall generalize the H.Whitney theorem [11], the Freud - Popov lemma [3] and then we shall obtain theorems of Jackson type for the approximation by L-splines.

2. Extended complete Chebyshev systems and divided differences (cf.[4,12]).

The system  $U = \{u_i\}_{i=0}^{n-1}, u_i \in C^n(I) \text{ is called an ECT-system in I if for any points } 0 \le t_0 \le t_1 \le \dots \le t_k \le 1, k = 0, \dots, n-1$ 

$$D\begin{pmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_k \\ t_0, \dots, t_k \end{pmatrix} = \det \left[ D^{d_j} u_i(t_j) \right]_{i,j=0}^k > 0,$$

where  $d_j = \max \{1: t_j = t_{j-1} = \dots = t_{j-1} \}$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, k$ , and D is the differentiation operator.

An ECT-system U admits the representation

(1) 
$$u_{0}(t) = w_{0}(t)$$

$$u_{1}(t) = w_{0}(t) \int_{0}^{t} w_{1}(\tau_{1}) \int_{0}^{t} w_{2}(\tau_{2}) \dots \int_{0}^{t} w_{1}(\tau_{1}) d\tau_{1} \dots d\tau_{1},$$

 $i=1,\ldots,n-1$ , where  $w_i\in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}(I)$ ,  $w_i>0$  for  $t\in I$ ,  $i=0,\ldots,n-1$ . The adjoint system  $V=\left\{v_i\right\}_{i=0}^{n-1}$  is defined as follows:

(2) 
$$v_{0}(t) = 1$$
  $\tau_{0}(t) = 1$   $\tau_{1}(t) = \int_{0}^{\infty} w_{n-1}(\tau_{1}) \int_{0}^{\infty} w_{n-2}(\tau_{2}) \dots \int_{0}^{\infty} w_{n-1}(\tau_{1}) d\tau_{1} \dots d\tau_{1}$ 

i = 1, ..., n-1.

Define  $D_{j}f(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{f(t)}{w_{j}(t)}$ ,  $D_{j}^{*}f(t) = \frac{1}{w_{j}(t)} \frac{d}{dt}f(t)$ , j = 0, ..., n-1,  $Lf = D_{n-1}...D_{0}f$  and  $L^{*}f = D_{n-1}^{*}...D_{n-1}^{*}f$ .

The systems (1) and (2) span the null spaces of the differential operators L and L\* respectively.

Let  $\Delta = \{0 = t_0 \leqslant t_1 \leqslant ... \leqslant t_N = 1\} = \{0 = s_0 \leqslant s_1 \leqslant ... \leqslant s_M = 1\},$ 

where  $t_0, \dots, t_N = \overbrace{s_0, \dots, s_0}^{\alpha_0}, \dots, \overbrace{s_M, \dots, s_M}^{\alpha_M}$  and  $\alpha_j$  is the multiplicity of the point  $s_j$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, M$ ,  $\sum_{j=0}^{M} \alpha_j = N+1$ .

A function s is called an L-spline w.r.t. the partition A if

(a) Ls = 0 in the intervals  $(s_{j-1}, s_j)$ , j = 1, ..., M,

(b) 
$$\exists_{\varepsilon>0}$$
:  $s \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1-\alpha_j}(s_j-\varepsilon,s_j+\varepsilon)$ ,  $j=1,\ldots,M-1$ .

We denote the set of these functions by  $S^{L}_{\Lambda}(I)$ .

We define the <u>divided difference</u> of a function f at the points  $t_0 \leqslant \dots \leqslant t_n$ ,  $t_0 \leqslant t_n$  w.r.t. the operator L (the system U) by

$$[t_{o},...,t_{n};f]_{L} = \frac{D\begin{pmatrix} u_{o},...,u_{n-1},f\\t_{o},...,t_{n-1},t_{n}\end{pmatrix}}{D\begin{pmatrix} u_{o},...,u_{n-1},t_{n}\\t_{o},...,t_{n-1},t_{n}\end{pmatrix}},$$

where  $u_n$  is any function satisfying the equation Lu = 1.

We may put  $w_n = 1$  and define  $u_n$  by (1) (see [7,8,12]). It follows from the definition that the divided difference does not depend on the choice of a basis of the space  $N_T$ .

Let  $M_i$  be the i<sup>th</sup> L<sup>\*</sup>B-spline (basic spline w.r.t. the system V and the partition  $\Delta$ ) i.e. the function satisfying the following conditions: 1°  $M_i \in S_{\Delta}^{L^*}(I)$ , 2° supp  $M_i = [t_i, ..., t_{i+n}]$ ,  $M_i(t)dt = 1$  (see [7,8,12]). Then

(3)  $[t_0, \dots, t_n; f]_L = \int_{t_n}^{t_n} Lf(t)M_0(t)dt.$ 

Applying this equality we may prove the following

Theorem 1. (see [12]) Let 
$$\Delta = \{0 \le t_0 \le \dots \le t_n \le 1\}$$
 and  $\Delta' = \{0 \le t_0' \le \dots \le t_n' \le 1\}$  and let  $f \in \mathcal{O}^n(I)$ . Then there exists

$$\lim_{\substack{t_i' \to t_i \\ i = 0, \dots, n}} [t_0', \dots, t_n'; f]_L = [t_0, \dots, t_n; f]_L.$$

3. Interpolation by generalized polynomials. Let  $f \in C(I)$  and  $0 \le t_0 \le t_1 \le t_0 \le t_$ 

(4) 
$$P_{n-1}(t) = -\frac{D\begin{pmatrix} g, u_0, \dots, u_{n-1} \\ t, t_0, \dots, t_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}}{D\begin{pmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_{n-1} \\ t_0, \dots, t_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-4} f(t_j) W_j(t),$$

where g is any function such that g(t) = 0,  $g(t_j) = f(t_j)$ , j = 0,..., n-1 and  $W_j$  is a polynomial w.r.t. the system U satisfying the following conditions:  $W_j(t_i) = \delta_{ij}$ , i,j = 0,...,n-1.
Further

$$f(t) - F_{n-1}(t) = \frac{D\begin{pmatrix} f, u_0, \dots, u_{n-1} \\ t, t_0, \dots, t_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}}{D\begin{pmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_{n-1} \\ t_0, \dots, t_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}} = \frac{D\begin{pmatrix} f, u_0, \dots, u_{n-1} \\ t_0, \dots, t_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}}{D\begin{pmatrix} u_1, u_0, \dots, u_{n-1} \\ t_1, t_0, \dots, t_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}} = [t, t_0, \dots, t_{n-1}; f]_L, W(t)$$

where W is a relymental way to the greater (v. ..., v. ..., v. ...)

where W is a polynomial w.r.t. the system  $\{u_0, \ldots, u_n\}$  equal to 0 at the points  $t_j$ ,  $j=0,\ldots,n-1$  such that LW=1 and  $u_n$  is any function satisfying the equation Lu=1.

Hence by (3)  

$$f(t) - P_{n-1}(t) = W(t) \int_{0}^{t} Lf(x)M(x)dx$$
,

where M is the L\*B-spline defined for the points t,t,...,t\_{n-1}. Let  $\widetilde{W}$  be a polynomial w.r.t. the system  $\{t^i\}_{i=0}^n$  such that  $D^n\widetilde{W}=1$  and  $W(t_j)=0$ ,  $j=6,\ldots,n-1$ . Put  $\{x_0,\ldots,x_n\}=\{t,t_0,\ldots,t_{n-1}\}$ ,  $x_0 < x_1 < \ldots < x_n$ . Hence by (5)  $W(t)=\frac{L}{M}$  and  $L=\det \left[u_i(x_j)\right]_{i,j=0}^n$ . We may assume that  $u_0=1$ . Further

$$u_{\underline{i}}(x_{\underline{j}}) = \int_{0}^{x_{\underline{i}}} w_{1}(\tau_{1}) \int_{0}^{\tau_{4}} w_{2}(\tau_{2}) \dots \int_{0}^{\tau_{k}} w_{\underline{i}}(\tau_{\underline{i}}) d\tau_{\underline{i}} \dots d\tau_{1}.$$

Substracting the j<sup>th</sup> column from its successor, afterward expanding about the first yow and applying properties of determinants we obtain

$$L = \int_{0}^{w_{1}} w_{1}(y_{1}) \cdots \int_{0}^{w_{1}} w_{1}(y_{n}) \det \begin{bmatrix} a_{ij} \end{bmatrix}_{i,j=1}^{n-1} dy_{1} \cdots dy_{n} , \text{ where } a_{1j} = 1,$$

$$a_{ij} = \int_{0}^{w_{2}} w_{2}(\tau_{2}) \int_{0}^{w_{3}} w_{3}(\tau_{3}) \cdots \int_{0}^{w_{i}} w_{1}(\tau_{i}) d\tau_{1} \cdots d\tau_{2} , i = 2, \ldots, n, j = 1, \ldots, n. \text{ Let } L = \det \begin{bmatrix} t_{ij} \end{bmatrix}_{i,j=0}^{n} \text{ and } \widetilde{W} = \frac{\widetilde{L}}{M}. \text{ For the system } \{t_{ij} \}_{i=0}^{n},$$

$$\widetilde{W}_{0} = 1, \ \widetilde{W}_{i} = i, \ i \geqslant 1. \text{ Because } w_{i} \in C(I) \text{ and } w_{i} \geqslant 0 \text{ then there exist}$$

$$\text{positive constants } c_{i} \text{ and } d_{i} \text{ such that } c_{i}\widetilde{W}_{i} \leqslant w_{i} \leqslant d_{i}\widetilde{W}_{i}. \text{ Applying this inequality we prove by induction that } c_{i}\widetilde{L} \leqslant L \leqslant d_{i}\widetilde{L}, \text{ where } c_{i} = 1, \ldots, n \end{cases}$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}^{n+1-j}, \ d' = \prod_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{n+1-j}. \text{ Estimating M analogously, we obtain}$$

Lemma 1. There exist positive constants c and d depending only on the system U such that

(6)  $c\widetilde{w}(t)| \leq |w(t)| \leq d\widetilde{w}(t)|$ .

Hence we obtain

Theorem 2. Let  $P_{n-1}$  be a polynomial w.r.t. the system U interpolating a given function  $f \in C^n(I)$  at the points  $t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{n-1}$ . Then

 $|f(t) - P_{n-1}(t)| \leqslant C_U \| Lf\|_{\bullet} |t - t_0| \cdot \dots \cdot |t - t_{n-1}|, \ t \in I,$  where  $C_U$  is a constant depending only on the system U.

We may write (4) in the following form:

$$P_{n-1}(t) = a_0 u_0(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-4} a_j \frac{D\begin{pmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_{j-1}, u_j \\ t_0, \dots, t_{j-1}, t_j \end{pmatrix}}{D\begin{pmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_{j-1}, u_j \\ t_0, \dots, t_{j-1} \end{pmatrix}}.$$

Put

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_j \\ t_0, \dots, t_j \end{bmatrix} f = \frac{D \begin{pmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_{j-1}, f \\ t_0, \dots, t_{j-1}, t_j \end{pmatrix}}{D \begin{pmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_j \\ t_0, \dots, t_j \end{pmatrix}}, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Hence

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_j \\ t_0, \dots, t_j \end{bmatrix} f = \begin{bmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_j \\ t_0, \dots, t_j \end{bmatrix} P_{n-1} = a_j \begin{bmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_j \\ t_0, \dots, t_j \end{bmatrix} u_j = a_j$$

and we obtain

(7) 
$$P_{n-1}(t) = \frac{f(t_0)}{u_0(t_0)} u_0(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \begin{bmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_j \\ t_0, \dots, t_j \end{bmatrix} f \frac{D\begin{pmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_{j-1}, u_j \\ t_0, \dots, t_{j-1}, t \end{pmatrix}}{D\begin{pmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_{j-1}, t \\ t_0, \dots, t_{j-1} \end{pmatrix}}.$$

For the system  $\{t^i\}_{i=0}^{N}$  we obtain the Newton interpolation formula and because of this, we shall call the formula (7) the Newton interpolation formula.

We may also calculate the coefficients  $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{j}}$  with the help of the following

Theorem 3. (Mühlbach [5]). Let  $\{u_0,\ldots,u_n\}$ ,  $\{u_0,\ldots,u_{n-1}\}$  and  $\{u_0,\ldots,u_{n-2}\}$  be Chebyshev systems over I. Consider n+1 different points  $t_i\in I$ ,  $i=0,\ldots,n$ . Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_n \\ t_0, \dots, t_n \end{bmatrix} f = \frac{\begin{bmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_{n-1} \\ t_1, \dots, t_n \end{bmatrix} f - \begin{bmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_{n-1} \\ t_0, \dots, t_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} f}{\begin{bmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_{n-1} \\ t_1, \dots, t_n \end{bmatrix} u_n - \begin{bmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_{n-1} \\ t_0, \dots, t_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} u_n}.$$

Let now  $t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_{n-1}$  and let  $l_i$  be the fundamental Lagrange polynomials of degree n-1 defined for the points  $t_j$  i.e.  $l_i(t_j) = \delta_{ij}$ ,  $i,j=0,\ldots,n-1$ . Analogously as Lemma 1 we can prove

Lemma 2. There exist positive constants  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  depending only on the system U such that

(8)  $\alpha |l_i(t)| \leq |W_i(t)| \leq \beta |l_i(t)|$ ,  $t \in I$ , where the functions  $W_i$  are defined by (4).

Remark. Applying Theorem 1 we may extend the facts given above to partitions with multiple points as well.

4. Moduli of smoothness associated with an ECT-system. Let  $f \in C(I)$  and let U and the operator L be defined as in the point 2. Put  $\Delta_h^L f(t) = (n-1)! h^n [t,t+h,...,t+nh;f]_L$ . Let q be a polynomial w.r.t. the system U interpolating the function f at the points t+jh, j = 1,...,n. Then by (5) and (6) we obtain

 $\alpha'|\Delta_h^{L}f(t)| \leqslant |f(t) - q(t)| \leqslant \beta'|\Delta_h^{L}f(t)|$ , where the constants  $\alpha'$  and  $\beta'$  depend only on the system U.

We define the  $\underline{modulus\ of\ smoothness}$  of the function f w.r.t. the system U (operator L) by the formula

$$\omega_{L}^{(p)}(f,\delta) = \sup_{0 \le h \le \delta} \left( \int_{0}^{4-nh} |\Delta_{h}^{L}f(t)|^{p} dt \right)^{1/p}.$$

For the operator  $L=\mathbb{D}^n$  we obtain the modulus of smoothness of order n. We shall prove the following properties of the moduli of smooth-

ness:

(P.1) 
$$\theta \leqslant \omega_{T}(f,\delta) \leqslant \omega_{T}(f,\delta')$$
 for  $\delta \leqslant \delta'$ .

(P.2)  $\omega_{T}(f,\delta) \leq c \|f\|_{\omega}$ 

where the constant c depends only on the system U.

$$(P.3) \qquad \omega_{L}(f+g,\delta) \leqslant \omega_{L}(f,\delta) + \omega_{L}(g,\delta).$$

 $(P.4) \qquad \omega_{L}(f,m\delta) \leqslant m^{n} \omega_{L}(f,\delta).$ 

 $(P.5) \qquad \omega_{L}(f,\lambda\delta) \leqslant (1+\lambda)^{n} \omega_{L}(f,\delta).$ 

(P.6) 
$$\frac{\omega_{L}(f,\delta_{1})}{\delta_{1}^{n}} \leq 2^{n} \frac{\omega_{L}(f,\delta)}{\delta^{n}}, \text{ for } 0 < \delta \leq \delta_{1}.$$

(P.7) If  $f \in C(I)$  and  $\omega_L(f, \delta) = o(\delta^n)$  by  $\delta \rightarrow 0+$ , then f is a polynomial w.r.t. the system U.

(P.8)  $\lim_{\delta \to 0} \omega_{L}(f, \delta) = 0, \text{ for } f \in C(I).$ 

To prove these properties, we need the following

Theorem 4. Let  $\Delta = \{0 \le t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N \le 1\}$  be a given partition of I,  $t_0 \le t_{k_0} < t_{k_1} < \dots < t_{k_n} \le t_N$ . Then there exist numbers  $\alpha_j$ ,  $0 < \alpha_j < 1$ 

such that  $\sum_{j=k_0}^{k_n-n} \alpha_j = 1$  and for any function f defined on I

(9) 
$$[t_{k_0}, \dots, t_{k_n}; f]_L = \sum_{j=k_0}^{k_n-n} \alpha_j [t_j, \dots, t_{j+n}; f]_L.$$

<u>Proof.</u> (9) is obvious for n = N. Let us assume that it holds for a partition  $\Delta'$  obtained from  $\Delta$  by omission a point x of it. Put  $x_j = t_k$ ,  $x \neq x_j$ ,  $j = 0, \ldots, n$ ,  $x_0 < x < x_n$ . Applying Theorem 3 we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_n \\ x_0, \dots, x_n \end{bmatrix} f = \frac{\begin{bmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_{n-1} \\ x_1, \dots, x_n \end{bmatrix} f}{\begin{bmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_{n-1} \\ x_1, \dots, x_n \end{bmatrix} u_n} - \begin{bmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_{n-1} \\ x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} u_n}{\begin{bmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_{n-1} \\ x_1, \dots, x_n \end{bmatrix}} = \frac{L}{M}.$$

Further

$$L = \left( \begin{bmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_{n-1} | f \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_{n-2}, u_{n-1} | f \end{bmatrix} \right) +$$

$$+ \left( \begin{bmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_{n-2}, u_{n-1} | f \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_{n-1}, x \\ x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x \end{bmatrix} f \right] - \begin{bmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_{n-1} | f \end{bmatrix} \right) =$$

$$= \left( \begin{bmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_{n-1} | u_n \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_{n-2}, u_{n-1} | u_n \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_{n-1}, u_n \\ x_1, \dots, x_n \end{bmatrix} f \right] +$$

$$+ \left( \begin{bmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_{n-2}, u_{n-1} \\ x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x \end{bmatrix} u_n \right] - \begin{bmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_{n-1} \\ x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} u_n \right) \begin{bmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_{n-1}, u_n \\ x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, x \end{bmatrix} f$$

$$= (\alpha - \beta) \begin{bmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_{n-1}, u_n \\ x_1, \dots, x_n \end{bmatrix} f + (\beta - \delta) \begin{bmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_{n-1}, u_n \\ x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, x \end{bmatrix} f , M = \alpha - \delta.$$

Hence

$$\begin{bmatrix} \begin{smallmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_n \\ x_0, \dots, x_n \end{smallmatrix} \middle [ f \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\alpha - \beta}{\alpha - \gamma} \begin{bmatrix} \begin{smallmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_{n-1}, u_n \\ x_1, \dots, x_n \end{smallmatrix} \middle [ f \end{bmatrix} + \frac{\beta - \gamma}{\alpha - \gamma} \begin{bmatrix} \begin{smallmatrix} u_0, \dots, u_{n-1}, u_n \\ x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, x \end{smallmatrix} \middle [ f \end{bmatrix} .$$

This formula holds for any function defined on I. Let us assume that  $f(x_j) = 0$  for j = 0, ..., n-1, f(x) = 0 and  $f(x_n) = 1$ . Then  $[x_0, ..., x_n; f]_L > 0$ ,  $[x_1, ..., x_n, x; f]_L > 0$  and  $[x_0, ..., x_{n-1}, x; f]_L = 0$  whence we obtain  $\frac{\alpha - \beta}{\alpha - \beta} > 0$ . Analogously  $\frac{\beta - \gamma}{\alpha - \gamma} > 0$  whence by induction we obtain (9).

Remark. Applying the definition of LB-splines (see [7,8,12]) we obtain  $k_{m}-n$ 

 $M(t_{k_0}, \ldots, t_{k_n}; t) = \sum_{j=k_0}^{k_n-n} \alpha_j M(t_j, \ldots, t_{j+n}; t),$ 

where  $M(x_0,...,x_n;t)$  is the LB-spline defined w.r.t. the partition  $\Delta = \{x_0 < x_1 < ... < x_n\}$  and the operator L.

The above theorem was proved for the system  $\{t^i\}_{i=0}^n$  by T.Popoviciu in [6] (see also [1,2]).

Proof of the properties of the moduli of smoothness. (P.2) follows from the equality  $\Delta_h^L f(t) = \sum_{j=0}^n f(t+jh) M_j(t)$  analogously as (6). Applying Theorem 4 we prove the remaining properties reasoning analogously as for the modulus  $\omega_n(f,h)$  (see[2,10]).

These properties hold for integral moduli of smoothness as well. To prove them we reason analogously. We have only to apply the Minkowski inequality and some properties of functions from  $L_p(I)$ .

5. An extension of the H. Whitney theorem. We shall prove the following

Theorem 5. Let  $f \in C(I)$  and let  $P_f$  be a polynomial w.r.t. the system U interpolating f at the points  $t_i = \frac{i}{n-1}$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ . Then

$$|f(t) - P_f(t)| \leq C_L \omega_L(f, \frac{1}{n-1}),$$

where  $C_{\mathrm{L}}$  is a constant depending only on the operator L.

To prove it we need the following lemmas:

Lemma 3. Let  $0 = m_0 < m_1 < \cdots < m_{n-1} (m_{n-1} > n)$  be given integers. Then for any integer  $s \in (m_0, m_{n-1})$ ,  $y \in I$  and  $h(y, y+m_{n-1}h \in I)$  there

exist constants  $a_i$  and  $c_j$ ,  $i=0,\ldots,m_{n-1}$  on =1,  $j=0,\ldots,n-1$  such that for any function  $f\in C(I)$ 

(10) 
$$f(y+sh) = \sum_{i=0}^{l} a_i \Delta_h^{L} f(y+ih) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_j f(y+m_jh).$$

Moreover, if Q is a polynomial w.r.t. the system U such that  $Q(y+m_jh) = f(y+m_jh)$ , j = 0,...,n-1, then

(11) 
$$f(y+sh) = \sum_{i=0}^{L} a_i \Delta_h^L f(y+ih) + Q(y+sh)$$

and  $\sum_{i=0}^{k} |a_i| \le a$ ,  $\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} |c_j| \le c$ , where the constants a and c depend only on the system U and the integer s.

Proof. Applying (5) and Theorem 4 we obtain  $f(y+sh) = Q(y+sh) + [y+m_jh, j = 0,...,n-1;f]_L \cdot W(y+sh) = Q(y+sh) + \sum_{j=0}^{L} A_j \Delta_h^L f(y+jh) \frac{W(y+sh)}{(n-1)!h^n}$  where  $\sum_{j=0}^{L} A_j = 1$  and  $A_j > 0$ . Putting  $a_j = 0$ 

=  $\alpha_j^{W(y+sh)/(n-1)!h^n}$  we obtain (11). Hence by (6)

$$\sum_{j=0}^{l} |a_{j}| \le d|s - m_{0}| \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot |s - m_{n-1}| = a.$$

Writing Q in the form  $Q(y+sh) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} f(y+m_jh)W_j(y+sh)$  and putting  $C_j = W_j(y+sh)$  we obtain (10). Further by (8) we obtain

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |c_{j}| \leq \beta \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |1_{j}(y+sh)| = c.$$

This completes the proof.

Let now  $\textbf{m}_{k} = k \textbf{y}, \ k = 0, \dots, n-1, \textbf{y} \geqslant 2, \ s = 1.$  Applying Lemma1 we obtain

(12) 
$$f(y+h) = \sum_{i=0}^{(n-1)y-n} a_i \Delta_h^L f(y+ih) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} y_j f(y+jyh).$$
Since  $y_0 = W_0(y+h)$ , we have  $0 < y_0 < 1$ .

Lemma 4. For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\forall$  such that  $\sigma = |\forall_1| + \cdots + |\forall_{n-1}| \leq \varepsilon$ .

<u>Proof.</u> Let P be a polynomial w.r.t. the system U satisfying the following conditions: P(y) = 0, P(y+jyh) = 1 for  $y_j > 0$  and P(y+jyh) = -1 for  $y_j < 0$ . Applying (12) we obtain

$$P(y+h) = \sum_{j=4}^{n-4} |y_j|.$$

Writing the polynomial P in the form (4) and applying (8) we obtain  $|P(y+h)| \leq \sum_{j=4}^{n-4} |W_j(y+h)| \leq \beta \sum_{j=4}^{n-4} |1_j(y+h)| = \frac{\beta}{\gamma} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n-1}\right) < \frac{\beta}{\gamma} \left[1 + \ln(n-1)\right]$ . Putting  $\gamma > \frac{\beta}{\xi} \left[1 + \ln(n-1)\right]$  we obtain the lemma.

<u>Proof of Theorem 5.</u> We may assume that  $\omega_{T_i}(f,\frac{1}{n-1})=1$  and  $f(\frac{1}{n-1})=1$ = 0, i = 0,...,n-1. Put  $S_k^i = \{x: x = \frac{i}{2^k(n-1)}, i = 0,...,2^k(n-1)\}$ ,  $S_0 = S_0^1$ ,  $S_k = S_k^1 \setminus S_{k-1}^1$  (k > 0). Choose Y = 2r from Lemma 4 such that  $\sigma < 1$  and  $\mu$  and m such that  $m = 2^{\mu}(n-1) > 2(n-1)y$ . Putting y = 0,  $m_j h = \frac{j}{n-1}$  we conclude from (10) that there exists a constant M such that  $|f(t)| \le M$  for  $t \in S_{\mu}$ . We shall prove that  $|f(t)| \le \frac{a+M}{1-6}$  for  $t \in \bigcup S_k$ . This inequality holds for  $t \in S_\mu$ . Assume that it holds for  $t \in S_k$ ,  $k \gg \mu$ . We shall prove it for  $t \in S_{k+1}$ . Let  $t \in S_{k+1}$ ,  $0 < t \le \frac{1}{2}$ . Then  $t = \frac{i}{2^{k+1}(n-1)}$  for some i. Put  $y = \max\{x \in S_{\mu}: x < t\}$  and h = t - y. Since  $\gamma$  is even,  $y < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $h < \frac{1}{m}$ , then  $y+j\gamma h \in S_k$  for  $j=1,\ldots,n-1$ . Hence by (12) and the inductive assumption

$$|f(t)| \le a + 6 - \frac{a + M}{1 - 6} < \frac{a + M}{1 - 6}$$
.

For t >  $\frac{1}{2}$  we put y = min  $\{x \in S_{\mu}: x > t\}$  and h = t-y, and we obtain the same inequality analogously. Since a  $< d(n-1)!y^{n-1}$  (Lemma 1) and  $f \in C(I)$ then  $|f(t)| \leq C_L = \frac{a+M}{1-6}$  and the proof is completed. Theorem 5 was proved by H.Whitney in [11] for the system  $\{t^i\}_{i=0}^n$ 

and it's new proof was given by B. Sendov in [9].

6. An extension of the Freud - Popov lemma. Let now  $\triangle = \{0 = \{0\}\}$  $= t_{-n+1} = \dots = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = \dots = t_{N+n-1} = 1$ ,  $t_j = \frac{j}{N}$ ,  $j = \frac{j}{N}$ = 0,...,N, L and L\* be the operators defined in the point 2. We have the following

Theorem 6. (see [4,7,8]) For any  $f \in C^n(I)$  there exists a spline s w.r.t. the operator  $L^*L$  and the partition  $\Delta$  such that  $s(t_j) = f(t_j)$ ,  $j = 0,...,N, D^{i}s(t_{k}) = D^{i}f(t_{k}), k = 0,N, i = 1,...,n-1 and ||Ls||_{\infty} \leq$  $C \| Lf \|_{\omega}$ , where C is a constant depending only on the operator L.

Applying (5) and reasoning analogously as in the proof of Theorem 6 we can prove the following

Lemma 5. For any f €C(I) there exists a spline s, w.r.t. the operator  $L^*L$  and the partition  $\Delta$  such that  $[t_j, ..., t_{j+n}; s_f]_L =$ =  $[t_i, \dots, t_{i+n}; f]_L$  for  $j = 0, \dots, N-n$ ,  $[t_i, \dots, t_{i+n}; s_f]_L$  = =  $[t_0, \dots, t_n; f]_L$  for  $i = -n+1, \dots, -1$  and  $[t_i, \dots, t_{i+n}; s_f]_L = [t_0, \dots, t_{i+n}; s_f]_L$ =  $[t_{N-n}, \dots, t_N; f]_T$  for  $i = N-n+1, \dots, N-1$  and

 $\| Ls_f \|_{\infty} \le C \max \{ \| [t_j, ..., t_{j+n}; f]_L \|, j = 0, ..., N-n \},$ where the constant C depends only on the operator L.

Hence for  $h = \frac{1}{N}$ 

(13)  $\| \operatorname{Ls}_{f} \|_{\infty}^{N} \leq C[(n-1)!h]^{-n} \omega_{T}(f,h).$ 

Let  $t \in (t_j, t_{j+n-1})$  and let  $P_f$  be a polynomial w.r.t. the operator L (the system U) interpolating the function f at the points  $t_i$ , i = j, ..., j+n-1. We have

$$|f(t) - s_f(t)| \le |f(t) - P_f(t)| + |P_f(t) - s_f(t)|$$
.

Applying Theorem 5 we obtain

$$|f(t) - P_f(t)| \leq C_L \omega_L(f,h).$$

To estimate the second factor, we remark that the polynomial  $P_f$  interpolates the spline  $s_f$  at the points  $t_i$ , i = j, ..., j+n-1. Hence by Theorem 2 and (13) we obtain

$$|P_f(t) - s_f(t)| \leq CC_U \omega_L(f,h).$$

Putting these inequalities together and  $\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{N}$  we obtain

Theorem 7. For any  $\xi > 0$  and  $f \in C(I)$  there exists a function  $f_{\xi} \in C^{n}(I)$  such that

$$\|f - f_{\varepsilon}\|_{\infty} \leq c_1 \omega_{L}(f, \varepsilon)$$

and

$$\|\operatorname{Lf}_{\varepsilon}\|_{\infty} \leq c_{2} \varepsilon^{-n} \omega_{L}(f, \varepsilon),$$

where the constants C4 and C2 depend only on the operator L.

This theorem was first proved by G.Freud and V.A.Popov in [3] for the operator  $L = D^n$  in the space  $L_p(I)$ ,  $1 \le p \le \infty$ .

7. Best approximation by L-splines. Let  $L = D^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i(t)D^i$  be a linear differential operator defined on I with the null space  $N_L$ . We can reduce the investigation of L-splines to the investigation of Chebyshev splines by means of the following

Theorem 8. (see[4]). For every operator L of the above form there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that, for every subinterval JCI, with the length  $|J| < \delta$  the space  $\mathbb{N}_L$  has a basis  $\left\{ \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{i}}^J \right\}_{\mathbf{i}=0}^{\mathbf{n}-1}$ , which is an ECT-system in the subinterval J.

Applying theorems 6 - 8 and reasoning analogously as for polynomial splines (see[1,2,7,8]) we can prove the following

Theorem 9. For any partition  $\Delta$  with sufficiently small  $\|\Delta\|$  and any function  $f \in C(I)$  there exists an L-spline  $s_f$  w.r.t.  $\Delta$  such that

$$\|f - s_f\|_{\infty} \leq C_L \omega_L(f, \|\Delta\|),$$

where C<sub>L</sub> is a constant depending only on the operator L.

## References

- 1. C.de\_Boor. Splines as linear combination of B-splines. Approximation Theory II (Edited by G.G.Lorentz, C.K.Chui, and L.L.Schumaker): 1 - 47. Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- Z.Ciesielski. Lectures on Spline Functions (in Polish). Gdańsk University, 1979.
   G.Freud and V.A.Popov. Some questions that are related to the
- approximation by spline functions and polynomials (in Russian).

  Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 5: 161 171, 1971.

  4. S.Karlin, W.J.Studden. Tchebycheff systems: with applications in analysis and statistics. Interscience, New York, 1966.

  5. G.Mühlbach. A recurrence formula for generalized divided diffe-
- rences and some applications. J. Approx. Theory 9: 165 172,1973.
- T.Popoviciu. Sur quelques proprietes des fonctiones d une ou de deux variables reelles. Mathematica 8: 1 - 85. Cluj, 1934.
- 7. <u>K.Scherer</u>, <u>L.L.Schumaker</u>. A dual basis for L-splines and applications. <u>J. Approx. Theory 29</u>: 151 169, 1980.
- 8. L.L.Schumaker. Spline functions: basic theory. Wiley and Sons,
- New York, 1981.

  9. B. Sendov. A new proof of the H. Whitney's theorem. C. R. Acad.
- Bulg. Sci. 35: 609 611, 1982. A.F. Timan. Theory of approximation of real variable (in Russian). 10. A.F. Timan. The Moskva, 1960.
- 11. H. Whitney. On functions with bounded nth differences. J. Math. Pures Appl. 36: 67 95, 1957.
- 12. Z. Wronicz. On some properties of LB-splines. Ann. Polon. Math. (to appear).

Institute of Mathematics Stanisław Staszic Academy of Mining and Metallurgy Kraków. Poland