

ON A POLYNOMIAL INEQUALITY CONNECTED WITH  
THE MULTIVARIATE MARKOV INEQUALITY

Pierre Goetgheluck

1. Notation. In the following,  $E$  stands for a given bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . We denote by  $H_n$  the set of polynomials of  $N$  real variables of total degree at most  $n$ . Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $Q \in H_n$ ; we set  $\|Q\|_A = \sup_{x \in A} |Q(x)|$ . Classical multivariate notation is used: for  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_N) \in \mathbb{N}^N$ ,  $|a| = a_1 + \dots + a_N$ . For  $a$  and  $k$  in  $\mathbb{N}^N$   $\binom{a}{k} = \prod_{i=1}^N \binom{a_i}{k_i}$ .

2. Definitions. We say that we have a Markov inequality on  $E$  if (MI) There exist two positive constants  $C$  and  $r$  such that for any  $P \in H_n$

$$\|\partial P / \partial x_i\|_E \leq C n^r \|P\|_E \quad (i=1, \dots, N).$$

We know that if  $E$  is a Lipschitz set, (MI) holds with  $r=2$  [2] and that if

$$E = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 / 0 \leq x \leq 1, 0 \leq y \leq x^p, p \geq 1\},$$

then (MI) holds with  $r=2p$  [1], but the most general known subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  for which we have a Markov inequality are uniformly polynomially cuspidal sets recently introduced by Pawlucki and Pleśniak [3]. This is a general class of subsets: Lipschitz sets, bounded convex sets with non-void interior, fat analytic subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  are uniformly polynomially cuspidal. (See [3] for details).

For any polynomial  $R$  we set

$$d(R) = \sup_{x \in E} \{ \inf \{ |a| / R^{(a)}(x) \neq 0 \} \},$$

$$m(R) = \sup \{ m_0 > 0 / \forall x \in E, \exists a \in \mathbb{N}^N, |a| \leq d(R), |R^{(a)}(x)| > m_0 \}.$$

We say that we have a polynomial division inequality on  $E$  if

(PDI) For any  $R \in H_p$ , there exist two positive constants  $C'$  and  $r'$  such that, for any  $P \in H_n$  we have  $\|P\|_E \leq C' (m(R))^{-1} (n+p)^{r'} \|PR\|_E$ .

3. Statement of the result. The aim of this paper is to prove the following

Theorem. With previous notation, Markov's inequality implies a polynomial division inequality with  $r' = rd(R)$ .

4. The fundamental lemma. To prove the Theorem we need the following

Lemma. Under assumption (MI), let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . For every  $A \subset \bar{E}$  and  $R \in H_p$  satisfying

{ There exists  $a \in \mathbb{N}^N$  such that  $|a| \leq d$  and  $|R^{(a)}(x)| > m > 0$  ( $x \in A$ ) }  
 one can find a positive constant  $K(d)$  such that, for any  $P \in H_n$ ,

$$\|P\|_A \leq K(d) m^{-1} (n+p)^{rd} \|PR\|_E + \frac{1}{2} \|P\|_E.$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on  $d$ .

1) Assume  $d=0$ . Then  $|a|=0$  and for  $x \in A$ ,  $|R(x)| > m$ . Therefore,  $|P(x)| \leq m^{-1} |P(x)R(x)|$  ( $x \in A$ ) and

$$\|P\|_A \leq m^{-1} \|PR\|_A \leq K(0) m^{-1} \|PR\|_E + \frac{1}{2} \|P\|_E$$

with  $K(0) = 1$ .

2) We now assume that the lemma holds true up to order  $d-1$ . Let us prove that it is still valid at order  $d$ . Let  $a \in \mathbb{N}^N$  be such that  $|a| = d$  and

$$D = \{k \in \mathbb{N}^N / |k| > 0, 0 \leq k_i \leq a_i \text{ (} i=1, \dots, N)\}.$$

From Leibniz' formula, if  $x \in A$ ,

$$|P(x)| \leq m^{-1} \{ |(PR)^{(a)}(x)| + \sum_{k \in D} \binom{a}{k} |R^{(a-k)}(x)| |P^{(k)}(x)| \}.$$

We set  $L = \binom{N+d}{d}$  (there are at most  $L-1$  elements in  $D$ ) and

$$A_0 = \{x \in A / |R^{(a-k)}(x)| \leq \binom{a}{k}^{-1} m \frac{1}{2} (Cn^r)^{-|k|} L^{-1}, k \in D\}.$$

If  $x \in A_0$  then

$$|P(x)| \leq m^{-1} |(PR)^{(a)}(x)| + \frac{1}{2} L^{-1} \sum_{k \in D} (Cn^r)^{-|k|} |P^{(k)}(x)|.$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|P\|_{A_0} &\leq m^{-1} \|(PR)^{(a)}\|_{A_0} + \frac{1}{2} L^{-1} \sum_{k \in D} (Cn^r)^{-|k|} \|P^{(k)}\|_{A_0} \\ &\leq m^{-1} \|(PR)^{(a)}\|_E + \frac{1}{2} L^{-1} \sum_{k \in D} (Cn^r)^{-|k|} \|P^{(k)}\|_E, \end{aligned}$$

and, using (MI)

$$\|P\|_{A_0} \leq m^{-1} C^d (n+p)^{rd} \|PR\|_E + \frac{1}{2} \|P\|_E$$

(there are less than  $L$  terms in  $\Sigma$ ).

For every  $x \in A \setminus A_0$  there exists  $k \in D$  such that

$$|R^{(a-k)}(x)| > \binom{a}{k}^{-1} m \frac{1}{2} (Cn^r)^{-|k|} L^{-1}. \quad (1)$$

Then, one can share  $A \setminus A_0$  into (at most  $L-1$ ) subsets  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  such that, for every  $x \in A_i$  there exists an index  $k$  for which (1) holds true. Now, by induction, since  $|k| > 0$ , on each  $A_i$ , we have

$$\|P\|_{A_i} \leq (Cn^r)^{|k|} L \binom{a}{k} 2 m^{-1} K(d-1) (n+p)^{r(d-|k|)} \|PR\|_E + \frac{1}{2} \|P\|_E.$$

Due to the fact that  $\|P\|_A = \text{Max}_{i=0,1,\dots} \{\|P\|_{A_i}\}$  we get

$$\|P\|_A \leq K(d) m^{-1} (n+p)^{rd} \|PR\|_E + \frac{1}{2} \|P\|_E$$

with  $K(d) = 2 C^d L K(d-1) \text{Max}_{a,k,|a|=d} \{\binom{a}{k}\}$  which proves the induction step.

5. Proof of the Theorem. Assume that (MI) holds on  $E$  and let  $R \in H_p$ . For  $P \in H_n$  let  $x$  be such that  $|P(x)| = \|P\|_E$ . Then applying the Lemma with  $A = \{x\}$ ,  $d = d(R)$ ,  $m = m(R)$  yields

$$\|P\|_E = |P(x)| \leq K(d(R)) (m(R))^{-1} (n+p)^{rd(R)} \|PR\|_E + \frac{1}{2} \|P\|_E$$

and therefore

$$\|P\|_E \leq 2 K(d(R)) (m(R))^{-1} (n+p)^{rd(R)} \|PR\|_E.$$

6. Remark. Assume that  $E = [-1,1]^N$ . Then it is not difficult to show that (PDI) implies (MI).

Proof. Assume that (PDI) holds on  $E$ . Let  $P \in H_n$  and  $a_1 \in [-1,1]$ . We define a polynomial  $Q$  by

$$Q(x_1, \dots, x_N) = [P(x_1, \dots, x_N) - P(a_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)] / (x_1 - a_1) \quad (x_1 \neq a_1),$$

$$Q(a_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) = \partial P / \partial x_1 (a_1, x_2, \dots, x_N).$$

Now, applying (PDI) with  $R(x) = (x_1 - a_1)$  (and therefore  $d(R) = 1$ ,  $m(R) = 1$ ) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q\|_E &\leq C' n^{r'} \|P(x_1, \dots, x_N) - P(a_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)\|_E \\ &\leq 2 C' n^{r'} \|P\|_E. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$|\partial P / \partial x_1 (a_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)| \leq \|Q\|_E \leq 2 C' n^{r'} \|P\|_E.$$

The last estimate being independent of  $a_1$ , for any  $x \in \bar{E}$  we have  $|\partial P / \partial x_1(x)| \leq 2 C' n^{r'} \|P\|_E$ . From this we deduce  $\|\partial P / \partial x_1\|_E \leq C n^r \|P\|_E$

with  $C = 2C'$  and  $r = r'$ . A similar process with variables  $x_2, \dots, x_N$  instead of  $x_1$  completes the proof.

#### References

1. P. Goetgheluck. Inégalité de Markov dans les ensembles effilés. J. Approx. Theory, 30 (1980), 149-154.
2. P. Goetgheluck. Markov's inequality on locally lipschitzian compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . J. Approx. Theory, 49 (1987), 303-310.
3. W. Pawlucki and W. Pleśniak. Markov's inequality and  $C^\infty$ -functions on sets with polynomial cusps. Math. Ann. 275 (1986), 467-480.

Université de Paris-Sud,  
Mathématiques, Bât. 425,  
91405 Orsay Cedex, France.