

## ON THE DERIVATIVES OF POSITIVE LINEAR OPERATORS

Thomas Hermann

1. Introduction. Let us introduce some notations. Let us denote the Szasz, Post-Widder and Gamma operators by  $S_n$ ,  $P_n$  and  $G_n$  resp. i.e.

$$(1.1) \quad S_n(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f(k/n) s_{n,k}(x), \quad s_{n,k}(x) = \exp(-nx) (nx)^k / k!,$$

$$(1.2) \quad P_n(f, x) = \int_0^{\infty} f(t) p_n(x, t) dt,$$

$$p_n(x, t) = \exp(-nt/x) n^n x^{-n} t^{n-1} / (n-1)!,$$

$$(1.3) \quad G_n(f, x) = \int_0^{\infty} f(n/t) g_n(x, t) dt, \quad g_n(x, t) = x^{n+1} t^n \exp(-xt) / n!$$

All of the operators above are positive and linear. Let us denote by  $C$  the space of continuous and bounded functions on the interval  $[\emptyset, \infty]$  with the usual supremum norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and let  $C^r$  be equal to  $\{f: f^{(r)} \in C\}$  where  $r$  is a fixed positive integer.

Let  $D$  and  $I$  denote the operators of derivation and integration i.e.

$$Df(x) = \frac{df(x)}{dx}, \quad If(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt.$$

Our problem is the following: What can we say about the convergence of

$$(1.4) \quad \|D^r L_n(f) - D^r f\| \quad (f \in C^r)$$

where  $L_n$  denotes one of the above mentioned positive linear operators (1.1) - (1.3)?

(About the pointwise convergence see e.g. [2].) There is a widespread theory about the positive linear operators, but  $D^r L_n$  is not positive. So let us introduce the following operator

$$L_{n,r}(f,x) = D^r L_n(I^r f, x)$$

Obviously the investigation of (1.4) is equivalent to the investigation of

$$(1.5) \quad L_{n,r}(f) - f \quad (f \in C).$$

So in the following we shall deal with the convergence of (1.5). We shall need the following modulus:

$$w_F(f,d) = \sup\{|\Delta_h^2 F(x)| : x \geq \emptyset, h < d\}$$

About this modulus see [3]. In the following  $F$  will be defined as

$$F(x) = L_1((t-x)^2, x)^{1/2}$$

## 2. Results. Our first statement is the following:

Proposition.  $L_{n,r}$  is a positive linear operator.

The difficulty in the investigation of (1.7) is due to the fact that while  $L_n(f_1) = f_1$ , the functions  $L_{n,r}(f_1)$  are never equal to  $f_1$  where  $f_1(x) = x$ .

Totik proved [3] in a more general setting that the following estimation is valid.

Theorem A: If  $f \in C$  then

$$\|L_n(f) - f\| = O(w_F(f, 1/\sqrt{n})).$$

Theorem B: If  $f \in C$  and  $\emptyset < \alpha < 1$  then

$$(i) \quad L_n(f) - f = o(1) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \iff w(d) = o(1) \quad (d \rightarrow \emptyset)$$

$$(ii) L_n(f) - f = O(n^{-\alpha}) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \iff w(d) = O(d^{-2\alpha}) \quad (d \rightarrow \emptyset).$$

We prove the analogon of Theorem A and B for the operators  $P_{n,r}$  and  $G_{n,r}$ .

Theorem 2.1: Let  $L_n$  be either  $P_n$  or  $G_n$ ,  $f \in C[\emptyset, \infty)$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Then we have

$$(i) \quad \|L_{n,r}(f) - f\| = O(w(f, 1/\sqrt{n}) + \|f\|/n)$$

$$(ii) \quad L_{n,r}(f) - f = o(1) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \iff w(d) = o(1) \quad (d \rightarrow \emptyset)$$

$$(iii) \quad L_{n,r}(f) - f = O(n^{-\alpha}) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \iff w(d) = O(d^{2\alpha}) \quad (d \rightarrow \emptyset)$$

For the Szasz-operator we prove the following

Theorem 2.2: If  $f \in C$  then

$$(i) \quad S_{n,r}(f) - f = o(1) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \iff w(d) = o(1) \quad (d \rightarrow \emptyset)$$

$$(ii) \quad (1) \quad w(d) = O(d^{2\alpha}), \quad (2) \quad f(\emptyset) - f(h) = O(h^\alpha) \implies$$

$$S_{n,r}(f) - f = O(n^{-\alpha}).$$

Remark. For the case  $r = 1$  Theorem 2.2 was proved by Totik in [4]. He verified that the condition (ii) (2) can not be omitted. Because the proof is identical to the case  $r = 1$  we omit it.

3. Proofs. An easy computation gives us that

$$(3.1) \quad S_{n,r}(f, x) = \sum_{k=\emptyset}^{\infty} (n^r \Delta_{1/n}^r I^r f)(k/n) s_{n,r}(x),$$

$$(3.2) \quad P_{n,r}(f, x) = \int_{\emptyset}^{\infty} f(t) (t/x)^r p_n(x, t) dt,$$

$$(3.3) \quad G_{n,r}(f,x) = \frac{n^r(n-r)!}{n!} \int_{\emptyset}^{\infty} f(n/t) g_{n-r}(x,t) dt$$

which proves our Proposition.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. The proof for  $P_n$  and  $G_n$  is completely analogous. We shall prove it only for  $P_n$ . The proof is a modification of the proof of Theorem A. Let  $J(x) = (3x/4, 5/4)$  and let  $k(t) = k(t,x)$  be the characteristic function of  $J(x)$  i.e.

$$k(t,x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \in J(x), \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The proof of Theorem A is based on the following Lemma 3.1. It is enough to verify the lemma, the other parts of the proof are identical.

Lemma 3.1. If  $x > \emptyset$ ,  $g \in C(J(x)) \cap C((\emptyset, ) - J(x))$  and  $g$  is convex on  $J(x)$  then

$$(3.4) \quad L_{n,r}(g,x) \geq g(x) - K \|g\|/n$$

where  $K > \emptyset$  is an absolute constant.

Proof: We shall use the well-known relation

$$(3.5) \quad \int_a^b t^k \exp(-ut) dt = [-k! u^{-k-1} \exp(-ut) \sum_{j=\emptyset}^k \frac{(ut)^j}{j!}]_a^b$$

Using (1.2), (3.2) and (3.5) we have by direct computation

$$(3.6) \quad P_{n,r}(f_j, x) = x^j \frac{(n+r+j-1)!}{n^{r+j} (n-1)!} = x^j (1 + O(1/n))$$

where  $f_j(x) = x^j$ . From the convexity of  $g$  on  $J(x)$  it follows the existence of a constant  $d$  so that

$$(3.7) \quad g(t) \geq g(x) + d(t-x) \quad (t \in J(x)),$$

i.e.

$$(3.8) \quad g(t)k(t) \geq g(x)k(t) + d(t-x)k(t) \quad (t > \emptyset).$$

So

$$P_{n,r}(gk, x) \geq g(x)P_{n,r}(k, x) + dP_{n,r}((t-x)k, x),$$

from where

$$(3.9) \quad P_{n,r}(g, x) \geq g(x) - g(x)[1 - P_{n,r}(k, x)] - P_{n,r}((k-1)g, x) + dP_{n,r}((t-x)k, x).$$

From (3.7) and the definition of  $J(x)$  we can write

$$(3.10) \quad 2\|g\| \geq g(5x/4) - g(x) \geq dx/4 \geq g(x) - g(3x/4) \geq -2\|g\|.$$

If we can verify that

$$(3.11) \quad \|P_{n,r}^{(k-1)}\| = O(1/n),$$

$$(3.12) \quad \|P_{n,r}^{(k)} - 1\| = O(1/n),$$

$$(3.13) \quad \|P_{n,r}((t-x)k)/x\| = O(1/n),$$

then from (3.9) and (3.10) the statement of the lemma already follows. By (3.2) and (3.6) the relations (3.11) and (3.12) are equivalent so we have to prove (3.11) and (3.13) only. During the computations we shall use the relation

$$\exp(-x) \sum_{k=\emptyset}^{\infty} x^k/k! = 1$$

without further reference.

$$P_{n,r}^{(1-k), x} = \frac{n^n}{(n-1)!} x^{-n-r} \int_{[\emptyset, \infty) - J(x)} t^{n+r-1} \exp(-nt/x) dt =$$

$$= \frac{n^n}{(n-1)!} x^{-n-r} \left\{ \int_{\emptyset}^{3x/4} + \int_{5x/4}^{\infty} \right\} = A + B.$$

Applying (3.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &= \\
 &= \frac{n^n}{(n-1)!} x^{-n-r} [-(n+r-1)! (x/n)^{n+r} \exp(-nt/x) \sum_{j=\emptyset}^{n+r-1} \frac{(nt/x)^j}{j!}]^{3x/4} = \\
 &= \frac{(n+r-1)!}{n^r (n-1)!} \exp(-3n/4) \sum_{j=n+r}^{\infty} \frac{(3n/4)^j}{j!}.
 \end{aligned}$$

So by [1, p. 200]

$$A = O(\exp(-clx))$$

with a suitable  $cl > \emptyset$ . The estimation of B is analogous, we omit the details.

$$P_{n,r}((t-x)k, x)/x = \int_{[\emptyset, \infty) - J(x)} (t/x-1) (t/x)^r p_n(x, t) dt = \int_{\emptyset}^{3x/4} + \int_{5x/4}^{\infty} = C+D.$$

Using (3.4) and [1, p. 200] we get for both C and D the same estimation as for A, above. This proves the lemma and so the proof of Theorem 2.1 (i) is complete.

To prove (ii) we use the following

Lemma 3.2.

$$\|P_n - P_{n,r}\| = O(1/\sqrt{n}).$$

Proof: Using the definition of  $P_n$  and (3.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|P_n - P_{n,r}\| &= \frac{n^n}{(n-1)!} \int_{\emptyset}^{\infty} |1 - (t/x)^r| x^{-n} t^{n-1} \exp(-nt/x) dt = \\
 &= 2 \frac{n^n}{(n-1)!} \int_{\emptyset}^x (1 - (t/x)^r) x^{-n} t^{n-1} \exp(-nt/x) dt + \frac{(n+r-1)!}{n^r (n-1)!} - 1 = \\
 &= 2 \frac{n^n}{(n-1)!} x^{-n} [\exp(-nt/x) \{-(n-1)! (x/n)^n \sum_{j=\emptyset}^{n-1} (nt/x)^j / j! +
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + x^{-r} (n+r-1)! (x/n)^{n+r} \sum_{j=\emptyset}^{n+r-1} (nt/x)^j / j! \} ]^x + O(1/n) = \\
& = 2(1 - \frac{(n+r-1)!}{n^r (n-1)!}) e^{-n} \sum_{j=n+r}^{\infty} n^j / j! + 2e^{-n} \sum_{j=n}^{n+r-1} n^j / j! + O(1/n) = \\
& = O(1/n) + O(e^{-n} n^n / n!) = O(1/\sqrt{n})
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used the Stirling formula.

To prove (iii) we apply [3] Proposition 2. Corollary according to it is enough to prove

$$(3.14) \quad |x^2 P''_{n,r}(f, x)| = O(\|f\|/n) \quad (f \in \mathcal{C})$$

and

$$|x^2 P''_{n,r}(f, x)| = O(\|x^2 f''\| + \|f\|)$$

$$(3.15) \quad (f \in \mathcal{C}, f' \text{ loc. abs. cont.})$$

But

$$|x^2 P''_{n,r}(f, x)| = |P_{n,r}(t^2 f''(t), x)| = O(\|t^2 f''\|)$$

and

$$x^2 P''_{n,r}(f, x) = \int_{\emptyset}^{\infty} f(t) (t/x)^r p_n(x, t) x^{-2} q(n, x) dt$$

where

$$q(n, t) = n^2 (t-x)^2 - 2n(r+1)tx + x^2 (n(2r+1) + r(r+1))$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
|x^2 P''_{n,r}(f, x)| & \leq \|f\| \int_{\emptyset}^{\infty} (t/x)^r p_n(x, t) x^{-2} Q(n, x) dt = \\
& = 4(r+1) \|f\| (n+r)! / (n^r (n-1)!)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$Q(n, t) = n^2 (t-x)^2 + 2n(r+1)tx + x^2 (n(2r+1) + r(r+1)).$$

Verifying (3.14) and (3.15) the proof is complete.

## References

1. G.H. Hardy. Divergent series. Oxford, 1949.
2. R. Martini. On the approximation of functions together with their derivatives by certain linear operators. Indag. Math. 31 (1969), 473-481.
3. V. Totik. Uniform approximation by positive operators on infinite intervals. Analysis Math., 10 (1984), 163-182.
4. V. Totik. Uniform approximation by Szasz-Mirakjan type operators. Acta Math. Hung. 41 (1983), 291-307.

Computer and Automation Institute  
Hungarian Academy of Sciences  
1111 Kende u. 13-17.  
Budapest, Hungary