

ON MODULAR AND ORLICZ SPACE OVER A FIELD WITH VALUATION

Ryszard Urbański

1. (w, v) -convex modulars. Let X be a vector space over a field with a non-trivial valuation $|\cdot|$. Given are mappings $w, v: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ ($\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$) satisfying the following conditions:

- (a1) There are constants $k, l > 0$ such that kw and lv are supermultiplicative mappings on K .
- (a2) $w(a)v(a) > 0$ for $a \in K_* = K \setminus \{0\}$.
- (a3) w is a continuous in 0 and $w(0) = 0$. There exist $q > 0$ such that $\lim w(a)/v(a) \geq q$ as $|a| \rightarrow \infty$.
- (a4) There exist an $M > 0$ such that for every $B > 0$ there exist $a, b \in K_*$ such that $0 < w(a) \leq B \leq w(b) \leq Mw(a)$.
- (a5) If $(a_n) \subset K_*$ is a bounded sequence then $1/v(a_n^{-1})$ and $1/w(a_n^{-1})$ are bounded.

A functional $m: X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called (w, v) -convex modular if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (m1) $m(0) = 0$, and $m(ax) = 0$ for $a \in K_*$ implies $x = 0$.
- (m2) $m(x) = m(-x)$.
- (m3) $m(ax + by) \leq v(a)m(x) + v(b)m(y)$ for all $a, b \in K$ with $w(a) + w(b) \leq 1$.

The vector space $X_m = \{x \in X: \lim m(ax) = 0 \text{ as } a \rightarrow 0\}$ is called the modular space generated by m . If m is a (w, v) -convex modular on X , then the functional $\|x\|_m = \inf\{w(a): a \in K_* \text{ and } m(x/a)v(a) \leq w(a)\}$ is an F -quasinorm on X_m with the constant $C = M \min^{-1}(k, l)$ in the triangle inequality (see [4, 5]). In particular given $w(a) = k^{-1}|a|^s$, $v(a) = l^{-1}|a|^t$ $s \geq t \geq 0$, $s > 0$ we obtain all the types of the classical modulars. For example convex modulars, nonconvex, p -convex and Nakano modulars [1, 2].

2. A representation of supermultiplicative function. In this part we restrict ourselves to the real case i.e. $K = \mathbb{R}$. We say that the function $w: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is submultiplicative [supermultiplicative] if $w(ab) \leq w(a)w(b)$ [resp. $w(ab) \geq w(a)w(b)$] for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Lemma 1. If the function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is subadditive or superadditive and has a derivative at zero, $f'(0) = c$, $f(0) = 0$, then $f(a) = ca$.

Proof. We observe that $f(na) \leq nf(a)$. Hence $f(a) \leq nf(a/n) = af(a/n) / (a/n)$, and we obtain $f(a) \leq ca$. But $f(0) \leq f(a) + f(-a) \leq f(a) + nf(-a/n)$. Hence $f(a) \geq af((-a/n)) / (-a/n)$, consequently $f(a) \geq ca$. If f is superadditive we given $g(a) = -f(a)$.

Proposition 1. If $w: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ and kw is submultiplicative or supermultiplicative with some constants $k > 0$. Moreover if w has a derivative at 1 such that $w'(1) = k^{-1}s$ and $w(1) = k^{-1}$, then $w(a) = k^{-1}a^s$.

Proof. Given the function $f(b) = \log kw(e^b)$, we have $f(0) = 0$, $f'(0) = s$. Moreover f is subadditive or superadditive if w is submultiplicative or respectively supermultiplicative. Hence by the Lemma $f(b) = sb$. Taking $a = e^b$ we get $w(a) = k^{-1}a^s$.

From the Lemma 1 it follows that every subadditive function such that $f(0) = 0$, $f'(0) = 0$ is linear. Hence it is positive homogeneous. Now we show the following.

Lemma 2. If the function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a p -positive homogeneous ($0 < p \leq 1$), then $f(a) = (c \operatorname{sign} a + d)|a|^p$, for some real numbers c, d .

Proof. We observe that $f(a) = |a|^p f(\operatorname{sign} a)$. Now taking $2c = f(1) - f(-1)$, $2d = f(1) + f(-1)$ we have $f(a) = (c \operatorname{sign} a + d)|a|^p$.

Lemma 3. If the function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a p -positive homogeneous ($0 < p < 1$) and subadditive, then $f(a) = (c \operatorname{sign} a + d)|a|^p$, where $d \geq |c|(1 - [p])$, and $[p]$ denote the integral part of p .

Proof. In the case $p = 1$ we have $f(a) = ca + d|a|$. Hence $d \geq 0$. Now, let $0 < p < 1$ by the Lemma 2, $f(a) = (c \operatorname{sign} a + d)|a|^p$. Given any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, we consider the following cases

(i) $\operatorname{sign} a = \operatorname{sign} b$, then $f(a + b) \leq f(a) + f(b)$ iff $(c \operatorname{sign} a + d)|a + b|^p \leq (c \operatorname{sign} a + d)|a|^p + (c \operatorname{sign} a + d)|b|^p$. Hence $c + d \geq 0$ and $-c + d \geq 0$ this is equivalent $d \geq |c|$.

(ii) Now let $d \geq |c|$, and $\operatorname{sign} a = \operatorname{sign}(a + b) = -\operatorname{sign} b$. Then $a + b = \operatorname{sign} a|a + b| = \operatorname{sign} a|a| - \operatorname{sign} a|b|$ and we have $|a + b| = |a| - |b|$. This implies $|a + b| \leq |a|$. Hence

$(c \operatorname{sign} a + d)|a + b|^p \leq (c \operatorname{sign} a + d)|a|^p$,

finally $f(a + b) \leq f(a) + f(b)$.

Corollary 1. If the function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a p -positive homogeneous ($0 < p \leq 1$) and superadditive, then $f(a) = (c \operatorname{sign} a + d)|a|^p$, where $d \leq |c|([p] - 1)$.

Proof. We observe that the function $g(a) = -f(a)$ is p -homogeneous and subadditive. Hence by the Lemma 3, we get $g(a) = (c_1 \operatorname{sign} a + d_1)|a|^p$, where $d_1 \geq |c_1|(1 - [p])$. Denote $c = -c_1$, $d = -d_1$ we have $f(a) = (c \operatorname{sign} a + d)|a|^p$, where $d \leq |c|([p] - 1)$.

We say that the function $w: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfies the conditions (H) with the constant $k > 0$ and $0 < p \leq 1$ if for $h > 0$

$$(H) \quad w(a^h) = k^{1-h^p} w^p(a), \text{ for all } a \geq 0.$$

Proposition 2. If the function $w: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfies the condition (H) with the constant $k > 0$, $0 < p \leq 1$, and kw is submultiplicative, then

$$w(a) = k^{-1} \exp(s \operatorname{sign}(\log a) + t) |\log a|^p, \text{ where } t \geq |s|(1 - [p]).$$

Proof. Given $f(b) = \log k^{-1} w(\exp b)$. The function f is subadditive and p -positive homogeneous. Hence by Lemma 3, we obtain $f(b) = (s \operatorname{sign} b + t)|b|^p$, where $t \geq |s|(1 - [p])$. Given $b = \log a$, we have $w(a) = k^{-1} \exp(s \operatorname{sign}(\log a) + t) |\log a|^p$.

Corollary 2. If the function $w: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfies the condition (H) with the constant $k > 0$, $p = 1$ and kw is submultiplicative, then

$$w(a) = \begin{cases} k^{-1} a^{s+t}, & \text{for } a \geq 1, \\ k^{-1} a^{s-t}, & \text{for } 0 \leq a < 1, \end{cases} \text{ where } t \geq 0.$$

Corollary 3. If the function $w: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfies the condition (H) with the constants $k > 0$, $p = 1$ and kw is supermultiplicative, then

$$w(a) = \begin{cases} k^{-1} a^{s+t}, & \text{for } a \geq 1, \\ k^{-1} a^{s-t}, & \text{for } 0 \leq a < 1, \end{cases} \text{ where } t \geq 0.$$

3. Existence of nontrivial additive functionals on nonarchimedean modular space. In this section we consider a field \mathbb{K} with non-trivial valuation $|\cdot|$, and we take $w(a) = k^{-1}|a|^s$, $v(a) = l^{-1}|a|^t$, $s \geq t > 0$, $s > 0$. In this case for simplicity the (w, v) -convex modular on X will be called modular. Let (x_n) be a sequence of elements of the modular space X_m ; (x_n) is called modular convergent m -convergent to x if there exists $b \neq 0$ such that $m(b(x_n - x)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; we write

$x_n \xrightarrow{m} x$ (see [1]). Convergence in F-quasinorm in X_m implies m-convergence. A functional x^* over X_m is called modular continuous if $x_n \xrightarrow{m} x$ implies $x^*(x_n) \rightarrow x^*(x)$ for any $x \in X_m$. A modular m satisfies the condition D_2 (see [2]) if has the following property: for every $x \in X$ there exists $y \in X$ such that $m(x - y) \leq m(x)/2$ and $m(y) \leq m(x)/2$. A modular m satisfies the condition B_2 if $m(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ implies $m(ax_n) \rightarrow 0$ for every $a \in \mathbb{K}$.

Theorem. Let K be a nonarchimedean valued field and m be a modular, satisfying the condition D_2 . Then there exists no nontrivial modular continuous additive functional on the modular space X_m .

Proof. Suppose that there exists a nontrivial additive functional x^* on X_m . Hence there exists $x_0 \in X_m$ and $a \neq 0$ such that $x^*(x_0) \neq 0$, $m(ax_0) < \infty$. Denote $y^*(x) = (x(x_0))^{-1} x^*(a^{-1}x)$, $y_0 = ax_0$, then $y^*(y_0) = 1$, $m(y_0) < \infty$ and y^* is additive and modular continuous functional on X_m . From the condition D_2 follows the existence of $y_1, z_1 \in X_m$ such that $y_0 = y_1 + z_1$, $m(y_1) \leq m(y_0)/2$ and $m(z_1) \leq m(y_0)/2$. But $1 = |y^*(y_0)| \leq \max(|y^*(y_1)|, |y^*(z_1)|)$. Hence we can find a sequence $(y_n) \subset X_m$ such that $1 \leq |y^*(y_n)|$ and $m(y_n) \rightarrow 0$, and we obtain a contradiction.

If modular m satisfies the condition B_2 then modular convergence is equivalent to F-quasinorm convergence. Hence we obtain

Corollary 1. Let X be a nonarchimedean valued field and m be a modular satisfying the condition B_2 and D_2 . Then there exists no nontrivial continuous additive functional on the modular space X_m .

Let μ be a positive measure defined on a σ -field Σ of subsets of a set S and let E be a Banach space over a field \mathbb{K} with valuation $|\cdot|$. Let \mathcal{M} denote the linear space of all μ -measurable function $x: S \rightarrow E$ modulo the functions which are zero almost everywhere. Let $\phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a nondecreasing function vanishing only at zero, continuous from the left on \mathbb{R}_+ and continuous at 0. The functional $I = I_\phi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ defined by $I_\phi(x) = \int_S \phi(\|x\|) d\mu$ is a modular on \mathcal{M} . The modular space \mathcal{M}_I is called the Orlicz space and is denoted by L^ϕ ([1, 3]) The functional $\|x\|_\phi = \inf\{|a| : I_\phi(x/a) \leq |a|, a \neq 0\}$ is an F-quasinorm on L^ϕ with constant $C = r$, where $r = \inf\{|a| > 1 : a \in \mathbb{K}\}$. The function ϕ satisfies the condition Δ_2 if there are two constants $a > 0, b > 0$ such that $\phi(2c) \leq b\phi(c)$ for every $c \geq a$.

Corollary 2. Let \mathbb{K} be a nonarchimedean valued field. Let ϕ satisfy condition Δ_2 and let μ be a finite nonatomic measure. Then there exists no nontrivial continuous additive functionals on the Orlicz space L^ϕ .

The above Theorem are generalization of the results proved in [5]. In the classical case, i.e. for an archimedean valued field the above theorem is not true, [3] .

References

1. J. Musielak. Orlicz spaces and modular spaces. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York-Tokyo, Springer 1983.
2. W. Orlicz. A note on modular space I. Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. 9 , 157-162 (1961).
3. S. Rolewicz. Metric linear space. PWN, Warszawa 1984
4. R. Urbański. A modular space over a field with valuation generated by w, v -convex modular. Studia Math. 77, 121-131 (1983).
5. R. Urbański. On modular space over a field with valuation. Math. Z. 192, 405-408 (1986).

Institute of Mathematics
Polish Academy of Sciences
Mielżyńskiego 27/29
61-725 Poznań, Poland