CONSTRUCTIVE THEORY OF FUNCTIONS, Varna 2002 (B. Bojanov, Ed.), DARBA, Sofia, 2003, pp. 259-266.

# Walsh-Fourier Series with Respect to Weights

## TÍMEA EISNER \*

It is known that the trigonometric Fourier series converges in  $L^p$ -norm if 1 . This claim is false for <math>p = 1 and  $p = \infty$ . Similar statements are true for the Walsh-system. The domain of parameter p for the  $L^p$ -norm convergence in the case of orthogonal polynomials depends on the weight function. For example, for Legendre polynomials, the  $L^p$ -norm convergence holds only for 4/3 (see [5]).

Bl. Sendov introduced in 1999 a generalization of the Walsh-system, the so-called "Walsh-similar" functions (see [10]). These are special cases of the Walsh system with respect the weight  $\rho$  ( $W^{\rho} = \Psi^{\rho} = (\psi_n^{\rho}, n \in \mathbb{N})$ ), which was introduced by Schipp (see [7], [8]).

We show that if the weight function  $\rho$  belongs to the class  $Lip(\alpha, W)$ ( $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ), and  $\rho \geq \rho_0 > 0$ , then the  $W^{\rho}$ -Walsh-Fourier-series is convergent in  $L^{\rho}_{\rho}$ -norm if 1 . We study the behavior of such $<math>W^{\rho}$ -systems too, whose weight function has not positive lower bound. For example, for  $\rho(x) = x^{\alpha}$  ( $\alpha > -1$ ) the  $W^{\rho}$ -system is not uniformly bounded. We give an exact estimation for the norm of the functions  $\psi^{\rho}_n$ , and show for  $p_0^{\alpha} = \frac{2(\alpha+1)\ln 2}{\ln(2^{\alpha+1}-1)}$  that if  $1 \leq p \leq p_0$  or  $p'_0 \leq p \leq \infty$ , then there exists a function  $f \in L^{\rho}_{\rho}$  with divergent  $W^{\rho}$ -Fourier series in  $L^{\rho}_{\rho}$ -norm.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we fix a weight function  $\rho \in L^1([0,1))$ ,  $\rho \ge 0$ , with  $\int_0^1 \rho(x) dx = 1$ and investigate dyadic martingales, with respect to the probability measure spaces  $(\mathbb{I}, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  is the collection of Lebesgue-measurable sets in  $\mathbb{I} :=$ [0,1) and  $\mu(\mathcal{A}) = \int_A \rho(x) dx \ (\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A})$ . Let us denote by

$$\mathcal{I}_n := \{ (k2^{-n}, (k+1)2^{-n}) : k = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1 \} \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N})$$

the set of dyadic intervals with length  $2^{-n}$ , and let  $\mathcal{A}_n$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra, generated by  $\mathcal{I}_n$ . The set of real,  $\mathcal{A}_n$ -measurable functions, defined on  $\mathbb{I}$  is denoted by  $L(\mathcal{A}_n)$ . Obviously

$$L(\mathcal{A}_n) = \operatorname{span} \{ \chi_I : I \in \mathcal{I}n \} \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

<sup>\*</sup>Supported by the Grant OTKA/T032719.

where  $\chi_I$  is the characteristic function of the set *I*. The conditional expectation  $E_n^{\rho}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{A}_n$  is of the form

$$(E_n^{\rho}f)(x) = \frac{\int_I f(s)\rho(s) \, ds}{\int_I \rho(s) \, ds} \qquad (x \in I \in \mathcal{A}_n, \ f \in L^1_{\rho}(\mathbb{I})).$$

In the case  $\rho = 1$  we shall use the notation  $E_n := E_n^1$   $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ . Obviously

$$E_n^{\rho} f = \frac{E_n(\rho f)}{E_n \rho} \qquad (f \in L_{\rho}^1(\mathbb{I}), \ n \in \mathbb{N}).$$
(1)

The sequence  $\Phi = (\phi_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  is called a normalized dyadic martingale difference sequence in the probability space  $(\mathbb{I}, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ , if

*i*) 
$$\phi_n \in L(\mathcal{A}_{n+1})$$
, *ii*)  $E_n^{\rho}(\phi_n) = 0$ , *iii*)  $E_n^{\rho}(|\phi_n|^2) = 1$   $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ . (2)

It is clear that in the case  $\rho = 1$  the Rademacher system  $(R = (r_n, n \in \mathbb{N}))$  satisfies (2). In the general case, taking the standardization of  $r_n$  in the space  $(\mathbb{I}, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ , we get the system

$$\phi_n := \frac{r_n - E_n^{\rho} r_n}{\sqrt{E_n^{\rho}(|r_n - E_n^{\rho} r_n|^2)}} = \frac{r_n - b_n}{\sqrt{1 - b_n^2}}, \quad b_n := E_n^{\rho} r_n = \frac{E_n(\rho r_n)}{E_n(\rho)} \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N})$$
(3)

satisfying (2). (See [8].)

The product system of the system  $\Phi^{\rho} = (\phi_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  (see [1], [6], [9]) is defined by

$$\psi_m := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \phi_k^{m_k} \qquad (m \in \mathbb{N}), \tag{4}$$

where the numbers  $m_k \in \{0,1\}$  are the digits in the dyadic representation  $m = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_k 2^k$ . Especially the product system of the Rademacher system is the Walsh system in Paley's enumeration, which is orthonormed in  $L^2(\mathbb{I})$  (see [9]). It is known that the product system  $\Psi^{\rho} = (\psi_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  is orthonormal in  $L^2_{\rho}$  (see [8]). The weight function  $\rho$  can be written in the form

$$\rho = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1+b_j r_j), \qquad b_j = \frac{E_j(\rho r_j)}{E_j(\rho)} \qquad (j \in \mathbb{N})$$
(5)

(see [8]). By (3), the reciprocal of the function  $\phi_n$  is of the form

$$\phi_n^{-1} := 1/\phi_n = \frac{r_n + b_n}{\sqrt{1 - b_n^2}} \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

The functions

$$\rho_0^- := 1, \qquad \rho_n^- := \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (1 - b_j r_j) \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N}^*)$$

260

#### Tímea Eisner

form a dyadic martingale with respect to the Lebesgue-space, and the martingale  $(\rho_n^-, n \in \mathbb{N})$  is  $L^1$ -bounded. This implies that the infinite product  $\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1-b_j r_j)$  converges a.e. to the limit

$$\rho^{-} := \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - b_j r_j), \tag{6}$$

and  $\rho^- \ge 0$  and  $\rho^- \in L^1(\mathbb{I})$  (see [2], [3]). It is proved in [8] that if the maximal function of the martingale  $(\rho_n^-, n \in \mathbb{N})$  satisfies

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\rho_n^-\in L^1(\mathbb{I}),$$

then  $\Psi^{-1}$  is an orthonormal system with respect to weight function  $\rho^-$ . We introduce the martingale transform operators

$$T_m^{\rho^-} f := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_m^k E_k^{\rho^-} (\phi_k^{-1} f) \phi_k^{-1} \qquad (f \in L^1_{\rho^-}(\mathbb{I}), \ m \in \mathbb{N}), \tag{7}$$

where the  $\mathcal{A}_k$ -measurable coefficients are defined by

$$a_m^k := m_k \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{(1-b_j r_j)^{1-m_j}}{(1+b_j r_j)^{1-m_j}} \qquad (m,k \in \mathbb{N}).$$
(8)

It is proved in [8] that for any function  $f \in L^1_{\rho}(\mathbb{I})$  we have

$$S_m^{\rho} f = \psi_m T_m^{\rho^-} (f \rho \psi_m / \rho^-) \qquad (m \in \mathbb{N}).$$
(9)

## 2. Results

Recall that the functions  $f : [0,1) \to \mathbb{R}$  belong to the class  $Lip(\alpha, W)$  $(0 < \alpha \leq 1)$  if there exists a constant K > 0 such that  $|f(x+h) - f(x)| \leq K h^{\alpha}$  for all  $x, h \in \mathbb{I}$ .

**Lemma 1.** (i) If  $\rho \ge \rho_0 > 0$  on  $\mathbb{I}$  and  $\rho \in Lip(\alpha, W)$   $(0 < \alpha \le 1)$ , then  $\|b_n\|_{\infty} < 1$   $(n \in \mathbb{N})$  and  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|b_n\|_{\infty} < \infty$ .

(ii) If  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|b_n\|_{\infty} < \infty$  and  $\|b_n\|_{\infty} < 1$   $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ , then the function sequences  $a_m^k$  and  $\Psi_m$  are uniformly bounded, and the functions  $\rho$ ,  $\rho^-$  and  $\frac{\rho}{\rho^-}$  are bounded.

From Lemma 1 and (9) we get

**Theorem 1.** If  $\rho \ge \rho_0 > 0$  on  $\mathbb{I}$  and  $\rho \in Lip(\alpha, W)$   $(0 < \alpha \le 1)$ , then

$$||S_n^{\rho}f||_{L_{\rho}^p} \le ||f||_{L_{\rho}^p}$$

for 0 .

**Lemma 2.** If  $\rho(x) = x^{\alpha} \ (\alpha > -1)$ , then

$$b_n(x) = \frac{2\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\alpha+1} - k^{\alpha+1} - (k+1)^{\alpha+1}}{(k+1)^{\alpha+1} - k^{\alpha+1}}$$
(10)

if  $x \in \left[\frac{k}{2^n}, \frac{k+1}{2^n}\right]$   $(k = 0, \dots, 2^n - 1)$ .

**Lemma 3.** Let  $x \in [2^{-\ell}, 2^{-\ell+1})$   $(\ell = 1, 2, ...)$  and  $\rho(x) = x^{\alpha}$ .

- (i) If  $n < \ell$  and  $\alpha > -1$ , then  $b_n(x) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\alpha} 1$ .
- (ii) If  $n \ge \ell$  and  $\alpha > -1$ ,  $\alpha \ne 1$ , then there exists a constant  $B_{\alpha}$  depending only on  $\alpha$ , such that  $|b_n(x)| \le B_{\alpha} 2^{-n+\ell}$ .
- (iii) If  $n \ge \ell$  and  $\alpha = 1$ , then  $2^{-n+\ell-3} < |b_n(x)| \le 2^{-n+\ell-2}$ .

**Lemma 4.** Let  $\alpha_0$  be a positive real number with  $2^{\alpha_0-2} \alpha_0 = 1$ . If  $\rho(x) = x^{\alpha}$  ( $\alpha_0 \ge \alpha > -1$ ) and  $x \in [2^{-\ell}, 2^{-\ell+1})$  ( $\ell = 1, 2, ...$ ), then

$$\psi_m(x) = w_m(x)(2^{\alpha+1}-1)^{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} m_k} \cdot C^{\alpha}_{m,\ell}(x), \qquad (11)$$

where w(x) is the n-th Walsh function in Paley's enumeration,  $|C_{m,\ell}^{\alpha}(x)| \leq K_{\alpha}$ , and  $K_{\alpha}$  is a constant depending only on  $\alpha$ .

Let  $0 < N \in \mathbb{N}, m_N := \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} 2^k$ . It follows from (11) that

$$|\psi_m(x)| \le K_\alpha (2^{\alpha+1} - 1)^{\ell/2},\tag{12}$$

and

$$|\psi_{m_N}(x)| \ge \frac{1}{K_{\alpha}} (2^{\alpha+1} - 1)^{\ell/2},$$

if  $\rho(x) = x^{\alpha}$  ( $\alpha > -1, \alpha \neq 0$ ), and if  $x \in [2^{-\ell}, 2^{-\ell+1})$  ( $\ell = 1, 2, ...$ ). From these estimations we get the following

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\rho(x) = x^{\alpha}$  ( $\alpha_0 \ge \alpha > 0$ ), where  $\alpha_0$  is a positive real number with  $2^{\alpha_0-2}\alpha_0 = 1$ , and  $p_0^{\alpha} := \frac{2(\alpha+1)\ln 2}{\ln(2^{\alpha+1}-1)}$ . There exists a function  $f \in L^p_{\rho}$  with divergent  $W^{\rho}$ -Fourier series in  $L^p_{\rho}$ -norm if  $1 \le p \le p_0$  or  $p'_0 \le p \le \infty$ .

262

Tímea Eisner

### 3. Proofs

Proof of Lemma 1. It follows immediately from (3) that if  $\rho \ge \rho_0 > 0$  on  $\mathbb{I}$ , then  $||b_n||_{\infty} < 1$   $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ . We get by definition that in this case  $|E_n \rho| \ge \rho_0$ , and it is known that if  $\rho \in Lip(\alpha, W)$   $(0 < \alpha \leq 1)$ , then  $||E_n\rho - \rho||_{\infty} \leq C_{\alpha}2^{-n\alpha}$ , where  $C_{\alpha}$  is a constant depending only on  $\alpha$  (see [9]). Using these estimations we get

$$\begin{aligned} |b_n| &= \left| \frac{E_n(\rho r_n)}{E_n \rho} \right| = \left| \frac{r_n E_n(\rho r_n)}{E_n \rho} \right| = \left| \frac{E_{n+1} \rho - E_n \rho}{E_n \rho} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{|E_{n+1} \rho - \rho| + |E_n \rho - \rho|}{|E_n \rho|} \leq \frac{C_\alpha 2^{-(n+1)\alpha} + C_\alpha 2^{-n\alpha}}{\rho_0} = A_\alpha 2^{-n\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A_{\alpha} = C_{\alpha}(2^{-\alpha} + 1)/\rho_0$  and taking the supremum we get  $||b_n||_{\infty} \leq A_{\alpha}2^{-n\alpha}$ . Since the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}2^{-n\alpha}$  is convergent if  $\alpha > 0$ , it follows that the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|b_n\|_{\infty}$  is convergent too, and (i) is proved. Since the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|b_n\|_{\infty}$  is convergent, it follows that there exists a constant  $\tilde{K}(<1)$ , and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $||b_n||_{\infty} \leq \tilde{K}$  for n > N. Since  $|b_j| < 1$   $(j = 0, 1, \dots, N)$ and  $b_j$  is continuous on the group, and the group is compact, it follows that  $\|b_j\|_{\infty} < 1$   $(j = 0, \dots, N)$ , and we get that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\|b_n\|_{\infty} \leq K :=$  $\max\{\bar{K}, \|b_0\|_{\infty}, \dots, \|b_n\|_{\infty}\} < 1.$ 

Since  $|b_n| < 1$   $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ , we get by (8) that

$$|a_m^k| = m_k \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \left| \frac{1 - b_j r_j}{1 + b_j r_j} \right|^{1 - m_j} \le m_k \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \left( \frac{1 + |b_j|}{1 - |b_j|} \right)^{1 - m_j} \le \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1 + |b_j|}{1 - |b_j|}.$$
 (13)

Since  $1 + u \le e^u$   $(u \in \mathbb{R})$ , and if  $|u| \le K < 1$ , then  $\frac{1}{1-u} \le e^{su}$ , where  $s = \frac{1}{1-K}$ , we get by (13) that

$$|a_m^k| \le \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} e^{|b_j|} e^{s|b_j|} \le e^{(s+1)\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \|b_j\|_{\infty}},$$
(14)

if  $|b_j| \leq K < 1$   $(j \in \mathbb{N})$ , and  $s = \frac{1}{1-K}$ . Since  $|b_n| < 1$ , and  $r_n^2 = 1$  we can write in view of (3) the functions  $\phi_n$  in the form

$$\phi_n = \frac{r_n(1 - r_n b_n)}{\sqrt{1 - r_n^2 b_n^2}} = r_n \sqrt{\frac{1 - r_n b_n}{1 + r_n b_n}}.$$
(15)

From (15) and (4) we get similarly as in (13) and (14) that

$$|\psi_m| \le e^{\frac{s+1}{2}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|b_k\|_{\infty}},$$

where  $|b_k| \leq K < 1$   $(k \in \mathbb{N})$ , and  $s = \frac{1}{1-K}$ . Since the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ||b_n||_{\infty}$ is convergent, the function series  $a_m^k$  and  $\psi_m$  are uniformly bounded. We get similarly by (5) and (6) that the function  $\frac{\rho}{\rho^{-}}$  is bounded. 

Proof of Theorem 1. It follows from (7) and (9) that the partial sums can be expressed by martingale transforms operators. It is known (see [9]) that if in the martingale transforms operators the function sequences  $a_m^k$  are uniformly bounded, then the martingale transforms operator is bounded in  $L^p$ -norm if  $1 . Since the function sequences <math>\psi_m$  are uniformly bounded too and the function  $\frac{\rho}{\rho^-}$  is bounded (see Lemma 1), it follows by (9) that the partial sums  $S_m^{\rho} f$  are bounded in  $L^p$ -norm  $(1 . <math>\Box$ 

Proof of Lemma 2. Suppose that  $x \in \left[\frac{k}{2^n}, \frac{k+1}{2^n}\right]$   $(k = 0, \dots, 2^n - 1)$ . We get with easy enumeration that

$$(E_n\rho)(x) = 2^n \int_{k2^{-n}}^{(k+1)2^{-n}} t^\alpha dt = \frac{(k+1)^{\alpha+1} - k^{\alpha+1}}{2^{n\alpha}(\alpha+1)},$$
(16)

and

$$(E_n \rho r_n)(x) = 2^n \int_{k2^{-n}}^{(k+1)2^{-n}} t^\alpha r_n(t) dt$$
  
=  $\frac{2(k+1/2)^{\alpha+1} - (k+1)^{\alpha+1} - k^{\alpha+1}}{2^{n\alpha}(\alpha+1)}.$  (17)

Using (16) and (17), we get our statement by (1).  $\Box$ 

Proof of Lemma 3. If  $n < \ell$ , then for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$   $x \in [0, 2^{-n})$ , and so k = 0in (10), and  $b_n(x) = (1/2)^{\alpha} - 1$ . If  $n \ge \ell$ , then  $2^{n-\ell} \le k < k+1 \le 2^{n-\ell+1}$ in (10). Taking the function  $f(u) = u^{\alpha+1}$  and using the Lagrange theorem in (10) we get that there exist real numbers  $\xi_1 \in (k, k+1/2), \xi_2 \in (k+1/2, k+1)$ and  $\xi_3 \in (k, k+1)$  such that

$$b_n(x) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{f'(\xi_1) - f'(\xi_2)}{f'(\xi_3)} = \frac{\xi_1^{\alpha} - \xi_2^{\alpha}}{2\xi_3^{\alpha}}.$$
 (18)

Applying the Lagrange theorem again for the function  $g(u) = u^{\alpha}$  and for the interval  $(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ , we get by (18) that there exists a real number  $\eta \in (\xi_1, \xi_2)$  for which

$$b_n(x) = \frac{(\xi_1 - \xi_2)g'(\eta)}{2\xi_3^{\alpha}} = \frac{(\xi_1 - \xi_2)\alpha\eta^{\alpha - 1}}{2\xi_3^{\alpha}}.$$
 (19)

Since  $\xi_1 < \xi_2$ , we conclude that  $b_n(x)$  has negative sign if  $\alpha > 0$  and has positive sign if  $-1 < \alpha < 0$ . If  $\alpha > 1$ , then we get by (19) that

$$|b_n(x)| \le \frac{\alpha \xi_2^{\alpha - 1}}{2k^{\alpha}} < \frac{\alpha (k+1)^{\alpha - 1}}{2k^{\alpha}} \le 2^{\alpha - 2} \alpha 2^{-n + \ell}.$$
 (20)

If  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , then we get similarly by (19) that

$$|b_n(x)| \le \frac{\alpha \xi_1^{\alpha - 1}}{2k^{\alpha}} < \frac{\alpha (k + 1/2)^{\alpha - 1}}{2k^{\alpha}} \le \frac{\alpha}{2} \, 2^{-n + \ell}.$$
 (21)

Tímea Eisner

If  $-1 < \alpha < 0$ , then we get similarly by (19) that

$$|b_n(x)| \le \frac{|\alpha|\xi_1^{\alpha-1}}{2(k+1)^{\alpha}} < \frac{|\alpha|k^{\alpha-1}}{2(k+1)^{\alpha}} \le \frac{|\alpha|}{2} 2^{-\alpha} \cdot 2^{-n+\ell}.$$
 (22)

With (20), (21), and (22), (ii) is proved. If  $\alpha = 1$ , then we get by (10) that  $b_n(x) = -\frac{1}{4k+2}$ , if  $x \in \left[\frac{k}{2^n}, \frac{k+1}{2^n}\right]$   $(k = 0, \ldots, 2^n - 1)$ , which is a monotone function on (0, 1) and (iii) follows easily.  $\Box$ 

Proof of Lemma 4. Suppose that  $x \in [2^{-\ell}, 2^{-\ell+1})$   $(\ell = 1, 2, ...)$ . Let  $\alpha_0$  be a positive real number with  $2^{\alpha_0-2}\alpha_0 = 1$ , and let

$$B_{\alpha} := \begin{cases} \alpha 2^{\alpha-2}, & \text{if } 1 < \alpha \le \alpha_0 \\ \alpha/2, & \text{if } 0 < \alpha \le 1 \\ \frac{|\alpha|}{2} 2^{-\alpha}, & \text{if } -1 < \alpha \le 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $||b_n||_{\infty} \leq K := \max\{|(1/2)^{\alpha} - 1|, B_{\alpha}\} < 1$ . Since  $r_k(x) = 1$  if  $k < \ell$ , we get by (4), (15) and Lemma 3 that

$$\begin{split} \psi_m(x) &= \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (r_k(x))^{m_k} \prod_{k=0}^{\ell-1} \left( \sqrt{\frac{1-b_k(x)}{1+b_k(x)}} \right)^{m_k} \prod_{k=\ell}^{\infty} \left( \sqrt{\frac{1-r_k(x)b_k(x)}{1+r_k(x)b_k(x)}} \right)^{m_k} \\ &= w_m(x) \left( 2^{\alpha+1} - 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} m_k} \cdot C_{m,\ell}^{\alpha}(x), \end{split}$$

where  $C_{m,\ell}^{\alpha}(x) = \prod_{k=\ell}^{\infty} \left( \sqrt{\frac{1-r_k(x)b_k(x)}{1+r_k(x)b_k(x)}} \right)^{m_k}$ . Then, by Lemma 3, we obtain  $|C_{m,\ell}^{\alpha}(x)| \leq \prod_{k=\ell}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{1+|b_k(x)|}{1-|b_k(x)|}} \leq \prod_{k=\ell}^{\infty} \sqrt{e^{(s+1)|b_k(x)|}} \leq e^{(s+1)B_{\alpha}} =: K_{\alpha},$ 

$$|C_{m,\ell}(x)| \leq \prod_{k=\ell} \sqrt{\frac{1-|b_k(x)|}{1-|b_k(x)|}} \leq \prod_{k=\ell} \sqrt{e^{(1+1)/(k+1)}} \leq e^{(1+1)/(k+1)}$$

and Lemma 4 is proved.  $\Box$ 

Proof of Theorem 2. It was proved by Newman and Rudin in [4] that a necessary condition for the  $L^p_{\rho}$ -convergence of the  $W^{\rho}$ -Fourier series of any function  $f \in L^p_{\rho}$  is

$$\|\psi_m\|_{L^p_{\rho}} \cdot \|\psi_m\|_{L^{p'}_{\rho}} = O(1), \tag{23}$$

where 1/p + 1/p' = 1. We denote by  $\chi_{\ell}(x)$  the characteristic function of the interval  $[2^{-\ell}, 2^{-\ell+1})$  ( $\ell = 1, 2, ...$ ). If  $\rho(x) = x^{\alpha}$  ( $\alpha_0 \ge \alpha > -1$ ),  $0 < N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m_N := \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} 2^k$ , then we get by (12) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_{m_N}\|_{L^p_{\rho}}^p &= \int_0^1 |\psi_{m_N}(x)|^p \rho(x) \, dx = \int_0^1 \sum_{\ell=1}^\infty \chi_\ell(x) |\psi_{m_N}(x)|^p \rho(x) \, dx \\ &\geq \int_0^1 \sum_{\ell=1}^N \chi_\ell(x) \frac{(2^{\alpha+1}-1)^{\ell p/2}}{K^p_{\alpha}} \, \rho(x) \, dx \\ &= \frac{2^{\alpha+1}-1}{(\alpha+1)K^p_{\alpha}} \sum_{\ell=1}^N \left( \frac{(2^{\alpha+1}-1)^{p/2}}{2^{\alpha+1}} \right)^\ell =: C_{\alpha,p} \sum_{\ell=1}^N q_{\alpha,p}^\ell. \end{aligned}$$
(24)

The last series in (24) is divergent if  $q_{\alpha,p} \geq 1$ . This inequality is true, if  $p \geq \frac{2(\alpha+1)\ln 2}{\ln(2^{\alpha+1}-1)} = p_0^{\alpha}$ , and  $0 < \alpha \leq \alpha_0$ . It follows by (23) that the necessary condition for the  $L_{\rho}^{p}$ -convergence of the  $W^{\rho}$ -Fourier series of any function  $f \in L_{\rho}^{p}$  is that  $p'_0 , and Theorem 2 is proved. <math>\Box$ 

Acknowledgment. I wish to express my gratitude to Professor Ferenc Schipp and Professor Péter Simon for their help and advice in preparing this paper.

## References

- G. ALEXITS, "Convergence Problems of Orthogonal Functions", Pergamon Press, New York, 1961.
- [2] A. M. GARSIA, "Martingale Inequalities. Seminar Notes on Recent Progress", Benjamin, Inc., London, Amsterdam, Sydney, Tokyo, 1973.
- [3] J. NEVEU, "Discrete-Parameter Martingales", North Holland. Publ., Amsterdam, 1975.
- [4] J. NEWMAN AND W. RUDIN, Mean convergence of orthogonal series, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1952), 219-222.
- [5] H. POLLARD, The mean convergence of orthogonal series I., Trans. AMS 62 (1947), 387–403.
- [6] F. SCHIPP, On L<sup>p</sup>-norm convergence of series with respect to product systems, Analysis Math. 2 (1976), 49–64.
- [7] F. SCHIPP, On adapted orthonormed systems, East J. Approx., 6, 2 (2000), 157–188.
- [8] F. SCHIPP, On Walsh-functions with respect weights, Math. Balkanica (N. S.).
- [9] F. SCHIPP, W. R. WADE, AND P. SIMON, "Walsh Series", Adam Hilger, Bristol-New York, 1990.
- [10] BL. SENDOV, Walsh-similar functions, East J. Approx. 5, 1 (1999), 1–65.

TÍMEA EISNER University of Pécs Institute of Mathematics and Informatics 7624 Pécs, Ifjúság u. 6 HUNGARY *E-mail:* eisner@ttk.pte.hu

266