

Vector Variants of Some Approximation Theorems of Korovkin and of Sendov and Popov

RADU PĂLTĂNEA

Dedicated to Academician Bl. Sendov on his 70th anniversary

1. Introduction. Higher Order Convexity

Let $(E, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an Euclidean space with the norm denoted by $\|\cdot\|$. Let $I = [a, b]$, $a < b$, be a real interval. Let $C(I, E)$ be the space of continuous functions, endowed with the sup-norm denoted by $\|\cdot\|_I$. If $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $w \in F$, we denote by φw the function $(\varphi w)(x) = \varphi(x)w$. Consider the monomial functions $e_j(x) := x^j$, $x \in I$, $j = 0, 1, \dots$

If $L : C(I, E) \rightarrow C(I, E)$ is a linear operator, we denote

$$\|L\| := \sup_{\|f\|_I \leq 1} \|L(f)\|_I.$$

For any $f \in C(I, E)$ and any distinct points y_1, \dots, y_p of I , we denote by $[f; y_1, \dots, y_p]$, the divided difference of f at these points.

Definition 1 ([2]). *A function $f : I \rightarrow E$ is called convex of order k , $k \geq -1$, if for any strictly ordered (increasing or decreasing) points x_1, \dots, x_{k+3} of I we have*

$$\langle [f; x_1, \dots, x_{k+2}], [f; x_2, \dots, x_{k+3}] \rangle \geq 0, \quad (1)$$

or in an equivalent mode,

$$\|[f; x_1, \dots, x_{k+2}] + t[f; x_2, \dots, x_{k+3}]\| \geq \|[f; x_1, \dots, x_{k+2}]\|, \quad (2)$$

for all $t > 0$. Denote by $K^k(I, E)$ the space of convex functions of order k .

Remark ([2]). In the case $E = \mathbb{R}$, $f \in K^k(I, \mathbb{R})$ if and only if f or $-f$ is convex in the usual sense of order k .

This type of convexity is studied in [2]. In this paper we used only the cases $k = -1$ and $k = 0$, when the convexity generalizes the positivity and the monotonicity.

Definition 2. A linear operator $L : C(I, E) \rightarrow C^{k+1}(I, E)$, $k \geq -1$, is called convex of order k , if for any $f \in C^{k+1}(I, E)$ such that $f^{(k+1)} \in K^{-1}(I, E)$, we have $(L(f))^{(k+1)} \in K^{-1}(I, E)$. In the case $k = -1$ the operator L is called positive.

2. A Korovkin Type Theorem

Lemma 1. Let $\{L_n\}_n$ be a sequence of positive linear operators, $L_n : C(I, E) \rightarrow C(I, E)$ with the property that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|L_n(e_j w) - e_j w\|_I = 0, \quad \text{for all } w \in E, \text{ and } j = 0, 1. \quad (3)$$

Then, there is a positive integer n_0 such that $\|L_n\| \leq 8$, for any $n \geq n_0$.

Proof. Let us denote $\rho := \min \left\{ \frac{1}{240}, \frac{1}{120} \cdot \frac{b-a}{1+|a|} \right\}$. Since the space E is finite dimensional it follows that the limit in (3) is uniform with respect to w , $\|w\| = 1$, and j . In other words, there is a natural number n_0 such that

$$\|L_n(e_j w) - e_j w\|_I < \rho, \quad \text{for all } w \in E, \|w\| = 1, j = 0, 1, n \geq n_0.$$

Let us fix a natural number $n \geq n_0$. Let $f \in C(I, E)$, $\|f\|_I \leq 1$. Suppose that $\|L_n(f)\|_I \geq 8$. Let $z \in I$ be such that $\|L_n(f, z)\| = \|L_n(f)\|_I$. We distinguish between two cases.

Case 1. For all $x \in I$ we have $\|L_n(f, x) - L_n(f, z)\| < \frac{1}{32} \|L_n(f)\|_I$. It follows that $\|L_n(f, x) - L_n(f, y)\| \leq \frac{1}{16} \|L_n(f)\|_I$, for all $x, y \in I$ and also $\|L_n(f, x)\| \geq \frac{31}{32} \|L_n(f)\|_I$, for all $x \in I$. Define

$$w := \frac{L_n(f, a)}{\|L_n(f, a)\|}, \quad \mu := \frac{4}{5} \|L_n(f, a)\|, \quad g := \frac{\mu}{2(b-a)} ((2b-3a)e_0 + e_1)w - f.$$

We show that $g \in K^{-1}(I, E)$. Let $x, y \in I$ and $t > 0$. Note that $\mu > 6$. We have successively:

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(x) + tg(y)\| - \|g(x)\| &\geq t (\|g(x)\| - \|g(x) - g(y)\|) \\ &\geq t \left[\mu \left(1 + \frac{x-a}{2(b-a)} \right) \|w\| - 2\|f(x)\| - \|f(y)\| - \mu \frac{|y-x|}{2(b-a)} \|w\| \right] \\ &\geq t \left(\frac{1}{2} \mu - 3 \right) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since L_n is positive it follows that $L_n(g) \in K^{-1}(I, E)$. But, on the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|L_n(g, a) + L_n(g, b)\| - \|L_n(g, a)\| \\ & \leq \left\| \frac{5}{2}\mu w - L_n(f, a) - L_n(f, b) \right\| - \|\mu w - L_n(f, a)\| \\ & \quad + \mu \left[\left(3 + \frac{3|a|}{2(b-a)} \right) \|L_n(e_0 w) - e_0 w\|_I + \frac{3}{2(b-a)} \|L_n(e_1 w) - e_1 w\|_I \right] \\ & \leq \|L_n(f, a) - L_n(f, b)\| - \frac{1}{4}\mu + \frac{\mu}{40} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{16} \|L_n(f)\|_I - \frac{9}{50} \|L_n(f, a)\| < 0, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

Case 2. There exists $x \in I$ such that $\|L_n(f, x) - L_n(f, z)\| \geq \frac{1}{32} \|L_n(f)\|_I$. Define

$$\mu := \frac{1}{5} \|L_n(f, x) + 4L_n(f, z)\|, \quad w := \frac{1}{5\mu} (L_n(f, x) + 4L_n(f, z)), \quad g := \mu e_0 w - f.$$

We have

$$\mu > \frac{4}{5} \|L_n(f, z)\| - \frac{1}{5} \|L_n(f, x)\| \geq \frac{3}{5} \|L_n(f)\|_I \geq \frac{24}{5}.$$

For any $x_1, x_2 \in I$ and $t > 0$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(x_1) + t g(x_2)\| - \|g(x_1)\| & \geq t (\|g(x_1)\| - \|g(x_1) - g(x_2)\|) \\ & \geq t (\mu \|w\| - 2\|f(x_1)\| - \|f(x_2)\|) \\ & \geq t(\mu - 3) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $g \in K^{-1}(I, E)$. Then $L_n(g) \in K^{-1}(I, E)$. But

$$\begin{aligned} & \|L_n(g, x) + 4L_n(g, z)\| - \|L_n(g, x)\| \\ & \leq 6\mu \|L_n(e_0 w) - e_0 w\|_I - \|\mu w - L_n(f, x)\| \\ & < 6\mu\rho - \frac{4}{5} \|L_n(f, z) - L_n(f, x)\| \leq \|L_n(f)\|_I \left(6\rho - \frac{1}{40} \right) \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and we arrived again at a contradiction. Therefore $\|L_n(f)\|_I < 8$. The lemma is proved. \square

Theorem 1. Let $\{L_n\}_n$ be a sequence of positive linear operators $L_n : C(I, E) \rightarrow C(I, E)$ with the property

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|L_n(e_j w) - e_j w\|_I = 0, \quad \text{for all } w \in E \text{ and } j = 0, 1, 2.$$

Then, for any $f \in C(I, E)$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|L_n(f) - f\|_I = 0. \quad (4)$$

Proof. First, we consider the particular case where $f \in C^2(I, E)$. Let n_0 be the integer assured by Lemma 1. Let us fix a point $x \in I$ and set $v := f'(x)$. By Taylor's formula,

$$f(y) = f(x) + (y - x)v + \int_x^y (y - u)f''(u) du, \quad y \in I.$$

Now we choose a number μ such that

$$\mu > \max \left\{ \|f''\|_I, \frac{16}{(b - a)^2} (11\|f\|_I + (b - a)\|v\| + 1) \right\}.$$

For any $w \in E$ with $\|w\| = 1$ consider the functions

$$h_w := e_0 f(x) + (e_1 - x e_0)v + \mu(e_1 - x e_0)^2 w \quad \text{and} \quad g_w := h_w - f.$$

We claim that $g_w \in K^{-1}(I, E)$. Indeed, let $y_1, y_2 \in I$. Consider first the case when $y_1 \neq x, y_2 \neq x$. For $i = 1, 2$, put

$$T_i := (y_i - x)^{-2} \int_x^{y_i} (y_i - u)f''(u) du.$$

Clearly $\|T_i\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\|f''\|_I$. One obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g(y_1), g(y_2) \rangle &= (y_1 - x)^2 (y_2 - x)^2 [\mu^2 - \mu(\langle w, T_1 \rangle + \langle w, T_2 \rangle) + \langle T_1, T_2 \rangle] \\ &= (y_1 - x)^2 (y_2 - x)^2 \left[\mu^2 - \frac{1}{2}\mu\|f''\|_I - \frac{1}{4}\|f''\|_I^2 \right] \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

For $y_1 = x$ or $y_2 = x$ the inequality above is immediate. Consequently, $L_n(g_w)$ is positive.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. From the hypothesis there exists $n_x \in \mathbb{N}, n_x \geq n_0$, such that

$$\|L_n(h_w) - h_w\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \quad \text{for all } w \in E, \|w\| = 1, n \geq n_x.$$

Suppose that there is $n \geq n_x$ such that $\|L_n(f, x) - f(x)\| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Consider, for a choice that $x \leq \frac{1}{2}(a + b)$. Choose

$$w := \frac{L_n(f, x) - f(x)}{\|L_n(f, x) - f(x)\|}, \quad \text{and put} \quad \lambda := \frac{\|L_n(f, x) - f(x)\|}{\mu(b - x)^2}.$$

Note that $h_w(x) = f(x)$ and

$$\lambda \leq \frac{\|f\|_I + \|L_n(f)\|_I}{\mu(b - x)^2} \leq \frac{9\|f\|_I}{\mu(b - x)^2} < 1.$$

It follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|L_n(g_w, b) + L_n(g_w, x)\| - \|L_n(g_w, b)\| \\
& \leq \|\lambda L_n(g_w, b) + L_n(g_w, x)\| - \lambda \|L_n(g_w, b)\| \\
& \leq 2\lambda \|L_n(g_w, b) - g_w(b)\| + \|L_n(h_w, x) - h_w(x)\| \\
& \quad + \|\lambda g_w(b) - L_n(f, x) + f(x)\| - \lambda \|g_w(b)\| \\
& \leq 2\lambda((b-x)\|v\| + \|f(x)\| + \|f(b)\|) \\
& \quad + 2\lambda(\|L_n(h_w, b) - h_w(b)\| + \|L_n(f, b)\| + \|f(b)\|) + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} - \lambda(b-x)^2\mu \\
& \leq 2\lambda\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{4} + 11\|f\|_I + (b-a)\|v\|\right) + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} - \lambda(b-x)^2\mu \\
& < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda(b-x)^2\mu \leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The contradiction that we obtained prove that $\|L_n(f, x) - f(x)\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. From the continuity of the function $L_n(f) - f$ it follows that there is a neighbourhood V_x of x such that

$$\|L_n(f, y) - f(y)\| < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } y \in I \cap V_x, \quad n \geq n_x.$$

Since I is compact, there are the points $x_1, \dots, x_m \in I$ such that $I \subset V_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup V_{x_m}$. Set $n_\varepsilon := \max\{n_{x_1}, \dots, n_{x_m}\}$. Then we have $\|L_n(f) - f\|_I < \varepsilon$, for $n \geq n_\varepsilon$.

Consider now the general case, when $f \in C(I, E)$. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Choose $\tilde{f} \in C^2(I, E)$, such that $\|f - \tilde{f}\|_I < \frac{\varepsilon}{18}$. There is $n_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$, $n_\varepsilon > n_0$, such that $\|L_n(\tilde{f}) - \tilde{f}\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, for $n \geq n_\varepsilon$. Then, for such integers n we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|L_n(f) - f\|_I & \leq \|L_n(f - \tilde{f})\|_I + \|L_n(\tilde{f}) - \tilde{f}\|_I + \|\tilde{f} - f\|_I \\
& \leq 9\|f - \tilde{f}\|_I + \|L_n(\tilde{f}) - \tilde{f}\|_I < \varepsilon. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

3. Simultaneous Approximation

In this section we give a generalization of the theorem of Sendov and Popov on simultaneous approximation. The idea of the proof is the same as in [1].

Lemma 2. *If $a, b, v \in E$ are such that $\|a\| = \|b\| = \|v\| = 1$ and $\langle a, b \rangle \leq 0$ then $\max\{\langle a, v \rangle, \langle b, v \rangle\} \geq -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$.*

Proof. Let $m := \dim E$. Suppose that $m \geq 2$ and $a \neq -b$, since otherwise, lemma is obvious. Let $\{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$ be an orthonormal base such that $u_1 = \frac{a+b}{\|a+b\|}$, $u_2 = \frac{a-b}{\|a-b\|}$. We have the representation $v = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i u_i$, where $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^2 = 1$.

We get

$$\begin{aligned} & \max\{\langle a, v \rangle, \langle b, v \rangle\} \\ &= \max\left\{ \frac{\lambda_1(1 + \langle a, b \rangle)}{\|a + b\|} + \frac{\lambda_2(1 - \langle a, b \rangle)}{\|a - b\|}, \frac{\lambda_1(\langle a, b \rangle + 1)}{\|a + b\|} + \frac{\lambda_2(\langle a, b \rangle - 1)}{\|a - b\|} \right\} \\ &= \frac{\lambda_1(1 + \langle a, b \rangle)}{\|a + b\|} + \frac{|\lambda_2|(1 - \langle a, b \rangle)}{\|a - b\|}. \end{aligned}$$

In this expression, let consider a, b be fixed and v variable. The minimum value is obtained for $\lambda_1 = -1$ and $\lambda_i = 0$, for $2 \leq i \leq m$ and it is equal to

$$-\frac{1 + \langle a, b \rangle}{\|a + b\|} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \sqrt{1 + \langle a, b \rangle} \geq e - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad \square$$

Lemma 3. *If the sequence of functions $\{f_n\}_n, f_n \in C^1(I, E)$ is uniformly convergent on I to the function $f \in C^1(I, E)$, and if $f'_n \in K^0(I, E), n \in \mathbb{N}$, then for any subinterval $[c, d] \subset (a, b)$, the sequence $\{f'_n\}_n$ is uniformly convergent on $[c, d]$ to f' .*

Proof. Suppose the contrary. Then there are a number $\lambda > 0$, a sequence $(x_k)_k, x_k \in [c, d]$ and a subsequence of natural numbers $(n_k)_k$ such that

$$\|f'(x_k) - (f_{n_k})'(x_k)\| > \lambda, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

There is $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $\|f'(x) - f'(y)\| < \frac{\lambda}{4}$, for all $x, y \in I, |x - y| < \delta_1$. Put $\delta := \min\{\delta_1, c - a, b - d\}$ and $\rho := \frac{1}{8}\lambda\delta$. Let k be fixed such that $\|f - f_{n_k}\|_{[a, b]} < \rho$. Put $g := f_{n_k}$ and

$$T_1 := \int_{x_k - \delta}^{x_k} (g'(t) - g'(x_k)) dt, \quad T_2 := \int_{x_k}^{x_k + \delta} (g'(t) - g'(x_k)) dt.$$

Since $g' \in K^0(I, E)$ it follows that $\langle g'(t_1) - g'(x_k), g(t_2) - g(x_k) \rangle \leq 0$, for all $t_1 \in [a, x_k), t_2 \in (x_k, b]$. If we approximate the integrals T_1 and T_2 by Riemann sums we get from above that

$$\langle T_1, T_2 \rangle \leq 0.$$

First consider that $T_i \neq 0, i = 1, 2$. Define

$$\alpha := \frac{T_1}{\|T_1\|}, \quad \beta := \frac{T_2}{\|T_2\|}, \quad v := \frac{u}{\|u\|},$$

where $u := \delta(g'(x_k) - f'(x_k))$. By Lemma 2 we have $\max\{\langle \alpha, v \rangle, \langle \beta, v \rangle\} \geq$

$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. Suppose, for a choice that $\langle \beta, v \rangle \geq -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. We have successively

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(x_k + \delta) - f(x_k + \delta)\| &= \left\| g(x_k) + \int_{x_k}^{x_k + \delta} g'(t) dt - f(x_k) - \int_{x_k}^{x_k + \delta} f'(t) dt \right\| \\ &\geq \left\| \int_{x_k}^{x_k + \delta} (g'(t) - f'(t)) dt \right\| - \rho \\ &\geq \left\| \int_{x_k}^{x_k + \delta} (g'(t) - f'(x_k)) dt \right\| - \left\| \int_{x_k}^{x_k + \delta} (f'(x_k) - f'(t)) dt \right\| - \rho \\ &\geq \left\| \int_{x_k}^{x_k + \delta} (g'(t) - f'(x_k)) dt \right\| - 3\rho = \|T_2 + u\| - 3\rho \\ &= \sqrt{\|T_2\|^2 + \|u\|^2 + 2\langle T_2, u \rangle} - 3\rho \geq \sqrt{\|T_2\|^2 + \|u\|^2 - \sqrt{2}\|T_2\| \|u\|} - 3\rho \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\|u\| - 3\rho > \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda\delta - 3\rho > \rho. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtained a contradiction. In the case $T_2 = 0$, then like as above we obtain $\|g(x_k + \delta) - f(x_k + \delta)\| \geq \|u\| - 3\rho > \rho$. Contradiction. Lemma is proved. \square

Theorem 2. Let $\{L_n\}_n$ be a sequence of linear operators $L_n : C(I, E) \rightarrow C^{r+1}(I, E)$, $r \geq 1$, with the properties:

- 1) L_n are convex of order k for $-1 \leq k \leq r$.
- 2) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|L(e_j w) - e_j w\|_{[a, b]} = 0$, for all $w \in E$ and $0 \leq j \leq r + 2$.

Then, for any subinterval $[c, d] \subset (a, b)$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(L_n(f))^{(r)} - f^{(r)}\|_{[c, d]} = 0, \quad \text{for all } f \in C^r(I, E). \quad (5)$$

Proof. Fix a subinterval $[c, d] \subset [a, b]$. For $0 \leq k \leq r$, consider the points $c_k := a + \frac{k}{r}(c - a)$ and $d_k := b - \frac{k}{r}(b - d)$. We prove by induction with respect to $0 \leq k \leq r$ the following relations

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(L_n(e_j w))^{(k)} - (e_j w)^{(k)}\|_{[c_k, d_k]} = 0, \quad (6)$$

for all $w \in E$, $0 \leq j \leq r + 2$. For $k = 0$ relations (6) are assured by the condition 2) of the theorem. Suppose now that relations (6) are true for $k < r$ and prove it for $k + 1$. Fix w and j . One can obtain immediately that $(e_j w)^{(k+2)} \in K^{-1}(I, E)$. Since L_n is an operator which is convex of order $k + 1$, then $(L_n(e_j w))^{(k+2)} \in K^{-1}(I, E)$. It follows that $(L_n(e_j w))^{(k+1)} \in K^0(I, E)$. Indeed, let us denote $g := (L_n(e_j w))^{(k+1)}$ and take the points $x_1 < x_2 < x_3$ of I . For any $s \in [x_1, x_2]$ and $t \in [x_2, x_3]$ we have $\langle g'(s), g'(t) \rangle \geq 0$. Then, by approximation of the integrals $\int_{x_1}^{x_2} g'(s) ds$ and $\int_{x_2}^{x_3} g'(t) dt$ by Riemann sums,

we get $\langle \int_{x_1}^{x_2} g'(s) ds, \int_{x_2}^{x_3} g'(t) dt \rangle \geq 0$, that is $\langle g(x_2) - g(x_1), g(x_3) - g(x_2) \rangle \geq 0$.

Then using Lemma 3, we get relation (6) for $k + 1$.

Now, consider the operators

$$U(g, x) := \int_a^x \frac{(x-t)^{r-1}}{(r-1)!} g(t) dt, \quad g \in C(I, E), \quad x \in I; \quad R_n := (L_n \circ U)^{(r)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If $f \in C^r(I, E)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$(L_n(f))^{(r)} = \sum_{p=0}^{r-1} \sum_{j=0}^p \binom{p}{j} \frac{(-a)^{p-j}}{p!} (L_n(e_j f^{(p)}(a)))^{(r)} + R_n(f^{(r)}). \quad (7)$$

From relations (7) and (6), (for $k = r$ and $0 \leq j \leq r - 1$), it follows that in order to prove the theorem it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|R_n(f^{(r)}) - f^{(r)}\|_{[c,d]} = 0.$$

For this we apply Theorem 1. Since $(U(g))^{(r)} = g$ for any $g \in C(I, E)$ and L_n is convex of order $r - 1$, it follows that the operator R_n is positive. It remains to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|R_n(e_j w) - e_j w\|_{[c,d]} = 0, \quad \text{for all } w \in E \text{ and } j = 0, 1, 2. \quad (8)$$

For such $w \in E$ and j we have, after a short calculus, that

$$R_n(e_j w) = \left[L_n \left(\left(\sum_{i=0}^j \frac{i!}{(r+i)!} \binom{j}{i} a^{j-i} (e_1 - ae_0)^{r+i} \right) w \right) \right]^{(r)}. \quad (9)$$

Now from (9) we can remark that relations (6) imply relations (8). \square

References

- [1] BL. SENDOV AND V. POPOV, Convergence of the derivatives of positive linear operators, *C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci.* **22** (1969), 507–509. [In Russian]
- [2] R. PĂLTĂNEA, “Approximation Operators and Their Connections with Some Particular Allures”, Ph.D. Thesis, Cluj-Napoca, 1992. [In Romanian]

RADU PĂLTĂNEA

Transilvania University

Department of Mathematics

Eroilor Street 29, Braşov – 2200

ROMANIA

E-mail: r.paltanea@info.unitbv.ro