

Approximate Recovery of Functions and Besov Spaces of Dominating Mixed Smoothness

WINFRIED SICKEL *

We derive an estimate from above for the approximate optimal recovery of bivariate periodic functions taken from a Besov space of dominating mixed smoothness.

1. Approximate Optimal Recovery

We study the effectiveness of the approximation by generalized sampling operators. Let F be a class of continuous, periodic functions defined on $\mathbb{T}^2 = [0, 2\pi)^2$. Then, following [15, Chapter 4, Section 5], we consider for fixed m , $\xi = (\xi^1, \xi^2, \dots, \xi^m)$, $\xi^j \in \mathbb{T}^2$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, and $\psi_1(x_1, x_2), \dots, \psi_m(x_1, x_2)$ the linear operator

$$\Psi_m(f, \xi)(x_1, x_2) := \sum_{j=1}^m f(\xi^j) \psi_j(x_1, x_2)$$

and define the quantities

$$\Psi_m(F, \xi, L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)) := \sup_{f \in F} \|\Psi_m(f, \xi) - f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)}$$

and

$$\varrho_m(F, L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)) := \inf_{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m} \inf_{\xi} \Psi_m(F, \xi, L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)).$$

Hence $\varrho_m(F, L_p(\mathbb{T}^2))$ measures the optimal approximate recovery of the functions from F . Here we are interested in the case when F is the unit ball in a Besov space $S_{p,q}^r B(\mathbb{T}^2)$ of dominating mixed smoothness (a definition will be given below). Our main result reads as follows.

Theorem 1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, and $r > 1/p$. Let F be the unit ball in $S_{p,q}^r B(\mathbb{T}^2)$. For any natural number m there exists a system of points $\xi^1, \dots, \xi^m \in \mathbb{T}^2$, a collection of trigonometric polynomials $\psi_1(x_1, x_2), \dots, \psi_m(x_1, x_2)$, and a constant C (independent of m) such that*

$$\sup_{f \in F} \|\Psi_m(f, \xi) - f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)} \leq C m^{-r} (\log m)^{r+1-1/q}. \quad (1)$$

*Supported by the German Research Foundation under Grant No. SCHM 969-3.

Remark 1. Our proof will be constructive. The functions $\psi_j(x_1, x_2)$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, are always certain tensor products of shifts of the (one-dimensional) Dirichlet kernel. Also the points ξ are given explicitly, cf. Section 2.

Remark 2. In case $q = \infty$ the estimate (1) has been proved earlier by Temlyakov, cf. [15, Chapter 4, Theorem 5.1].

2. The Sampling Operator

As usual, \mathbb{N} stands for the natural numbers, by \mathbb{N}_0 we denote the natural numbers including 0 and by \mathbb{Z}^d the d -tupels of integers. Let

$$\mathcal{D}_m(t) := \sum_{|k| \leq m} e^{ikt}, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

be the Dirichlet kernel and let

$$I_m f(t) := \frac{1}{2m+1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{2m} f(t_\ell) \mathcal{D}_m(t - t_\ell), \quad t_\ell = \frac{2\pi\ell}{2m+1},$$

be the unique trigonometric polynomial of degree less than or equal to m which interpolates f at the nodes t_ℓ . We do not need the complete sequence of interpolatory polynomials of a given function. We concentrate on a dyadic subsequence. To have a convenient notation we put $L_j := I_{2^j}$, $j = 0, 1, \dots$. By $L_{j,k} := L_j \otimes L_k$ we denote the tensor product of L_j and L_k . The sampling operators B_m we are going to study are defined as

$$B_m := \sum_{j=0}^m L_{j,m-j} - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} L_{j,m-j-1}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

This is Smolyak's construction (sometimes called Smolyak algorithm or blending operators) with respect to the L_j , cf. e.g. [2, 11, 12, 15, 17]. We collect a few properties of B_m . Therefore we need some further notations. As usual, let

$$c_k(f) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f(t) e^{-ikt} dt, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^d,$$

be the Fourier coefficient of $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)$. We put

$$\mathcal{T}_m := \left\{ \left(\frac{2\pi\ell_1}{2^{j+1} + 1}, \frac{2\pi\ell_2}{2^{m-j+1} + 1} \right) : 0 \leq \ell_1 \leq 2^{j+1}, 0 \leq \ell_2 \leq 2^{m-j+1}, \right. \\ \left. j = 0, \dots, m \right\}.$$

Lemma 1. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

- (i) B_m uses samples of f from the sparse grid $\mathcal{T}_m \cup \mathcal{T}_{m-1}$.
- (ii) It holds $c_k(B_m f) = 0$ if

$$k \notin H_m := \{(\ell_1, \ell_2) : \exists r \in (\mathbb{N}_0 \cap [0, m]) \text{ s.t. } |\ell_1| \leq 2^r \text{ and } |\ell_2| \leq 2^{m-r}\}.$$

- (iii) Suppose that f is a trigonometric polynomial with harmonics from H_m . Then $B_m f = f$.

Proof. Using the projection property of L_j the proof is elementary, but see also [14].

3. Besov Spaces of Dominating Mixed Smoothness

For us it is convenient to introduce the Besov spaces by making use of a Littlewood-Paley decomposition, cf. [6, 9]. Let

$$P_0 = (-1, 1), \quad P_j = \{x : 2^{j-1} \leq |x| < 2^j\}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$P_{j,k} = P_j \times P_k, \quad j, k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

As an abbreviation we shall use

$$f_{j,k}(x) = \sum_{\ell \in P_{j,k}} c_\ell(f) e^{i\ell x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{T}^2, \quad j, k \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

which results in

$$f = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_{j,k}.$$

Let $1 < p < \infty$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, and $r > 0$. Then the Besov space $S_{p,q}^r B(\mathbb{T}^2)$ of dominating mixed smoothness is the collection of all functions $f \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{S_{p,q}^r B(\mathbb{T}^2)} := \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{r(j+k)q} \|f_{j,k}\|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)}^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty.$$

For $r > 1/p$ one knows that $S_{p,q}^r B(\mathbb{T}^2)$ contains continuous functions only, cf. [9, 2.4.1].

4. The Approximation Power of B_m

Let I be the identity operator (we do not indicate the space where I is considered, hoping this will be clear from the context). We recall the identity

$$I \otimes I - B_m = (I - L_m) \otimes L_0 + I \otimes (I - L_m) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (I - L_j) \otimes (L_{m-j} - L_{m-j-1}), \tag{2}$$

valid for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, cf. [2, Prop. 1.4/2] or [17], the following assertion concerning tensor products of Sobolev spaces

$$W_p^r(\mathbb{T}) \otimes_{\alpha_p} W_p^r(\mathbb{T}) = S_p^r W(\mathbb{T}^2), \quad 1 < p < \infty, r \geq 0, \tag{3}$$

(here α_p denotes the p -nuclear norm and $S_p^r W(\mathbb{T}^2)$ denotes a Sobolev space of dominating mixed smoothness), cf. [13], and

$$\|f - L_j f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{T})} \leq c 2^{-jr} \|f\|_{W_p^r(\mathbb{T})} \tag{4}$$

with some constant c independent of f and j ($1 < p < \infty, r > 1/p$, cf. [3, 4, 15, 10]). Since α_p is an uniform norm it follows from (2)–(4) that

$$\|f - B_m f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)} \leq C m 2^{-mr} \|f\|_{S_p^r W(\mathbb{T}^2)} \tag{5}$$

($1 < p < \infty, r > 1/p$) holds with some constant C independent of f and m . In what follows we shall show that one can replace the factor m on the right-hand side of (5) by m^γ with $\gamma < 1$. We denote $a \sim b$ if there exists a constant $c > 0$ (independent of the context dependent relevant parameters) such that

$$c^{-1} a \leq b \leq c a.$$

Proposition 1. *Suppose $1 < p < \infty, 1 \leq q \leq \infty$, and $r > 1/p$. Then*

$$\|I - B_m : S_{p,q}^r B(\mathbb{T}^2) \mapsto L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)\| \sim m^{1-\frac{1}{q}} 2^{-mr}. \tag{6}$$

Proof. Step 1. Using the projection property of L_j we derive

$$\left((I - L_j) \otimes (L_{m-j} - L_{m-j-1}) \right) f_{u,v} = 0 \tag{7}$$

if either $j \geq u$ or if $m - j - 1 \geq v$. Next we recall the Littlewood-Paley characterization of $S_p^r W(\mathbb{T}^2)$. If $1 < p < \infty$ and $r \geq 0$, then

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{r(j+k)2} |f_{j,k}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)} \tag{8}$$

generates an equivalent norm on $S_p^r W(\mathbb{T}^2)$, cf. [6]. Let r_0 be a real number such that $1/p < r_0 < r$. Further, we shall use the abbreviation $a_+ = \max\{a, 0\}$ for real numbers a . We derive from (4), (7), and (8)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left((I - L_j) \otimes (L_{m-j} - L_{m-j-1}) \right) f_{u,v} \Big|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)} \right\| \\ & \leq \sum_{j=\max\{0, m-v\}}^{\min\{u-1, m-1\}} \left\| (I - L_j) \otimes (L_{m-j} - L_{m-j-1}) f_{u,v} \Big|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)} \right\| \\ & \leq c_1 2^{-mr_0} \left(\min\{u-1, m-1\} - \max\{0, m-v\} \right)_+ \|f_{u,v} \Big|_{S_p^{r_0} W(\mathbb{T}^2)}\| \\ & \leq c_2 2^{-mr_0} \left(\min\{u-1, m-1\} - \max\{0, m-v\} \right)_+ 2^{(u+v)r_0} \|f_{u,v} \Big|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)}\| \end{aligned}$$

for some constant c_2 independent of f . Because of (3), (2) and (4) this implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f_{u,v} - B_m f_{u,v} \Big|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)}\| \\ & \leq c 2^{-mr_0} \left(\min\{u, m\} - \max\{0, m-v\} \right)_+ 2^{(u+v)r_0} \|f_{u,v} \Big|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)}\| \\ & \quad + \left\| \left((I - L_m) \otimes L_0 \right) f_{u,v} \Big|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)} \right\| + \left\| (I \otimes (I - L_m)) f_{u,v} \Big|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)} \right\| \quad (9) \\ & \leq c \left(1 + \left(\min\{u, m\} - \max\{0, m-v\} \right)_+ \right) 2^{(u+v-m)r_0} \|f_{u,v} \Big|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)}\|, \end{aligned}$$

for some constant c independent of f, m, u and v and for arbitrary $r_0 > 1/p$. Here we used also the boundedness of L_0 considered as a mapping of $W_p^{r_0}(\mathbb{T})$ into $L_p(\mathbb{T})$, cf. (3) and (4).

Step 2. Let $1/q + 1/q' = 1$. For given m we shall use the splitting $f = f_1 + f_2 + f_3 + f_4 + f_5$, where

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= \sum_{u+v \leq m} f_{u,v}, & f_2 &= \sum_{u=1}^m \sum_{v=m-u+1}^m f_{u,v}, & f_3 &= \sum_{u=0}^m \sum_{v=m+1}^{\infty} f_{u,v}, \\ f_4 &= \sum_{u=m+1}^{\infty} \sum_{v=0}^m f_{u,v}, & \text{and } f_5 &= \sum_{u=m+1}^{\infty} \sum_{v=m+1}^{\infty} f_{u,v}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1(iii) yields $B_m f_1 = f_1$. Furthermore, with $r_0 < r$ we derive from (9) and Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_2 - B_m f_2 \Big|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)}\| & \leq c_1 \sum_{u=1}^m \sum_{v=m-u+1}^m 2^{(u+v-m)r_0} (u+v-m) \|f_{u,v} \Big|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)}\| \\ & \leq c_1 2^{-mr_0} \left(\sum_{u=1}^m \sum_{v=m-u+1}^m 2^{(u+v)(r_0-r)q'} (u+v-m)^{q'} \right)^{1/q'} \|f_2 \Big|_{S_{p,q}^r B(\mathbb{T}^2)}\| \\ & = c_1 2^{-mr} \left(\sum_{u=1}^m \sum_{\ell=1}^u 2^{\ell(r_0-r)q'} \ell^{q'} \right)^{1/q'} \|f_2 \Big|_{S_{p,q}^r B(\mathbb{T}^2)}\| \\ & \leq c_2 (m+1)^{1/q'} 2^{-mr} \|f_2 \Big|_{S_{p,q}^r B(\mathbb{T}^2)}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we proceed in estimating $f_i - B_m f_i$, $i = 3, 4, 5$. We find

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_3 - B_m f_3\|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)} &\leq c_1 \sum_{u=0}^m \sum_{v=m+1}^{\infty} 2^{(u+v-m)r_0} (1+u) \|f_{u,v}\|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)} \\ &\leq c_1 \|f_3\|_{S_{p,\infty}^r B(\mathbb{T}^2)} \sum_{u=0}^m \sum_{v=m+1}^{\infty} 2^{(u+v)(r_0-r)} 2^{-mr_0} (1+u) \\ &\leq c_2 2^{-mr} \|f_3\|_{S_{p,\infty}^r B(\mathbb{T}^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

and analogously

$$\|f_i - B_m f_i\|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)} \leq c 2^{-mr} \|f_i\|_{S_{p,\infty}^r B(\mathbb{T}^2)}, \quad i = 4, 5.$$

This proves the estimate from above.

Step 3. Estimate from below. We employ lacunary series as test functions. Let

$$f_m(x_1, x_2) := \sum_{u=2}^{m-1} e^{i2^u x_1 + i2^{m-u+1} x_2}, \quad m = 3, 4, \dots \tag{10}$$

Then

$$B_m f_m(x_1, x_2) = -(m-2) e^{-i(x_1+x_2)} + \sum_{u=2}^{m-1} e^{i2^u x_1 - i x_2} + \sum_{u=2}^{m-1} e^{-i x_1 + i2^{m-u+1} x_2}.$$

Obviously

$$\|f_m\|_{S_{p,q}^r B(\mathbb{T}^2)} \sim m^{1/q} 2^{mr}. \tag{11}$$

To calculate the L_p -norm of f_m and B_m we shall use the following Littlewood-Paley assertion, cf. [6]. There exist positive constants A_p and B_p such that

$$A_p \|f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)} \leq \left\| \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |f_{j,k}(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)} \leq B_p \|f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)}$$

holds for all $f \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)$ ($1 < p < \infty$). This yields

$$\|f_m\|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)} \sim m^{1/2}, \tag{12}$$

$$\|B_m f_m\|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)} \sim m, \tag{13}$$

if $1 < p < \infty$. Combining (11) with (12) and (13) the estimate from below follows. The proof is complete.

Corollary 1. (i) *Suppose $1 < p \leq 2$ and $r > 1/p$. Then*

$$\|I - B_m : S_p^r W(\mathbb{T}^2) \mapsto L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)\| \sim m^{1/2} 2^{-mr}.$$

(ii) *Suppose $2 < p < \infty$ and $r > 1/p$. Then there exists a constant c such that*

$$\|I - B_m : S_p^r W(\mathbb{T}^2) \mapsto L_p(\mathbb{T}^2)\| \leq c m^{1-1/p} 2^{-mr}.$$

Proof. The estimate from above becomes a consequence of the continuous embedding $S_p^r W(\mathbb{T}^2) \hookrightarrow S_{p, \max\{p, 2\}}^r B(\mathbb{T}^2)$, cf. [8]. In (i) the estimate from below follows from

$$\|f_m |S_p^r W(\mathbb{T}^2)\| \sim m^{1/2} 2^{mr},$$

where f_m are the functions defined in (10).

Proof of the Theorem. Because of $|\mathcal{T}_m| \leq (m+1)2^{m+2}$ the sampling operator B_m can be used to estimate ϱ_{M_m} , where $M_m = (3m+1)2^{m+1}$. An application of Proposition 1 yields an upper bound for this particular sequence ϱ_{M_m} , $m = 1, 2, \dots$. Using the monotonicity of the numbers ϱ_M we arrive at (1). This completes the proof.

References

- [1] G. BASZENSKI AND F.-J. DELVOS, A discrete Fourier transform scheme for Boolean sums of trigonometric operators, in "International Series of Numerical Mathematics", pp. 15–24, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1989.
- [2] F.-J. DELVOS AND W. SCHEMPP, "Boolean Methods in Interpolation and Approximation", Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, 1989.
- [3] V. N. HRISTOV, On convergence of some interpolation processes in integral discrete norms, in "Constructive Theory of Functions '81", pp. 185–188, BAN, Sofia, 1983.
- [4] K. G. IVANOV, On the rates of convergence of two moduli of functions, *Pliska Stud. Math. Bulgar.* **15** (1983), 97–104.
- [5] P. I. LIZORKIN, On Fourier multipliers in spaces $L_{p, \Theta}$, *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov* **89** (1967), 231–248.
- [6] S. M. NIKOL'SKIJ, "Approximation of Functions of Several Variables and Imbedding Theorems", Springer, Berlin, 1975.
- [7] H.-J. SCHMEISSER, An unconditional basis in periodic spaces with dominating mixed smoothness properties, *Anal. Math.* **13** (1987), 153–168.
- [8] H.-J. SCHMEISSER AND W. SICKEL, Spaces of functions of mixed smoothness and their relations to approximation from hyperbolic crosses, Preprint, Jena, 2002.
- [9] H.-J. SCHMEISSER AND H. TRIEBEL, "Topics in Fourier Analysis and Function Spaces", Wiley, Chichester, 1987.
- [10] W. SICKEL, Some remarks on trigonometric interpolation on the n -torus, *Z. Anal. Anwendungen* **10** (1991), 551–562.
- [11] W. SICKEL AND F. SPRENGEL, Interpolation on sparse grids and Nikol'skij-Besov spaces of dominating mixed smoothness, *J. Comp. Anal. Appl.* **1** (1999), 263–288.

- [12] S. A. SMOLYAK, Quadrature and interpolation formulas for tensor products of certain classes of functions, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk USSR* **148** (1963), 1042–1045.
- [13] F. SPRENGEL, A tool for approximation in bivariate periodic Sobolev spaces, in “Approximation Theory IX”, Vol. **2**, pp. 319–326, Vanderbilt Univ. Press, Nashville, 1999.
- [14] V. N. TEMLYAKOV, On approximate recovery of functions with bounded mixed derivatives, *J. Complexity* **9** (1993), 41–59.
- [15] V. N. TEMLYAKOV, “Approximation of Periodic Functions”, Nova Science, New York, 1993.
- [16] V. M. TIKHOMIROV, Approximation theory, in “Encyclopaedia of Math. Sciences” Vol. **14** (1990), Springer, Berlin, pp. 93–244.
- [17] G. WASILKOWSKI AND H. WOŹNIAKOWSKI, Explicit cost bounds of algorithms for multivariate tensor product problems, *J. Complexity* **11** (1995), 1–56.
- [18] A. ZYGMUND, “Trigonometric Series”, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1959.

WINFRIED SICKEL

Mathematisches Institut
Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena
Ernst-Abbe-Platz 1-4
D-07743 Jena
GERMANY
E-mail: sickel@minet.uni-jena.de