

CONSTRUCTIVE THEORY OF FUNCTIONS, Sozopol 2010:
In memory of Borislav Bojanov
(G. Nikolov and R. Uluchev, Eds.), pp. 108-117
Prof. Marin Drinov Academic Publishing House, Sofia, 2012

Strong Convergence of Cubic Partial Sums of Two-Dimensional Walsh-Fourier Series

USHANGI GOGINA AND LARRY GOGOLADZE

We prove that certain means of the cubic partial sums of the two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series are uniformly bounded operators from dyadic Hardy space H_1 to the space L_1 . As a consequence we obtain strong convergence theorems concerning cubic partial sums.

Keywords and Phrases: Walsh function, Hardy space, strong means.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 42C10.

1. Introduction

It is known [1] that the Walsh-Paley system is not a Schauder basis in $L_1(G)$ (for the definition of G , see Section 2). Moreover, there exists a function in the dyadic Hardy space $H_1(G)$, the partial sums of which are not bounded in $L_1(G)$. Simon [4] proved strong convergence result for one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series. In particular, the following is true

Theorem S. *Let $f \in H_1(G)$. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\|S_k f - f\|_1}{k} = 0.$$

For the two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series Weisz [7] generalized the result of Simon and proved that the following result is true.

Theorem W1. *Let $f \in H_1(G \times G)$. Then*

$$\sum_{2^{-\alpha} \leq k/l \leq 2^\alpha, (k,l) \leq (n,m)} \frac{1}{\log n \log m} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\|S_{k,l} f\|_1}{kl} \leq c \|f\|_{H_1}.$$

We prove that certain means of the cubic partial sums of the two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series are uniformly bounded operators from dyadic Hardy space H_1 to the space L_1 . As a consequence we obtain strong convergence theorems concerning cubic partial sums.

2. Definitions and Notation

Let \mathbb{P} denote the set of positive integers, $\mathbb{N} := \mathbb{P} \cup \{0\}$. Denote by Z_2 the discrete cyclic group of order 2, that is $Z_2 = \{0, 1\}$, where the group operation is the modulo 2 addition and every subset is open. The Haar measure on Z_2 is given such that the measure of a singleton is $1/2$. Let G be the complete direct product of the countable infinite copies of the compact groups Z_2 . The elements of G are of the form $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots)$ with $x_k \in \{0, 1\}$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$). The group operation on G is the coordinate-wise addition, the measure (denoted by μ) and the topology are the product measure and topology. The compact Abelian group G is called *the Walsh group*. A base for the neighborhoods of G can be given in the following way:

$$I_0(x) := G,$$

$$I_n(x) = I_n(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) := \{y \in G : y = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}, \dots)\} \\ (x \in G, n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

These sets are called *dyadic intervals*. Let $0 = (0 : i \in \mathbb{N}) \in G$ denotes the null element of G and $I_n := I_n(0)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Set $e_n := (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots) \in G$ the n -th coordinate of which is 1 and the rest are zeros ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). Let $\bar{I}_n := G \setminus I_n$.

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in G$ denote by

$$r_k(x) := (-1)^{x_k} \quad (x \in G, k \in \mathbb{N})$$

the k -th Rademacher function. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $n = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i 2^i$ with $n_i \in \{0, 1\}$, i.e., n is represented in the binary numeral system. Denote $|n| := \max\{j \in \mathbb{N} : n_j \neq 0\}$, that is, $2^{|n|} \leq n < 2^{|n|+1}$.

The Walsh-Paley system is defined as the sequence of Walsh-Paley functions:

$$w_n(x) := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (r_k(x))^{n_k} = r_{|n|}(x) (-1)^{\sum_{k=0}^{|n|-1} n_k x_k} \quad (x \in G, n \in \mathbb{P}).$$

The Walsh-Dirichlet kernel is defined by

$$D_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w_k(x).$$

Recall that (see [5])

$$D_{2^n}(x) = \begin{cases} 2^n, & \text{if } x \in I_n \\ 0, & \text{if } x \in \bar{I}_n, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

$$D_n(x) = w_n(x) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} n_j w_{2^j}(x) D_{2^j}(x). \quad (2)$$

The rectangular partial sums of the 2-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series are defined as follows:

$$S_{M,N}f(x, y) := \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \hat{f}(i, j) w_i(x) w_j(y),$$

where

$$\hat{f}(i, j) = \iint_{G \times G} f(x, y) w_i(x) w_j(y) d\mu(x, y)$$

is said to be the (i, j) -th Walsh-Fourier coefficient of the function f .

Denote

$$S_M^{(1)}f(x, y) := \int_G f(s, y) D_M(x + s) d\mu(s)$$

and

$$S_N^{(2)}f(x, y) := \int_G f(x, t) D_N(y + t) d\mu(t).$$

The norm in the space $L_1(G \times G)$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_1 := \iint_{G \times G} |f(x, y)| d\mu(x, y).$$

Let $f \in L_1(G \times G)$. Then the dyadic maximal function is given by

$$f^*(x, y) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\mu(I_n(x) \times I_n(y))} \left| \iint_{I_n(x) \times I_n(y)} f(s, t) d\mu(s, t) \right|, \quad (x, y) \in G \times G.$$

The dyadic Hardy space $H_1(G \times G)$ consists of all functions for which

$$\|f\|_{H_1} := \|f^*\|_1 < \infty.$$

A bounded measurable function a is said to be *atom*, if there exists a dyadic two-dimensional cube $I \times I$, such that

- a) $\int_{I \times I} a d\mu = 0$;
- b) $\|a\|_\infty \leq \mu(I \times I)^{-1}$;
- c) $\text{supp } a \subset I \times I$.

We will use the following decomposition theorem (see Weisz [6]).

Theorem W2. *A function $f \in L_1(G \times G)$ is in the dyadic Hardy space $H_1(G \times G)$ if and only if there exists a sequence $\{a_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of atoms and a sequence $\{\lambda_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of real numbers such that*

$$f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k a_k \tag{3}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_k| < \infty.$$

Moreover, the following equivalence of norms holds

$$\|f\|_{H_1} \sim \inf \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|$$

where the infimum is taken over all decomposition of f of the form (3).

Denote by $E_{lr}(f)_1$ the best approximation of a function $f \in L_1(G \times G)$ by Walsh polynomials of degree $\leq l$ in the variable x and of degree $\leq r$ in the variable y , and let $E_l^{(1)}(f)_1$ be the partial best approximation of the function $f \in L_1(G \times G)$ by Walsh polynomials of degree $\leq l$ in the variable x , whose coefficients are integrable functions of the other variable y . Analogously, we define $E_r^{(2)}(f)_1$.

Let $2^L \leq l < 2^{L+1}$ and $E_{2^L, 2^L}(f)_1 := \|f - T_{2^L, 2^L}\|_1$. Since

$$\|S_{2^L, 2^L}(f)\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1$$

we can write

$$\begin{aligned} E_{2^L, 2^L}(f)_1 &\leq \|f - S_{2^L, 2^L}(f)\|_1 = \|f - S_{2^L}^{(1)}(S_{2^L}^{(2)}(f))\|_1 \\ &\leq \|f - S_{2^L}^{(1)}(f)\|_1 + \|S_{2^L}^{(1)}(S_{2^L}^{(2)}(f) - f)\|_1 \\ &\leq \|f - S_{2^L}^{(1)}(f)\|_1 + \|S_{2^L}^{(2)}(f) - f\|_1. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Let $T_{2^L}^{(1)}(x, y)$ be a polynomial of the best approximation $E_{2^L}^{(1)}(f)_1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_{2^L}^{(1)}(f) - f\|_1 &\leq \|f - T_{2^L}^{(1)}\|_1 + \|S_{2^L}^{(1)}(f - T_{2^L}^{(1)})\|_1 \\ &\leq 2\|f - T_{2^L}^{(1)}\|_1 = 2E_{2^L}^{(1)}(f)_1. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Analogously, we can prove that

$$\|S_{2^L}^{(2)}(f) - f\|_1 \leq 2E_{2^L}^{(2)}(f)_1. \tag{6}$$

Combining (4), (5) and (6), we conclude that

$$E_{2^L, 2^L}(f)_1 \leq 2E_{2^L}^{(1)}(f)_1 + 2E_{2^L}^{(2)}(f)_1. \tag{7}$$

3. Formulation of Main Results

Theorem 1. *Let $f \in H_1(G \times G)$. Then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|S_{n,n}f\|_1}{n \log^2(n+1)} \leq c \|f\|_{H_1}.$$

Corollary 1. *Let $f \in H_1(G \times G)$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{\log(n+1)} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\|S_{j,j}f - f\|_1}{j \log(j+1)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Corollary 2. *Let $f \in H_1(G \times G)$. Then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|S_{n,n}f(x, y)|}{n \log^2(n+1)} < \infty \quad \text{a. e. in } G \times G.$$

Theorem 2. *Let $\{\alpha_k : k \in \mathbb{P}\}$ be a non-negative and non-increasing sequence. Then for any $f \in L_1(G \times G)$ the following estimation holds true:*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\| \sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} \alpha_k (S_{k,k}f - f) \right\|_1 \leq c \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k (E_k^{(1)}(f)_1 + E_k^{(2)}(f)_1).$$

Corollary 3. *Let $f \in L_1(G \times G)$ and*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k (E_k^{(1)}(f)_1 + E_k^{(2)}(f)_1) < \infty. \quad (8)$$

Then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} \alpha_k (S_{k,k}f(x, y) - f(x, y)) \right| < \infty \quad \text{a. e. in } G \times G.$$

Theorem 2 in the one-dimensional case for trigonometric Fourier series was announced in [3].

It is not known whether condition (8) yields a. e. convergence of the series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k |S_{k,k}f(x, y) - f(x, y)|.$$

4. Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1. From Theorem W2 we can write

$$\iint_{G \times G} |S_{n,n}f(x, y)| d\mu(x, y) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_j| \iint_{G \times G} |S_{n,n}a_j(x, y)| d\mu(x, y).$$

Because of this and Theorem W1 we only have to prove that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|S_{n,n}a\|_1}{n \log^2(n+1)} \leq c \quad (9)$$

for every atom a .

Let a be any atom with support $I_N(z') \times J_N(z'')$ and $\mu(I_N) = \mu(J_N) = 2^{-N}$. We may assume that $z' = z'' = 0$.

Let $(x, y) \in \bar{I}_N \times \bar{I}_N$, then $D_{2^i}(x+s)1_{I_N}(s) = 0$ and $D_{2^i}(y+t)1_{I_N}(t) = 0$ for $j \geq N$. Recall that $w_{2^j}(x+t) = w_{2^j}(x)$ for $t \in I_N$ and $j < N$. Consequently, from (2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_{n,n}a(x, y) &= \iint_{G \times G} a(s, t) D_n(x+s) D_n(y+t) d\mu(s, t) \\ &= \iint_{I_N \times I_N} a(s, t) D_n(x+s) D_n(y+t) d\mu(s, t) \\ &= \iint_{I_N \times I_N} a(s, t) w_n(x+s+y+t) \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} n_i w_{2^i}(x+s) D_{2^i}(x+s) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} n_j w_{2^j}(y+t) D_{2^j}(y+t) d\mu(s, t) \\ &= w_n(x) \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} n_i w_{2^i}(x) D_{2^i}(x) w_n(y) \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} n_j w_{2^j}(y) D_{2^j}(y) \\ &\quad \times \iint_{I_N \times I_N} a(s, t) w_n(s+t) d\mu(s, t) \\ &= w_n(x+y) \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} n_i w_{2^i}(x) D_{2^i}(x) \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} n_j w_{2^j}(y) D_{2^j}(y) \\ &\quad \times \int_{I_N} \left(\int_{I_N} a(t+\tau, t) d\mu(t) \right) w_n(\tau) d\mu(\tau) \\ &= w_n(x+y) \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} n_i w_{2^i}(x) D_{2^i}(x) \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} n_j w_{2^j}(y) D_{2^j}(y) \\ &\quad \times \int_{I_N} \Phi(\tau) w_n(\tau) d\mu(\tau) \\ &= w_n(x+y) \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} n_i w_{2^i}(x) D_{2^i}(x) \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} n_j w_{2^j}(y) D_{2^j}(y) \widehat{\Phi}(n), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Phi(\tau) = \int_{I_N} a(t+\tau, t) d\mu(t).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \log^2(n+1)} \iint_{\bar{I}_N \times \bar{I}_N} |S_{n,n}a(x,y)| d\mu(x,y) \\ & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\widehat{\Phi}(n)|}{n \log^2(n+1)} \left(\int_{\bar{I}_N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} D_{2^i}(x) d\mu(x) \right)^2 \leq N^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\widehat{\Phi}(n)|}{n \log^2(n+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $n < 2^N$. Since $w_n(\tau) = 1$ for $\tau \in I_N$ and $n < 2^N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Phi}(n) &= \int_G \Phi(\tau) w_n(\tau) d\mu(\tau) = \int_G \left(\int_{I_N} a(t+\tau, t) d\mu(t) \right) w_n(\tau) d\mu(\tau) \\ &= \iint_{I_N \times I_N} a(s, t) d\mu(s, t) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can suppose that $n \geq 2^N$.

By Hölder and Parseval's inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\widehat{\Phi}(n)|}{n \log^2(n+1)} &\leq N^2 \left(\sum_{n=2^N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2 \log^4(n+1)} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{n=2^N}^{\infty} |\widehat{\Phi}(n)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{c}{2^{N/2}} \left(\int_G |\Phi(\tau)|^2 d\mu(\tau) \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \frac{c}{2^{N/2}} \left(\int_{I_N} \left| \int_{I_N} a(t+\tau, t) d\mu(t) \right|^2 d\mu(\tau) \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{c}{2^{N/2}} \|a\|_{\infty} \frac{1}{2^N} \frac{1}{2^{N/2}} \leq c < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Let $x, y \in \bar{I}_N \times I_N$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{n,n}a(x,y) &= w_n(x) \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} n_j w_{2^j}(x) D_{2^j}(x) \iint_{G \times G} a(s,t) w_n(s) D_n(y+t) d\mu(s,t) \\ &= w_n(x) \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} n_j w_{2^j}(x) D_{2^j}(x) \int_G S_n^{(2)} a(s,y) w_n(s) d\mu(s) \\ &= w_n(x) \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} n_j w_{2^j}(x) D_{2^j}(x) \widehat{S}_n^{(2)} a(n,y). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \log^2(n+1)} \iint_{\bar{I}_N \times I_N} |S_{n,n}a(x,y)| d\mu(x,y) \\ & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \log^2(n+1)} \iint_{\bar{I}_N \times I_N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} D_{2^j}(x) |\widehat{S}_n^{(2)} a(n,y)| d\mu(x,y). \end{aligned}$$

Let $n < 2^N$. Then by the definition of atom we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{S}_n^{(2)}a(n, y) &= \int_G \left(\int_G a(s, t) D_n(y + t) d\mu(t) \right) w_n(s) d\mu(s) \\ &= D_n(y) \iint_{I_N \times I_N} a(s, t) d\mu(s, t) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can suppose that $n > 2^N$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \log^2(n+1)} \iint_{\bar{I}_N \times I_N} |S_{n,n}a(x, y)| d\mu(x, y) \\ \leq N \sum_{n=2^N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \log^2(n+1)} \int_{I_N} |\widehat{S}_n^{(2)}a(n, y)| d\mu(y).\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\|\widehat{S}_n^{(2)}a(n, y)\|_2 \leq c \|a\|_2$$

from Hölder inequality we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{I_N} |\widehat{S}_n^{(2)}a(n, y)| d\mu(y) &\leq \int_{I_N} \left| \int_G S_n^{(2)}a(s, y) w_n(s) d\mu(s) \right| d\mu(y) \\ &= \int_{I_N} \left| \int_{I_N} \left(\int_{I_N} a(s, t) D_n(y + t) d\mu(t) \right) w_n(s) d\mu(s) \right| d\mu(y) \\ &\leq \int_{I_N} \left(\int_{I_N} \left| \int_{I_N} a(s, t) D_n(y + t) d\mu(t) \right| d\mu(y) \right) d\mu(s) \\ &\leq \frac{c}{2^{N/2}} \int_{I_N} \left(\int_{I_N} \left| \int_{I_N} a(s, t) D_n(y + t) d\mu(t) \right|^2 d\mu(y) \right)^{1/2} d\mu(s) \\ &\leq \frac{c}{2^{N/2}} \int_{I_N} \left(\int_{I_N} |a(s, t)|^2 d\mu(t) \right)^{1/2} d\mu(s) \leq \frac{c \|a\|_{\infty}}{2^{N/2}} \cdot \frac{1}{2^N} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{N/2}} \leq c < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \log^2(n+1)} \iint_{\bar{I}_N \times I_N} |S_{n,n}a(x, y)| d\mu(x, y) \\ \leq c N \sum_{n=2^N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \log^2(n+1)} \leq c < \infty.\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

Analogously, we can prove that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \log^2(n+1)} \int_{I_N \times \bar{I}_N} |S_{n,n}a(x, y)| d\mu(x, y) \leq c < \infty.\tag{12}$$

Let $(x, y) \in I_N \times I_N$. Then by the definition of atom we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_N \times I_N} |S_{n,n}a(x, y)| d\mu(x, y) &\leq \frac{1}{2^N} \left(\int_{I_N \times I_N} |S_{n,n}a(x, y)|^2 d\mu(x, y) \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^N} \left(\int_{I_N \times I_N} |a(x, y)|^2 d\mu(x, y) \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{\|a\|_\infty}{2^{2N}} \leq c < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Combining (10)–(13) we obtain (9). Theorem 1 is proved. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} \alpha_k (S_{k,k}f - f) \right\|_1 &\leq \left\| \sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} \alpha_k S_{k,k} (f - T_{2^n, 2^n}) \right\|_1 \\ &\quad + \left\| \sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} \alpha_k (f - T_{2^n, 2^n}) \right\|_1 \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} \alpha_k S_{k,k} (f - T_{2^n, 2^n}) \right\|_1 \\ &\quad + 2^n \alpha_{2^n} E_{2^n, 2^n}(f)_1. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

By Abel's transformation we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} \alpha_k S_{k,k}g &= \sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} (\alpha_k - \alpha_{k+1}) \sum_{j=1}^k S_{j,j}g \\ &\quad + \alpha_{2^{n+1}-1} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{n+1}-1} S_{j,j}g - \alpha_{2^n} \sum_{j=1}^{2^n-1} S_{j,j}g. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Since

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^k S_{j,j}g \right\|_1 \leq c k \|g\|_1,$$

we obtain from (15) that

$$\left\| \sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} \alpha_k S_{k,k}g \right\|_1 \leq c 2^n \alpha_{2^n} \|g\|_1.$$

Consequently,

$$\left\| \sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} \alpha_k S_{k,k} (f - T_{2^n, 2^n}) \right\|_1 \leq c 2^n \alpha_{2^n} E_{2^n, 2^n}(f)_1. \quad (16)$$

Combining (7), (14) and (16) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\| \sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} \alpha_k (S_{k,k} f - f) \right\|_1 &\leq c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n \alpha_{2^n} E_{2^n, 2^n}(f)_1 \\ &\leq c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n \alpha_{2^n} (E_{2^n}^{(1)}(f)_1 + E_{2^n}^{(2)}(f)_1) \leq c \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k (E_k^{(1)}(f)_1 + E_k^{(2)}(f)_1). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2 is proved. \square

References

- [1] L. A. BALASHOV AND A. I. RUBINSTEIN, Series with respect to the Walsh system and their generalizations, *Itogi Nauki, Mat. Anal.* **8** (1970), 147–202 [in Russian].
- [2] B. I. GOLUBOV, A. V. EFIMOV, AND V. A. SKVORTSOV, “Series and Transformations of Walsh”, Nauka, Moscow, 1987 [in Russian]; English transl.: Kluwer Acad. Publ., 1991.
- [3] L. D. GOGOLADZE, On the strong summability of Fourier series, *Bull Acad. Sci. Georgian SSR* **52** (1968), no. 2, 287–292.
- [4] P. SIMON, Strong convergence of certain means with respect to the Walsh-Fourier series, *Acta Math. Hung.* **49** (1987), 425–431.
- [5] F. SCHIPP, W. R. WADE, P. SIMON, AND J. PÁL, “Walsh Series, an Introduction to Dyadic Harmonic Analysis”, Adam Hilger, Bristol, New York, 1990.
- [6] F. WEISZ, “Martingale Hardy spaces and Their Applications in Fourier Analysis”, Springer, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, 1994.
- [7] F. WEISZ, Strong convergence theorems for two-parameter Walsh-Fourier and trigonometric-Fourier series, *Stud. Math.* **117** (1996), no. 2, 173–194.

USHANGI GOGINAVA

Institute of Mathematics
Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences
Tbilisi State University
Chavchavadze str. 1, Tbilisi 0128
GEORGIA
E-mail: zazagoginava@gmail.com

LARRY GOGOLADZE

Institute of Mathematics
Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences
Tbilisi State University
Chavchavadze str. 1, Tbilisi 0128
GEORGIA
E-mail: lgogoladze@hotmail.com