

CONSTRUCTIVE THEORY OF FUNCTIONS, Sozopol 2016
(K. Ivanov, G. Nikolov and R. Uluchev, Eds.), pp. 159-173
Prof. Marin Drinov Academic Publishing House, Sofia, 2018

On the Composition and Decomposition of Positive Linear Operators (VI)

HEINER GONSKA, DANIELA KACSÓ AND IOAN RAŞA*

Dedicated to academician Blagovest Sendov

We discuss several aspects in regard to decomposing the classical Bernstein operator. Piecewise linear interpolation at equidistant points and a classical Beta-type operator are in the focus.

Keywords and Phrases: Positive linear operators, piecewise linear interpolation, Beta-type operators.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 41A25, 41A05, 41A36.

1. Introduction

In the present note we discuss the composition of a Beta-type operator introduced by Mühlbach and Lupaş in the early 70s of the last century and piecewise linear interpolation at equidistant points in $[0, 1]$. This produces a positive linear operator $\mathbb{G}_n : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ reproducing linear functions and retaining monotonicity and convexity.

We give a quantitative result involving the second order modulus of continuity, a quantitative Voronovskaya-type result and an estimate for the difference $B_n - \mathbb{G}_n$ where B_n is the classical Bernstein operator. Since not very much is known about \mathbb{G}_n , we will use lower and upper estimates for several quantities with the help of the Beta-type operators mentioned and a special case of operators introduced by Stancu and further investigated by Lupaş and Lupaş. Details will be given below.

*We thank our T_EXpert Birgit Dunkel for her efficient preparation of this manuscript.

2. On Piecewise Linear Interpolation

In this section we collect some facts about the piecewise linear interpolation operator $S_{\Delta_n} : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ at the points

$$\Delta_n : \left\{0, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{k}{n}, \dots, 1 - \frac{1}{n}, 1\right\}$$

given by

$$(S_{\Delta_n} f)(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n \left[\frac{k-1}{n}, \frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}; [\alpha - x] \right]_{\alpha} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \quad (1)$$

where $[a, b, c; f] = [a, b, c; f(\alpha)]_{\alpha}$ denotes the divided difference of a function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on the (distinct knots) $\{a, b, c\} \subset D$, with respect to α . We will write

$$|\alpha - t|_+ = \max\{0, \alpha - t\} = \frac{\alpha - t + |\alpha - t|}{2},$$

$\lfloor \alpha \rfloor = \max\{z \in \mathbb{Z} : z \leq \alpha\}$ is the floor function (integer part of α), and $\{\alpha\} = \alpha - \lfloor \alpha \rfloor$ is the fractional part of α .

Theorem 1. For $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ the following representations hold:

$$(S_{\Delta_n})(t) = (1 - \{nt\})f\left(\frac{\lfloor nt \rfloor}{n}\right) + \{nt\}f\left(\frac{1 + \lfloor nt \rfloor}{n}\right), \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (S_{\Delta_n} f)(t) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n \left[\frac{k-1}{n}, \frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}; |\alpha - t| \right]_{\alpha} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \\ &= |1 - nt|_+ f(0) + |nt - n + 1|_+ f(1) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{k-1}{n}, \frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}; |\alpha - t| \right]_{\alpha} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \\ &= |1 - nt|_+ f(0) + |nt - n + 1|_+ f(1) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (|nt - k + 1|_+ - 2|nt - k|_+ + |nt - k - 1|_+) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (S_{\Delta_n} f)(t) &= \frac{(1 - nt)f(0) + nt f\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)}{2} + \frac{n(1 - t)f\left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right) + (nt - n + 1)f(1)}{2} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{k-1}{n}, \frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}; f \right] \left| t - \frac{k}{n} \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

If $t \in (0, 1)$, $\{nt\} \neq 0$, then

$$(S_{\Delta_n} f)(t) = f(t) + \frac{\{nt\}(1 - \{nt\})}{n^2} \left[\frac{\lfloor nt \rfloor}{n}, t, \frac{\lfloor nt + 1 \rfloor}{n}; f \right]. \quad (5)$$

Proof. In order to show that (2) is the same with (3) it is sufficient to use the equalities

$$\frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{k-1}{n}, \frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}; |\alpha - t| \right]_{\alpha} = \begin{cases} 0, & k \leq \lfloor nt \rfloor - 1 \quad \text{or} \quad k \geq \lfloor nt \rfloor + 2, \\ 1 - \{nt\}, & k = \lfloor nt \rfloor, \\ \{nt\}, & k = 1 + \lfloor nt \rfloor. \end{cases}$$

Observe that if $(A_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is a real sequence, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{k-1}{n}, \frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}; f \right] A_k \\ = \frac{n}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (A_{k+1} - 2A_k + A_{k-1}) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \\ + \frac{n}{2} \left[A_1 f(0) - A_0 f\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) + f(1) A_{n-1} - A_n f\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By using this formula it is easy to prove that the right-hand member of (4) (which is a linear function on each interval $[\frac{j-1}{n}, \frac{j}{n}]$, $j = 1, \dots, n$) takes the value $f(\frac{j}{n})$ at the point $\frac{j}{n}$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$. This proves (4), and (5) follows from (2). \square

Concerning the degree of approximation by S_{Δ_n} one has

Theorem 2. For $n \geq 1$, $f \in C[0, 1]$ and $x \in [0, 1]$ there holds

$$|S_{\Delta_n}(f; x) - f(x)| \leq 1 \cdot \omega_2\left(f; \frac{1}{2n}\right).$$

Proof. A natural way to find an estimate of the claimed type is to use a theorem by Păltănea [20, Cor. 3.1], saying that for a positive linear operator L reproducing linear functions one has for $0 < h \leq \frac{1}{2}$, $f \in C[0, 1]$ and $x \in [0, 1]$ the inequality

$$|L(f; x) - f(x)| \leq \left[1 + \frac{1}{2h^2} L((e_1 - x)^2; x) \right] \omega_2(f; h).$$

For the second moments $S_{\Delta_n}((e_1 - x)^2; x)$ we have

$$S_{\Delta_n}((e_1 - x)^2; x) = \left(x - \frac{\ell}{n}\right) \left(\frac{\ell+1}{n} - x\right) \leq \frac{1}{4n^2}, \quad x \in \left[\frac{\ell}{n}, \frac{\ell+1}{n}\right].$$

But this implies the inequality with the constant $\frac{3}{2}$ only in front of the modulus.

For the constant 1 see Gonska and Kovacheva [6, Lemma 2.3]. \square

Remark 1. It is also known that

$$|S_{\Delta_n}(f; x) - f(x)| \leq 1 \cdot \omega_1\left(f; \frac{1}{n}\right).$$

None of the two inequalities in terms of moduli implies the other one.

3. The Quadratic Splines of Sendov

In 1987 Bl. Sendov presented a piecewise quadratic spline $S_2 : C[0, 1] \rightarrow W_\infty^2[0, 1]$ which may be viewed as a “differentiable brother” of piecewise linear interpolation. We describe it next. Let $S_1(f, \cdot)$ denote the linear interpolation spline on equidistant knots with step size $h = \frac{1}{m}$, satisfying the conditions

$$S_1(f; ih) = f(ih), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m.$$

So this is what was denoted as S_{Δ_m} in the previous section.

$S_1(f; \cdot)$ is linear on every interval $[ih, (i+1)h]$, $i = 0, \dots, m-1$. The quadratic spline $S_2(f; x) \in C^1[0, 1]$ is then defined by the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} S_2\left(f; ih + \frac{h}{2}\right) &= \frac{1}{2} (f(ih) + f(ih + h)) = S_1\left(f; ih + \frac{h}{2}\right), \quad 0 \leq i \leq m-1, \\ S_2(f; x) &= S_1(f; x) \quad \text{for } x \in \left[0, \frac{h}{2}\right] \cup \left[1 - \frac{h}{2}, 1\right]. \end{aligned}$$

The analytic representation of $S_2(f; x)$ for other values of x was given by Bl. Sendov as

$$\begin{aligned} S_2(f; x) &= \frac{(x - ih)^2}{2h^2} \Delta_h^2 f(ih - h) \\ &\quad + \frac{x - ih}{2h} (f(ih + h) - f(ih - h)) + f(ih) + \frac{1}{8} \Delta_h^2 f(ih - h), \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in [ih - \frac{h}{2}, ih + \frac{h}{2}]$, $i = 1, \dots, m-1$.

However, $S_2(f; x)$, $x \in [ih - \frac{h}{2}, ih + \frac{h}{2}]$, is more easily understood if one thinks of it as being the second degree Bernstein polynomial over the interval $[ih - \frac{h}{2}, ih + \frac{h}{2}]$ determined by the ordinates $S_1(f; ih - \frac{h}{2})$, $f(ih)$, and $S_1(f; ih + \frac{h}{2})$.

For the spline operator S_2 the following are known

Theorem 3. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 2$, $h = \frac{1}{m}$, and for $f \in C[0, 1]$ let $S_2(f; \cdot)$ be the spline defined above. Then for $x \in [0, 1]$ one has*

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - S_2(f; x)| &\leq \omega_2\left(f; \frac{h}{2}\right), \quad 0 \leq x \leq \frac{h}{2}, \quad \text{or} \quad 1 - \frac{h}{2} \leq x \leq 1, \\ |f(x) - S_2(f; x)| &\leq \omega_2(f; h), \quad h \leq x \leq 1 - \frac{h}{2}, \\ |S_2(f; x)| &\leq \|f\|_\infty, \\ |(S_2 f)'(x)| &\leq \frac{1}{h} \omega_1(f; h), \\ \|(S_2 f)''\|_\infty &\leq \frac{1}{h^2} \omega_2(f; h). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. See [21] and [6]. □

Remark 2. The reader should keep in mind that the above inequalities hold for $h = \frac{1}{m}$, $m \geq 2$, only. For other values of h it seems to be unknown if such good constants as 1 are valid.

4. Beta Operators of the Second Kind

The third class of operators which will be used in this note are certain Beta-type operators introduced by Mühlbach [18, 19] and Lupaş [16]. These mappings are given for $f \in C[0, 1]$, $x \in [0, 1]$ by

$$\overline{\mathbb{B}}_n(f; x) = \begin{cases} f(0), & x = 0, \\ \frac{1}{B(nx, n(1-x))} \int_0^1 t^{nx-1} (1-t)^{n(1-x)-1} f(t) dt, & x \in (0, 1), \\ f(1), & x = 1. \end{cases}$$

Here $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the Beta function. The $\overline{\mathbb{B}}_n$ are positive endomorphisms of $C[0, 1]$; they reproduce linear functions and have second moments smaller than those of the Bernstein operators. More precisely, see [16],

$$\overline{\mathbb{B}}_n((e_1 - x)^2; x) = \frac{x(1-x)}{n+1} \leq \frac{x(1-x)}{n} = B_n((e_1 - x)^2; x).$$

Moreover, it is known from [1] and [2] that $\overline{\mathbb{B}}_n$ preserves monotonicity and (ordinary) convexity.

The general result of Păltănea mentioned already above entails

Theorem 4. For $f \in C[0, 1]$, $x \in [0, 1]$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there holds

$$|\overline{\mathbb{B}}_n(f; x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{3}{2} \omega_2 \left(f; \sqrt{\frac{x(1-x)}{n+1}} \right).$$

5. The Operators $\mathbb{G}_n = \overline{\mathbb{B}}_n \circ S_{\Delta_n}$

The original question leading to this article was if it is possible to decompose the classical Bernstein operator B_n into non-trivial building blocks P and Q , i.e., $B_n = P \circ Q$.

Recall that for functions $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, B_n is given by

$$B_n(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) p_{n,k}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

It is known that if one composes two positive linear operators P and Q , both reproducing linear functions, then for the second moment of the product operator one has

$$(P \circ Q)((e_1 - x)^2; x) = P^u(Q((e_1 - u)^2; u); x) + P((e_1 - x)^2; x).$$

Here the superscript in P^u indicates that the operator P is applied to functions in the variable u . Other results concerning the moments of the product operator can be found in [9].

Putting $P = \overline{\mathbb{B}}_n$ the question then was if there is another positive linear operator Q such that $\overline{\mathbb{B}}_n \circ Q = B_n$ and, in particular,

$$\begin{aligned} (\overline{\mathbb{B}}_n \circ Q)((e_1 - x)^2; x) &= B_n((e_1 - x)^2; x) = \frac{x(1-x)}{n} \\ &= \overline{\mathbb{B}}_n^u(Q((e_1 - u)^2; u); x) + \overline{\mathbb{B}}_n((e_1 - x)^2; x) \\ &= \overline{\mathbb{B}}_n^u(Q((e_1 - u)^2; x) + \frac{x(1-x)}{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Natural candidates for Q are operators of the form

$$Q(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) r_{n,k}(x),$$

with $r_{n,k} \geq 0$, $x \in [0, 1]$, $0 \leq k \leq n$, so that

$$(\overline{\mathbb{B}}_n \circ Q)(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \overline{\mathbb{B}}_n(r_{n,k}, x)$$

would become the Bernstein operator if $r_{n,k}$ could be chosen in a way such that

$$\overline{\mathbb{B}}_n(r_{n,k}; x) = p_{n,k}(x) = \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}, \quad x \in [0, 1], \quad 0 \leq k \leq n.$$

However, it was shown in [5, Section 5] that there is **no** positive linear operator $Q : C[0, 1] \rightarrow \pi_n$ such that $B_n = \overline{\mathbb{B}}_n \circ Q$. But if one gives up the requirement of positivity, then an operator $F_n : C[0, 1] \rightarrow \pi_n$ exists such that $B_n = \overline{\mathbb{B}}_n \circ F_n$ holds. For much more on these mappings see [5, 14, 13].

The first approach to find a decomposition of B_n used $Q = S_{\Delta_n}$. Here S_{Δ_n} is also a positive linear operator reproducing linear functions and preserving monotonicity and convexity/concavity. Moreover, it is of the appropriate form and hence it made sense to consider $\mathbb{G}_n := \overline{\mathbb{B}}_n \circ S_{\Delta_n}$, i.e., $\mathbb{G}_n : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$, where

$$\mathbb{G}_n(f; 0) = S_{\Delta_n}(f; 0) = f(0), \quad \mathbb{G}_n(f; 1) = S_{\Delta_n}(f; 1) = f(1),$$

and, for $x \in (0, 1)$,

$$\mathbb{G}_n(f; x) = \frac{1}{B(nx, n(1-x))} \int_0^1 t^{nx-1} (1-t)^{n(1-x)-1} S_{\Delta_n}(f; t) dt.$$

The operator \mathbb{G}_n is again positive and linear. As the composition of two operators preserving monotonicity and convexity, \mathbb{G}_n also has these properties.

For a convex function g it is well-known that $g \leq B_n g$. Now if $f \in C[0, 1]$ is convex, then this is also true for $S_{\Delta_n} f$, so that

$$f \leq S_{\Delta_n} f \leq B_n(S_{\Delta_n} f) = B_n f,$$

implying

$$\overline{\mathbb{B}}_n f \leq (\overline{\mathbb{B}}_n \circ S_{\Delta_n}) f = \mathbb{G}_n f \leq (\overline{\mathbb{B}}_n \circ B_n) f = L_n f,$$

where L_n is a special case of the Stancu operator introduced in [22], namely for the case $\alpha = \frac{1}{n}$. It is given by (see [17])

$$L_n(f; x) = \frac{2(n!)}{(2n)!} \sum_{k=0}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \binom{n}{k} (nx)_k (n - nx)_{n-k},$$

where

$$(a)_0 = 1, \quad (a)_b = \prod_{k=0}^{b-1} (a - k), \quad a \in \mathbb{R}, \quad b \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In particular,

$$\overline{\mathbb{B}}_n((e_1 - x)^2; x) = \frac{x(1-x)}{n+1} \leq \mathbb{G}_n((e_1 - x)^2; x) \leq L_n((e_1 - x)^2; x) = \frac{2x(1-x)}{n+1}.$$

More generally, for $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\mathbb{B}_n(|e_1 - x|^j; x) \leq \mathbb{G}_n(|e_1 - x|^j; x) \leq L_n(|e_1 - x|^j; x).$$

Since the second moments of both \mathbb{G}_n and B_n lie between $\frac{x(1-x)}{n+1}$ and $\frac{2x(1-x)}{n+1}$, there still is a chance that $\mathbb{G}_n = B_n$. However, in the next section we will show that $\mathbb{G}_2 \neq B_2$. We will also demonstrate that it is impossible to write $B_n = L \circ S_{\Delta_n}$ for a large class of positive integral operators.

6. Two Negative Results

Proposition 1. *If \mathbb{G}_2 and the Bernstein operator B_2 are given as above, then $\mathbb{G}_2 \neq B_2$.*

Proof. Indeed,

$$\mathbb{G}_2 f = \sum_{i=0}^2 f\left(\frac{i}{2}\right) \overline{\mathbb{B}}_2 u_i, \quad f \in C[0, 1],$$

where $u_i \in C[0, 1]$ is the piecewise linear function with $u_i(\frac{j}{2}) = \delta_{ij}$ for $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.

Suppose that $\mathbb{G}_2 = B_2$. Then $\overline{\mathbb{B}}_2 u_i = p_{2,i}$, $i = 0, 1, 2$. In particular, $\overline{\mathbb{B}}_2 u_2(x) = x^2$, $x \in [0, 1]$, which leads to

$$\frac{\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 t^{2x-1}(1-t)^{1-2x}(2t-1) dt}{B(2x, 2(1-x))} = x^2, \quad x \in (0, 1).$$

For $x = \frac{1}{4}$ we get

$$\frac{\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 t^{-1/2}(1-t)^{1/2}(2t-1) dt}{\int_0^1 t^{-1/2}(1-t)^{1/2} dt} = \frac{1}{16}. \quad (6)$$

On $(0, 1)$,

$$\int t^{-1/2}(1-t)^{1/2}(2t-1) dt = -\frac{1}{4}((6-4t)\sqrt{t(1-t)} + \arcsin(2t-1))$$

and

$$\int t^{-1/2}(1-t)^{1/2} dt = \sqrt{t(1-t)} + \frac{1}{2} \arcsin(2t-1).$$

Now (6) becomes

$$\frac{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\pi}{8}}{\frac{\pi}{2}} = \frac{1}{16},$$

i.e., $\pi = \frac{16}{5}$, a contradiction. This proves $\mathbb{G}_2 \neq B_2$. \square

Proposition 2. *It is not possible to write $B_n = L \circ S_{\Delta_n}$, $n \geq 2$, for a large class of integral operators L .*

Proof. The operator $S_{\Delta_n} : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ can be described as in Section 2, or as

$$S_{\Delta_n} f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n f\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) u_{n,i}(x), \quad f \in C[0, 1], \quad x \in [0, 1],$$

where $u_{n,i} \in C[0, 1]$ are piecewise linear functions such that $u_{n,i}\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) = \delta_{i,j}$, $i, j = 0, \dots, n$.

Let $L : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ be an integral operator,

$$L(f; x) := \int_0^1 K(x, t) f(t) dt, \quad f \in C[0, 1], \quad x \in [0, 1],$$

where the kernel K is non-negative on $[0, 1]^2$ and $K(x, \cdot) \in L_1[0, 1]$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$. We shall prove that $L \circ S_{\Delta_n} \neq B_n$, $n \geq 2$.

Suppose that for a given $n \geq 2$ we have $L \circ S_{\Delta_n} = B_n$. Then

$$\sum_{i=0}^n L(u_{n,i}; x) f\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) = \sum_{i=0}^n p_{n,i}(x) f\left(\frac{i}{n}\right), \quad f \in C[0, 1],$$

which entails

$$L(u_{n,i}; x) = p_{n,i}(x), \quad x \in [0, 1], \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

In particular, $L(u_{n,i}; 0) = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and so we get

$$\int_0^1 K(0, t) u_{n,i}(t) dt = L(u_{n,i}; 0) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

It follows that

$$\int_0^1 K(0, t) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n u_{n,i}(t) \right) dt = 0.$$

But $\sum_{i=1}^n u_{n,i}(t) = 1 - u_{n,0}(t) > 0$, for all $t \in (0, 1]$. We deduce that $K(0, \cdot) = 0$ a.e. on $[0, 1]$, and so

$$L(e_0; 0) = \int_0^1 K(0, t) dt = 0. \quad (7)$$

On the other hand,

$$L(e_0; 0) = (L \circ S_{\Delta_n})(e_0; 0) = B_n(e_0; 0) = 1,$$

which contradicts (7). Thus, in fact, $L \circ S_{\Delta_n} \neq B_n$. \square

Remark 3. Further compositions of S_{Δ_n} with other positive linear operators can be found in [15] and some of the papers cited there.

7. More on \mathbb{G}_n

Some notation/facts used below will be

$$B(p, q) = \frac{\Gamma(p)\Gamma(q)}{\Gamma(p+q)}, \quad p, q \in \mathbb{R}, \quad p, q > 0,$$

$$b_{p,q}(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \in (-\infty, 0], \\ \frac{t^{p-1}(1-t)^{q-1}}{B(p, q)}, & t \in (0, 1), \\ 0, & t \in [1, \infty). \end{cases}$$

$$I_\lambda(a, b) = \frac{1}{B(a, b)} \int_0^\lambda t^{a-1}(1-t)^{b-1} dt$$

is the regularized Beta function and $\lambda \mapsto \int_0^\lambda t^{a-1}(1-t)^{b-1} dt$ is the incomplete Beta function of argument λ and parameters a, b .

Let

$$I_{k,n}(x) := \int_0^1 b_{nx,n-nx}(t) |nt - k| dt, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

By using (4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{G}_n f)(x) &= \frac{(1-nx)f(0) + nxf(\frac{1}{n})}{2} + \frac{n(1-x)f(1-\frac{1}{n}) + (nx-n+1)f(1)}{2} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{k-1}{n}, \frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}; f \right] I_{k,n}(x), \quad x \in (0, 1), \\ (\mathbb{G}_n f)(0) &= f(0), \quad (\mathbb{G}_n f)(1) = f(1). \end{aligned}$$

The next result provides a representation of $I_{k,n}(x)$, and hence of $(\mathbb{G}_n f)(x)$, in terms of the regularized Beta function.

Corollary 1. *For $x \in (0, 1)$ we find*

$$\begin{aligned} I_{k,n}(x) &:= \int_0^1 b_{nx,n-nx}(t) |nt - k| dt \\ &= nx - k + 2 \frac{k}{n} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right) b_{nx+1,n-nx}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \\ &\quad - 2(nx - k) I_{\frac{k}{n}}(nx + 1, n - nx). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since

$$\int_0^1 b_{nx,n-nx}(t) dt = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^1 tb_{nx,n-nx}(t) dt = x,$$

we get

$$I_{k,n}(x) = nx - k + 2k \int_0^{\frac{k}{n}} b_{nx,n-nx}(t) dt - 2n \int_0^{\frac{k}{n}} tb_{nx,n-nx}(t) dt. \quad (8)$$

Let us remark that

$$\frac{d}{dt} (t^{nx} (1-t)^{n-nx}) = n(x-t)t^{nx-1}(1-t)^{n-nx-1}.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} x \int_0^{\frac{k}{n}} t^{nx-1} (1-t)^{n-nx-1} dt &= \int_0^{\frac{k}{n}} t^{nx} (1-t)^{n-nx-1} dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{nx} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right)^{n-nx}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

We have also

$$B(nx+1, n-nx) = xB(nx, n-nx). \quad (10)$$

From (8), (9) and (10) we derive

$$\begin{aligned} I_{k,n}(x) &= nx - k + \frac{2k}{B(nx+1, n-nx)} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_0^{\frac{k}{n}} t^{nx}(1-t)^{n-nx-1} dt + \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{nx} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right)^{n-nx} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{2nx}{B(nx+1, n-nx)} \int_0^{\frac{k}{n}} t^{nx}(1-t)^{n-nx-1} dt \\ &= nx - k + 2 \frac{k-nx}{B(nx+1, n-nx)} \int_0^{\frac{k}{n}} t^{nx}(1-t)^{n-nx-1} dt \\ &\quad + \frac{2k}{n} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{nx} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right)^{n-nx} \frac{1}{B(nx+1, n-nx)} \\ &= nx - k - 2(nx-k) I_{\frac{k}{n}}(nx+1, n-nx) \\ &\quad + 2 \frac{k}{n} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right) b_{nx+1, n-nx} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and this concludes the proof. \square

8. Degree of Approximation by \mathbb{G}_n

As mentioned above we have

$$\overline{\mathbb{B}}_n((e_1-x)^2; x) = \frac{x(1-x)}{n+1} \leq \mathbb{G}_n((e_1-x)^2; x) \leq L_n((e_1-x)^2; x) = \frac{2x(1-x)}{n+1}.$$

For the second moment of B_n a similar inequality holds for $n \geq 1$:

$$\overline{\mathbb{B}}_n((e_1-x)^2; x) = \frac{x(1-x)}{n+1} \leq \frac{x(1-x)}{n} = B_n((e_1-x)^2; x) \leq \frac{2x(1-x)}{n+1}.$$

So at this moment we know that

$$\frac{x(1-x)}{n+1} \leq j_n(x) \frac{x(1-x)}{n+1} = \mathbb{G}_n((e_1-x)^2; x) \leq 2 \frac{x(1-x)}{n+1}$$

with functions j_n such that $1 \leq j_n(x) \leq 2$, $x \in [0, 1]$.

Păltănea's result from above implies

Theorem 5. For $f \in C[0, 1]$, $x \in [0, 1]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have the inequality

$$|\mathbb{G}_n(f; x) - f(x)| \leq 2\omega_2 \left(f; \sqrt{\frac{x(1-x)}{n+1}} \right).$$

We now give a Voronovskaya-type result using the least concave majorant of the first order modulus of continuity. Example 4.3 in [4] implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \mathbb{G}_n(f; x) - f(x) - \frac{1}{2} j_n(x) \frac{x(1-x)}{n} f''(x) \right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{G}_n((e_1 - x)^2; x) \tilde{\omega}\left(f''; \frac{1}{3} \frac{\mathbb{G}_n(|e_1 - x|^3; x)}{\mathbb{G}_n((e_1 - x)^2; x)}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{2x(1-x)}{n+1} \tilde{\omega}\left(f''; \frac{1}{3} \frac{L_n(|e_1 - x|^3; x)}{\mathbb{B}_n((e_1 - x)^2; x)}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{x(1-x)}{n+1} \tilde{\omega}\left(f''; \frac{1}{3} \frac{\sqrt{L_n((e_1 - x)^2; x) L_n((e_1 - x)^4; x)}}{x(1-x)/(n+1)}\right) \\
& = \frac{x(1-x)}{n+1} \tilde{\omega}\left(f''; \frac{n+1}{3x(1-x)} \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{x(1-x)}{n+1}}\right) \\
& \quad \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{(n+1)^4} [12(n^2 - 7n)x^2(1-x)^2 + (26n - 2)x(1-x)]} \\
& \leq \frac{x(1-x)}{n+1} \tilde{\omega}\left(f''; \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \sqrt{\frac{1}{(n+1)^3} 5n(n+1)}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{x(1-x)}{n+1} \tilde{\omega}\left(f''; \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \sqrt{\frac{5}{n+1}}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{x(1-x)}{n+1} \tilde{\omega}\left(f''; \frac{2}{\sqrt{n+1}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We thus have

Proposition 3. *For $f \in C^2[0, 1]$, $x \in [0, 1]$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there holds:*

$$\left| \mathbb{G}_n(f; x) - f(x) - \frac{1}{2} j_n(x) \frac{x(1-x)}{n} f''(x) \right| \leq \frac{x(1-x)}{n+1} \tilde{\omega}\left(f''; \frac{2}{\sqrt{n+1}}\right).$$

9. The Difference $B_n - \mathbb{G}_n$

As mentioned earlier, we were interested in decomposing the Bernstein operator B_n and tried to write it as $\mathbb{B}_n \circ S_{\Delta_n} = \mathbb{G}_n$. But this is not possible as demonstrated above. Here we investigate the difference $B_n - \mathbb{G}_n$. To this end we proceed as in [10].

Putting $L := B_n - \mathbb{G}_n$ we consider, for $f \in C[0, 1]$, $x \in [0, 1]$, $L(f; x) = L(f - g; x) + L(g; x)$, $g \in C^2[0, 1]$ arbitrary.

Here, $|L(f - g; x)| \leq \|B_n - \mathbb{G}_n\| \|f - g\|_\infty \leq 2\|f - g\|_\infty$.

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |L(g; x)| &\leq |B_n(g; x) - g(x)| + |\mathbb{G}_n(g; x) - g(x)| \\
 &\leq \left[\frac{1}{2} B_n((e_1 - x)^2; x) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{G}_n((e_1 - x)^2; x) \right] \|g''\|_\infty \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{x(1-x)}{n} + \frac{2x(1-x)}{n+1} \right] \|g''\|_\infty \\
 &\leq \frac{3}{2} \frac{x(1-x)}{n} \|g''\|_\infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Choosing g such that (see [6])

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|f - g\|_\infty &\leq \frac{3}{4} \omega_2(f; h), \\
 \|g''\|_\infty &\leq \frac{3}{2} h^{-2} \omega_2(f; h), \quad 0 < h \leq \frac{1}{2},
 \end{aligned}$$

and putting $h = \sqrt{\frac{x(1-x)}{n}}$, $x \in (0, 1)$, gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 |L(f; x)| &\leq 2 \left(\|f - g\|_\infty + \frac{3}{4} \frac{x(1-x)}{n} \|g''\|_\infty \right) \\
 &\leq 2 \left(\frac{3}{4} \omega_2(f; h) + \frac{9}{8} \frac{x(1-x)}{n} \frac{1}{h^2} \omega_2(f; h) \right) \\
 &= \frac{15}{4} \omega_2 \left(f; \sqrt{\frac{x(1-x)}{n}} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

We thus know

Proposition 4. For $f \in C[0, 1]$, $x \in [0, 1]$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there holds

$$|B_n(f; x) - \mathbb{G}_n(f; x)| \leq \frac{15}{4} \omega_2 \left(f; \sqrt{\frac{x(1-x)}{n}} \right).$$

Proof. For $x \in (0, 1)$ the inequality was shown above. For $x \in \{0, 1\}$ it is trivial. \square

References

- [1] J. A. ADELL, F. GERMAN BADIA AND J. DE LA CAL, Beta-type operators preserve shape properties, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **48** (1993), 1–8.
- [2] A. ATTALIENTI AND I. RASA, Total positivity: an application to positive linear operators and to their limiting semigroups, *Rev. Anal. Numer. Theor. Approx.* **36** (2007), 51–66.

- [3] H. GONSKA, On the composition and decomposition of positive linear operators, in “Approximation Theory and its Applications” (O.I. Stepanets’, I.O. Shevchuk and V.V. Kovtunets’, Eds.), Proc. Int. Conf. dedicated to the memory of V.K. Dziadyk, Kiev 1999, *Proc. Inst. of Math. of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine* **31** (2000), 161–180.
- [4] H. GONSKA, On the degree of approximation in Voronovskaja’s theorem, *Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math.* **52** (2007), no. 3, 103–116.
- [5] H. GONSKA, M. HEILMANN, A. LUPAŞ AND I. RAŞA, On the composition and decomposition of positive linear operators III: A non-trivial decomposition of the Bernstein operator, arXiv: 1204.2723 (2012).
- [6] H. GONSKA AND R.K. KOVACHEVA, The second order modulus revisited: remarks, applications, problems, *Confer. Sem. Mat. Univ. Bari* **257** (1994), 1–32.
- [7] H. GONSKA, P. PIŢUL AND I. RAŞA, On differences of positive linear operators, *Carpathian J. Math.* **22** (2006), 65–78.
- [8] H. GONSKA AND I. RAŞA, On the composition and decomposition of positive linear operators (II), *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* **47** (2010), 448–461.
DOI: 10.1556/SSc-Math.2009.1144
- [9] H. GONSKA AND I. RAŞA, A Voronovskaya estimate with second order modulus of smoothness, in “Mathematical Inequalities” (D. Acu et al., Eds.), Proc. 5th Int. Sympos., Sibiu 2008, pp. 76–90, Publishing House of “Lucian Blaga” University, Sibiu, 2009.
- [10] H. GONSKA AND I. RAŞA, Differences of positive linear operators and the second order modulus, *Carpathian J. Math.* **24** (2008), 332–340.
- [11] H. GONSKA AND I. RAŞA, On the composition and decomposition of positive linear operators (V), *Results Math.* **72** (2017), Issue 3, 1033–1040.
DOI 10.1007/s00025-016-0618-8
- [12] H. GONSKA AND G. TACHEV, On the composition and decomposition of positive linear operators IV: Favard-Bernstein operators revisited, *Gen. Math.* **20** (2012), no. 5, Special Issue, 37–46.
- [13] M. HEILMANN, F. NASAIREH AND I. RAŞA, Beta and related operators revisited, submitted.
- [14] M. HEILMANN AND I. RAŞA, On the decomposition of Bernstein operators, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* **36** (2015), Issue 1, 72–85.
DOI: 10.1080/01630563.2014.951772
- [15] D. KACSÓ, Approximation by means of piecewise linear functions, *Results Math.* **35** (1999), 89–102.
- [16] A. LUPAŞ, “Die Folge der Betaoperatoren”, Dissertation, Universität Stuttgart, 1972.
- [17] A. LUPAŞ AND L. LUPAŞ, Polynomials of binomial type and approximation operators, *Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math.* **32** (1987), no. 4, 61–69.
- [18] G. MÜHLBACH, Verallgemeinerungen der Bernstein- und der Lagrange polynome, Bemerkungen zu einer Klasse linearer Polynomoperatoren von D.D. Stancu, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pure Appl.* **15** (1970), 1235–1252.

- [19] G. MÜHLBACH, Rekursionsformeln für die zentralen Momente der Polya- und der Beta-Verteilung, *Metrika* **19** (1972), 171–177.
- [20] R. PĂLTĂNEA, Optimal estimates with moduli of continuity, *Results Math.* **32** (1997), 318–331.
- [21] BL. SENDOV, On a theorem of Ju. Brudnyi, *Math. Balkanica (N.S.)* **1** (1987), no. 1, 106–111.
- [22] D. D. STANCU, Approximation of functions by a new class of linear polynomial operators, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **13** (1968), 1173–1194.

HEINER GONSKA

Fakultät für Mathematik
Universität Duisburg-Essen
Bismarckstraße 90
47057 Duisburg
GERMANY
E-mail: heiner.gonska@uni-due.de

DANIELA KACSÓ

Fakultät für Mathematik
Ruhr-Universität Bochum
Universitätsstraße 150
44780 Bochum
GERMANY
E-mail: daniela.kacso@rub.de

IOAN RAŞA

Department of Mathematics
Technical University
Str. Memorandumului 28
400114 Cluj-Napoca
ROMANIA
E-mail: ioan.rasa@math.utcluj.ro