

# New self-dual $[78, 39, 14]$ codes with an automorphism of order 13<sup>1</sup>

NIKOLAY YANKOV

jankov\_niki@yahoo.com

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics,  
Shumen University, 9700 Shumen, Bulgaria

DAMYAN ANEV

damian\_anev@mail.bg

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics,  
Shumen University, 9700 Shumen, Bulgaria

**Abstract.** We apply a method by Huffman and Yorgov for constructing binary self-dual codes  $C$  having an automorphism of odd prime order  $p = 13$ . According to the method all such codes are a direct sum of the subcodes  $F_\sigma(C)$  and  $E_\sigma(C)$ . For the code  $E_\sigma(C)$  we obtain exactly 322103 inequivalent codes with minimum distance  $d \geq 14$ . Using these codes we present a full classification of binary self-dual  $[78, 39, 14]$  codes having a fixed points free automorphism of order 13. The total number of such codes is 1592 and among them are codes with 6 new values of the integer parameters in their respective weight enumerator.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be the finite field of  $q$  elements, for a prime power  $q$ . A linear  $[n, k]_q$  code  $C$  is a  $k$ -dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ . The elements of  $C$  are called *codewords*, and the (*Hamming*) *weight* of a codeword  $v \in C$  is the number of the non-zero coordinates of  $v$ . We use  $\text{wt}(v)$  to denote the weight of a codeword. The *minimum weight*  $d$  of  $C$  is the minimum nonzero weight of any codeword in  $C$  and the code is called an  $[n, k, d]_q$  code. A matrix whose rows form a basis of  $C$  is called a *generator matrix* of this code (denoted by  $\text{gen}(C)$ ).

By  $O, I, J$  we denote the zero, identity and all-ones matrices, respectively.

For every  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$  and  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$  from  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ ,  $u \cdot v = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i v_i$  defines the *inner product* in  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ . The *dual code* of  $C$  is  $C^\perp = \{v \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \mid u \cdot v = 0, \forall u \in C\}$ . If  $C \subseteq C^\perp$ ,  $C$  is called *self-orthogonal*, and if  $C = C^\perp$ , we say that  $C$  is *self-dual*. We call a binary code *self-complementary* if it contains the all-ones vector. Every binary self-dual code is self-complementary.

A self-dual code is *doubly-even* if all codewords have weight divisible by four, and *singly-even* if there is at least one nonzero codeword of weight  $\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . Self-dual doubly-even codes exist if and only if  $n$  is a multiple of eight.

---

<sup>1</sup>This research was supported by Shumen University by Project No RD-08-107/06.02.2017

The weight enumerator  $W(y)$  of a code  $C$  is defined as  $W(y) = \sum_{i=0}^n A_i y^i$ , where  $A_i$  is the number of codewords of weight  $i$  in  $C$ . We say that two linear codes  $C$  and  $C'$  are *permutation equivalent* if there is a permutation of coordinates which sends  $C$  to  $C'$ . The set of coordinate permutations that maps a code  $C$  to itself forms a group denoted by  $\text{Aut}(C)$ .

Rains [10] proved that the minimum distance  $d$  of a binary self-dual  $[n, k, d]$  code satisfies the following bound:

$$\begin{aligned} d &\leq 4\lfloor n/24 \rfloor + 4, & \text{if } n \not\equiv 22 \pmod{24}, \\ d &\leq 4\lfloor n/24 \rfloor + 6, & \text{if } n \equiv 22 \pmod{24}. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Codes achieving this bound are called *extremal*. A self-dual code is called *optimal* if it has the highest minimum weight among all self-dual codes.

The possible weight enumerators for extremal and optimal binary self-dual codes of lengths  $72 \leq n \leq 100$  are known from Steven Dougherty, T. Aaron Gulliver and Masaaki Harada [3]. Later in [7] some additional restrictions for the parameters are proved.

For  $[78, 39, 14]$  self-dual codes there are two possible weight enumerators:

$$\begin{aligned} W_{78,1} = 1 &+ (3705 + 8\beta)y^{14} + (62244 + 512\alpha - 24\beta)y^{16} \\ &+ (774592 - 4608\alpha - 64\beta)y^{18} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

where  $0 \leq \alpha \leq -\frac{\beta}{16} \leq 2$ , and

$$W_{78,2} = 1 + (3705 + 8\alpha)y^{14} + (71460 - 24\alpha)y^{16} + (658880 - 64\alpha)y^{18} + \dots$$

where  $-468 \leq \alpha \leq -135$ . Codes exist for  $W_{78,1}$  when  $\alpha = \beta = 0$  (see [3] and [5]),  $\alpha = 0, \beta = -19$  (see [2]),  $\alpha = 0, \beta = -26$  (see [6]),  $\alpha = 0, \beta = -78$  (see [5]), and for  $W_{78,2}$  for  $\alpha = -135$  (see [5]). Very recently in [15] 16 inequivalent self-dual  $[78, 39, 14]$  codes were found. The authors obtained codes with dihedral automorphism group  $D_{38}$  and a weight enumerator  $W_{78,1}$ : 4 codes with  $\alpha = 0, \beta = -38$ ; 12 codes have  $\alpha = \beta = 0$ .

Optimal self-dual codes with an automorphism of odd prime order are a well studied subject. In fact all such codes are classified up to length 50 [11]. In [6] P. Gaborit and A. Otmani have constructed a  $[78, 39, 14]$  self-dual code with an automorphism of order 13 with  $W_{78,1}$  for  $\alpha = 0, \beta = -26$ . The extremal or optimal binary self-dual codes with an automorphism of order 13 with 4 cycles are classified in [14]. We continue the investigation of binary self-dual codes with an automorphism of order 13 with the next possible case, i.e. with 6 independent 13-cycles.

By the Rains bound (1) we have  $d \leq 16$  for binary self-dual codes of lengths 78 to 92. Let  $C$  be an optimal binary self-dual  $[78, 39, \geq 14]$  code possessing an automorphism  $\sigma$  of order 13 with  $c = 6$  cycles and no fixed points.

For the computations, in this work, we use **GAP 4.8** [4] for the generation of the codes and **Q-extension** [1] for the code equivalence.

## 2 Construction method

Let  $C$  be a binary self-dual code of length  $n$  with an automorphism  $\sigma$  of odd prime order  $p$  with exactly  $c$  independent  $p$ -cycles and  $f = n - pc$  fixed points in its decomposition. We may assume that

$$\sigma = (1, 2, \dots, p)(p+1, p+2, \dots, 2p) \dots (p(c-1)+1, p(c-1)+2, \dots, pc),$$

and say that  $\sigma$  is of *type*  $p - (c, f)$ .

Denote the cycles of  $\sigma$  by  $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_c$ , and the fixed points by  $\Omega_{c+1}, \dots, \Omega_{c+f}$ . Let  $F_\sigma(C) = \{v \in C \mid v\sigma = v\}$  and  $E_\sigma(C) = \{v \in C \mid wt(v|_{\Omega_i}) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, i = 1, \dots, c+f\}$ , where  $v|_{\Omega_i}$  is the restriction of  $v$  on  $\Omega_i$ .

**Theorem 1** ([9]). *Assume that  $C$  is a self-dual code. Then the code  $C$  is a direct sum of the subcodes  $F_\sigma(C)$  and  $E_\sigma(C)$ . The subcodes  $F_\sigma(C)$  and  $E_\sigma(C)$  are subspaces of dimensions  $\frac{c+f}{2}$  and  $\frac{c(p-1)}{2}$ , respectively.*

From the definition of  $F_\sigma(C)$  it follows that  $v \in F_\sigma(C)$  iff  $v \in C$  and  $v$  is constant on each cycle. Let  $\pi : F_\sigma(C) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^{c+f}$  be the projection map where if  $v \in F_\sigma(C)$ ,  $(v\pi)_i = v_j$  for some  $j \in \Omega_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, c+f$ .

Denote by  $E_\sigma(C)^*$  the code  $E_\sigma(C)$  with the last  $f$  coordinates deleted. So  $E_\sigma(C)^*$  is a self-orthogonal binary code of length  $pc$ . For  $v$  in  $E_\sigma(C)^*$  we let  $v|_{\Omega_i} = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{p-1})$  correspond to the polynomial  $v_0 + v_1x + \dots + v_{p-1}x^{p-1}$  from  $\mathcal{P}$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  is the set of even-weight polynomials in the factor ring  $\mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle x^p - 1 \rangle$ . Thus we obtain the map  $\varphi : E_\sigma(C)^* \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^c$ .  $\mathcal{P}$  is a cyclic code of length  $p$  with generator polynomial  $x - 1$ . It is known from [9] and [12] that  $\varphi(E_\sigma(C)^*)$  is a submodule of the  $\mathcal{P}$ -module  $\mathcal{P}^c$ .

**Theorem 2** ([12]). *A binary  $[n, n/2]$  code  $C$  with an automorphism  $\sigma$  is self-dual if and only if the following two conditions hold:*

- (i)  $C_\pi = \pi(F_\sigma(C))$  is a binary self-dual code of length  $c + f$ ,
- (ii) for every two vectors  $u, v$  from  $C_\varphi = \varphi(E_\sigma(C)^*)$  we have

$$u_1(x)v_1(x^{-1}) + \dots + u_c(x)v_c(x^{-1}) = 0. \quad (2)$$

In order to classify the codes that we have obtained we need additional conditions for equivalence given by the following.

**Theorem 3** ([13]). *The following transformations preserve the decomposition and send the code  $C$  to an equivalent one: (i) a permutation of the fixed coordinates; (ii) a permutation of the  $p$ -cycles coordinates; (iii) a substitution  $x \rightarrow x^2$  in  $C_\varphi$ ; (iv) a cyclic shift to each  $p$ -cycle independently.*

### 3 Constructing the $E_\sigma(C)^*$ subcode

By [14], 2 is a primitive root modulo 13, and hence  $\mathcal{P}$  is a field with  $2^{12}$  elements and identity  $e(x) = x + \dots + x^{12}$ . We use the element  $\alpha = 1 + x + x^3 + x^5$  which is a primitive element in  $\mathcal{P}$  [13]. Using  $\beta = \alpha^{13}$  which is an element of multiplicative order 315 in  $\mathcal{P}$  we can write  $\mathcal{P}^* = \{x^i \beta^j \mid 0 \leq i \leq 12, 0 \leq j \leq 314\}$ .

After Gaussian elimination we can take the generator matrix for  $C_\varphi$  to be in the form  $G = (e(x)I|Z)$ , where  $Z$  is a  $3 \times 3$  matrix over  $\mathcal{P}$ . Since every row of  $G$  has weight divisible by 4 and  $E_\sigma(C)^*$  is a self-orthogonal code we claim that the code  $E_\sigma(C)^*$  is double-even so its minimum weight should be  $d \geq 16$ . Using Theorem 3 we can transform the matrix  $Z$  to the following

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} \beta^{i_1} & \beta^{i_2} & \beta^{i_3} \\ \beta^{i_4} & x^{l_5} \beta^{i_5} & x^{l_6} \beta^{i_6} \\ \beta^{i_7} & x^{l_8} \beta^{i_8} & x^{l_9} \beta^{i_9} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $i_1 \leq i_2 \leq i_3$ ,  $0 \leq i_t \leq 314$ ,  $0 \leq l_t \leq 12$ , or some of the elements in  $Z$  are zeroes. Using the orthogonal condition (2) and checking that  $d \geq 16$  we calculated all possible inequivalent choices of the first row of  $Z$  and found 1676 triples  $(i_1, i_2, i_3)$ . Next, we added the second row of  $Z$  and we obtained 4086196 different  $2 \times 3$  submatrices. Finally, after adding the last row we obtained exactly 322103 inequivalent codes with minimum distance  $d = 16$ .

**Theorem 4.** *There are exactly 322103 inequivalent codes  $C_\varphi$  of length 6 over the set  $\mathcal{P}$  of all even-weight polynomials in  $\mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle x^{13}-1 \rangle$  such that  $d(E_\sigma(C)^*) = 16$ .*

The order of the automorphism groups of the codes that we have obtained are listed in Table 1.

Table 1: The order of the automorphism groups of all  $E_\sigma(C)^*$

| $ \text{Aut}(C) $ | 13     | 26   | 39 | 52  | 78 | 156 | 234 | 468 |
|-------------------|--------|------|----|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|
| #                 | 317529 | 4314 | 42 | 167 | 41 | 8   | 1   | 1   |

### 4 Classification of [78, 39, 14] self-dual codes with an automorphism of type 13 – (6, 0)

Assume that  $C$  is a [78, 39, 14] self-dual code with an automorphism of type 13 – (6, 0). By Theorem 2,  $C_\pi$  is a binary self-dual [6, 3, 2] code. There is a unique such code:  $3i_2$  (see [8]) with generator matrix  $G_1 = (I_3|I_3)$ . By Theorem 1,  $C$  is a direct sum of  $F_\sigma(C)$  and  $E_\sigma(C)$ . We fix the generator matrix of

$E_\sigma(C)$  to be the generator matrix of one of the codes from Theorem 4. For all permutations  $\tau \in S_6$  we consider the generator matrix of  $C_\pi$  to be  $\tau(G_1)$ . We summarize the results in the following.

**Proposition 1.** *There are exactly 1592 inequivalent binary  $[78, 39, 14]$  self-dual codes having an automorphism of type  $13 - (6, 0)$ .*

All codes that we have obtained possess weight enumerator  $W_{78,1}$  for  $\beta = -117, -104, -78, -65, -52, -39, -26, -13$ , and 0. All values except  $\beta = -78, -13$ , and 0 are new. We list the number of inequivalent codes with the different pairs  $(\beta, |\text{Aut}(C)|)$  in Table 2 where the new values are marked in bold. One of the three codes with the pair  $(\beta, |\text{Aut}(C)|) = (-78, 78)$  is the code  $C_{78,1}$  from [5].

Table 2:  $\beta$  in  $W_{78,1}$  and  $|\text{Aut}(C)|$  for  $[78, 34, 14]$  self-dual codes with an automorphism of type  $13 - (6, 0)$

|             | Aut(C)     |           |          |    |            | Aut(C)     |    |    |    |
|-------------|------------|-----------|----------|----|------------|------------|----|----|----|
| $\beta$     | 13         | 26        | 39       | 78 | $\beta$    | 13         | 26 | 39 | 78 |
| <b>-117</b> |            |           | <b>1</b> |    | <b>-39</b> | <b>302</b> |    |    |    |
| <b>-104</b> |            | <b>1</b>  |          |    | -26        | 437        | 30 |    |    |
| -78         | 5          | 7         | 1        | 3  | <b>-13</b> | <b>421</b> |    |    |    |
| <b>-65</b>  | <b>37</b>  |           |          |    | 0          | 171        | 18 |    | 5  |
| <b>-52</b>  | <b>137</b> | <b>14</b> | <b>2</b> |    |            |            |    |    |    |

## 5 Conclusion and future work

As we have seen in this work there are no  $[78, 39, 16]$  binary self-dual codes with an automorphism of order 13. The remaining cases for the prime order of the automorphisms of this codes are  $p = 19, 7, 5$  and 3.

For future work we can use the codes obtained in Section 3 to classify all binary self-dual  $[2k, k, d]$  codes for  $k = 40, 41$  and 42 and  $d \geq 14$ .

## References

- [1] I. Bouyukliev, *About the code equivalence in Advances in Coding Theory and Cryptography vol. 3*, World Scientific Publishing Company, 2007, 126–151.
- [2] A. Baartmans and V. Yorgov, Some new extremal codes of lengths 76 and 78, *IEEE Trans. Infor. Theory*, **49(5)**, 1353–1354, 2003.

- [3] S. T. Dougherty, T. A. Gulliver, and M. Harada, Extremal binary self-dual codes, *IEEE Trans. Infor. Theory*, vol. 43, no. 6, 2036–2047, 1997.
- [4] *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.8.6*, The GAP Group, 2016. [Online]. Available: <http://www.gap-system.org>
- [5] T. A. Gulliver, M. Harada, and J.-L. Kim, Construction of new extremal self-dual codes, *Discrete Math.*, **263(1-3)**, 81–91, 2003.
- [6] P. Gaborit and A. Otmani, Experimental constructions of self-dual codes, *Finite Fields Appl.*, **9(3)**, 372–394, 2003.
- [7] M. Harada and A. Munemasa, Some restrictions on weight enumerators of singly even self-dual codes, *IEEE Trans. Infor. Theory*, **52(3)**, 1266–1269, 2006.
- [8] W. C. Huffman and V. S. Pless, *Fundamentals of Error-Correcting Codes*, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [9] W. C. Huffman, Automorphisms of codes with applications to extremal doubly even codes of length 48, *IEEE Trans. Infor. Theory*, **28(3)**, 511–521, 1982.
- [10] E. Rains, Shadow bounds for self-dual codes, *IEEE Trans. Infor. Theory*, **44(1)**, 134–139, 1998.
- [11] N. Yankov and M. H. Lee, Classification of self-dual codes of length 50 with an automorphism of odd prime order, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, **74(3)**, 571–579, 2015.
- [12] V. Yorgov, Binary Self-Dual Codes with Automorphisms of Odd Order, *Probl. Inform. Transm.*, **19(4)**, 260–270, 1983.
- [13] V. Yorgov, A method for constructing inequivalent self-dual codes with applications to length 56, *IEEE Trans. Infor. Theory*, **33(1)**, 77–82, 1987.
- [14] N. Yankov and R. Russeva, Binary Self-Dual Codes of Lengths 52 to 60 With an Automorphism of Order 7 or 13, *IEEE Trans. Infor. Theory*, **57(11)**, 7498–7506, 2011.
- [15] T. Zhang, J. Michel, T. Feng, and G. Ge, On the Existence of Certain Optimal Self-Dual Codes with Lengths Between 74 and 116, *Electron. J. Combin.*, **22(4)**, P4.33, 2015.