

## More on exposed points and extremal points of convex sets in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and Hilbert space

STOYU T. BAROV

*Abstract.* Let  $\mathbb{V}$  be a separable real Hilbert space,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $k < \dim \mathbb{V}$ , and let  $B$  be convex and closed in  $\mathbb{V}$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a collection of linear  $k$ -subspaces of  $\mathbb{V}$ . A point  $w \in B$  is called exposed by  $\mathcal{P}$  if there is a  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  so that  $(w + P) \cap B = \{w\}$ . We show that, under some natural conditions,  $B$  can be reconstituted as the convex hull of the closure of all its exposed by  $\mathcal{P}$  points whenever  $\mathcal{P}$  is dense and  $G_\delta$ . In addition, we discuss the question when the set of exposed by some  $\mathcal{P}$  points forms a  $G_\delta$ -set.

*Keywords:* convex set; extremal point; exposed point; Hilbert space; Grassmann manifold

*Classification:* 52A20, 52A07

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper  $\mathbb{V}$  stands for a separable real Hilbert space. Thus  $\mathbb{V}$  is isomorphic to either  $\mathbb{R}^n$  or  $l^2$ . Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $k < \dim \mathbb{V}$ ,  $B$  be convex and closed in  $\mathbb{V}$  and let  $\mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{V})$  consist of all  $k$ -dimensional linear subspaces of  $\mathbb{V}$  with the natural topology; see Definition 1. Let  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{V})$  and  $w \in B$ . We say that  $w$  is *exposed by  $\mathcal{P}$*  if  $(w + P) \cap B = \{w\}$  for some  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ . This definition generalizes each of the both concepts—an exposed point and a 0-exposed point—as defined in [6] and [1] respectively, that is, a point of  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  that is exposed by  $\mathcal{G}_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . By  $\mathcal{X}_p^k(B, \mathcal{P})$  we denote the set of all exposed by  $\mathcal{P}$  points in  $B$ . Next, if  $C \subset \mathbb{V}$  then we say that  $C$  is a  *$\mathcal{P}$ -imitation* of  $B$  if  $B + P = C + P$  for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ . Further,  $\mathcal{X}_t^k(B, \mathcal{P})$  stands for the set of *extremal* points of  $B$  with respect to  $\mathcal{P}$  and is defined as  $\mathcal{X}_t^k(B, \mathcal{P}) = \bigcap \{C \subset B : C \text{ is a closed } \mathcal{P}\text{-imitation of } B\}$ . The following exposed point theorem is proved in [5, Theorem 10].

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $k < \dim \mathbb{V}$ , let  $B \subset \mathbb{V}$  be closed and convex, and let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $\mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{V})$  such that  $\mathcal{P} \subset \text{int } \overline{\mathcal{P}}$ . Then  $\mathcal{X}_p^k(B, \mathcal{P})$  is dense in  $\mathcal{X}_t^k(B, \mathcal{P})$ .*

One of the goals of the current paper is to make use of the exposed point theorem and to prove the following theorem of Krein–Milman type; for example, see [15, Theorem 9.4.6]. It allows us, under some natural conditions, to reconstitute a closed convex set  $B$  in  $\mathbb{V}$  as the convex hull of the closure of the set of all exposed by  $\mathcal{P}$ —a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $\mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{V})$ —points in  $B$ . In this connection, let us mention the theorem of V. L. Klee, see [12, Theorem 2.3], which is about a reconstruction of a locally compact closed convex set  $B$  in a normed linear space, and  $B$  contains no line. Further, it is worth pointing out the theorem of V. Kanellopoulos, see [11, Theorem 1.1], that is of a similar type and is also an extension of Asplund’s theorem, see [1], and Straszewicz theorem, see [16]. Recall that a  $k$ -hyperplane is a plane with codimension  $k$  and a halfspace of a plane  $L$  in  $\mathbb{V}$  is any subset of  $L$  that consists of a hyperplane of  $L$  along with one of its sides. For the concept of a *derived face* the reader can refer to Definition 2. We have the following reconstitution theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $k < \dim \mathbb{V}$ , let  $B \subset \mathbb{V}$  be closed and convex that contains no  $k$ -hyperplane and let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $\mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{V})$ . If there is no derived face of  $B$  that is a halfspace of a  $k$ -hyperplane then*

$$\langle \overline{\mathcal{X}_p^k(B, \mathcal{P})} \rangle = \langle \overline{\mathcal{X}_p^k(B, \mathcal{P})} \rangle = B.$$

Let us point out that the requirement for  $\mathcal{P}$  to be  $G_\delta$  in both Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 cannot be omitted as Example 1 shows. Now, we need to make a couple of definitions. If  $H \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $k \leq \dim H$  then we define  $\mathcal{G}_k(H)$  as  $\mathcal{G}_k(H) = \{L \in \mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{R}^n) : L \subset H\}$ . A compact and convex set  $B$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is called a *convex body* if  $\dim B = n$ . Next, let us discuss the following question: given  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  closed and convex and  $1 \leq k < n$  when can we find a nonempty subset  $\mathcal{P}$  in  $\mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  so that  $\mathcal{X}_p^k(B, \mathcal{P})$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set? Here, we should mention the example of V. L. Klee, see [12, Example (6.10)], that is, a convex body  $B$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  such that  $\mathcal{X}_p^2(B, \mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{R}^3))$  is not  $G_\delta$ . More refined example is constructed by H. H. Corson in [7]—a convex body  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  such that  $\mathcal{X}_p^2(B, \mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{R}^3))$  is of the first category and hence does not contain a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $\mathcal{X}_t^2(B, \mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{R}^3))$ . Further, S. Barov and J. J. Dijkstra in [5, Example 2] show that there is a convex body  $B$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  for which the set of points exposed by  $\mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \mathcal{G}_1(H)$ , for some linear two-dimensional plane  $H$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , is not a  $G_\delta$ -set. Moreover, [5, Example 3] is an expansion of Corson’s example, namely, there is a convex body  $B$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\mathcal{X}_p^k(B, \mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{R}^n))$  does not contain a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of the complete space  $\mathcal{X}_t^k(B, \mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{R}^n))$  whenever  $2 \leq k < n$ . In view of all those examples the following Straszewicz-type theorem is on the “positive” side of the discussion and is a slight improvement over [5, Theorem 3].

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n \geq 2$  and let  $B$  be closed and convex in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $\mathcal{G}_1(H) \setminus \mathcal{P}$  is countable for every  $H \in \mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $\mathcal{X}_p^1(B, \mathcal{P})$  is a dense  $G_\delta$ -set in  $\mathcal{X}_t^1(B, \mathcal{P})$ .*

Our paper is arranged as follows. In the introduction section we present and discuss our main results. In Section 2 we introduce the main concepts and give some basic properties and in Section 3 we prove our main theorems.

## 2. Definitions and preliminaries

The inner product in  $\mathbb{V}$  is denoted by  $x \cdot y$  and  $\mathbf{0}$  always stands for the zero vector. The norm on  $\mathbb{V}$  is given by  $\|u\| = \sqrt{u \cdot u}$  and the metric  $d$  is given by  $d(u, v) = \|v - u\|$ . Let  $A$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{V}$ . We have that  $\text{aff } A$  denotes the affine hull of  $A$ ,  $\bar{A}$  the closure, and  $\text{int } A$  the interior of  $A$  in  $\mathbb{V}$ . Next,  $\langle A \rangle$  stands for the convex hull of  $A$ ,  $\partial A$  means the relative boundary of  $A$ , that is, the boundary with respect to the affine hull of  $A$  and we define  $A^\circ = A \setminus \partial A$ . Note that if  $A$  is convex and nonempty in a finite-dimensional space then  $A^\circ \neq \emptyset$  and  $\bar{A}^\circ \subset A$ . We also define the linear space

$$A^\perp = \{v \in \mathbb{V} : v \cdot x = v \cdot y \text{ for all } x, y \in A\}.$$

In addition, if  $A$  is a closed linear subspace of  $\mathbb{V}$ , then  $(A^\perp)^\perp = A$  and  $A^\perp$  is called the *orthocomplement* of  $A$ . Also, we define  $\text{codim } A = \dim A^\perp \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty\}$ . Notice that  $\text{codim } A = \text{codim } \text{aff } A$ . A *plane* in  $\mathbb{V}$  is a closed affine subspace of  $\mathbb{V}$ ; a *k-plane* in  $\mathbb{V}$  is a  $k$ -dimensional affine subspace of  $\mathbb{V}$ . Now, let  $L$  be a plane in  $\mathbb{V}$ . A plane  $H \subset L$  is called a *k-hyperplane* in  $L$  if  $\dim(H^\perp \cap L) = k$ . In other words, a *k-hyperplane* is a plane with codimension  $k$  in the ambient space. A *hyperplane*  $H$  of  $L$  is a plane of  $L$  of codimension 1. The two components of  $L \setminus H$  are called the *sides* of the hyperplane  $H$  and the union of  $H$  with one of its sides is called a *halfspace* of  $L$ . A halfspace of a line is called a *halfline* or a *ray*. We say that  $H$  *supports* a subset  $A$  of  $L$  at  $x$  if  $x \in H \cap A$  and  $A$  is contained in a halfspace that is associated with  $H$ .

**Definition 1.** Let  $\mathbb{B} = \{v \in \mathbb{V} : \|v\| \leq 1\}$  be the unit ball in  $\mathbb{V}$  and let  $\mathcal{G}_m(\mathbb{V})$  stand for the collection of all  $m$ -dimensional linear subspaces of  $\mathbb{V}$ . As in [5], we topologize  $\mathcal{G}_m(\mathbb{V})$  by defining a metric  $\varrho$  on  $\mathcal{G}_m(\mathbb{V})$ :

$$\varrho(L_1, L_2) = d_H(L_1 \cap \mathbb{B}, L_2 \cap \mathbb{B}),$$

where  $d_H$  is the Hausdorff distance, associated with  $d$ , between two nonempty compact subsets of  $\mathbb{B}$ ; see also [14, 1.11, page 95]. With the generated topology  $\mathcal{G}_m(\mathbb{V})$  is complete; when  $\mathbb{V}$  is finite-dimensional then  $\mathcal{G}_m(\mathbb{V})$  is even compact and is called *Grassmann manifold*.

**Definition 2.** Let  $B$  be a closed and convex set in  $\mathbb{V}$ . A nonempty subset  $F$  of  $B$  is called a *face* of  $B$  if there is a hyperplane  $H$  of  $\text{aff } B$  that supports  $B$  with the property  $F = B \cap H$ . Note that  $F$  is also closed and convex and that  $\text{codim } F > \text{codim } B$ . If  $F$  is a face of  $B$  we write  $F \prec B$ . We say that a subset  $F$  of  $B$  is a *derived face* of  $B$  if  $F = B$  or there exists a sequence  $F = F_1 \prec F_2 \prec \cdots \prec F_m = B$  for some  $m$ . Furthermore, if  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $F \prec B$  then we say that  $F$  is a *facet* of  $B$  if  $\dim F = \dim B - 1$ . Observe that, in this case,  $F$  has a nonempty interior in  $\partial B$ . Besides, these interiors are disjoint for different facets of  $B$ . Therefore, by separability, a closed convex set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  can have only countably many facets.

**Definition 3.** Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a collection of linear subspaces of a vector space  $\mathbb{V}$ . We say that an affine subspace  $H$  of  $\mathbb{V}$  is *consistent with*  $\mathcal{P}$  if there is a  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $z + P \subset H$  for some  $z \in H$ . Let  $B$  be a convex and closed subset of  $\mathbb{V}$ . A nonempty subset  $F$  of  $B$  is called a  $\mathcal{P}$ -*face* of  $B$  if  $F = B \cap H$  for some hyperplane  $H$  of  $\mathbb{V}$  that supports  $B$  and that is consistent with  $\mathcal{P}$ . A *derived  $\mathcal{P}$ -face* is a derived face of a  $\mathcal{P}$ -face. If  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $k < \dim \mathbb{V}$  then we define the set  $\mathcal{E}^k(B, \mathcal{P})$  as the closure of

$$\bigcup \{F : F \text{ is a derived } \mathcal{P}\text{-face of } B \text{ with } \text{codim } F > k\}.$$

We finish this section with one more definition. A continuous map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is called *proper* if the pre-image of every compactum in  $Y$  is compact. Recall that in metric spaces a continuous map is proper if and only if it is closed and every fibre is compact; see [8, Theorem 3.7.18].

### 3. Proofs of the main results

We are going to establish our main theorems. As the following theorem shows if  $B^\circ = \emptyset$  or  $\text{codim } B \geq k$  then we have a stronger result than Theorem 2.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $k < \dim \mathbb{V}$ , let  $B \subset \mathbb{V}$  be closed and convex, and let  $\mathcal{P}$  be somewhere dense in  $\mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{V})$ .

- (a) If  $B^\circ = \emptyset$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  is  $G_\delta$ , or
- (b) if  $\text{codim } B \geq k$

then  $B = \mathcal{X}_p^k(B, \mathcal{P})$ .

PROOF: The theorem follows directly from [5, Theorem 12] and [5, Remark 2].  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{D}_k(B)$  be the union of all derived faces of  $B$  that are halfspaces of  $k$ -hyperplanes. Theorem 2 follows immediately from the following more general result having in mind that  $\mathcal{D}_k(B) = \emptyset$  by assumption of Theorem 2, and that  $\langle \overline{\mathcal{X}_p^k(B, \mathcal{P})} \rangle \subset \langle \overline{\mathcal{X}_p^k(B, \mathcal{P})} \rangle$  holds generally.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $k < \dim \mathbb{V}$ , let  $B \subset \mathbb{V}$  be closed and convex that contains no  $k$ -hyperplane and let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $\mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{V})$ . Then*

$$\langle \overline{\mathcal{X}_p^k(B, \mathcal{P}) \cup \mathcal{D}_k(B)} \rangle = \langle \overline{\mathcal{X}_p^k(B, \mathcal{P})} \cup \mathcal{D}_k(B) \rangle = B.$$

PROOF: If  $\text{codim } B \geq k$  then the theorem follows from Theorem 4. So, without loss of generality, we can assume that  $\text{codim } B < k$ . Next, we will show the following key claim.

**Claim 1.** *We have  $B = \langle \mathcal{E}^k(B, \mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{V})) \cup \mathcal{D}_k(B) \rangle$ .*

PROOF: Indeed, striving for a contradiction assume that  $B \not\subset \langle \mathcal{E}^k(B, \mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{V})) \cup \mathcal{D}_k(B) \rangle$ . Consider the collection

$$\mathcal{F} = \{F: F \text{ is a derived face of } B \text{ such that } F \not\subset \langle \mathcal{E}^k(B, \mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{V})) \cup \mathcal{D}_k(B) \rangle\}.$$

Since  $B$  is a derived face of itself we have that  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ . By the definition of  $\mathcal{E}^k(B, \mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{V}))$ , we have that if  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  then  $\text{codim } F \leq k$ . Thus we can choose an  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  with a maximal codimension. By [4, Lemma 17], we get that  $F^\circ \neq \emptyset$ . Set  $L = \text{aff } F$  and observe that  $\text{codim } L \leq k$ . Next, since  $B$  contains no  $k$ -hyperplane we have that  $F \neq L$ . Therefore, we can pick a point  $x \in \partial F$ . By Hahn–Banach theorem, we consider a supporting hyperplane  $H_1$  at  $x$  to  $F$  in  $L$ . Suppose that  $H_1 \subset F$ . Then we must have that  $\text{codim } H_1 = k + 1$  and  $\text{codim } L = k$ . By the structure of closed convex sets, see [10, §2.5], we have that if  $y \in L$  then either  $(y - x + H_1) \subset F$  or  $(y - x + H_1) \cap F = \emptyset$ . Next, let  $\hat{l} \subset L$  be a line through  $x$  with  $\hat{l} \perp H_1$ . Observe that,  $S = \hat{l} \cap F$  is either a nondegenerate line segment or a ray such that in both cases  $x$  is an end point. Clearly,  $F = \bigcup \{z - x + H_1 : z \in S\}$ . Further, if  $S$  is a ray then we get that  $F$  is a halfspace of the  $k$ -hyperplane  $L$ . Hence  $F \subset \mathcal{D}_k(B)$ , a contradiction. If  $S$  is a line segment then there is a  $w \in L$  such that  $S = \langle \{x, w\} \rangle$ . In this case  $\partial F = H_1 \cup (w - x + H_1)$ . Consequently,  $\partial F \subset \mathcal{E}^k(B, \mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{V}))$  since  $\text{codim } H_1 = \text{codim}(w - x + H_1) = k + 1$ . Hence  $F = \langle \partial F \rangle \subset \langle \mathcal{E}^k(B, \mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{V})) \rangle$ , a contradiction again. Therefore,  $H_1 \not\subset F$  and we can pick an  $y \in H_1 \setminus F$ . Further, since  $F$  is closed and convex, we can find the (unique)  $F$ -supporting hyperplane  $H_2$  through  $y$  in  $L$  so that  $d(H_2, F) = d(y, F) > 0$ ; see [13, page 347]. Notice that  $H_1 \neq H_2$  and  $y \in H_1 \cap H_2$ . Furthermore, by [3, Lemma 8], there is a line  $l \in \mathcal{G}_1$  with  $y + l \subset L$  and  $\psi_l \upharpoonright F \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$  is proper, where  $\psi_l: \mathbb{V} \rightarrow l^\perp$  denotes the orthogonal projection along  $l$  onto  $l^\perp$ . Now, let  $z \in F$ . If  $z \in \partial F$  then, by Hahn–Banach theorem, there is a face  $F'$  of  $F$  that contains  $z$ . Clearly,  $F'$  is a derived face of  $B$  with  $\text{codim } F' > \text{codim } F$ . By the choice of  $F$  we get that  $F' \subset \langle \mathcal{E}^k(B, \mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{V})) \cup \mathcal{D}_k(B) \rangle$ . Hence  $z \in \langle \mathcal{E}^k(B, \mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{V})) \cup \mathcal{D}_k(B) \rangle$ . That argument also implies that  $\partial F \subset \langle \mathcal{E}^k(B, \mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{V})) \cup \mathcal{D}_k(B) \rangle$ . Now, suppose

that  $z \in F^\circ$ . Since  $\psi_l \upharpoonright F \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$  is proper, we get that  $K = (z + l) \cap F$  is a line segment. So  $K \subset \langle \mathcal{E}^k(B, \mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{V})) \cup \mathcal{D}_k(B) \rangle$  since the end points of  $K$  are in  $\partial F$ . Hence  $F \subset \langle \mathcal{E}^k(B, \mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{V})) \cup \mathcal{D}_k(B) \rangle$ . We arrive at a contradiction. Consequently, we obtain that  $B \subset \langle \mathcal{E}^k(B, \mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{V})) \cup \mathcal{D}_k(B) \rangle$ . Thus the claim holds.  $\square$

Further, since  $\text{codim } B < k$ , by [5, Theorem 4] and [5, Lemma 9], we have that  $\mathcal{E}^k(B, \mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{E}^k(B, \mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{V})) = \mathcal{X}_t^k(B, \mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{X}_t^k(B, \mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{V}))$ . Now, we can apply the exposed point theorem, see [5, Theorem 10], to get that  $\overline{\mathcal{X}_p^k(B, \mathcal{P})} = \mathcal{X}_t^k(B, \mathcal{P})$ . Consequently,  $B = \langle \mathcal{E}^k(B, \mathcal{G}_k(\mathbb{V})) \cup \mathcal{D}_k(B) \rangle = \langle \overline{\mathcal{X}_p^k(B, \mathcal{P})} \cup \mathcal{D}_k(B) \rangle$ . Since  $\langle \overline{\mathcal{X}_p^k(B, \mathcal{P})} \cup \mathcal{D}_k(B) \rangle \subset \langle \overline{\mathcal{X}_p^k(B, \mathcal{P}) \cup \mathcal{D}_k(B)} \rangle$ , the theorem follows.  $\square$

**Example 1.** A convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is *smooth* if there is a unique supporting hyperplane at each point of its boundary; see [9]. In [2, Section 5], for every  $n \geq 2$  smooth symmetric convex bodies  $B(n)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and dense sets  $\mathcal{P}(n)$  in  $\mathcal{G}_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  are constructed such that the union of all facets of  $B(n)$  is dense in the boundary of  $B(n)$  and  $\mathcal{X}_p^{n-1}(B(n), \mathcal{P}(n)) = \emptyset$  for  $n \geq 2$ . This example is closely related to Theorem 2 and Theorem 5 and shows that the  $G_\delta$ -condition in both theorems cannot be omitted.

We have the following corollary that is closely related to the finite-dimensional version of Krein–Milman theorem in [15, Theorem 9.4.6], along with [16] as well as to [12, Theorem 2.3].

**Corollary 6.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n \geq 2$ , let  $B \subsetneq \mathbb{R}^n$  be closed and convex, and let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $\mathcal{G}_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . If every face of  $B$  is compact then*

$$B = \langle \overline{\mathcal{X}_p^{n-1}(B, \mathcal{P})} \rangle.$$

**Example 2.** Let  $C = \{(x, y) : x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } y = x^2\}$  and  $B = \langle C \rangle$ . Then  $B$  is a closed and convex set in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Notice that at every point  $x$  of the boundary there is a unique supporting line to  $B$  that, in fact, exposes  $x$ . Thus  $\mathcal{X}_p^1(B, \mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{R}^2)) = C$ . Although  $B$  itself contains a ray, Corollary 6 is applicable since every face of  $B$  is compact.

Further, we are going to prove Theorem 3. Before that we need a lemma.

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n \geq 2$  and let  $B$  be closed and convex in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $\mathcal{G}_1(L) \cap \mathcal{P}$  is a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $\mathcal{G}_1(L)$  for every  $L \in \mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $\mathcal{X}_p^1(B, \mathcal{P})$  is dense in  $\mathcal{X}_t^1(B, \mathcal{P})$ .*

PROOF: Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . First of all, observe that  $\mathcal{P}$  must be dense in  $\mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . If  $n = 2$  then we are done by [5, Theorem 10]. So assume that  $n \geq 3$  and, in view of Theorem 4, we may assume that  $\dim B = n$ . By [5, Theorem 4] and [5,

Lemma 9], we have that  $\mathcal{E}^1(B, \mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)) = \mathcal{X}_t^1(B, \mathcal{P})$ . Let  $F = H \cap B$  be a face of  $B$ , where  $H$  is a supporting hyperplane to  $B$ .

*Case 1.* Let  $\dim F < n - 1$ . Then there is a hyperplane  $\hat{H}$  in  $H$  such that  $F \subset \hat{H}$ . Let  $x \in F$ . Let  $L$  be a 2-plane in  $H$  with  $x \in L$  and  $L \setminus \hat{H} \neq \emptyset$ . Thus  $\dim L \cap \hat{H} = 1$ . By [5, Remark 2] we can find an  $l \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $(x+l) \cap \hat{H} = \{x\}$  and  $x+l \subset L$ . This implies that  $(x+l) \cap B = \{x\}$ , i.e.  $x \in \mathcal{X}_p^1(B, \mathcal{P})$ .

*Case 2.* Let  $\dim F = n - 1$ . In this case  $F$  is a facet of  $B$ . Take an  $x \in \partial F$ . Let  $y \in F^\circ$  and  $z \in B^\circ$ . Consider the 2-plane  $L = \text{aff}\{x, y, z\}$ . Put  $B_L = L \cap B$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{G}_1(L - x) \cap \mathcal{P}$ . Now, we have that  $\hat{\mathcal{P}}$  is a dense  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $\mathcal{G}_1(L - x)$ . Further, observe that  $\hat{F} = H \cap B_L$  is a facet of  $B_L$  and  $x \in \partial \hat{F}$ . Hence  $x \in \mathcal{E}^1(B_L, \mathcal{G}_1(L - x))$ . Besides, by [5, Theorem 4] and [5, Lemma 9], we get that  $x \in \mathcal{X}_t^1(B_L, \hat{\mathcal{P}})$ . Thus we can apply [5, Theorem 10] for  $B_L$  in  $L$  to find an  $l \in \hat{\mathcal{P}}$  and  $\hat{x} \in B_L$  so that  $\|x - \hat{x}\| < \varepsilon$  and  $(\hat{x} + l) \cap B_L = \{\hat{x}\}$ . Now, clearly, we have  $(\hat{x} + l) \cap B = \{\hat{x}\}$ . Consequently,  $\partial F \subset \overline{\mathcal{X}_p^1(B, \mathcal{P})}$ .

From both cases we obtain that  $\overline{\mathcal{X}_p^1(B, \mathcal{P})} = \mathcal{E}^1(B, \mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{R}^n))$  and, therefore,  $\overline{\mathcal{X}_p^1(B, \mathcal{P})} = \mathcal{X}_t^1(B, \mathcal{P})$ . That completes the proof.  $\square$

Now, let us prove Theorem 3.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3: If  $\dim B < n$  then, by [5, Remark 2],  $\mathcal{X}_p^1(B, \mathcal{P}) = B$  and the theorem is proved. Besides, if  $n = 2$  then, by [5, Theorem 3], we are done as well. So we may assume that  $\dim B = n$  with  $n \geq 3$ . By Lemma 7 we have that  $\overline{\mathcal{X}_p^1(B, \mathcal{P})} = \mathcal{X}_t^1(B, \mathcal{P})$ . Now, we are going to show that  $\mathcal{X}_p^1(B, \mathcal{P})$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set. Let  $F_m \prec F_{m-1} \cdots \prec F_1 = B$  be a sequence of derived faces. We call a sequence  $F_m \prec F_{m-1} \cdots \prec F_1 = B$  of derived faces *regular* if  $\dim F_k - \dim F_{k+1} = 1$  for every  $1 \leq k < m$ . Also, we call a derived face  $F$  of  $B$  *regular* if for  $F$  exists a regular sequence. As it is noticed in Definition 2 the set  $B$  has countably many facets. Consequently, we can easily get that  $B$  has countably many regular derived faces and one of them is  $B$  itself. Next, let  $x \in B$ . Inductively, we construct a sequence  $x \in F_m \prec F_{m-1} \prec \cdots \prec F_1 = B$  of derived faces such that the following two conditions hold:

- (i) either  $x \in F_m^\circ$  or  $\text{codim } F_m > m - 1$  (or both) holds, and
- (ii) if  $m > 2$  then  $F_{m-1} \prec \cdots \prec F_1 = B$  is a regular sequence.

Set  $F_1 = B$  and assume that we have constructed a regular sequence  $x \in F_k \prec F_{k-1} \prec \cdots \prec F_1 = B$  for some  $1 \leq k$ . Clearly,  $\text{codim } F_k = k - 1$ . If  $x \in F_k^\circ$  we are done. Otherwise, we will have that  $x \in \partial F_k$ . So we are in a position to add one more element to the sequence under construction. We apply the Hahn-Banach theorem to find a supporting hyperplane  $\hat{L}$  at  $x$  to  $F_k$  in  $L = \text{aff } F_k$ . Set  $F_{k+1} = \hat{L} \cap F_k$ . Observe that, if  $\text{codim } F_{k+1} > k$  we are done. Otherwise, we would have that  $\text{codim } F_{k+1} = k$  and, therefore,  $x \in F_{k+1} \prec F_k \cdots \prec F_1 = B$

would be a regular sequence. Obviously, after finitely many steps, we will have both conditions (i) and (ii) satisfied and we will get our sequence constructed.

**Claim 2.** *If  $\dim F_{m-1} \geq 3$  and  $\dim F_{m-1} - \dim F_m \geq 2$  then every  $y \in F_m$  is an exposed by  $\mathcal{P}$  point of  $B$ .*

PROOF: Consider a coordinate system such that  $y = \mathbf{0}$ . Let  $H$  be a supporting hyperplane at  $\mathbf{0}$  to  $F_{m-1}$  in  $\text{aff } F_{m-1}$  such that  $F_m = H \cap F_{m-1}$ . Then the codimension of  $F_m$  in  $H$  is at least 1. Therefore, we have room enough to find  $P \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{G}_1(H)$  such that  $P \cap F_m = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . Hence  $P \cap B = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . The claim is proved.  $\square$

The next claim is, in fact, [5, Claim 3] when  $\mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is replaced by  $\mathcal{P}$ . With this substitution its proof is virtually the same as the proof of [5, Claim 3] and, therefore, we omit it.

**Claim 3.** *Let  $F$  be a derived face of  $B$ . If there is a  $y \in \mathcal{X}_p^1(B, \mathcal{P}) \cap F^\circ$  then  $F \subset \mathcal{X}_p^1(B, \mathcal{P})$ .*

Further, we go to the following important claim.

**Claim 4.** *The set*

$$T = \{x \in B \setminus \mathcal{X}_p^1(B, \mathcal{P}) : \dim F_{m-1} = 2 \text{ and } F_m = \{x\}\}$$

*is countable.*

PROOF: Let  $x \in T$  and let us consider the respective sequence  $x \in F_m \prec F_{m-1} \prec F_{m-2} \cdots \prec F_1 = B$  of derived faces for  $x$ . Since  $\dim B = n \geq 3$  we have that  $m \geq 3$ . Then  $F_{m-1} \prec F_{m-2} \cdots \prec F_1 = B$  is a regular sequence of derived faces. Thus  $F_{m-1}$  is a regular derived face with  $\dim F_{m-1} = 2$ . In addition, since  $F_m = \{x\}$  we get that  $x \in \partial F_{m-1}$  and  $x$  is exposed by  $\widehat{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{G}_1(\text{aff } F_{m-1} - x) \setminus \mathcal{P}$ . Further, since  $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$  is countable, we have that the set  $\{y \in F_{m-1} : y \text{ is exposed by } \widehat{\mathcal{P}}\}$  is also countable. Now, having in mind that the set of all regular derived faces of  $B$  is countable, we get that  $T$  must be countable as well. That completes the proof.  $\square$

Let  $x \in B \setminus \mathcal{X}_p^1(B, \mathcal{P})$ . Suppose that the sequence  $x \in F_m \prec F_{m-1} \cdots \prec F_1 = B$  is not regular. Then we have  $\dim F_{m-1} - \dim F_m \geq 2$ . Next, we have that  $m > 2$ . Indeed, if  $m = 2$  then  $\dim B - \dim F_2 > 1$  and, by Claim 2, we would have had  $x \in \mathcal{X}_p^1(B, \mathcal{P})$ . Further, if  $\dim F_{m-1} \geq 3$  then, by Claim 2, we would again get that  $x \in \mathcal{X}_p^1(B, \mathcal{P})$ . Consequently, we have that  $\dim F_{m-1} = 2$ ,  $F_m = \{x\}$  and  $x \in \partial F_{m-1}$ . So we are under the hypotheses of Claim 4. Hence, in this case,  $x \in T$  with  $T$  countable. Now, let us assume that the sequence  $x \in F_m \prec F_{m-1} \cdots \prec F_1 = B$  is regular. Then, notice that,  $\text{codim } F_m = m - 1$ .



Therefore, we get that  $x \in F_m^\circ$ . Now, we apply the same argument as in the proof of [5, Theorem 3]. Namely, consider the countable set

$$\mathcal{L} = \{F^\circ : F \text{ is a regular derived face of } B \text{ with } F^\circ \cap \mathcal{X}_p^1(B, \mathcal{P}) = \emptyset\}.$$

Since  $x \in F_m^\circ \setminus \mathcal{X}_p^1(B, \mathcal{P})$ , by Claim 3, we have that  $F_m^\circ \in \mathcal{L}$ . Next, every  $F^\circ \in \mathcal{L}$  is an open subset of a closed set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , hence  $\sigma$ -compact. Since  $\mathcal{L}$  is countable,  $\bigcup \mathcal{L}$  is also  $\sigma$ -compact with  $\bigcup \mathcal{L} \subset B \setminus \mathcal{X}_p^1(B, \mathcal{P})$ . Consequently, we get that  $(\bigcup \mathcal{L}) \cup T = B \setminus \mathcal{X}_p^1(B, \mathcal{P})$  with  $(\bigcup \mathcal{L}) \cup T$  being a  $\sigma$ -compact subset of  $B$ . Hence  $\mathcal{X}_p^1(B, \mathcal{P})$  is  $G_\delta$ -subset in  $B$  and, of course, in  $\mathcal{X}_t^1(B, \mathcal{P})$  as well. That completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Asplund E., *A  $k$ -extreme point is the limit of  $k$ -exposed points*, Israel J. Math. **1** (1963), 161–162.
- [2] Barov S. T., *Smooth convex bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with dense union of facets*, Topology Proc. **58** (2021), 71–83.
- [3] Barov S., Dijkstra J. J., *On closed sets with convex projections under somewhere dense sets of directions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (2009), no. 7, 2425–2435.
- [4] Barov S., Dijkstra J. J., *On closed sets in Hilbert space with convex projections under somewhere dense sets of directions*, J. Topol. Anal. **2** (2010), no. 1, 123–143.
- [5] Barov S., Dijkstra J. J., *On exposed points and extremal points of convex sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and Hilbert space*, Fund. Math. **232** (2016), no. 2, 117–129.
- [6] Choquet G., Corson H., Klee V., *Exposed points of convex sets*, Pacific J. Math. **17** (1966), no. 1, 33–43.
- [7] Corson H. H., *A compact convex set in  $E^3$  whose exposed points are of the first category*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (1965), no. 5, 1015–1021.
- [8] Engelking R., *General Topology*, Sigma Ser. Pure Math., 6, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [9] Gardner R. J., *Geometric Tomography*, Encyclopedia Math. Appl., 58, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2006.
- [10] Grünbaum B., *Convex Polytopes*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 16, Interscience Publishers John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1967.
- [11] Kanellopoulos V., *On the geometric structure of convex sets with the RNP*, Mathematika **50** (2003), no. 1–2, 73–85.
- [12] Klee V. L., *Extremal structure of convex sets. II*, Math. Z. **69** (1958), 90–104.
- [13] Köthe G., *Topologische Räume. I*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 107, Springer, Berlin, 1960.
- [14] van Mill J., *The Infinite-Dimensional Topology of Function Spaces*, North-Holland Math. Library, 64, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 2001.
- [15] Narici L., Beckenstein E., *Topological Vector Spaces*, Pure Appl. Math. (Boca Raton), 296, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2011.

- [16] Straszewicz S., *Über exponierte Punkte abgeschlossener Punktmengen*, Fund. Math. **24** (1935), no. 1, 139–143.

S. T. Barov:

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, BULGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES,  
8 ACAD. G. BONCHEV STR., 1113 SOFIA, BULGARIA

*E-mail:* stoyu@yahoo.com

(Received June 3, 2022, revised January 20, 2023)