

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.  
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

PLISKA

STUDIA MATHEMATICA  
BULGARICA

ПЛИСКА

БЪЛГАРСКИ  
МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКИ  
СТУДИИ

---

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.  
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on  
Pliska Studia Mathematica Bulgarica  
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~pliska/>  
or contact: Editorial Office

Pliska Studia Mathematica Bulgarica  
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics  
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences  
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49  
e-mail: [pliska@math.bas.bg](mailto:pliska@math.bas.bg)

## UNIQUENESS ON A RESIDUAL PART OF BEST APPROXIMATIONS IN BANACH SPACES

PETAR S. KENDEROV

The paper presents some general results concerning continuity on a residual part of every semi-continuous multivalued mapping. As an application, some results are proved asserting (under some conditions) that every metric projection is single-valued on a residual part of the space. The results were announced in [6].

Let  $E$  be a Banach space and  $M$  be its subset. The multivalued mapping  $P_M: E \rightarrow M$  which takes every  $x$  from  $E$  into the set  $P_M(x) = \{ y \in M : |x - y| = \inf_{z \in M} |x - z| \}$  is called a metric projection. S. B. Stechkin [9] studied the set of points  $x \in E$  at which  $P_M(x)$  consists of only one point. He proved that in many cases this set is residual in  $E$ . (The set  $A \subseteq E$  is called residual iff it is a  $G_\delta$  dense subset of  $E$  or, equivalently,  $E \setminus A$  is a countable union of nowhere dense subsets of  $E$ .) In particular, if  $M$  is boundedly compact (i. e. the intersection of  $M$  with any closed ball in  $E$  is a compact subset of  $E$ ) and  $E$  is strictly convex Banach space, then  $P_M: E \rightarrow M$  is single-valued at the points of some residual subset of  $E$ . So to say "almost all" points of  $E$  have unique best approximation in  $M$ . We generalize this result of Stechkin and prove that it is valid not only for boundedly compact  $M \subseteq E$  but also for every  $M$  generating an upper semi-continuous metric projection  $P_M: E \rightarrow M$ . On this way we also give a partial answer to a question raised by L. P. Vlasov [10] who asked whether every metric projection defined by a closed subset  $M$  of the strictly convex reflexive Banach space  $E$  is single-valued on a residual part of  $E$ . According to corollary 3 of this paper, this is really so if  $M$  is closed with respect to the weak topology in  $E$ . To reach this goal we use a general topology approach which enables us to generalize the question under consideration. We consider general Banach spaces (not only strictly convex) and study the set (see Brosowski and Deutsch [2])  $\{x \in E : P_M(x) \text{ lies in a convex subset of some sphere in } E\}$ . If  $E$  is strictly convex, this is just the set of points at which  $P_M$  is a single-valued mapping. According to theorem 2 and theorem 4 of this paper the above mentioned set is very often residual in  $E$ .

Let us now turn to the exact definitions and arguments. First we prove a general and useful lemma concerning real-valued functions defined in  $X \times X$ , where  $X$  is a Čech complete topological spaces ( $X$  is a Čech complete space iff it is a  $G_\delta$  subset of its Čech-Stone compactification  $\beta X$ ). Every

complete metric space as well as every locally compact space  $X$  is Čech complete.) However, for the applications we have in mind, it is quite enough to think that  $X$  is a complete metric space.

**Basic lemma.** *Let  $X$  be a Čech complete topological space and  $f(x, y)$  be a non-negative real-valued function defined in  $X \times X$ . Let further*

- a)  $x \in X$  implies  $f(x, x) = 0$ .
- b) for every triple  $x, y, z$  in  $X$   $f(x, y) \leq f(x, z) + f(z, y)$ ,
- c) for every fixed  $x \in X$   $\lim_{y \rightarrow x} f(x, y) = 0$ .

*Then the set  $\{y \in X : \lim_{x \rightarrow y} f(x, y) = 0\}$  is residual in  $X$ .*

**Proof.** For  $y \in X$  put  $\alpha(y) = \inf \{t > 0 : \text{there exists an open } V \ni y \text{ such that } f(x, y) \leq t \text{ for every } x \in V\}$ . Obviously, the lemma will be proved if we show that  $\{y \in X : \alpha(y) = 0\}$  is a residual subset of  $X$ . Thus it is enough to prove that the set  $M_n = \{y \in X : \alpha(y) > 1/n\}$  is nowhere dense in  $X$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Suppose that  $M_n$  is dense in some open non-empty  $U \subset X$ . Put  $V_1 = U$  and choose  $y_1 \in M_n \cap V_1$ . According to the definition of  $M_n$ , there exists  $x_1 \in V_1$  such that  $f(x_1, y_1) > 1/n$ . Then we find an open  $V_2 \ni x_1$  such that its closure  $\bar{V}_2 \subset V_1$  and  $f(x_1, y) < 1/2n$  for every  $y$  from  $V_2$ . This is possible because of c). Since  $M_n$  is dense in  $U$  the intersection  $M_n \cap V_2$  is not empty. Let  $y_2 \in M_n \cap V_2$ . Repeating the same argument infinitely many times we obtain the sequences  $\{x_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ ,  $\{y_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ ,  $\{V_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  satisfying the conditions

- 1)  $f(x_k, y_k) > 1/n$ ,
- 2)  $f(x_k, y) < 1/2n$  for each  $y \in V_k$ ,
- 3)  $V_{k+1} \subset \bar{V}_{k+1} \subset V_k$ ,
- 4)  $y_k \in M_n \cap V_k$   
for every  $k \geq 1$ .

Now we are going to use the Čech completeness of  $X$  in order to prove that  $\{y_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  has at least one cluster point  $y_0$  in  $X$ . The reader who is interested only in the applications given below can think of  $X$  as a complete metric space. In such a space the step by step constructed sequence  $\{y_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  can be chosen so that the distance between any two consecutive members  $y_k$  and  $y_{k+1}$  be less or equal to  $1/2^k$ . Therefore  $\{y_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  is a fundamental sequence with  $\lim y_k = y_0$ . In the general case of an arbitrary Čech complete space  $X$  we proceed in a different way. Let  $X = \bigcap_{i \geq 1} O_i$  where  $O_i$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , are open subsets of  $\beta X$  — the Čech-Stone compactification of  $X$ . It is clear that the choice of  $V_k$  in the construction can be subordinated to the additional condition

5)  $\bar{V}_k^{\beta X} \subset O_k$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , where  $\bar{V}^{\beta X}$  stands for the closure of  $V$  in  $\beta X$ . Since  $\beta X$  is compact,  $\{y_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  has at least one cluster point  $y_0 \in \beta X$ . It follows from 3) and 4) that  $y_i \in V_k \subset \bar{V}_k \subset \bar{V}_k^{\beta X}$  for  $i \geq k$ . Thus  $y_0$  belongs to  $\bar{V}_k^{\beta X}$  for every  $k \geq 1$ . Using 5) we get  $y_0 \in \bigcap_{k \geq 1} \bar{V}_k^{\beta X} \subset \bigcap_{k \geq 1} O_k = X$ . Therefore  $y_0$  is a cluster point of  $\{y_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  in  $X$  and  $y_0 \in V_k$  for  $k \geq 1$ . On the other hand, it follows by c) that  $y_0$  lies in some open  $W \subset X$  such that  $f(y_0, y) < 1/2n$  for every  $y \in W$ . Then  $W$  contains at least one member  $y_q$  of the sequence  $\{y_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  and by 1), b) and 2) we obtain the contradiction  $1/n < f(x_q, y_q) \leq f(x_q, y_0) + f(y_0, y_q) < (1/2n) + (1/2n) = 1/n$ . The proof of the basic lemma is finished.

**Definition.** Let  $X$  and  $Z$  be topological spaces and  $F: X \rightarrow Z$  be a set-valued mapping.  $F$  is said to be upper (resp. lower) semi-continuous at some point  $x_0$  from  $X$  if for every open  $U \supset F(x_0)$  (resp.  $U \cap F(x_0) \neq \emptyset$ ) there exists an open  $V \ni x_0$  such that  $F(x) \subset U$  (resp.  $U \cap F(x) \neq \emptyset$ ) whatever  $x \in V$ . If  $(Z, d)$  is a metric space, we say that the multivalued mapping  $F: \rightarrow (Z, d)$  is metrically upper (resp. lower) semi-continuous at  $x_0 \in X$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an open  $V \ni x_0$  such that

$$\sup_{z_1 \in F(x)} \inf_{z_2 \in F(x_0)} d(z_1, z_2) < \varepsilon$$

$$(\text{resp. } \sup_{z_1 \in F(x_0)} \inf_{z_2 \in F(x)} d(z_1, z_2) < \varepsilon)$$

whenever  $x \in V$ .

We shall write shortly "usc" instead of "upper semi-continuous" and "lsc" instead of "lower semi-continuous". The connection between the usual and metrical semi-continuity is very simple. Every usc mapping  $F$  is metrically usc and every metrically lsc mapping is lsc. For multivalued mappings with compact images the usual and metrical semicontinuity coincide.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $X$  be a Čech complete topological space and  $F: X \rightarrow (Z, d)$  be a metrically usc (resp. lsc) mapping of  $X$  into the metric space  $(Z, d)$ . Then the set  $\{y \in X: F \text{ is metrically lsc at } y\}$  (resp.  $\{y \in X: F \text{ is metrically usc at } y\}$ ) is residual in  $X$ .

**Proof.** First we consider the case when  $\sup_{z_1, z_2 \in Z} d(z_1, z_2) < \infty$ . Denote

$$f(x, y) = \sup_{z_1 \in F(y)} \inf_{z_2 \in F(x)} d(z_1, z_2).$$

This is a non-negative function defined in  $X \times X$ . It is not difficult to see that  $f(x, y)$  satisfies a) and b) from the basic lemma. In addition,  $F$  is metrically usc at  $x$  iff  $\lim_{y \rightarrow x} f(x, y) = 0$ , and metrically lsc iff  $\lim_{x \rightarrow y} f(x, y) = 0$ . Thus if  $F$  is metrically usc, then c) from the basic lemma is also fulfilled and we get that  $F$  is metrically lsc at the points of a residual subset of  $X$ . In order to prove the second "(resp. . .)" part of theorem 1 we should apply the basic lemma to the function  $f_1(x, y) = f(y, x)$ . The general case ( $\sup_{z_1, z_2 \in Z} d(z_1, z_2) = \infty$ ) can be reduced to the particular one we have just considered by a simple change of the metric. The new one is defined by the formula  $d'(u, v) = d(u, v)/(1 + d(u, v))$ . It is a routine matter to verify that  $F$  is metrically (upper or lower) semi-continuous at  $x_0 \in X$  with respect to  $d$  iff it is metrically semi-continuous relative to  $d'$ .

**Corollary 1.** Let  $F: X \rightarrow (Z, d)$  be an usc mapping from the Čech complete space  $X$  into the metric space  $Z$ . Then  $F$  is lsc at the points of a residual subset of  $X$ .

In the particular case when  $X$  is a complete metric space corollary 1 was proved in [5]. For multivalued mappings with compact images the result expressed in theorem 1 belongs to M. K. FORT [4].

Let us now turn again to the metric projection defined by  $M \subset E$ , where  $E$  is a Banach space. For the real number  $a$  and  $x \in E$  put  $S(x, a) = \{y \in E: \|y - x\| = a\}$  and  $d(x, M) = \inf_{z \in M} \|x - z\|$ .

**Theorem 2.** [5] *Suppose that  $P_M: E \rightarrow M$  is an usc metric projection with non-empty images. Then the set  $\{x \in E: P_M(x) \text{ lies in a convex subset of the sphere } S(x, d(x, M))\}$  is residual in  $E$ . If, in addition,  $E$  is strictly convex, then  $P_M: E \rightarrow M$  is single-valued on a residual subset of  $E$ .*

**Proof.** It follows from theorem 1 that  $P_M$  is lsc at the points of some residual subset of  $E$ . On the other hand, Blatter, Morris and Wulbert [1] (see also Brosowski and Deutsch [2]) have shown that at every point of lower semi-continuity of  $P_M$  the set  $P_M(x)$  is contained in a convex subset of the sphere  $S(x, d(x, M))$ . The second part of this theorem concerning strictly convex Banach spaces is now evident because the only convex subsets of any sphere in such a space are the single-point sets.

**Corollary 2** (Stechkin [9]). *If  $M$  is a boundedly compact subset of the strictly convex Banach space  $E$ , then  $P_M: E \rightarrow M$  is a single-valued mapping on a residual part of  $E$ .*

**Proof.** I. Singer [8] proved a general result implying that every metric projection defined by a boundedly compact set  $M$  has to be usc. So it remains to apply theorem 2.

For special Banach spaces the conditions in theorem 2 and corollary 2 can be relaxed. Until now we considered metric projections  $P_M: E \rightarrow M$  which are usc with respect to the norm topology  $n$  in  $E$  and  $M$ . Now we shall be interested in projections which are usc with respect to the topology  $n$  in  $E$  and the weak topology  $w$  in  $M$ . Such a projection will be referred to as  $n$ - $w$ -usc.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $M$  be a subset of the strictly convex Banach space  $E$ . Suppose that  $M$  generates an  $n$ - $w$ -usc metric projection with nonvoid images. Then the set  $\{x \in E: x \text{ has unique best approximation in } M\}$  is residual in  $E$ .*

**Proof.** S. B. Stechkin [9] proved that every metric projection in a strictly convex Banach space is single-valued at the points of some dense subset of  $E$ . Consider the functions  $f(x) = d(x, M) = d(x, P_M(x))$  and  $g(x) = d(x, \text{co}P_M(x))$ . Here  $\text{co}A$  denotes the closed convex hull of the set  $A$ . Since  $E$  is strictly convex,  $P_M(x)$  consists of only one point if and only if  $f(x) = g(x)$ . Hence the just mentioned result of Stechkin implies that  $f(x) = g(x)$  on a dense subset of  $E$ . Since  $f$  is a continuous function the equality  $f(x) = g(x)$  will hold for every point of continuity of  $g$ . Therefore, in order to prove the theorem, we must show that  $g$  is continuous on a residual subset of  $E$ . To reach this point we establish that  $g$  is semi-continuous as a real-valued function and then apply the well-known theorem (see for instance M. K. Fort [3]) that such a function is obliged to be continuous on a residual part of  $E$ .

**Lemma.** *For each real number  $a$  the set  $\{x \in E: g(x) > a\}$  is open.*

Indeed  $g(x) \geq 0$  for every  $x \in E$ . This means that the interesting case is  $a > 0$ . Let  $x_0$  is such that  $g(x_0) > a > 0$ . For some  $a'$ ,  $g(x_0) > a' > a$ , the closed ball  $B[x_0, a'] = \{x \in E: x - x_0 \leq a'\}$  doesn't intersect the closed convex set  $\text{co}P_M(x_0)$ . Then there exists a closed hyperplane  $L$  strictly separating these two sets.  $\text{co}P_M(x_0)$  lies into one of the two open halfspaces defined by  $L$ .

Since  $P_M$  is  $n$ - $w$ -usc at  $x_0$ , the same open halfspace will contain  $P_M(x)$  for all  $x$  from some  $n$ -open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x_0$ . Then  $\text{co}P_M(x)$  lies in the closure of that halfspace, and this closed halfspace doesn't intersect  $B[x_0, a']$ . This means that  $g(x) > a$  provided  $x \in U \cap B[x_0, a' - a]$ . Theorem 3 is proved.

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $E$  be a reflexive strictly convex Banach space and  $M$  be its weakly closed subset. Then the metric projection  $P_M: E \rightarrow M$  is single-valued on a residual subset of  $E$ .*

**Proof.** In view of the previous theorem, we have to show that  $P_M: E \rightarrow M$  is  $n$ - $w$ -usc. Indeed, let  $U$  be an  $w$ -open subset of  $E$  containing  $P_M(x_0)$  and suppose that there exists a sequence  $\{x_i\}_{i \geq 1}$  such that  $x_0 = \lim_i x_i$  (with respect to  $n$ ) and  $P_M(x_i) \cap (E \setminus U) \neq \emptyset$  for  $i \geq 1$ . Choose  $y_i \in P_M(x_i) \cap (E \setminus U)$ ,  $i \geq 1$ . Because of the norm continuity of  $f(x) = d(x, M)$  the ball  $B[x_0, f(x_0) + a]$ ,  $a > 0$ , contains all  $y_i$ ,  $i \geq i_0$ . Therefore  $\{y_i\}_{i \geq 1}$  is a bounded subset of the reflexive space  $E$  and should have a  $w$ -cluster point  $y_0 \in B[x_0, f(x_0) + a]$ . As  $a > 0$  was an arbitrary positive number,  $y_0 \in B[x_0, f(x_0)]$ . On the other hand,  $y_0 \in M$  because  $y_i$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , belong to the weakly closed set  $M$ . Thus  $y_0 \in P_M(x_0) \subset U$ . But this contradicts the fact that  $y_0$  is a  $w$ -cluster point of  $\{y_i\}_{i \geq 1} \subset E \setminus U$ .

**Remark.** Corollary 3 answers partially a question by L. P. Vlasov [10] who asked whether every metric projection  $P_M: E \rightarrow M$  defined by a closed subset  $M$  of the strictly convex reflexive space  $E$  is single-valued on a residual part of  $E$ . As we see this is really so if  $M$  is weakly closed.

For separable Banach spaces we have the following generalization of theorem 2 and theorem 3.

**Theorem 4** ([7]). *Let  $E$  be a separable Banach space and  $M \subset E$ . Suppose that  $P_M: E \rightarrow M$  is an  $n$ - $w$ -usc metric projection with non-void images. Then the set  $\{x \in E: \text{co}P_M(x) \subset S(x, d(x, M))\}$  is residual in  $E$ .*

The proof is quite similar to that of theorem 2. The only difference is that we cannot apply theorem 1 to the present situation. But all the same  $P_M: E \rightarrow M$  is  $n$ - $n$ -lsc at the points of some residual subset of  $E$ . This is an immediate consequence of theorem 1 from [7]. Further we proceed as in the proof of theorem 2.

#### REFERENCES

1. J. Blatter, P. D. Morris, D. Wulbert. Continuity of the set-valued metric projection. *Math. Anal.*, **178**, 1968, No. 1, 12 — 24.
2. B. Brosowski, F. Deutsch. Radial continuity of set-valued metric projection. *J. Appr. Theory*, **11**, 1974, 236 — 256.
3. M. K. Fort. Category theorems. *Fund. Math.*, **42**, 1955, No. 2, 276 — 288.
4. M. K. Fort. Points of continuity of semi-continuous function. *Publ. Math. (Debrecen)*, **2**, 1951, No. 2, 100 — 102.
5. P. S. Kenderov. Points of continuity of semi-continuous multivalued mappings and an application to the theory of metric projections. Proceedings of the Spring Conference of the Bulgarian Mathematical Society, Pernik, April, 1975 (to appear).
6. P. S. Kenderov. Points of single-valuedness of multivalued metric projections. *C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci.*, **29**, 1976, No. 6, 773 — 775.

7. P. S. Kenderov. Set-valued mappings in separable Banach spaces. Proceedings of the Spring Conference of the Bulgarian Mathematical Society, Gabrovo, April, 1976 (to appear).
8. I. Singer. Some remarks on approximative compactness. *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl.*, **9**, 1964, No. 2, 167 — 177.
9. S. B. Stechkin. Approximative properties of Banach spaces subsets. *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl.*, **8**, 1963, No 1, 5 — 8.
10. L. P. Vlasov. Approximative properties of sets in normed spaces, *Uspehi Mat. Nauk*, **28**, 1973, No. 6, 3 — 66.

*Centre for Research and Education  
in Mathematics and Mechanics  
1000 Sofia P. O. Box 373*

*Received 29. 9. 1976*