

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.  
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

PLISKA

STUDIA MATHEMATICA  
BULGARICA

ПЛИСКА

БЪЛГАРСКИ  
МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКИ  
СТУДИИ

---

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.  
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on  
Pliska Studia Mathematica Bulgarica  
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~pliska/>  
or contact: Editorial Office

Pliska Studia Mathematica Bulgarica  
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics  
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences  
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49  
e-mail: [pliska@math.bas.bg](mailto:pliska@math.bas.bg)

## DUAL SUPERNILPOTENT RADICAL CLOSURES OF RIGHT IDEALS

LYUBOMIR I. DAVIDOV

The concept radical closure is introduced for the first time by V. A. Andrunakievic and Yu. M. Riabuchin [3]. The introduction of that concept enables us to find some properties of right ideals of a fixed algebra, which cannot be proved with the concept radical. In this paper we shall give a description of one class radical closures which are analogous to dual special radicals, introduced by Andrunakievic [1].

**1. Preliminary remarks.** Let  $R$  is an associative algebra (not necessarily with an unit) over a commutative ring  $\Phi$  with an unit ( $1 \in \Phi$ ). We shall note with  $\mathfrak{R}(R)$  the set of the right ideals of  $R$  and with  $\mathfrak{A}(R)$  — the class of all pairs  $(A, B)$ , where  $A, B \in \mathfrak{R}(R)$  and  $A \supset B$ . If  $A \supset C \supset B$  are right ideals of  $R$  then we shall call the pairs  $(C, B)$  and  $(A, B)$  subpair and factor-pair of the pair  $(A, B)$ .

It is clear that with every pair  $(A, B) \in \mathfrak{A}(R)$  it can be connected a  $R$ -module  $A/B$ . We shall call a pair  $(A, B)$  respectively: zero, simple, subdirectly irreducible pair when the  $R$ -module  $A/B$  is zero, simple, subdirectly irreducible. When the pair  $(A, B)$  is subdirectly irreducible it is clear that the intersection  $H = \bigcap Q_\alpha$  of all right ideals  $Q_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in I$ ) of  $R$  for which  $A \supset Q_\alpha \supset B$ ,  $B \neq Q_\alpha$  is different from  $B$ . In that case we shall call the pair  $(H, B)$  (which is a simple one) a heart of the pair  $(A, B)$ .

The mapping  $\varrho: \mathfrak{A}(R) \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}(R)$  will be called a radical closure if the following conditions hold:

$\varrho.1.$  For every pair  $(A, B) \in \mathfrak{A}(R): B \subset \varrho(A, B) \subset A$ .

$\varrho.2.$  For every pair  $(A, B) \in \mathfrak{A}(R):$

$$\varrho(\varrho(A, B), B) = \varrho(A, \varrho(A, B)) = \varrho(A, B).$$

$\varrho.3.$  If  $A \supset C \supset B$  are right ideals of  $R$ , then

$$\varrho(A, C) \supset \varrho(A, B) \supset \varrho(C, B).$$

If the radical closure  $\varrho$  satisfies the condition

$\varrho.4.$  If  $A \supset C \supset B$  are right ideals of  $R$ , then  $\varrho(C, B) = \varrho(A, B) \cap C$ , then it will be called a hereditary radical closure.

If  $\varrho_1$  and  $\varrho_2$  are radical closures, then we note  $\varrho_1 \leq \varrho_2$  if for every pair  $(A, B) \in \mathfrak{A}(R)$  is held:  $\varrho_1(A, B) \subset \varrho_2(A, B)$ .

Let  $\varrho$  be a radical closure. The pair  $(A, B)$  will be called a  $\varrho$ -semi-simple one, if  $\varrho(A, B) = B$ . The pair  $(A, B)$  will be called a  $\varrho$ -radical one, if  $\varrho(A, B) = A$ .

With every radical closure  $\varrho$  it can be connected two classes of pairs: the class  $\mathfrak{R}(\varrho)$  of all  $\varrho$ -radical pairs and the class  $\mathfrak{S}(\varrho)$  of all  $\varrho$ -semi-simple pairs.

A class of pairs  $\mathcal{S}$  (further we shall suppose, that every subclass of the class  $\mathfrak{R}(R)$  contains all zero pairs) will be called a semi-simple one if there exists a radical closure  $\varrho$  such that  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\varrho)$ . It is proved [3] that the class of pairs  $\mathcal{S}$  is a semi-simple one if and only if the following conditions hold:

s.1. If  $(A, B) \in \mathcal{S}$ , then for every right ideal  $C$  of  $R$ :  $(A \cap C, B \cap C) \in \mathcal{S}$ .

s.2. If for every non zero subpair  $(C, B)$  of the pair  $(A, B)$  there exists a non-zero factor-pair  $(C, D) \in \mathcal{S}$ , then  $(A, B) \in \mathcal{S}$ .

Let now  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class of pairs. We note with  $\mathcal{C}_1$  the class of all pairs  $(A \cap C, B \cap C)$ , where  $(A, B) \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $C$  is a right ideal of  $R$  and with  $\mathcal{C}_2$  — the class of all pairs  $(A, B)$  such that for every non-zero subpair  $(C, B)$  of the pair  $(A, B)$ , there exists a non-zero factor-pair  $(C, D) \in \mathcal{C}_1$ . It is not difficult to prove, that the conditions s.1. and s.2. are held for the class  $\mathcal{C}_2$  and therefore there exists a radical closure  $\varrho'$ , such that  $\mathcal{C}_2 = \mathcal{S}(\varrho')$ . At that if  $\eta$  is a radical closure, for which  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{S}(\eta)$ , then  $\eta \leq \varrho'$ . The radical closure  $\varrho'$  will be called an upper radical closure, determined by the class  $\mathcal{C}$ .

It is proved [4] that if the class  $\mathcal{C}$  has a condition s.1, then the conditions (i)  $\varrho'$  to be a hereditary radical closure and (ii)  $\varrho'(A, B) = \bigcap T_\alpha$ , where  $\{T_\alpha | \alpha \in I\}$  is the set of all right ideals of  $R$  for which  $B \subset T_\alpha \subset A$  and  $(A, T_\alpha) \in \mathcal{C}$  are equivalent to the following condition:

s.3. If for a non-zero subpair  $(C, B)$  of the pair  $(A, B)$  there exists a non-zero factor-pair  $(C, D) \in \mathcal{C}$ , then there exists a factor pair  $(A, T)$  of the pair  $(A, B)$ , such that  $(A, T) \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $T$  does not  $\supset C$ .

If  $\varrho$  is a radical closure, then the radical closure  $\varrho^*$  will be called supplementary to  $\varrho$ , if (i) for every pair  $(A, B) \in \mathfrak{R}(R)$ :  $\varrho(A, B) \cap \varrho^*(A, B) = B$  and (ii) for every radical closure  $\eta$ , for which  $\eta(A, B) \cap \varrho(A, B) = B$ , is held:  $\eta \leq \varrho^*$ . The radical closure  $\varrho$  will be called a dual one, if there exists the radical closures  $\varrho^*$  and  $\varrho^{**} = (\varrho^*)^*$  and  $\varrho = \varrho^{**}$ .

According to [3] and [4] for every radical closure  $\varrho$  there exists a supplementary radical closure  $\varrho^*$ , which is hereditary and dual one. If  $\varrho$  is a hereditary radical closure at that, then the radical closure  $\varrho^*$  is equal to the upper radical closure  $\varrho'$ , determined by the class of all subdirectly irreducible pairs with a  $\varrho$ -radical heart. And what is more, the radical closure  $\varrho^*$  is equal to the upper radical closure, determined by the class of all subdirectly irreducible pairs  $(R, P)$  with a  $\varrho$ -radical heart. In that case  $\varrho^*(A, B) = A$  iff for every right ideal  $D$  of  $R$  is held  $\varrho(A+D, B+D) = B+D$ .

A pair  $(A, B) \in \mathfrak{R}(R)$  will be called a nilpotent one if there exists an integer  $n \geq 2$ , such that  $A^n \subset B$  and will be called almost nilpotent, if there exists a right ideal  $C$  of  $R$  such that  $C^n \subset B$  and  $B+C=A$ . The radical closure  $\varrho$  will be called a supernilpotent one, if it is hereditary and if every nilpotent pair is a  $\varrho$ -radical one. It is clear that the radical closure  $\varrho$  is supernilpotent one if and only if it is hereditary and every almost nilpotent pair is a  $\varrho$ -radical one.

A pair  $(A, B) \in \mathfrak{R}(R)$  will be called a weak regular one if for every right ideal  $T$  of  $R$  for which  $T \subset A$ , is held:  $T \subset T^2 + B$ . It is not difficult to be proved that the following five conditions are equivalent:

(a)  $(A, B)$  is a weak regular pair.

(b) For every element  $x \in A$  there exists an element  $x' \in (x)$  such that  $x - xx' \in B$ .

(c) For every element  $x \in A$  is held:  $x \in (x(x) + B)$ .

(d) For every element  $x \in A$  is held:  $x \in (x^2) + B$ .

(e) For every element  $x \in A$  is held:  $|x| \subset |x|^2 + B$ .

Remark. We note here with  $(x)$  the two-sided ideal of  $R$ , generated by  $x$ , and with  $|x|$  — the right ideal of  $R$ , generated by  $x$ , i. e.

$$(x) = \{ax + xr_1 + r_2x + s_1xs_2 \mid a \in \Phi, r_1, r_2, s_1, s_2 \in R\},$$

$$|x| = \{ax + xr \mid a \in \Phi, r \in R\}.$$

A pair  $(A, B)$  will be called a hereditary idempotent one if for every right ideal  $C$  of  $R$  the pair  $(A+C, B+C)$  is a weak regular pair.

The radical closure  $\varrho$  will be called an underidempotent one if it is a hereditary one and if every  $\varrho$ -radical pair is a hereditary idempotent one. The following theorem is proved in [4].

If  $\varrho$  is a supernilpotent radical closure, then supplementary to it radical closure  $\varrho^*$  is a dual underidempotent one. The radical closure  $\varrho^{**}$  is a dual supernilpotent one and if  $\eta$  is a dual supernilpotent radical closure for which  $\varrho \leq \eta$ , then  $\varrho^{**} \leq \eta$ .

**2. Special radical closures.** Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a (right)  $R$ -module. If  $S$  is a subset of  $\mathfrak{M}$ , we note with  $\text{ann } S$  the annihilator of  $S$ , i. e.

$$\text{ann } S = \{x \in R \mid Sx = (0)\}.$$

It is clear that  $\text{ann } S$  is a right ideal of  $R$ . If  $S$  is a submodule of  $\mathfrak{M}$  at that, then  $\text{ann } S$  is a two-sided ideal of  $R$ . In case  $\text{ann } \mathfrak{M} = (0)$  we call the module  $\mathfrak{M}$  a faithful one.

The module  $\mathfrak{M}$  will be called a prime one if  $\mathfrak{M}R \neq (0)$  and if for any non-zero submodule  $\mathfrak{N}$  of  $\mathfrak{M}$  is held:  $\text{ann } \mathfrak{N} = \text{ann } \mathfrak{M}$ . It is easy to see that every submodule of a prime module is prime too.

A pair  $(A, B)$  will be called a prime one if there exists a right ideal  $P$  of  $R$ , such that  $R/P$  is a prime module,  $\text{ann}(R/P) \subset P$  and  $P \cap A = B$ .

Lemma 1. If  $(A, B)$  is a prime pair, then for every right ideal  $C$  of  $R$  for which  $A \cap C \neq B \cap C$ , the pair  $(A \cap C, B \cap C)$  is prime too.

Proof. Let  $(A, B)$  is a prime pair. Then there exists a right ideal  $P$  of  $R$  such that  $R/P$  is a prime module,  $\text{ann}(R/P) \subset P$  and  $P \cap A = B$ . From here we have  $P \cap A \cap C = B \cap C$  and therefore  $(A \cap C, B \cap C)$  is a prime pair too.

Lemma 2. The pair  $(A, B) \in \mathfrak{M}(R)$  is a prime one iff  $A/B$  is a prime module and  $\text{ann}(A/B) \cap A \subset B$ .

Proof. Let  $(A, B)$  is a prime pair. Then there exists a right ideal  $P$  of  $R$  such that  $R/P$  is a prime module,  $\text{ann}(R/P) \subset P$  and  $P \cap A = B$ . But

$$A/B = A/P \cap A \cong A + P/P \subset R/P$$

and therefore  $A/B$  is a prime module.

On the other hand,

$$\text{ann}(A/B) = \text{ann}(A + P/P) = \text{ann}(R/P).$$

Thus,  $\text{ann}(A/B) \cap A \subset P \cap A = B$ .

Contrary let  $A/B$  is a prime module and  $\text{ann}(A/B) \cap A \subset B$ . We note with  $\mathfrak{S}$  the set of all right ideals  $T$  of  $R$  for which  $B + \text{ann}(A/B) \subset T$  and  $T \cap A = B$ . It is clear that  $B + \text{ann}(A/B) \in \mathfrak{S}$ , because if  $x \in (B + \text{ann}(A/B)) \cap A$  then  $x = b_1 + b_2$ ,  $b_1 \in B$ ,  $b_2 \in \text{ann}(A/B)$  and therefore  $b_2 = x - b_1 \in A \cap (\text{ann}(A/B)) \subset B$ , i. e.  $x \in B$ . From here we receive that the set  $\mathfrak{S}$  is not empty and by Zorn's lemma there exists a maximal element  $P$  in  $\mathfrak{S}$ .

We have first that if  $x \notin \text{ann}(R/P)$ , then  $Rx \subset P$  and  $Ax \subset P \cap A = B$ , i. e.  $x \notin \text{ann}(A/B) \subset P$ . Therefore,  $\text{ann}(R/P) \subset P$ .

On the other hand, it is clear that  $R^2$  does not  $\subset P$ . (If  $R^2 \subset P$ , then  $AR \subset P \cap A = B$ , which is not true.)

Let now  $C/P$  is a non-zero submodule of  $R/P$ . Then  $\text{ann}(C/P) \supset \text{ann}(R/P)$ . But  $C \neq P$ . Therefore,  $C \cap A \neq B$ , i. e.  $C \cap A/B$  is a non-zero submodule of  $A/B$  and

$$C \cap A/B = C \cap A/P \cap A \cong C \cap A + P/P.$$

From here we have

$$\text{ann}(C \cap A + P/P) = \text{ann}(C \cap A/B) = \text{ann}(A/B) \subset P$$

because  $A/B$  is a prime module and  $C \cap A/B$  is its non-zero submodule. At that  $\text{ann}(C \cap A + P/P)$  is a two-sided ideal of  $R$  and thus

$$R \text{ann}(C \cap A + P/P) \subset \text{ann}(C \cap A + P/P) \subset P.$$

Therefore,

$$\text{ann}(R/P) \supset \text{ann}(C \cap A + P/P) \supset \text{ann}(C/P) \supset \text{ann}(R/P)$$

and  $\text{ann}(R/P) = \text{ann}(C/P)$ , i. e.  $R/P$  is a prime module.

The lemma is proved.

*Corollary 1. If  $(A, B)$  is a subdirectly irreducible and prime pair, then there exists a subdirectly irreducible and prime pair  $(R, P)$  such that  $P \cap A = B$ . At that if  $(H, B)$  is the heart of  $(A, B)$ , then  $(H+P, P)$  is the heart of  $(R, P)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $(A, B)$  is a prime pair, then there exists a right ideal  $P$  of  $R$ , such that  $(R, P)$  is a prime pair and  $P \cap A = B$ . We can choose  $P$  — maximal to the condition  $P \cap A = B$ . Thus, if  $Q$  is a right ideal of  $R$  and  $Q \supset P$ ,  $Q \neq P$ , then  $Q \cap A \supset B$ ,  $Q \cap A \neq B$  and  $Q \supset H+P$ . On the other hand,

$$H+P/P \cong H/P \cap H = H/B$$

and, therefore,  $(R, P)$  is a subdirectly irreducible pair with a heart  $(H+P, P)$ .

The class  $\Sigma$  of pairs will be called a special one, if the following conditions hold:

$\sigma.1.$  If  $(A, B) \in \Sigma$ , then  $(A, B)$  is a prime pair.

$\sigma.2.$  If  $(A, B) \in \Sigma$ , then for every right ideal  $C$  of  $R$ :  $(A \cap C, B \cap C) \in \Sigma$ .

$\sigma.3.$  If  $(C, B)$  is a non-zero subpair of the pair  $(A, B)$  and  $(C, B) \in \Sigma$ , then there exists a non-zero factor-pair  $(A, T)$  of  $(A, B)$  such that  $T$  does not  $\supset C$  and  $(A, T) \in \Sigma$ .

It is clear that every special class  $\Sigma$  determines an upper radical closure  $\varrho^s$ . The radical closure  $\varrho$  will be called a special one, if there exists a special class of pairs  $\Sigma$ , such that  $\varrho = \varrho^s$ . By condition  $\sigma.3$  we have, that every special radical closure  $\varrho^s$  is a hereditary one and for every pair  $(A, B)$ :  $\varrho^s(A, B) = \bigcap T_\alpha$ ,  $(\alpha \in I)$  where  $\{T_\alpha \mid \alpha \in I\}$  is the set of all right ideals of  $R$ , such that  $B \subset T_\alpha \subset A$  and  $(A, T_\alpha) \in \Sigma$ .

It is obvious that if  $\varrho$  is a special radical closure determined by the class  $\Sigma$  and  $(A, B)$  is a subdirectly irreducible pair, then  $\varrho(A, B) = B$  iff  $(A, B) \in \Sigma$ .

*Lemma 3. If  $\{\varrho_\alpha \mid \alpha \in I\}$  is a family of special radical closures, then there exists a special radical closure  $\varrho = \bigwedge \varrho_\alpha$  such that  $\varrho \leq \varrho_\alpha$  for every  $\alpha$  and if  $\eta$  is a radical closure for which  $\eta \leq \varrho_\alpha$ , then  $\eta \leq \varrho$ .*

*Proof.* Let the radical closure  $\varrho_\alpha$  is determined by the class  $\Sigma_\alpha$ . Then it is not difficult to be seen, that  $\Sigma = \cup \Sigma_\alpha (a \in I)$  is a special class. To note with  $\varrho$  the special radical closure, determined by  $\Sigma$ . Then for every  $a \in I$  we have  $\Sigma_\alpha \subset \Sigma'$  and, therefore,  $\varrho \leq \varrho_\alpha$ . On the other hand, if  $\eta$  is a radical closure, for which  $\eta \leq \varrho_\alpha$ , then  $\Sigma_\alpha \subset \mathcal{S}(\varrho_\alpha)$  for every  $a \in I$ . Therefore,  $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{S}(\eta)$  and  $\eta \leq \varrho$ .

The lemma is proved.

**Proposition 1.** *Every special radical closure  $\varrho$  is a supernilpotent one.*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\varrho$  is a hereditary radical closure. Let  $(A, B)$  be a nilpotent pair. Suppose that  $\varrho(A, B) \neq A$ . It follows from  $\sigma.2.$  and  $\sigma.3.$  that there exists a non-zero factor pair  $(A, T)$  of the pair  $(A, B)$ , such that  $(A, T) \in \Sigma$ . (We note here with  $\Sigma$  the special class, which determines  $\varrho$ .) Then by  $\sigma.1.$  we have that  $(A, T)$  is a prime pair. On the other hand  $(A, B)$  is a nilpotent pair and, therefore  $(A, T)$  is nilpotent too. Let  $n \geq 2$  is such an integer for which  $A^n \subset T$  and  $A^{n-1}$  does not  $\subset T$ . Thus,  $A^n = A \cdot A^{n-1} \subset T$  and therefore  $A^{n-1} \subset \text{ann}(A/T) \cap A \subset T$ , which is a contradiction.

The proposition is proved.

**Proposition 2.** *If  $\varrho$  is a supernilpotent radical closure, then there exists a special radical closure  $\varrho_1$ , such that  $\varrho \leq \varrho_1$  and for every special radical closure  $\eta$  for which  $\varrho \leq \eta$ , is held:  $\varrho_1 \leq \eta$  too.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{N}$  is the class of all prime pairs  $(R, P)$ , which are  $\varrho$ -semi-simple, i.e.  $\mathfrak{N} = \{(R, P) \mid (R, P) \text{ is a prime pair and } (R, P) \in \mathcal{S}(\varrho)\}$  and let  $\mathfrak{N}^0$  is the class of all pairs  $(A, P \cap A)$ , where  $A \in \mathfrak{P}(R)$  and  $(R, P) \in \mathfrak{N}$ .

First we shall prove, that  $\mathfrak{N}^0$  is a special class of pairs. It is clear that the conditions  $\sigma.1.$  and  $\sigma.2.$  are true for the class  $\mathfrak{N}^0$ . Let  $(C, B) \in \mathfrak{N}^0$  is a non-zero subpair of the pair  $(A, B)$ . There exists a pair  $(R, P) \in \mathfrak{N}$  such that  $P \cap C = B$ . Then  $(A, P \cap A) \in \mathfrak{N}^0$  and  $P \cap A$  does not  $\supset C$  since  $P$  does not  $\supset C$ . Therefore, the class  $\mathfrak{N}^0$  has the condition  $\sigma.3.$ , and it is a special class of pairs.

We denote with  $\varrho^m$  the special radical closure determined by  $\mathfrak{N}^0$ . Since  $\mathfrak{N} \subset \mathcal{S}(\varrho)$ , then  $\mathfrak{N}^0 \subset \mathcal{S}(\varrho)$ , i.e.  $\varrho \leq \varrho^m$  and, therefore, the class  $\mathcal{E}$  of all special radical closures, which are  $\geq \varrho$  is not empty. By lemma 3 it follows that the radical closure  $\varrho_1 = A_{\varrho_\alpha}$ , ( $\varrho_\alpha \in \mathcal{E}$ ) is special too. By that it is clear that the conditions of proposition are true for  $\varrho_1$ .

In the end we shall prove that  $\varrho_1 = \varrho^m$ . We have  $\varrho_1 \leq \varrho^m$ . Suppose that  $\varrho_1 \neq \varrho^m$ . Then there exists a pair  $(A, B)$ , such that  $\varrho_1(A, B) = B$  but  $\varrho^m(A, B) = A$ . If  $\Sigma$  is the special class, determined by  $\varrho_1$ , then

$$B = \cap \{T \in \mathfrak{P}(R) \mid B \subset T \subset A \text{ and } (A, T) \in \Sigma\}.$$

From here we have that there exists a pair  $(A, T) \in \Sigma$  for which  $\varrho^m(A, T) = A$ . On the other hand  $(A, T)$  is a subpair of the pair  $(R, T)$  and, therefore, there exists a right ideal  $P \in \mathfrak{P}(R)$ , such that  $(R, P) \in \Sigma$ ,  $P \supset T$  and  $P$  does not  $\supset T$ . But it follows from  $\varrho \leq \varrho_1$  that  $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{S}(\varrho)$  and then  $(R, P) \in \mathfrak{N}$  and  $(A, P \cap A) \in \mathfrak{N}^0$ ,  $A \supset P \cap A \supset T$ ,  $A \neq P \cap A$ . This contradicts with  $\varrho^m(A, T) = A$ . Therefore,  $\varrho^m = \varrho_1$ .

The proposition is proved.

### 3. Classes of subdirectly irreducible pairs.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $(A, B)$  is a subdirectly irreducible pair with a heart  $(H, B)$ . Then  $(A, B)$  is a prime pair iff  $(H, B)$  is a weak regular pair.*

*Proof.* Let  $(A, B)$  be a prime pair. Then by lemma 1.  $(H, B) = (A \cap H, B \cap H)$  is prime too. Let  $x \in H$ . If  $x \in B$ , then  $x \in (x(x) + B)$ . Let  $x \notin B$ . Suppose that  $x(x) \subset B$ .

Then  $H(x) = (|x) + B)(x) \subset B$  and  $(x) \subset \text{ann}(H/B)$ . It follows from here that  $x \notin \text{ann}(H/B) \cap H \subset B$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $x(x)$  does not  $\subset B$ ;  $x(x) + B = H$  and  $x(x(x) + B)$ , i. e.  $(H, B)$  is a weak regular pair.

Contrary, let  $(H, B)$  be a weak regular pair. Then  $H^2$  does not  $\subset B$  and  $(H/B)R \neq (0)$ . The condition for annihilator is held, since  $H/B$  is a simple module. Therefore,  $H/B$  is a prime module.

Let now  $x \in \text{ann}(H/B) \cap H$ . If we suppose that  $x \notin B$ , then  $(x) + B = H$  and  $H \cdot (x) \subset B$ . We receive  $(x)^2 \subset B$  which is a contradiction with a weak regularity of  $(H, B)$ . So we have, that  $(H, B)$  is a prime pair. It follows from here that there exists a right ideal  $P$  of  $R$ , such that  $(R, P)$  is a prime pair and  $P \cap H = B$ . Thus  $(A, P \cap A)$  is also a prime pair. By that if  $P \cap A \neq B$ , then  $P \cap A \supset H$  and  $P \cap H = H$ , which is not true. Therefore,  $(A, B) = (A, P \cap A)$ .

The lemma is proved.

We note now with  $B(R)$  the class of all subdirectly irreducible pairs  $(R, P)$ .

Lemma 5. *Every pair  $(R, P)$  of  $B(R)$  has either a weak regular heart or an almost nilpotent heart.*

Proof. Let  $(H, P)$  is a heart of the pair  $(R, P)$ . If  $(H, P)$  is not a weak regular pair, there exists a right ideal  $T$  of  $R$ , such that  $T$  does not  $\subset T^2 + P$  and  $T \subset H$ . But since  $(H, P)$  is a simple pair and  $T^2 \subset H$ , then either  $T^2 + P = H$  or  $T^2 \subset P$ . Therefore,  $T^2 \subset P$  and  $P + T = H$ , i. e. the pair  $(H, P)$  is an almost nilpotent pair.

Corollary 2. *Every pair  $(R, P)$  of  $B(R)$  has either a hereditary idempotent heart, or an almost nilpotent heart.*

Proof. Let  $(H, P)$  is a heart of  $(R, P) \in B(R)$ . If  $(H, P)$  is not almost nilpotent pair, then  $(H, P)$  is a weak regular one. But it is clear, that for every right ideal  $C$  of  $R$  is held: either  $H + C = P + C$ , or  $(H + C, P + C) = (H, P)$ . Therefore  $(H, P)$  is a hereditary idempotent pair.

Corollary 3. *If  $(R, P) \in B(R)$ , then either  $(R, P)$  is a prime pair, or  $(R, P)$  has an almost nilpotent heart.*

Lemma 6. *Let  $\mathfrak{N}$  is a subclass of the class  $B(R)$ . Then the class  $\mathfrak{N}^0$  of all pairs  $(A, P \cap A)$ , where  $(R, P) \in \mathfrak{N}$  has the condition s. 3.*

Proof. Let  $(C, D) \in \mathfrak{N}^0$  is a non-zero factor pair of the pair  $(C, B)$ , which is a subpair of the pair  $(A, B)$ . Then  $D = P \cap C$ , where  $(R, P) \in \mathfrak{N}$ . It follows from here that  $(A, P \cap A) \in \mathfrak{N}^0$ . By that  $P \cap A$  does not  $\supset C$  since  $P \cap C \neq C$ , i. e.  $P$  does not  $\supset C$ .

The lemma is proved.

Corollary 4. *The upper radical closure  $\varrho^m$ , determined by the class  $\mathfrak{N}$  is a hereditary one and for every pair  $(A, B) \in \mathfrak{N}(R)$  is held:*

$$\varrho^m(A, B) = A \cap (\cap \{P \in \mathfrak{N}(R) \mid (R, P) \in \mathfrak{N} \text{ and } P \supset B\}).$$

We receive from here that the pair  $(R, P)$  is in  $\mathfrak{N}$  iff the heart of  $(R, P)$  is a  $\varrho^m$ -semi-simple one.

Lemma 7. *Let  $\mathfrak{N}$  is a subclass of the class  $B(R)$ , such that every pair of  $\mathfrak{N}$  has a hereditary idempotent heart. Then the class  $\mathfrak{N}^0$  of all pairs  $(A, P \cap A)$ , where  $(R, P) \in \mathfrak{N}$  is a special class of pairs.*

Proof. It follows by corollary 3., that all pairs of  $\mathfrak{N}$  and, therefore, all pairs of  $\mathfrak{N}^0$  are prime pairs. Similarly if  $(A, P \cap A) \in \mathfrak{N}^0$  and  $C \in \mathfrak{N}(R)$ , then  $(A \cap C, P \cap A \cap C) \in \mathfrak{N}^0$ . At the end it follows by lemma 6 that the condition a.3. is held for  $\mathfrak{N}^0$ .

So we have, that the class  $\mathfrak{N}^0$  has the conditions  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$ ,  $\sigma_3$ , i. e.  $\mathfrak{N}^0$  is a special class of pairs.

The lemma is proved.

Corollary 5. *The upper radical closure  $\varrho^m$ , determined by the class  $\mathfrak{N}$  is a special one.*

Proof. It follows by the results in [3] and [4] that  $\varrho^m$  is equal to the upper radical closure, determined by the class  $\mathfrak{N}^0$ . Therefore,  $\varrho^m$  is a special radical closure.

Corollary 6. *If  $\varrho$  is an underidempotent radical closure, then a supplementary to it radical closure  $\varrho^*$  is a special one.*

Proof. The radical closure  $\varrho^*$  is equal to the upper radical closure, determined by the class  $\mathfrak{C}(\varrho)$  of all subdirectly irreducible pairs  $(R, P)$  with a  $\varrho$ -radical heart. It follows from the underidempotency of  $\varrho$  that all pairs of  $\mathfrak{C}(\varrho)$  have a hereditary idempotent heart. Therefore,  $\varrho^*$  is a special radical closure.

Corollary 7. *Every dual supernilpotent radical closure is a special radical closure.*

Proof. If  $\varrho$  is a dual supernilpotent radical closure, then  $\varrho^*$  is an underidempotent radical closure and, therefore,  $\varrho = \varrho^{**}$  is a dual special radical closure.

Theorem (Duality theorem). *Let  $\mathfrak{N}$  be a subclass of  $B(R)$ , such that all pairs of  $\mathfrak{N}$  have a hereditary idempotent heart and let  $\overline{\mathfrak{N}}$  is the class of all the rest of the pairs of  $B(R)$ . Then the upper radical closure  $\varrho^m$ , determined by the class  $\mathfrak{N}$  is a dual special radical closure; the upper radical closure  $\overline{\varrho^m}$ , determined by the class  $\overline{\mathfrak{N}}$  is a dual underidempotent radical closure;  $\varrho^m$  and  $\overline{\varrho^m}$  supplement each other, i. e.  $(\varrho^m)^* = \overline{\varrho^m}$  and  $(\overline{\varrho^m})^* = \varrho^m$ . This way we can receive every dual supernilpotent and every dual underidempotent radical closure.*

Proof. It follows from lemmas 6 and 7, that  $\varrho^m$  is a special radical closure and  $\overline{\mathfrak{N}}$  is equal to the class of all subdirectly irreducible pairs  $(R, P)$  with a  $\varrho^m$ -radical heart. Therefore,  $(\varrho^m)^* = \overline{\varrho^m}$  and  $\overline{\varrho^m}$  is a dual underidempotent radical closure. Again from lemma 6 we have that  $\mathfrak{N}$  is equal to the class of all subdirectly irreducible pairs with a  $\overline{\varrho^m}$ -radical heart, i. e.  $(\overline{\varrho^m})^* = \varrho^m$  and  $\varrho^m$  is a dual special radical closure.

Let now  $\varrho$  is a dual supernilpotent radical closure. Then  $\varrho^*$  is an underidempotent radical closure and, therefore,  $\varrho = \varrho^{**}$  is equal to the upper radical closure, determined by the class  $\mathfrak{C}(\varrho^*)$  of all subdirectly irreducible pairs  $(R, P)$  with a  $\varrho^*$ -radical heart. But it is clear that all pairs of  $\mathfrak{C}(\varrho^*)$  have hereditary idempotent hearts.

At the end let  $\eta$  be a dual underidempotent radical closure and let  $\overline{\mathfrak{N}}$  be the class of all subdirectly irreducible pairs  $(R, P)$  with  $\varrho$ -semi-simple hearts. It is clear that the class  $\mathfrak{N}$  of all the rest of pairs of  $B(R)$  determines the radical closure  $\eta^*$ , which is a special one. Therefore,  $\eta = \eta^{**}$  is equal to the upper radical closure, determined by  $\overline{\mathfrak{N}}$ .

The theorem is proved.



## REFERENCES

1. В. А. Андрунакиевич. Радикалы ассоциативных колец *I*. *Мат. сб.*, **44**, 1958, 179—212.
2. В. А. Андрунакиевич. Первичные модули и радикал Бэра. *Сиб. мат. ж.*, **11**, 1961, 801—806.
3. В. А. Андрунакиевич, Ю. М. Рябухин. Радикальные замыкания правых идеалов ассоциативных колец и алгебр. *Мат. исследования*, вып. 38, Кольца и модули, 1976, 3—70.
4. L. I. Davidov. Supernilpotent and underidempotent radical closures of right ideals. *Serdica*, **2**, 1976, 315—324.

*Centre for Mathematics and Mechanics*  
*1090 Sofia P. O. Box 373*

*Received 22. 6. 1976*