

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.  
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

PLISKA

STUDIA MATHEMATICA  
BULGARICA

ПЛИСКА

БЪЛГАРСКИ  
МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКИ  
СТУДИИ

---

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.  
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on  
Pliska Studia Mathematica Bulgarica  
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~pliska/>  
or contact: Editorial Office

Pliska Studia Mathematica Bulgarica  
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics  
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences  
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49  
e-mail: [pliska@math.bas.bg](mailto:pliska@math.bas.bg)

## ON THE LOGARITHM OF THE DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR

JAN MIKUSIŃSKI

*To the memory of my late  
friend Y. A. Tagamlitzki*

Two different proofs are given of the fact that  $\ln s = -s \{ \ln t + C \}$ , where  $C$  is Euler's constant.

**Introduction.** In Operational Calculus, the exponential function  $x(\lambda) = e^{\lambda w}$  ( $w$  operator) is defined as the solution of the differential equation  $x'(\lambda) = wx(\lambda)$  such that  $x(0) = 1$ . In particular, we have  $x(1) = e^w$  so that the operator  $w$  can be considered as the logarithm of the operator  $e^w$ , i. e.  $w = \ln e^w$ .

The exponential function satisfies the functional equation  $e^{\lambda_1 w} \cdot e^{\lambda_2 w} = e^{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)w}$ . A similar equation is satisfied by the power  $s^\lambda$  of the differential operator  $s$ ,  $s^{\lambda_1} \cdot s^{\lambda_2} = s^{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}$ . This suggests that  $s^\lambda$  can be considered as an exponential function  $s^\lambda = e^{\lambda w}$ , where  $w$  is the logarithm of  $s$ , i. e.  $w = \ln s$ . Then  $(s^\lambda)' = \lambda s^{\lambda-1}$ . Hence, we can find  $w = (s^\lambda)' / s^\lambda$ , provided the fraction does not actually depend on  $\lambda$ . Indeed, we shall show that the following equation holds for all real  $\lambda$

$$\frac{(s^\lambda)'}{s^\lambda} = -s \{ \ln t + C \} \quad (C - \text{Euler's constant}),$$

so that we may write  $\ln s = -s \{ \ln t + C \}$ . To prove this equation is the aim of this note. We are going to do it in two different ways.

1. Taking into account that  $s = 1/l$  with  $l = \{1\}$ , we first make the following transformation

$$\frac{(s^\lambda)'}{s^\lambda} = \left( \frac{1}{l^\lambda} \right)' l^\lambda = -l^\lambda \frac{(l^\lambda)'}{l^{2\lambda}} = -\frac{(l^\lambda)'}{l^\lambda} = -\frac{l^{1-\lambda} (l^\lambda)'}{l} = -s l^{1-\lambda} (l^\lambda)'$$

Now we have for  $\lambda > 0$

$$(l^\lambda)' = \left\{ \frac{l^{\lambda-1}}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \right\}' = \left\{ \frac{l^{\lambda-1}}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \ln t - \frac{l^{\lambda-1}}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma'(\lambda)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \right\},$$

where  $\Gamma(\lambda)$  is the Euler gamma function. Hence, for  $0 < \lambda < 1$ ,

$$l^{1-\lambda} (l^\lambda)' = \int_0^1 \frac{(t-\tau)^{-\lambda}}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)} \left( \frac{\tau^{\lambda-1}}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \ln t - \frac{\tau^{\lambda-1}}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma'(\lambda)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \right) d\tau.$$

Substituting  $\tau = t\sigma$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} l^{1-\lambda} (l^\lambda)' &= \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\sigma)^{-\lambda}}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)} \left( \frac{\sigma^{\lambda-1}}{\Gamma(\lambda)} (\ln t + \ln \sigma) - \frac{\sigma^{\lambda-1}}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \frac{\Gamma'(\lambda)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \right) d\sigma \\ &= \left\{ \ln t \cdot \frac{B(1-\lambda, \lambda)}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)\Gamma(\lambda)} + \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\sigma)^{-\lambda} \sigma^{\lambda-1}}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)\Gamma(\lambda)} \ln \sigma d\sigma - \frac{B(1-\lambda, \lambda)}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)\Gamma(\lambda)} \frac{\Gamma'(\lambda)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \ln t + \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\sigma)^{-\lambda} \sigma^{\lambda-1}}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)\Gamma(\lambda)} \ln \sigma d\sigma - \frac{\Gamma'(\lambda)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

because

$$\frac{B(1-\lambda, \lambda)}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)\Gamma(\lambda)} = 1.$$

To the remaining integral we apply the general formula

$$\int_0^1 \sigma^{p-1} (1-\sigma)^{q-1} \ln \sigma d\sigma = B(p, q) \left[ \frac{\Gamma'(p)}{\Gamma(p)} - \frac{\Gamma'(p+q)}{\Gamma(p+q)} \right], \quad (p > 0, q > 0),$$

which is easily obtained by differentiating the formula

$$\int_0^1 \sigma^{p-1} (1-\sigma)^{q-1} d\sigma = \frac{\Gamma(p)\Gamma(q)}{\Gamma(p+q)}$$

with respect to  $p$ . So we obtain

$$\int_0^1 \frac{(1-\sigma)^{-\lambda} \sigma^{\lambda-1}}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)\Gamma(\lambda)} \ln \sigma d\sigma = \frac{\Gamma'(\lambda)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} - \frac{\Gamma'(1)}{\Gamma(1)}$$

and, taking account of  $\Gamma'(1) = -C$ ,

$$l^{1-\lambda} (l^\lambda)' = \{\ln t - \Gamma'(1)\} = \{\ln t + C\}.$$

This proves the required formula.

One may remark that formula (1) holds for every real number  $\lambda$ . Indeed, given any  $\lambda$ , one always can find such a  $\lambda_0$  that  $0 < \lambda_0 + \lambda < 1$ . Letting  $\mu = \lambda_0 + \lambda$ , we have  $w = (s^\mu)' / s^\mu$  according to the result already obtained.

2. It is interesting that the following formula holds

$$\ln s = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{s^\alpha - 1}{\alpha},$$

where  $\alpha$  is a real variable and the limit is meant in the operational sense. In fact, we have

$$\frac{s^\alpha - 1}{\alpha} = \frac{1 - l^\alpha}{\alpha l^\alpha} = \frac{l - l^{\alpha+1}}{\alpha l^{\alpha+1}} = \frac{l^{2-\alpha} \frac{l - l^{\alpha+1}}{\alpha}}{l^3}.$$

Since the denominator in the last fraction is constant, it suffices to determine the limit of the numerator. We have

$$l^{2-\alpha} \cdot \frac{l - l^{\alpha+1}}{\alpha} = \left\{ \frac{l^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} * \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( 1 - \frac{l^\alpha}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \right) \right\}.$$

If each factor of a convolution converges almost uniformly, then also the convolution converges almost uniformly to the convolution of the limits. Evidently, the first factor  $\frac{l^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}$  converges almost uniformly to  $\frac{l}{\Gamma(2)}$ . To find the limit of the second factor, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( 1 - \frac{l^\alpha}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \right) &= \frac{1}{\alpha} (1 - l^\alpha) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( l^\alpha - \frac{l^\alpha}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \right) \\ &= -\frac{l^\alpha - 1}{\alpha} + \frac{l^\alpha}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha) - \Gamma(1)}{\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( 1 - \frac{l^\alpha}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \right) = -\ln t + 1 \cdot \Gamma'(1) = -\ln t - C$$

and

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{s^\alpha - 1}{\alpha} = \frac{l^2 \{-\ln t - C\}}{l^3} = -s \{\ln t + C\} = \ln s.$$

Having this limit, we can show that  $-s \{\ln t\} C = \ln s$ . In fact, using the functional equation  $l^{\lambda_1} \cdot l^{\lambda_2} = l^{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}$ , we may write

$$\frac{s^{\lambda+\alpha} - s^\lambda}{\alpha} = \frac{s^\lambda (s^\alpha - 1)}{\alpha} = s^\lambda \frac{s^\alpha - 1}{\alpha}$$

and hence,

$$(s^\lambda)' = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{s^{\lambda+\alpha} - s^\lambda}{\alpha} = s^\lambda (-C - s \{\ln t\}),$$

which implies  $-C - s \{\ln t\} = \ln s$ , according to the general definition of a logarithm of an operator.

*Jaworowa 1  
40-650 Katowice 8  
Poland*

*Received 8. 7. 1986*