

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Serdica

Bulgariacae mathematicae
publicationes

Сердика

Българско математическо
списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes
and its new series Serdica Mathematical Journal
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica>
or contact: Editorial Office
Serdica Mathematical Journal
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

ON A PROBLEM OF SCHUR ON THE IRREDUCIBILITY OF INTEGER POLYNOMIALS

DIMITAR T. PIRGOV, RUMEN I. RAIČINOV

In this paper we prove the irreducibility over the field of the rational numbers Q of the type $q^m(x)+p$, where $q(x)=(x-x_1)(x-x_2)\dots(x-x_n)$, $n\geq 1$, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are different integers, p is a prime number, $n>2\sigma(p-1)+4$ and $m\geq 2$ may be any even number where $\sigma(p-1)$ is defined in [2]. This result is an extension of a problem on irreducibility of integer polynomials belonging to Schur.

In 1908 Schur has put the problem about the irreducibility over Q of polynomials of the type $q(x)\pm 1$ and $q^m(x)+1$ $m=2, 4$, where $q(x)=(x-x_1)\dots(x-x_n)$ and x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are different integers cf. [1: p 151, problem 121, 122, 123, 124]. This problem and some extensions were dealt with by Flugel cf. [1: p 151, problem 121, 122, 123] and Brauer cf. [1: p. 152, problem 124]. In [2] and [3] Pirgov studies polynomials of the type $\Phi(x)=q^2(x)+p$ and $\Phi_1(x)=Q(x)q(x)+p$, $\deg Q\leq n$. The problem of the irreducibility of the polynomials $\Phi_1(x)$ when $p=1$ has been investigated by Seres [4].

In this paper we prove the irreducibility of polynomials of the type $q^m(x)+p$ where $m\geq 2$ is an even number, p is prime and $n>2\sigma(p-1)+4$. Here $\sigma(p-1)$ is the introduced in [2] function representing the maximal number of different, not equal to ± 1 , integer divisors in the factorisation of the number $p-1$.

By $Z[x]$ we denote the set of polynomials over the ring Z of the integers. By $Z_p[x]$ we denote the set of the polynomials over the field Z_p of the integers comparable by mod (p) . If $f(x)=a_0x^n+a_1x^{n-1}+\dots+a_{n-1}x+a_n\in Z[x]$, then by \bar{f} we shall denote the reduced polynomial $\bar{f}(x)=\bar{a}_0x^n+\bar{a}_1x^{n-1}+\dots+\bar{a}_{n-1}x+\bar{a}_n\in Z_p[x]$, where $\bar{a}_i=\{k\in Z\mid k\equiv a_i\pmod{p}\}\in Z_p$.

Theorem. *If $n\geq 1$, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are different integers, p is prime, $m\geq 2$ is an even number and $n>2\sigma(p-1)+4$ then the polynomial $f(x)=q^m(x)+p\in Z[x]$ is irreducible over the field Q .*

Proof. From the contrary. Then from Gauss lemma it follows that f will be reducible also over the ring Z and hence we have

$$(1) \quad f(x) = g(x)h(x), \quad g, h \in Z[x]$$

and $n_g = \deg(g) \geq 1$, $n_h = \deg(h) \geq 1$. Obviously $f(x) > 0$, $\forall x \in R$ so we may assume that $g(x) > 0$ and $h(x) > 0$, $\forall x \in R$. From (1) it follows that $\bar{f} = \bar{g}\bar{h}$ and because $\bar{f}(x) = (x-x_1)^m \dots (x-x_n)^m$, then from the uniqueness (to multiples of one) of the factorisation of any polynomial over the field Z_p for \bar{g} and \bar{h} we have

SERDICA Bulgaricae mathematicae publicationes. Vol. 9, 1983, p. 49–50.

$$(2) \quad \bar{g}(x) = \bar{a}(x - \bar{x}_1)^{\alpha_1} \dots (x - \bar{x}_n)^{\alpha_n}, \quad \bar{h}(x) = \bar{b}(x - \bar{x}_1)^{\beta_1} \dots (x - \bar{x}_n)^{\beta_n},$$

where $\bar{a}\bar{b} = 1$, $0 \leq \alpha_i, \beta_i \leq m$, $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha_i + \beta_i = m$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. From (1) it follows that $g(x) = 1x^n + \dots$ and $h(x) = 1x^n + \dots$, hence $\bar{g}(x) = \bar{1}x^n + \dots$, $\bar{h}(x) = \bar{1}x^n + \dots$ (and thus $\bar{a} = \bar{b} = \bar{1}$). Since $n_{\bar{g}} = \deg(\bar{g}) = n_g \geq 1$ and $n_{\bar{h}} = \deg(\bar{h}) = n_h \geq 1$ we conclude that there exist indices $i_0, j_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $\alpha_{i_0} > 0$ and $\beta_{j_0} > 0$. From the well known proposition *if $f(x) = a_0x^n + \dots + a_n \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $\bar{f} = \bar{0} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ then $p/a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n$* , and from (2) we have the following equalities for g and h

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} g(x) &= a(x - x_1)^{\alpha_1} \dots (x - x_n)^{\alpha_n} + pg_1(x), \\ h(x) &= b(x - x_1)^{\beta_1} \dots (x - x_n)^{\beta_n} + ph_1(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $g_1, h_1 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Now in (1) we substitute directly $x = x_{i_0}$ and $x = x_{j_0}$ and taking into account (3) we obtain the equalities

$$(4) \quad g(x_{j_0}) = h(x_{i_0}) = 1, \quad g(x_{i_0}) = h(x_{j_0}) = p.$$

Knowing that the sets $A = \{j = 1, 2, \dots, n \mid g(x_j) = 1\}$ and $B = \{i = 1, 2, \dots, n \mid h(x_i) = 1\}$ are not empty (naturally (1) shows that $A \cup B = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$) we let $q'(x) = \prod_{j \in A} (x - x_j)$ and $q''(x) = \prod_{i \in B} (x - x_i)$. Evidently we have $n_{q'} = \deg q' \geq 1$, $n_{q''} = \deg q'' \geq 1$ and since $A \cup B = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ we have $n_{q'} + n_{q''} = n$.

Hence $\max(n_{q'}, n_{q''}) \geq n/2$. Also for the polynomials g and h we obtain the equalities

$$(5) \quad g(x) - 1 = g'(x)G(x), \quad h(x) - 1 = g''(x)H(x),$$

where $G, H \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. In the first equality of (5) we substitute $x = x_{i_0}$ and in the second $x = x_{j_0}$ and because of (4) we find $p - 1 = q'(x_{i_0})G(x_{i_0}) = q''(x_{j_0})H(x_{j_0})$. Taking in account that the numbers x_i are different, for $\sigma(p - 1)$ we obtain the estimate $\sigma(p - 1) \geq \max(n_{q'} - 2, n_{q''} - 2) \geq n/2 - 2$ which contradicts the condition $\sigma(p - 1) < n/2 - 2$ of the theorem. The theorem is proved.

At last we shall note, that the condition $n > 2\sigma(p - 1) + 4$ is not a substantial limitation, because there exist sufficiently big prime numbers for which $\sigma(p - 1) = 2$. Really, the biggest prime number from Lemer's table [5] for which $\sigma(p - 1) = 2$ is the number 10006163.

This result is a substantial extension of the problem of Scur because when n and p are fixed the number m may be any even number, while the results of Flügel [1] and Brauer [1] are about polynomials of the same type but with non-prime p : for $m = 2, p = 1$ and $m = 4, p = 1$ respectively.

The question of the irreducibility of $f(x)$ when $2 \leq \deg f \leq 2\sigma(p - 1) + 4$ remains unsolved at present.

REFERENCES

1. Г. Полия, Г. Сеге. Задачи и теореме по анализ. 2. София, 1974. Осми раздел, Гл. 2, § 3, Зад. 121, 122, 123, 124, решение стр. 367—368.
2. Д. Пиргов. Относно неразложимостта в полето на рационалните числа на две класи целочислени полиноми. *Годишник ВТУЗ Мат.* 4, 1967, кн. 3, 27—35.
3. Д. Пиргов. Едно прецизиране на теоремата за неразложимост на целочислени полиноми на И. Серес. *Годишник ВТУЗ Мат.* 8, 1972, кн. 2, 31—34.
4. J. Seres. Bizonyos polinomok irreducibilitásáról. *Mat. Lapok*, 16, 1965, № 1—2, 1—7.
5. Д. Лемер. Таблицы простых чисел от 1 до 10006721. Москва, 1967.