

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Serdica

Bulgariacae mathematicae
publicationes

Сердика

Българско математическо
списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.
Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes
and its new series Serdica Mathematical Journal
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica>
or contact: Editorial Office
Serdica Mathematical Journal
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

APPLICATIONS OF FIXED POINT THEOREMS TO BEST APPROXIMATIONS

GEETHA S. RAO, S. A. MARIADOSS

Let X be a real normed linear space and K any subset of X . An element g_0 in K is called a best K -approximant for an arbitrary element x in X , if $\|x - g_0\| \leq \|x - g\|$ all g in K . Let D be the set of all best K -approximants to x . If T is an operator on X with a fixed point x , then by imposing some conditions on T or the set K , it is possible to find another fixed point in the set D . Brosowski had obtained a result of this kind for a contractive linear operator T and a compact, convex subset K . In this paper, the linearity condition on T and the convexity of K are weakened to give rise to some generalizations.

1. Let X be a real normed linear space, K a subset of X , and x an element of X , not in the closure of K . The set of best K -approximants to x consists of those $g_0 \in K$ satisfying $\|x - g_0\| = \inf\{\|x - g\| : g \in K\}$ and it is denoted as $P_K(x)$. Let T be a self-map on X . T is called a contraction, if $\|Ty - Tz\| \leq \alpha \|y - z\|$ for $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $y, z \in X$. Banach's contraction principle states that in a complete metric space a contraction map has a unique fixed point. T is called contractive whenever $\|Ty - Tz\| < \|y - z\|$ for y, z in X .

A subset S of X is called star-shaped if there exists a point p called star-centre in S such that $\lambda p + (1 - \lambda)z \in S$, for all z in S and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. It is clear that every convex subset is star-shaped, but a star-shaped set need not be convex. A more general class of sets containing the star-shaped sets is called 'contractive'. A set S in X is contractive if there exists a sequence $\{f_n\}$ of contraction mappings of S into itself such that $f_n y \rightarrow y$, for each y in S . Brosowski [1] proved the following

Theorem A. Let T be a contractive linear operator on a normed linear space X . Let K be a T -invariant subset X and x a T -invariant point. If the set of best K -approximants to x is nonempty, convex and compact, then it contains a T -invariant point.

Following the method of Brosowski, Singh [6] has obtained a generalization of Theorem A.

Theorem B. Let T be a contractive operator on a normed linear space X . Let C be a T -invariant subset of X and x a T -invariant point. If the set of best C -approximants to x is nonempty, compact and star-shaped, then it contains a T -invariant point.

In this paper, some generalizations of Theorem A and Theorem B are obtained.

2. Let T be a self-map on X such that for all y, z in X ,

$$(1) \quad \|Ty - Tz\| \leq \alpha \|y - z\| + \beta \{\|y - Ty\| + \|z - Tz\|\} + \gamma \{\|y - Tz\| + \|z - Ty\|\},$$

where α, β, γ are non-negative numbers satisfying $\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma \leq 1$.

Let M be a subset of X . A sequence $\{y_n\}$ in M is said to be minimizing for x , if $\lim \|y_n - x\| = d(x, M)$, where $d(x, M)$ is the distance of x from the set M . M is called *approximatively compact*, if for every x in X , each minimizing sequence $\{y_n\}$ in M has a convergent subsequence, converging to an element of M . It is well-known that M is *approximatively compact* implies that the set of best M -approximants to x , namely $P_M(x)$, is compact.

The following result concerns the fixed point of a map T satisfying the condition (1), instead of being a contractive (linear) map.

Theorem 1. *Let T be a continuous self-map on a Banach space X satisfying (1). Let C be an approximatively compact and T -invariant subset of X . Let $Tx = x$ for some x , not in the norm-closure of C . If the set of best C -approximants to x is nonempty and star-shaped, then it has a T -invariant point.*

Proof. Let D be the set of best C -approximants to x . Then

$$(2) \quad D = \{z \in C : \|z - x\| \leq \|y - x\| \text{ for all } y \text{ in } C\}.$$

Let $z \in D$. Then, by (2.1) and the hypothesis it is clear that

$$\|x - Tz\| = \|Tx - Tz\| \leq \alpha \|x - z\| + \beta \|z - Tz\| + \gamma \{\|x - Tz\| + \|z - Tx\|\},$$

where $\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma \leq 1$.

That is, $\|x - Tz\| \leq (\alpha + \gamma) \|x - z\| + \beta \|z - Tz\| + \gamma \|x - Tz\| \leq (\alpha + \gamma) \|x - z\| + \beta \|z - x\| + (\beta + \gamma) \|x - Tz\|$, or $(1 - \beta - \gamma) \|x - Tz\| \leq (\alpha + \beta + \gamma) \|x - z\|$.

That is,

$$\|x - Tz\| \leq \|x - z\|, \text{ since } \alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma \leq 1 \leq \|x - y\| \text{ for all } y \text{ in } C \text{ by (2)}$$

This means that $Tz \in D$. Therefore, T is a self-map on D .

Since D is nonempty and star-shaped, there exists a star-centre p in D such that $\lambda p + (1 - \lambda)z \in D$, for all z in D , $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. Now taking a sequence k_n of non-negative real numbers ($0 \leq k_n < 1$) converging to 1, one can define $T_n: D \rightarrow D$, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, as follows: $T_n z = k_n Tz + (1 - k_n)p$, $z \in D$. Since T is a self-map on D , so is T_n , for each n . Also, for all y, z in D ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_n y - T_n z\| &= k_n \|Ty - Tz\| \\ &\leq k_n \alpha \|y - z\| + k_n \beta \{\|y - Ty\| + \|z - Tz\|\} + k_n \gamma \{\|y - Tz\| + \|z - Ty\|\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha k_n + 2k_n \beta + 2k_n \gamma < 1$.

Therefore, by a theorem of Hardy and Rogers [3], T_n has a unique fixed point in D , for each n . Let $T_n z_n = z_n$.

Now the approximative compactness of C implies that D is compact. Therefore, there is a subsequence $\{z_{n_i}\}$ of $\{z_n\}$ such that $z_{n_i} \rightarrow z_0$ in D . Again,

$$z_{n_i} = T_{n_i} z_{n_i} = k_{n_i} Tz_{n_i} + (1 - k_{n_i})p.$$

Considering the assumption that T is continuous and the fact that $k_{n_i} \rightarrow 1$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $z_0 = Tz_0$. Thus z_0 is a T -invariant point in D . This completes the proof.

Remark. For the case when $\beta = \gamma = 0$, the map T in Theorem 1, becomes nonexpansive and hence contractive. In this case the result reduces to Theorem B. If T is linear, it reduces to Theorem A of Brosowski stated in the Introduction.

Kannan [4] studied the fixed points of the map T satisfying $\|Ty - Tz\| \leq \{\|y - Ty\| + \|z - Tz\|\}/2$. [This is the case when $\alpha = \beta = 0$ in (1)]. If $\alpha = \beta = 0$, (1) becomes $\|Ty - Tz\| \leq \{\|y - Tz\| + \|z - Ty\|\}/2$. Such maps were analysed by Yadav [7]. Therefore the conclusion of Theorem 1 not only generalizes Brokowski's result, but also extends it to the maps investigated by Kannan and Yadav.

The following results are given in the context of a metric space. These are almost direct consequences of Lemma 1 of E. Chandler and G. Faulkner [2], who exploited the properties of a contractive set.

Theorem 2. *Let E be a metric space with metric d . Let C be an approximatively compact subset of E . Let T be a nonexpansive self-map on C and $Tx = x$. If the set of best C -approximants to x is nonempty and contractive, then it contains another fixed point of E .*

Proof. Let $D = \{y \in C : d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) \text{ for all } z \text{ in } C\}$. Since C is approximatively compact, D is nonempty. Let $y \in D$. Then $d(x, Ty) = d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y) \leq d(x, z)$ for all z in C , so that $Ty \in D$. Therefore, $T(D) \subset D$. Since D is contractive, there exists a sequence $\{f_n\}$ of contractions on D such that $f_n z \rightarrow z$, for every z in D .

Clearly $f_n T$ is a contraction on the compact set D . Thus D is a complete metric space and Banach's contraction principle ensures the existence of a unique fixed point, say z_n , of $f_n T$, for each n . Now $\{z_n\}$ in D has a convergent subsequence $\{z_{n_i}\}$ such that $z_{n_i} \rightarrow z_0$ in D . The following argument proves that z_0 is a fixed point of T .

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists a positive integer m such that

$$d(z_m, z_0) \leq \varepsilon/2 \text{ and } d(f_m T z_0, T z_0) < \varepsilon/2.$$

Again

$$d(f_m T z_m, f_m T z_0) \leq d(z_m, z_0) < \varepsilon/2.$$

Hence

$d(f_m T z_m, T z_0) \leq d(f_m T z_m, f_m T z_0) + d(f_m T z_0, T z_0) < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon$. Thus there exists a subsequence $\{z_{n_i}\}$ of $\{z_n\}$ such that $f_{n_i} T z_{n_i} \rightarrow T z_0$. But $f_{n_i} T z_{n_i} = z_{n_i} \rightarrow z_0$ and therefore $T z_0 = z_0$.

Remark. This theorem generalizes Theorem A as well as Theorem B to contractive compact sets. Every star-shaped set is contractive but there exist contractive sets which are not star-shaped.

Theorem 3. *Let E be a complete metric space. Let T be a continuous self-map on an approximatively compact subset C of E such that for all y, z in C ,*

$$d(Ty, Tz) \leq \alpha d(y, z) + \beta \{d(y, Ty) + d(z, Tz)\} + \gamma \{d(y, Tz) + d(z, Ty)\},$$

where α, β, γ are non-negative real numbers satisfying $\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma \leq 1$. Let x be not in C such that $Tx = x$. If the set of best C -approximants to x is nonempty and contractive, then it contains another fixed point of T .

Proof. Let D be the set of best C -approximants to x . The map T is the same as in Theorem 1. Clearly T is a self-map on D . Since C is approximatively compact, D is compact. Since D is a contractive set, there exists a sequence f_n of contraction maps such that $f_n(T(D)) \subset D$. For all y, z in $T(D)$, since f_n is a contraction for each n ,

$$d(f_nTy, f_nTz) \leq a_n d(Ty, Tz), 0 \leq a_n < 1$$

$$\leq a_n \alpha d(y, z) + a_n \beta \{d(y, Ty) + d(z, Tz)\} + a_n \gamma \{d(y, Tz) + d(z, Ty)\},$$

where $a_n \alpha + 2a_n \beta + 2a_n \gamma < 1$.

Now, if T has a fixed point, say z' , then f_nT also has z' as its fixed point. For,

$$d(f_nTz', z') \leq d(f_nTz', Tz') + d(Tz', z') = d(f_nTz', Tz') = d(f_nTz', z)$$

and $d(f_nTz', z') \rightarrow 0$, since for every z in C , $f_n z \rightarrow z$.

Now by Hardy and Roger's theorem, the map T has a unique fixed point in D . Therefore, for every n , f_nT has a unique fixed point, say z_n . Now the sequence $\{z_n\}$ obtained from $\{z_n\}$ converges to z_0 in D , by the compactness of D . Proceeding as in Theorem 2, one easily proves that $Tz_0 = z_0$.

Definition. For each bounded subset D of a metric space E , the measure of non-compactness of A , $\alpha[A]$ is defined as

$$\alpha[A] = \inf \{ \epsilon > 0 : A \text{ is covered by a finite number of closed balls centred at points of } X \text{ of radius } \leq \epsilon \}.$$

Definition. A mapping $T : D \rightarrow D$ is called condensing if for bounded sets $D \subset E$ with $\alpha[D] > 0$, $\alpha[T(D)] < \alpha[D]$, where $\alpha[D]$ is the measure of non-compactness of D .

Theorem 4. Let E be a complete, contractive metric space with contractions f_n . Let C be a closed bounded subset of E . If T is a nonexpansive and condensing self-map on E such that $Tx = x$, for some $x \in E$ and the set of best C -approximants to x is nonempty, then it has a T -invariant point.

Proof. Let D be the set of best C -approximants of x . Then D is a closed and bounded subset of C and $T(D) \subset D$. A direct application of Theorem 1 of Chandler and Faulkner [2], will now give a T -invariant point in D .

Theorem 5. Let E be a complete metric space, M an approximatively compact subset of E and $x \in E \setminus M$. Let T be a self-map on X with $Tx = x$ and for some positive integer m , let T^m satisfy the condition

$$d(T^m y, T^m z) \leq \alpha \{d(y, T^m y) + d(z, T^m z)\}, 0 < \alpha < 1/2, y, z \text{ in } M.$$

If the set of best M -approximants to x is nonempty, then it has a unique fixed point of T .

Proof. Let $D = D_M(x) = \{y_0 \in M : d(x, y_0) \leq d(x, y) \text{ for all } y \text{ in } M\}$. Now, $Tx = x$ implies that $T^m x = x$ for the same integer m prescribed in the hypothesis. Let $y_0 \in D$. Then, for $0 < \alpha < 1/2$,

$$d(x, T^m y_0) = d(T^m x, T^m y_0) \leq \alpha \{d(x, T^m x) + d(y_0, T^m y_0)\} = \alpha d(y_0, T^m y_0)$$

$$\leq \alpha d(y_0, x) + \alpha d(x, T^m y_0).$$

That is, $d(x, T^m y_0) \leq \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} d(y_0, x) \leq \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} d(x, y)$, for all y in M . Therefore $T^m y_0 \in D$ which implies that $T^m(D) \subset D$. Since T^m satisfies the conditions of Kannan map, T^m has a unique fixed point in D . This means that there is an x_0 in D such that $T^m x_0 = x_0$. Now, $T^m(Tx_0) = T(T^m x_0) = Tx_0$ implies that Tx_0

is a fixed point of T^m . But the fixed point of T^m is unique and equals x_0 . Therefore $Tx_0 = x_0$ and hence x_0 is a unique fixed point of T in D .

Remark. This theorem extends Brosowski's result to a generalized form of Kannan map. It is interesting to note that this theorem gives a unique fixed point in the set D of best M -approximants to x .

REFERENCES

1. Brosowski, B. Fixpunktsatz in der Approximation theorie. *Mathematica (Cluj)*, **39**, 1969, 195—220.
2. Chandler, E., G. Faulkner. A fixed point theorem for nonexpansive condensing maps. *J. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **29**, 1980, 393-398.
3. Hardy, G. E., T. D. Rogers, (1973). A generalization of a fixed point theorem of Reich. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **16**, 201-206.
4. Kannan, R. Some results on fixed points III. *Fund. Math.*, **70**, 1971, 169-177.
5. Singer, I. Best approximation in normed linear spaces by elements of linear subspaces. New York, 1970.
6. Singh, S. P. An application of a fixed point theorem to approximation theory. *J. Approximation Theory*, **25**, 1979, 89-90.
7. Yadav, R. K. (1969). Fixed point theorems in generalized metric spaces *Banaras Math J.*, 1969.

*Ramanujan Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics,
University of Madras, Madras-600 005, India*

Received 11.VI. 1981