

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Serdica

Bulgariacae mathematicae
publicationes

Сердика

Българско математическо
списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or
institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or
licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes
and its new series Serdica Mathematical Journal
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica>
or contact: Editorial Office
Serdica Mathematical Journal
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

A-COMPACT SPACES

ALESSANDRO FEDELI

For each class \mathbf{A} of topological spaces we have a closure operation $[]: P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$, called \mathbf{A} -closure, where X is a topological space and $P(X)$ is the power set of X . In this paper we study the \mathbf{A} -compact spaces, i. e. the topological spaces $(X, \tau) \in \mathbf{A}$ such that the topology $\tau_{\mathbf{A}}$ generated by the \mathbf{A} -closure is a compact topology.

We show that for many classes \mathbf{A} the \mathbf{A} -compact spaces play the same role in \mathbf{A} that the compact Hausdorff spaces play in the class of Hausdorff spaces.

O. Introduction. For each class \mathbf{A} of topological spaces we have a closure operation $[]: P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$, called \mathbf{A} -closure, where X is a topological space and $P(X)$ is the power set of X [21]. Recently D. Dikranjan, E. Giuli ([2, 3]) characterized the closure operation $[]$ for many classes of topological spaces \mathbf{A} .

A topological space $(X, \tau) \in \mathbf{A}$ is called \mathbf{A} -compact with respect to \mathbf{A} (in short \mathbf{A} -compact) iff the topology $\tau_{\mathbf{A}}$ generated by the \mathbf{A} -closure is a compact topology. The class of all \mathbf{A} -compact spaces will be denoted by $K_{\mathbf{A}}$.

If \mathbf{A} is the class of Hausdorff spaces \mathbf{TOP}_2 and $X \in \mathbf{TOP}_2$ then $[]: P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$ coincides with the ordinary closure operation in X ([2]), hence $K_{\mathbf{TOP}_2}$ is precisely the class of compact Hausdorff spaces.

In Section 1 we prove that many classical results about compact Hausdorff spaces are true for many classes \mathbf{A} of topological spaces when we replace "compact Hausdorff" with " \mathbf{A} -compact" and the ordinary closure with the \mathbf{A} -closure, moreover we give an internal characterization of \mathbf{A} -compact spaces using the Kuratowski theorem.

In Section 2 some general relations between \mathbf{A} -compact and absolutely \mathbf{A} -closed spaces are established.

Section 3 is devoted to the study of **Ury**-compact and absolutely **Ury**-closed spaces, where **Ury** is the class of Urysohn spaces. In this section we give an example of non **Ury**-minimal semiregular absolutely **Ury**-closed space.

In Section 4 we use the \mathbf{A} -compactness to introduce the concept of $p(\mathbf{A})$ mapping.

Notation 0.1 The following categories are denoted as follows:

- TOP** the category of topological spaces and continuous functions;
- TOP_{*i*}** the category of topological spaces satisfying the T_i axiom $i=0, 1, 2$;
- FT₂** the category of functionally Hausdorff spaces (points are separated by continuous real valued maps);
- Ury** the category of Urysohn spaces (points are separated by disjoint closed neighborhoods);
- TOP₃** the category of regular Hausdorff spaces;
- Tych** the category of completely regular Hausdorff spaces;
- 0-dim** the category of zero-dimensional spaces (i. e. Hausdorff spaces with a base of closed sets).

A full and isomorphism-closed subcategory \mathbf{A} of **TOP** is said to be epireflective if for each topological space X there exist $rX \in \mathbf{A}$ and an epimorphism $r_{\mathbf{A}}: X \rightarrow rX$

in **TOP** such that for each continuous function $f: X \rightarrow Y$, $Y \in \mathbf{A}$, there exists a continuous function $f': rX \rightarrow Y$ such that $f' \circ r_{\mathbf{A}} = f$. \mathbf{A} is epireflective in **TOP** iff it is closed under the formation of products and subspaces [13].

All subcategories above are epireflective subcategories of **TOP**.

We define, now, a closure operator introduced by S. Salbany [21].

Definition 0.2 Let \mathbf{A} be an epireflective subcategory of **TOP**, let X be a topological space and F a subset of X .

- (a) A point X of X is said to be a point of \mathbf{A} -closure of F in X if for each $f, g: X \rightarrow A$, $A \in \mathbf{A}$, such that $f|_F = g|_F$ (where $f|_F$ denotes the restriction of f to F), $f(x) = g(x)$. The set of all points of \mathbf{A} -closure of F in X is said to be the \mathbf{A} -closure of F in X and it is denoted by $[F]_{\mathbf{A}}^X$.
- (b) F is said to be \mathbf{A} -closed in X if $[F]_{\mathbf{A}}^X = F$.
- (c) A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$, $X, Y \in \mathbf{A}$, is said to be \mathbf{A} -continuous if $f([F]_{\mathbf{A}}^X) \subseteq [f(F)]_{\mathbf{A}}^Y$, $F \subseteq X$.
- (d) A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$, $X, Y \in \mathbf{A}$, is said to be \mathbf{A} -closed if for every \mathbf{A} -closed set $F \subseteq X$ the image $f(F)$ is \mathbf{A} -closed in Y .
- (e) the coarsest topology in X which contains all \mathbf{A} -closed subsets as closed sets is said to be the \mathbf{A} -closure topology of X and, if τ is the topology of X , it is denoted by $\tau_{\mathbf{A}}$.

$F: \mathbf{TOP} \rightarrow \mathbf{TOP}$ will denote the functor which assigns to $(X, \tau) \in \mathbf{TOP}$ the space $(X, \tau_{\mathbf{A}})$. For each continuous map $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ in **TOP** the continuity of $f = F_{\mathbf{A}}(f): (X, \tau_{\mathbf{A}}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_{\mathbf{A}})$ follows from 1.2(x) of [3].

The following results can be found in [2].

- (1) $\tau_{\mathbf{A}} \leq \tau$ for all $(X, \tau) \in \mathbf{A}$ iff $\mathbf{A} \subseteq \mathbf{TOP}_2$.
- (2) For $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{TOP}_2, \mathbf{TOP}_3, \mathbf{Tych}, \mathbf{0-dim}$ $\tau_{\mathbf{A}} = \tau$ for each $(X, \tau) \in \mathbf{A}$.
- (3) The \mathbf{TOP}_0 -closure is the front-closure defined in [19]: $FrCl(A) = \{x \in X: \text{for each open nhood } (U \text{ of } x, \overline{\{x\}} \cap U \cap A \neq \emptyset)\}$
- (4) The \mathbf{TOP}_2 -closure is the identity for all T_1 -spaces.
- (5) For $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Ury}$ let $X \in \mathbf{A}$ and $M \subseteq X$, we define $Cl_{\theta}(M) = \{x \in X: \text{for each nhood } V \text{ of } x, V \cap M \neq \emptyset\}$, this is the θ -closure introduced by H. Velichko [23]. For $X \in \mathbf{Ury}$ and $M \subseteq X$ we have $Cl_{\theta}(M) \subseteq [M]_{\mathbf{Ury}}^X$ and $M = Cl_{\theta}(M)$ iff $M = [M]_{\mathbf{Ury}}^X$, thus the **Ury**-closure is the idempotent hull of Cl_{θ} .

Finally we recall that the topological terminology is that of [24].

1. \mathbf{A} -Compact spaces.

Definition 1.1. Let \mathbf{A} be an epireflective subcategory of **TOP**. $(X, \tau) \in \mathbf{A}$ is said to be \mathbf{A} -Compact iff $(X, \tau_{\mathbf{A}})$ is compact.

We will denote by $K_{\mathbf{A}}$ the class of \mathbf{A} -compact spaces, and by $\mathbf{A} \text{ Comp}$ the class of compact spaces X such that $X \in \mathbf{A}$. The \mathbf{A} -closure is said to be hereditary [7], if for $M \subseteq Y \subseteq X$ we have $[M]_{\mathbf{A}}^Y = [M]_{\mathbf{A}}^X \cap Y$ for all M, Y and X . In that case $i = F_{\mathbf{A}}(i): (Y, \sigma_{\mathbf{A}}) \rightarrow (X, \tau_{\mathbf{A}})$ is an embedding, where τ is the topology of X , σ the relative topology of Y , and i is the embedding map of the subspace (Y, σ) in the space (X, τ) .

Remark 1.2. For all categories \mathbf{A} listed in 0. except $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Ury}, \mathbf{FT}_2$ and for every space $(X, \tau) \in \mathbf{A}$ the \mathbf{A} -closure is hereditary in (X, τ) .

Proposition 1.3. Let \mathbf{A} be such that for all $(X, \tau) \in \mathbf{A}$ the \mathbf{A} -closure is hereditary in (X, τ) . If $(X, \tau) \in K_{\mathbf{A}}$ and $M \subseteq X$ is \mathbf{A} -closed then M , with the relative topology τ_M , is \mathbf{A} -compact.

Proof. M is closed in $(X, \tau_{\mathbf{A}}) \in \text{Comp}$, therefore $(M, \tau_{\mathbf{A}})$ is compact, hence (M, τ_M) is \mathbf{A} -compact.

Remark 1.4. The space $(X, \tau) \in \mathbf{Ury}$ given in ([6], ex. 4.2) belongs to $K_{\mathbf{Ury}}$ and it has an **Ury**-closed subset M such that (M, τ_M) is an infinite discrete space.

Remarks 1.5.

- (1) K_{TOP} is the class of finite discrete spaces.
- (2) $K = \mathbf{AComp} = \mathbf{TOP}_2 \text{ Comp}$ of $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{TOP}_2, \mathbf{TOP}_3, \mathbf{Tych}, \mathbf{0-dim}$
- (3) Let \mathbf{A} be the subcategory $\text{LM-}T_2$ of Lawson—Madison spaces (a space X is $\text{LM-}T_2$ iff every compact subspace of X is T_2 , [16], [18]), since $\tau \leq \tau_{\mathbf{A}}$ ([5], lemma 1.5) we have that $K_{\mathbf{A}} \subset \mathbf{AComp}$, moreover if $(X, \tau) \in \mathbf{AComp}$ then $\tau = \tau_{\mathbf{A}}$ ([11], corollary 4.2 (b)) hence $K_{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{AComp}$.
- (4) Let X_j denote a T_1 -space with cofinite topology and infinite cardinality j . If $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Haus} (\{X_j\}) = \{X \in \mathbf{TOP} \text{ such that every continuous map } f: X_j \rightarrow X \text{ is constant}\}$ [16], then $\tau_{\mathbf{A}}$ is discrete for every $(X, \tau) \in \mathbf{A}$ ([5], prop. 1.11), hence $K_{\mathbf{A}}$ is the class of finite discrete spaces and $K_{\mathbf{A}} \subset \mathbf{AComp}$.
- (5) The Ury-compact spaces are studied in the Section 3. A careful study of \mathbf{TOP}_0 -compact spaces will be the object of a separate work.

Proposition 1.6. For $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Top}_0, \mathbf{Top}_1, \mathbf{Top}_2, \mathbf{Top}_3, \mathbf{Tych}, \mathbf{0-dim}$ let $X \in K_{\mathbf{A}}$ and $M \subset X$, then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) M is \mathbf{A} -compact
- (iii) M is \mathbf{A} -closed.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) For $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{TOP}_1$ the \mathbf{A} -closure is the identity for all T_1 -spaces.

For $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{TOP}_2, \mathbf{TOP}_3, \mathbf{Tych}, \mathbf{0-dim}$ we recall that the \mathbf{A} -closure coincides with the ordinary closure. For $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{TOP}_0$ if $M \subset (X, \tau) \in \mathbf{TOP}_0$ is \mathbf{TOP}_0 -compact then $(M, \tau_{\mathbf{A}})$ is compact in $(X, \tau_{\mathbf{A}})$, but $(X, \tau_{\mathbf{A}})$ is a T_2 -space ([3], th. 2.2 (e)), hence M is closed in $(X, \tau_{\mathbf{A}})$, i. e. it is \mathbf{TOP}_0 -closed in (X, τ) .

The other implication follows from the proposition 1.3.

Observe that the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) holds for all categories \mathbf{A} such that for each $(X, \tau) \in \mathbf{A}$ we have $\tau \leq \tau_{\mathbf{A}}$ and every compact subspace of X is \mathbf{A} -closed in X , for some examples see [10].

Proposition 1.7. For $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{TOP}_0, \mathbf{TOP}_1, \mathbf{TOP}_2, \mathbf{TOP}_3, \mathbf{Tych}, \mathbf{0-dim}$ let $(X, \tau) \in K_{\mathbf{A}}$ and $(Y, \sigma) \in \mathbf{A}$.

- (1) If $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is a continuous and onto mapping then $(Y, \sigma) \in K_{\mathbf{A}}$;
- (2) If $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is continuous then it is \mathbf{A} -closed.

Proof. (1) Since $f = F_{\mathbf{A}}(f): (X, \tau_{\mathbf{A}}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_{\mathbf{A}})$ is continuous and onto, $(X, \tau_{\mathbf{A}})$ is compact, we have that $(Y, \sigma_{\mathbf{A}})$ is a compact space, hence $(Y, \sigma) \in K_{\mathbf{A}}$.

(2) Let G be an \mathbf{A} -closed set in X , hence from prop. 1.3 follows that G is \mathbf{A} -compact, so by (1) we have that $f(G)$ is \mathbf{A} -compact, and from prop. 1.6 it follows that $f(G)$ is \mathbf{A} -closed in Y , i. e. $f(G) = [f(G)]_{\mathbf{A}}$.

Note that (1) holds for all subcategories \mathbf{A} of \mathbf{TOP} .

The functor $F_{\mathbf{A}}$ is said to be finitely multiplicative if it preserves finite products, i. e. $(\prod_{i=1}^n \tau_i)_{\mathbf{A}} = \prod_{i=1}^n (\tau_i)_{\mathbf{A}}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. We recall that if $F_{\mathbf{A}}$ is finitely multiplicative then for each $(X, \tau) \in \mathbf{A}$, $(X, \tau_{\mathbf{A}}) \in \mathbf{TOP}_2$ (prop. 2.5, [3]).

Henceforth we consider only the epireflective subcategories \mathbf{A} such that the \mathbf{A} -closure is a Kuratowski operator.

The Kuratowski theorem. For a topological space X the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) The space X is compact.
- (ii) For every topological space Y the projection $p: X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ is closed.
- (iii) For every T_4 -space Y the projection $p: X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ is closed.

Theorem 1.8. Let \mathbf{A} be an epireflective subcategory of \mathbf{TOP} such that

- (1) $F_{\mathbf{A}}$ is finitely multiplicative;

(2) For every $X \in T_A$ there exists $Y \in \mathbf{TOP}$ such that $F_A(Y) = X$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(a) the space Z is \mathbf{A} -compact

(b) for every topological space Y the projection $p: Z \times Y \rightarrow Y$ is \mathbf{A} -closed.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b). Let $(Z, \tau) \in K_A$, $(Y, \sigma) \in \mathbf{TOP}$ and let $p: (Z, \tau) \times (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be the projection. Since $(Z, \tau_A) \in \text{Comp}$ and $F_A((Z, \tau) \times (Y, \sigma)) = (Z, \tau_A) \times (Y, \sigma_A)$ then the projection $p = F_A(p): (Z, \tau_A) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_A) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_A)$ is closed (by the Kuratowski theorem), hence $p: (Z, \tau) \times (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is \mathbf{A} -closed.

(b) \Rightarrow (a). If $(Z, \tau) \notin K_A$ then $(Z, \tau_A) \notin \text{Comp}$ hence (by the Kuratowski theorem) there exists $(X, \sigma_A) \in T_A$ such that the projection $p = F_A(p): (Z, \tau_A) \times (X, \sigma_A) \rightarrow (X, \sigma_A)$ is not closed, but there exists $(Y, \sigma) \in \mathbf{TOP}$ such that $F_A((Y, \sigma)) = (X, \sigma_A)$ hence the projection $p: (Z, \tau) \times (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is not \mathbf{A} -closed.

A categorical interpretation of Kuratowski's Theorem is given in [14] (see also [9]).

Next we give a list of well-known properties of compact spaces.

(1) X is compact iff every family of closed subsets of X with the finite intersection property has a non-empty intersection.

(2) The continuous image of a compact space is compact.

(3) A subset of a compact Hausdorff space is compact iff it is closed.

(4) (A. Tychonoff) Let $\{X_i | i \in I\}$ be any family of spaces. Then $\prod_i X_i$ is compact iff each X_i is compact.

(5) Let $Y \in \mathbf{TOP}_2 \text{Comp}$, $Z \in T_2$ and $f: Y \rightarrow Z$ continuous. Then f is a closed map.

(6) Let $X \in T_2$ and $Y \in \mathbf{TOP}_2 \text{Comp}$. Then $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous iff its graph $G(f)$ is closed in $X \times Y$.

Remark 1.9. From property (1) above it follows easily that a space $X \in \mathbf{A}$ is \mathbf{A} -compact iff every family of \mathbf{A} -closed subsets of X with the finite intersection property has a non-empty intersection.

Theorem 1.10. Let \mathbf{A} be an epireflective subcategory of \mathbf{TOP} such that F_A is finitely multiplicative. If $(X, \tau) \in \mathbf{A}$ and $(Y, \sigma) \in K_A$ then the following conditions are equivalent:

(a) $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is \mathbf{A} -continuous;

(b) The graph $G(f)$ of f is \mathbf{A} -closed.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b). If $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is \mathbf{A} -continuous then $f = F_A(f): (X, \tau_A) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_A)$ is continuous, since $(Y, \sigma_A) \in \mathbf{TOP}_2$ we have that $G(f)$ is closed in $(X, \tau_A) \times (Y, \sigma_A) = (X \times Y, \tau \times \sigma)_A$, therefore $G(f)$ is \mathbf{A} -closed in $(X, \tau) \times (Y, \sigma)$.

(b) \Rightarrow (a). Let $G(f)$ be \mathbf{A} -closed in $(X, \tau) \times (Y, \sigma)$, hence $G(f)$ is closed in $(X, \tau_A) \times (Y, \sigma_A)$, but $(Y, \sigma_A) \in \text{Comp}$ and it is a T_2 -space, so we have that $f = F_A(f): (X, \tau_A) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_A)$ is continuous, therefore $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is \mathbf{A} -continuous.

Proposition 1.11. Let \mathbf{A} be an epireflective subcategory of \mathbf{TOP} such that F_A is finitely multiplicative, then $\prod_{i=1}^n (X_i, \tau_i) \in K_A$ if and only if $(X_i, \tau_i) \in K_A$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. Let $\prod_{i=1}^n (X_i, \tau_i)$ be \mathbf{A} -Compact, since the projections $p_i: \prod_{i=1}^n (X_i, \tau_i) \rightarrow (X_i, \tau_i)$ are continuous and onto, it follows from prop. 1.7 that (X_i, τ_i) is \mathbf{A} -Compact $i = 1, \dots, n$.

If (X_i, τ_i) is \mathbf{A} -Compact, $i = 1, \dots, n$, then we have that $(X_i, (\tau_i)_A) \in \text{Comp}$ for every i , hence $\prod_{i=1}^n (X_i, (\tau_i)_A) \in \text{Comp}$, but $\prod_{i=1}^n (X_i, (\tau_i)_A) = (\prod_{i=1}^n (X_i, \tau_i))_A$, so $\prod_{i=1}^n (X_i, \tau_i)$ is

A-Compact. Let $\{\tau_\alpha \mid \alpha \in I\}$ be a family of topologies on a fixed set X . The identity function from the set X to the space (X, τ_α) will be denoted i_α . Let $\tau = \sup\{\tau_\alpha \mid \alpha \in I\}$, i. e. the weak topology induced on X by the maps i_α . Let $\Delta = \{x \in \Pi(X, \tau_\alpha) \mid x_\alpha = x_\beta \text{ for all } \alpha, \beta\}$, (X, τ) is homeomorphic to Δ in the product space $\Pi(X, \tau_\alpha)$ ([24], 81.2.).

Proposition 1.12. *Let \mathbf{A} be an epireflective subcategory of \mathbf{TOP} . Let $\{(X, \tau_\alpha) \mid \alpha \in I\}$ be a family of topological spaces such that $(X, \tau_\alpha) \in \mathbf{A}$ for each $\alpha \in I$, then $\sup\{(\tau_\alpha)_\mathbf{A} \mid \alpha \in I\} \leq \tau_\mathbf{A}$.*

Proof. (X, τ) is homeomorphic to Δ in the product space $\Pi(X, \tau_\alpha) \in \mathbf{A}$, hence $(X, \tau) \in \mathbf{A}$. Since every map $i_\alpha : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \tau_\alpha)$ is continuous then every $i_\alpha = F_\mathbf{A}(i_\alpha) : (X, \tau_\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow (X, (\tau_\alpha)_\mathbf{A})$ is continuous too.

As $\sup\{(\tau_\alpha)_\mathbf{A} \mid \alpha \in I\}$ is the weak topology induced on X by the maps $i_\alpha = F_\mathbf{A}(i_\alpha) : X \rightarrow (X, (\tau_\alpha)_\mathbf{A})$ we have that $\sup\{(\tau_\alpha)_\mathbf{A} \mid \alpha \in I\} \leq \tau_\mathbf{A}$.

Corollary 1.13. *Let $\{(X, \tau_\alpha) \mid \alpha \in I\}$ be a family of topological spaces such that $(X, \tau_\alpha) \in \mathbf{A}$ for every $\alpha \in I$. If $(X, \tau) \in K_\mathbf{A}$ then $\sup\{(\tau_\alpha)_\mathbf{A} \mid \alpha \in I\}$ is a compact topology.*

Corollary 1.14. *Let $\{(X, \tau_\alpha) \mid \alpha \in I\}$ be a family of topological spaces such that $(X, \tau_\alpha) \in \mathbf{A}$ and $(X, (\tau_\alpha)_\mathbf{A}) \in \mathbf{TOP}_2$ for every $\alpha \in I$. If $(X, \tau) \in K_\mathbf{A}$ then $\sup\{(\tau_\alpha)_\mathbf{A} \mid \alpha \in I\} = \tau_\mathbf{A}$.*

Proof. $\sup\{(\tau_\alpha)_\mathbf{A} \mid \alpha \in I\}$ is a Hausdorff topology, it is weaker than $\tau_\mathbf{A}$ and $(X, \tau_\mathbf{A}) \in \mathbf{TOP}_2 \text{ Comp}$, then $\sup\{(\tau_\alpha)_\mathbf{A} \mid \alpha \in I\} = \tau_\mathbf{A}$.

Proposition 1.15. *Let $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbf{TOP}_2$ and $\{(X, \tau_\alpha) \mid \alpha \in I\}$ be a family of topological spaces such that $(X, \tau_\alpha) \in \mathbf{A}$ and $(X, (\tau_\alpha)_\mathbf{A}) \in \mathbf{TOP}_2$ for every $\alpha \in I$. If (X, τ) is a compact space then $\sup\{(\tau_\alpha)_\mathbf{A} \mid \alpha \in I\} = (\tau_\alpha)_\mathbf{A} = \tau_\alpha = \tau = \tau_\mathbf{A}$ for every $\alpha \in I$.*

Proof. From $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbf{TOP}_2$ it follows that $\tau_\mathbf{A} \leq \tau$, by virtue of p^rop. 1.12 we have that $\sup\{(\tau_\alpha)_\mathbf{A} \mid \alpha \in I\} \leq \tau_\mathbf{A}$, from the definition of $\sup\{(\tau_\alpha)_\mathbf{A} \mid \alpha \in I\}$ it follows that $(\tau_\alpha)_\mathbf{A} \leq \sup\{(\tau_\alpha)_\mathbf{A} \mid \alpha \in I\}$ for every $\alpha \in I$, then $(\tau_\alpha)_\mathbf{A} \leq \sup\{(\tau_\alpha)_\mathbf{A} \mid \alpha \in I\} \leq \tau_\mathbf{A} \leq \tau$ for every $\alpha \in I$. Since $(X, (\tau_\alpha)_\mathbf{A}) \in \mathbf{TOP}_2$ and $(X, \tau) \in \mathbf{A-Comp} \subset \mathbf{TOP}_2 \text{ Comp}$ we have that $(\tau_\alpha)_\mathbf{A} = \sup\{(\tau_\alpha)_\mathbf{A} \mid \alpha \in I\} = \tau_\mathbf{A} = \tau$ for $\alpha \in I$. Moreover, by the definition of τ it follows that $\tau_\mathbf{A} \leq \tau$ for every $\alpha \in I$, but $\tau = (\tau_\alpha)_\mathbf{A} \leq \tau_\alpha$, hence $\tau_\alpha = \tau$ for every $\alpha \in I$.

2. A-Compact and absolutely A-closed space.

Definition 2.1 [4]. *Let \mathbf{A} be an epireflective subcategory of \mathbf{TOP} .*

$X \in \mathbf{A}$ is said to be absolutely \mathbf{A} -closed iff for each embedding $f : X \rightarrow Y$, $Y \in \mathbf{A}$, $f(X)$ is an \mathbf{A} -closed subset of Y .

The absolutely \mathbf{TOP}_2 -closed spaces are the classical H-closed spaces [1]. For $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{FT}_2$ (respectively $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{TOP}_3$, **Tych, 0-dim**) we get the well-known functionally Hausdorff-closed spaces (resp. T_3 -closed, Tychonoff-closed, 0-dimensional closed spaces). Some results about absolutely **Ury**-closed spaces (cf. [4], [6]) are given in the next section. Every T_1 -space is an absolutely \mathbf{TOP}_1 -closed space, and for $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{TOP}_0$ we get the well-known sober spaces [15] (also called pc-spaces [19]).

Remark 2.2. By virtue of corollary 2.5 in [4] we have that $K_{\mathbf{FT}_2} = \text{Absolutely } \mathbf{FT}_2\text{-closed}$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let \mathbf{A} be such that for every $(X, \tau) \in \mathbf{A}$ either $(X, \tau_\mathbf{A}) \in \mathbf{TOP}_2$ or the \mathbf{A} -closure is hereditary in (X, τ) . Then every \mathbf{A} -compact space is an absolutely \mathbf{A} -closed space.*

Proof. Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma) \in \mathbf{A}$ be an embedding and $(X, \tau) \in K_\mathbf{A}$, $(X, \tau_\mathbf{A}) \in \mathbf{TOP}_2 \text{ Comp}$ and $(Y, \sigma_\mathbf{A}) \in \mathbf{TOP}_2$, $f = F_\mathbf{A}(f) : (X, \tau_\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_\mathbf{A})$ is continuous, hence $f(X)$ is compact in $(Y, \sigma_\mathbf{A})$, therefore it is closed in $(Y, \sigma_\mathbf{A})$ and \mathbf{A} -closed in (Y, σ) (recall that we consider only the \mathbf{A} -closures that are Kuratowski operators), so (X, τ) is an absolutely \mathbf{A} -closed space. The rest of the proof follows from prop. 1.7 (2).

Proposition 2.4. *If $\mathbf{TOP}_2 \text{ Comp} \subset \mathbf{A} \subset \mathbf{TOP}_2$ then any locally compact and absolutely \mathbf{A} -closed space is \mathbf{A} -compact.*

Proof. Clearly we have $\mathbf{A} \text{ Comp} \subset K_{\mathbf{A}}$.

Let X be locally compact and absolutely \mathbf{A} -closed, if X^* is the Alexandroff compactification of X and $h: X \rightarrow X^*$ is the embedding, since $X^* \in \mathbf{TOP}_2 \text{ Comp} \subset \mathbf{A}$ we have that $h(X)$ is \mathbf{A} -closed in X^* hence it is closed in X^* , therefore $h(X) \in \mathbf{A} \text{ Comp} \subset K_{\mathbf{A}}$ and X is \mathbf{A} -compact.

3. Ury-compact and absolutely Ury-closed space. From the theorem 2.4 in [6] it follows that every absolutely Ury-closed space is a Ury-compact space. It is an open question if there exists a Ury-compact space that it is not absolutely Ury-closed (see [6]). We will prove that every almost regular Ury-compact is absolutely Ury-closed. Let (X, τ) be a topological space, we will denote by τ_s , the coarsest topology on X which has as a base of the open sets all regularly open sets of (X, τ) . (We recall that an open set U of a topological space (X, τ) is said to be regularly open iff $U = \text{Int}(U)$). This topology is called the semiregularization of τ and (X, τ) is called semiregular space iff $\tau = \tau_s$. A Urysohn space (X, τ) is said to be almost regular [20] if $(X, \tau_s) \in \mathbf{TOP}_2$.

Lemma 3.1. ([6]) *For each $(X, \tau) \in \mathbf{Ury}$, $(X, \tau_s) \in \mathbf{Ury}$ and $\tau_{\text{Ury}} = (\tau_s)_{\text{Ury}}$.*

By lemma 3.1 it follows that

Proposition 3.2. *Let $(X, \tau) \in \mathbf{Ury}$ then $(X, \tau) \in K_{\text{Ury}}$ iff $(X, \tau_s) \in K_{\text{Ury}}$.*

Proposition 3.3. ([6]) *For a Uryson space (X, τ) the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) (X, τ) is almost regular
- (b) $\tau_s = \tau_{\text{Ury}}$

We recall that a space (X, τ) is said to be nearly compact iff (X, τ_s) is a compact Hausdorff space [22].

Proposition 3.4. *Let (X, τ) be an almost regular space. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) (X, τ) is absolutely Ury-closed;
- (b) (X, τ) is Ury-compact;
- (c) (X, τ) is nearly compact.

Proof. (a) \Leftrightarrow (c) Corollary 4.4 in [4]. (a) \Rightarrow (b) is always true. (b) \Rightarrow (c) If (X, τ) is Ury-compact then (X, τ_{Ury}) is compact, hence by Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 3.3, we have that (X, τ_s) is a compact Hausdorff space, i. e. (X, τ) is nearly compact. A topological space $(X, \tau) \in \mathbf{A}$ is called \mathbf{A} -minimal if $\tau' \leq \tau$ and $(X, \tau') \in \mathbf{A}$ imply $\tau' = \tau$.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $(X, \tau) \in \mathbf{Ury}$ such that it is Ury-minimal and $(X, \tau_{\text{Ury}}) \in \text{LM-}T_2$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) (X, τ) is compact;
- (2) (X, τ) is absolutely Ury-closed;
- (3) (X, τ) is Ury-compact.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) and (2) \Rightarrow (3) are well known. Now let (X, τ) be a Ury-compact space then $(X, \tau_{\text{Ury}}) \in \text{LM-}T_2 \text{ Comp-TOP}_2 \text{ Comp}$, hence $(X, \tau_{\text{Ury}}) \in \mathbf{Ury}$ and $\tau_{\text{Ury}} \leq \tau$, then $\tau_{\text{Ury}} = \tau$ and (X, τ) is compact.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $(X, \tau) \in \mathbf{FT}_2$. If (X, τ) is Ury-compact then $(X, \tau_{\text{Ury}}) \in \mathbf{Tych}$.*

Proof. For every $x, y \in X$ there exists a continuous function $f_k: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (I, \sigma)$, where $I = [0, 1]$ with the usual topology, such that $f(x) \neq f(y)$, then the collection $\{f_k: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (I, \sigma)\}_{k \in K}$ separates points in X , hence the mapping $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow \Pi(I_k, \sigma_k)$ (where $(I_k, \sigma_k) = (I, \sigma)$ for each $k \in K$) assigning to the point $x \in X$ the point $\{f_k(x)\} \in \Pi(I_k, \sigma_k)$ is one-one and continuous ([8], 2. 3. 20). Since $\Pi(I_k, \sigma_k)$ is a T_3 -space we have that $F_{\text{Ury}}[\Pi(I_k, \sigma_k)] = \Pi(I_k, \sigma_k)$ ([2]), hence $f = F_{\text{Ury}}(f): (X, \tau_{\text{Ury}}) \rightarrow \Pi(I_k, \sigma_k)$ is

a continuous and one-one mapping. Since $(X, \tau_{\text{Ury}}) \in \text{Comp}$ and $\Pi(I_k, \sigma_k) \in \text{TOP}_2$ we have that $f_{\text{Ury}}(F)$ is closed, then $f = F_{\text{Ury}}(F): (X, \tau_{\text{Ury}}) \rightarrow \Pi(I_k, \sigma_k)$ is an embedding, hence $(X, \tau_{\text{Ury}}) \in \text{Tych}$.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $(X, \tau) \in \text{FT}_2$. If (X, τ) is Ury-minimal and Ury-compact then it is compact.*

Proof. From the theorem 3.6 it follows that $(X, \tau_{\text{Ury}}) \in \text{Tych} \subset \text{LM-}T_2$ hence by the prop. 3.5 we have that (X, τ) is compact.

It was proved by M. Katětov [17] that a semiregular absolutely TOP_2 -closed space is TOP_2 -minimal. Now we give an example of a semiregular absolutely Ury-closed space that it is not Ury-minimal. (H. Herrlich produced an example of non Ury-minimal semiregular Urysohn space such that it is closed in each Urysohn space in which it is embedded [12]). The space (X, τ) described in [4] (example 5) is:

- (a) functionally Hausdorff;
- (b) absolutely Ury-closed;

but it is non nearly compact, hence from the prop. 3.4 it follows that (X, τ) is not almost regular, therefore $\tau_{\text{Ury}} < \tau_s$ (by prop. 3.3). Since continuous images of absolutely Ury-closed spaces are absolutely Ury-closed spaces ([4], corollary 4.2), we have that (X, τ_s) is semiregular and absolutely Ury-closed. Now we prove that (X, τ_s) is not Ury-minimal. From Theorem 3.6 it follows that $(X, \tau_{\text{Ury}}) \in \text{Tych}$, hence (X, τ_{Ury}) is a Urysohn space and $\tau_{\text{Ury}} \neq \tau_s$, then (X, τ_s) is not Ury-minimal.

4. $p(\mathbf{A})$ mappings. In this section we consider only the epireflective subcategories \mathbf{A} such that for all $(X, \tau) \in \mathbf{A}$ the \mathbf{A} -closure is hereditary in (X, τ) and it is a Kuratowski operator.

Definition 4.1. *Let $X, Y \in \mathbf{A}$, an \mathbf{A} -continuous mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is $p(\mathbf{A})$ if f is an \mathbf{A} -closed mapping and all fibers $f^{-1}(y)$ are \mathbf{A} -compact subsets of X .*

Remark 4.2. For $\mathbf{A} = \text{TOP}_0, \text{TOP}_3, \text{Tych}, \mathbf{0-dim}$ every $p(\mathbf{A})$ mapping is perfect.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $(X, \tau), (Y, \sigma) \in \mathbf{A}$ with $(X, \tau_{\mathbf{A}}) \in \text{TOP}_2$. $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is $p(\mathbf{A})$ iff $f = F_{\mathbf{A}}(f): (X, \tau_{\mathbf{A}}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_{\mathbf{A}})$ is perfect.*

Proof. If $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is $p(\mathbf{A})$ then it is \mathbf{A} -continuous, \mathbf{A} -closed and for each $y \in Y$ $f^{-1}(y)$ is \mathbf{A} -compact in (X, τ) , then $f = F_{\mathbf{A}}(f): (X, \tau_{\mathbf{A}}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_{\mathbf{A}})$ is continuous closed and all fibers $f^{-1}(y)$ are compact in $(X, \tau_{\mathbf{A}})$ (since the \mathbf{A} -closure is hereditary, hence $f = F_{\mathbf{A}}(f)$ is perfect. If $f = F_{\mathbf{A}}(f)$ is perfect then it is continuous, closed and all fibers $f^{-1}(y)$ are compact in $(X, \tau_{\mathbf{A}})$, hence $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is \mathbf{A} -continuous, \mathbf{A} -closed and all fibers $f^{-1}(y)$ are \mathbf{A} -compact in (X, τ) (since the \mathbf{A} -closure is hereditary), therefore f is $p(\mathbf{A})$.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $(X, \tau), (Y, \sigma) \in \mathbf{A}$ with $(X, \tau_{\mathbf{A}}) \in \text{TOP}_2$. An \mathbf{A} -continuous and one-one mapping $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is $p(\mathbf{A})$ iff it is \mathbf{A} -closed.*

Proof. If $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is \mathbf{A} -closed then $f = F_{\mathbf{A}}(f): (X, \tau_{\mathbf{A}}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_{\mathbf{A}})$ is continuous, one-one and closed, and $(X, \tau_{\mathbf{A}}) \in \text{TOP}_2$, hence $f = F_{\mathbf{A}}(f)$ is perfect, then by 4.3 follows that f is $p(\mathbf{A})$.

The converse is obvious.

Corollary 4.5. *Let $(X, \tau) \in \mathbf{A}$ and let (M, τ_M) be a subspace of X such that $(M, (\tau_M)_{\mathbf{A}}) \in \text{TOP}_2$. The embedding $i_M: (M, \tau_M) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ is $p(\mathbf{A})$ iff $M = [M]_{\mathbf{A}}^X$.*

By 1.3 and 1.7 (2) follows that

Proposition 4.6. *For $\mathbf{A} = \text{TOP}_0, \text{TOP}_1, \text{TOP}_2, \text{TOP}_3, \text{Tych}, \mathbf{0-dim}$ let $X \in K_{\mathbf{A}}$ and $Y \in \mathbf{A}$, then every \mathbf{A} -continuous mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is $p(\mathbf{A})$.*

Let \mathbf{A} be such that: (1) $F_{\mathbf{A}}$ is finitely multiplicative, (2) for every $X \in T_4$ there exists $Y \in \text{TOP}$ such that $F_{\mathbf{A}}(Y) = X$, then by 1.8 it follows that

Proposition 4.7. Let $X \in K_{\mathbf{A}}$ and $Y \in \mathbf{A}$, then the projection $p: X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ is $p(\mathbf{A})$.

Theorem 4.8. Let \mathbf{A} be such that $F_{\mathbf{A}}$ is finitely multiplicative. The cartesian product $f = \prod_{s=1}^k f_s$, where $f_s: X_s \rightarrow Y_s$ and $X_s \neq \emptyset$ for $s=1, \dots, k$, is $p(\mathbf{A})$ if and only if all mapping f_s are $p(\mathbf{A})$.

Proof. Let $f = \prod_{s=1}^k f_s: \prod_{s=1}^k (X_s, \tau_s) \rightarrow \prod_{s=1}^k (Y_s, \sigma_s)$ be a $p(\mathbf{A})$ mapping, since $F_{\mathbf{A}}$ is finitely multiplicative we have that $\prod_{s=1}^k (X_s, (\tau_s)_{\mathbf{A}}) = (\prod_{s=1}^k (X_s, \tau_s))_{\mathbf{A}}$ is T_2 ([3], prop. 2.5), hence by 4.3 follows that $f = F_{\mathbf{A}}(f): \prod_{s=1}^k (X_s, (\tau_s)_{\mathbf{A}}) \rightarrow \prod_{s=1}^k (Y_s, (\sigma_s)_{\mathbf{A}})$ is perfect then every $f_s = F_{\mathbf{A}}(f_s): (X_s, (\tau_s)_{\mathbf{A}}) \rightarrow (Y_s, (\sigma_s)_{\mathbf{A}})$ is perfect ([8], th. 3.7.7), hence by 4.3 we have that $f_s: (X_s, \tau_s) \rightarrow (Y_s, \sigma_s)$ is $p(\mathbf{A})$, $s=1, \dots, k$.

If every $f_s: (X_s, \tau_s) \rightarrow (Y_s, \sigma_s)$ is $p(\mathbf{A})$ then $f_s = F_{\mathbf{A}}(f_s): (X_s, (\tau_s)_{\mathbf{A}}) \rightarrow (Y_s, (\sigma_s)_{\mathbf{A}})$ is perfect for each s , hence $\prod_{s=1}^k f_s = F_{\mathbf{A}}(\prod_{s=1}^k f_s): \prod_{s=1}^k (X_s, (\tau_s)_{\mathbf{A}}) \rightarrow \prod_{s=1}^k (Y_s, (\sigma_s)_{\mathbf{A}})$ is perfect ([8], th. 3.7.7), therefore $\prod_{s=1}^k f_s: \prod_{s=1}^k (X_s, \tau_s) \rightarrow \prod_{s=1}^k (Y_s, \sigma_s)$ is $p(\mathbf{A})$.

Theorem 4.9. Let \mathbf{A} be such that $F_{\mathbf{A}}$ is finitely multiplicative and let $(X, \tau), (Z, \sigma) \in \mathbf{A}$. For an \mathbf{A} -continuous mapping $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \sigma)$ the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) the mapping f is $p(\mathbf{A})$;
- (2) for every $(Y, \tau') \in \mathbf{A}$ the cartesian product $f \times id_Y$ is $p(\mathbf{A})$
- (3) for every $(Y, \tau') \in \mathbf{A}$ the cartesian product $f \times id_Y$ is \mathbf{A} -closed

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) follows by th. 4.8 (2) \Rightarrow (3) is obvious. (3) \Rightarrow (1). Let $f \times id_Y: (X, \tau) \times (Y, \tau') \rightarrow (Z, \sigma) \times (Y, \tau')$ be \mathbf{A} -closed then $f \times id_Y = F_{\mathbf{A}}(f \times id_Y): (X, \tau_{\mathbf{A}}) \times (Y, \tau'_{\mathbf{A}}) \rightarrow (Z, \sigma_{\mathbf{A}}) \times (Y, \tau'_{\mathbf{A}})$ is closed, hence $F_{\mathbf{A}}(f): (X, \tau_{\mathbf{A}}) \rightarrow (Z, \sigma_{\mathbf{A}})$ is perfect ([8], th. 3.7.13) then by 4.3 it follows that $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \sigma)$ is $p(\mathbf{A})$.

Proposition 4.10. Let \mathbf{A} such that for every $(X, \tau) \in \mathbf{A}$ we have $(X, \tau_{\mathbf{A}}) \in \mathbf{TOP}_2$.

A $p(\mathbf{A})$ mapping $g: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ cannot be \mathbf{A} -continuously extended over any space $(Z, \tau') \in \mathbf{A}$ that contains X as a \mathbf{A} -dense proper subspace.

Proof. If $G: (Z, \tau') \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is an \mathbf{A} -continuous extension of g over a space $(Z, \tau') \in \mathbf{A}$ that contains X as a \mathbf{A} -dense proper subspace then $G = F_{\mathbf{A}}(G): (Z, \tau'_{\mathbf{A}}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_{\mathbf{A}})$ is a continuous extension of the perfect mapping $g = F_{\mathbf{A}}(g): (X, \tau_{\mathbf{A}}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_{\mathbf{A}})$, with $(Z, \tau'_{\mathbf{A}}) \in \mathbf{TOP}_2$ and X dense proper subspace of $(Z, \tau'_{\mathbf{A}})$, a contradiction (by Lemma 3.7.14, [8]).

Proposition 4.11. Let \mathbf{A} be such that for every $(X, \tau) \in \mathbf{A}$ we have $(X, \tau_{\mathbf{A}}) \in \mathbf{TOP}_2$, and let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (F, \sigma)$ be a $p(\mathbf{A})$ mapping.

If $(Y, \sigma) \in K_{\mathbf{A}}$ then $(X, \tau) \in K_{\mathbf{A}}$.

Proof. If (Y, σ) is \mathbf{A} -Compact then $(Y, \sigma_{\mathbf{A}}) \in \mathbf{TOP}_2 \text{ Comp}$, since $f = F_{\mathbf{A}}(f): (X, \tau_{\mathbf{A}}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_{\mathbf{A}})$ is perfect (by 4.3), we have that $(X, \tau_{\mathbf{A}})$ is a compact Hausdorff ([8], th. 3.7.24), hence (X, τ) is \mathbf{A} -Compact.

Theorem 4.12. Let \mathbf{A} be such that:

- (1) For every $(X, \tau) \in \mathbf{A}$ we have $(X, \tau_{\mathbf{A}}) \in \mathbf{TOP}_2$
- (2) For every $(X, \tau) \in \mathbf{TOP}_2$ there exists $(Z, \rho) \in \mathbf{A}$ such that $(Z, \rho_{\mathbf{A}}) = (X, \tau)$.

If $(X, \tau), (Y, \sigma) \in K_{\mathbf{A}}$ then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) the \mathbf{A} -continuous mapping $g: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is $p(\mathbf{A})$
 (b) g cannot be \mathbf{A} -continuously extended over any space $(Z, \rho) \in \mathbf{A}$ that contains X as a \mathbf{A} -dense proper subspace.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) follows by 4.10. If $g: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is not $p(\mathbf{A})$ then $g = F_{\mathbf{A}}(g): (X, \tau_{\mathbf{A}}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_{\mathbf{A}})$ is not perfect, hence there exists a continuous extension $G: (Z, \rho) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_{\mathbf{A}})$ of $g = F_{\mathbf{A}}(g)$ over a Hausdorff space (Z, ρ) that contains X as a dense proper subspace ([8], th. 37.16). But there exists $(X', \tau') \in \mathbf{A}$ such that $(X', \tau')_{\mathbf{A}} = (Z, \rho)$ then the mapping $\bar{g}: (X', \tau') \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ with $\bar{g} = F_{\mathbf{A}}(\bar{g}) = G$, is \mathbf{A} -continuous extension of $g: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ over a space $(X', \tau') \in \mathbf{A}$ that contains X as a \mathbf{A} -dense proper subspace.

Acknowledgement — The author wishes to thank Professors D. Dikranjan and E. Giuli for many valuable suggestions and encouragement.

REFERENCES

1. P. Alexandroff, P. Urysohn. Zur Theorie der topologischen Räume. *Math. Ann.*, 92, 1924, 258-266.
2. D. Dikranjan, E. Giuli. Closure operators induced by topological epireflections. *Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai*, 41, 1983, 233-246.
3. D. Dikranjan, E. Giuli. Epimorphisms and cowellpoweredness of epireflective subcategories of **TOP**. *Rend. Circolo Mat. Palermo, Suppl.*, 6, 1984, 121-136.
4. D. Dikranjan, E. Giuli. Urysohn closed spaces — old and new (Preprint).
5. D. Dikranjan, E. Giuli. Ordinal invariants and epimorphisms in some categories of weak Hausdorff spaces. *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae*, 27, 2, 1986, 395-417.
6. D. Dikranjan, E. Giuli. $S(n)$ — O -closed spaces. *Topology Appl.*, 27, 1987.
7. D. Dikranjan, E. Giuli. Closure operators I. *Topology Appl.*, 27, 1987.
8. R. Engelking. General Topology. Warszawa, 1977.
9. T. H. Fay. Compact modules. (Preprint).
10. A. Fedeli. Operatori di chiusura e spazi $T(\mathbf{A})$. (Preprint).
11. E. Giuli, M. Husek. A diagonal theorem for epireflective subcategories of **TOP** and cowellpoweredness. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. IV*, 145, 1986, 337-346.
12. H. Herrlich. T_v — Abgeschlossenheit and T_v — Minimalität. *Math. Z.*, 88, 1965, 285-294.
13. H. Herrlich. Categorical Topology. *Gen. Topology Appl.*, 1, 1971, 1-15.
14. H. Herrlich, G. Salicrup, G. E. Strecker. Factorizations, denseness, and relatively compact objects. *Topology Appl.*, 27, 1987, 157-169.
15. R.-E. Hoffmann. Irreducible filters and sober spaces. *Manuscripta Math.*, 22, 1977, 365-380.
16. R.-E. Hoffmann. On weak Hausdorff spaces. *Arch. Math.*, 32, 1979, 487-504.
17. M. Katětov. Über H -abgeschlossene und bikompakte Räume. *Casopis pest. Mat.*, 69, 1940, 36-49.
18. J. Lawson, B. Madison. Comparisons of notions of weak Hausdorffness. *Topology Proc. Memphis State Univ. Conference 1975*. Basel, 1976, 207-215.
19. N. Nörl, R. G. Wilson. Epireflections in the category of T_0 -space. *Fund. Math.*, 75, 1972, 69-74.
20. P. Papic. Sur les espaces presque réguliers. *Glasnik Mat.*, 4 (24), 1969, 303-307.
21. S. Salbany. Reflective subcategories and closure operators. — In: *Categorical Topology. (Lecture Notes in Math. vol. 540)*. Berlin, 1976, 548-565.
22. M. Singal, A. Mathur. On nearly compact spaces. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.*, (4) 9, 1974, 670-678.
23. H. Velichko. H -closed topological spaces. *Mat. Sb. (N. S.)*, 70 (112), 1966, 98-112; *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.*, 78 (series 2), 1969, 103-118.
24. S. Willard. General Topology. Reading, 1970.

Via Assergi
4-67100 L'Aquila
Italy

Received 7. I. 1988