

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Serdica

Bulgariacae mathematicae
publicationes

Сердика

Българско математическо
списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or
institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or
licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes
and its new series Serdica Mathematical Journal
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica>
or contact: Editorial Office
Serdica Mathematical Journal
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

ON EXTRINSIC SPHERES IN SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS

CZESŁAW KONOPKA

1. Introduction. An m -dimensional submanifold, $m \geq 2$, of a Riemannian manifold is called an extrinsic sphere if it is umbilical and has nonzero parallel mean curvature vector field [2]. Recently, extrinsic spheres in Sasakian manifolds were studied by Yamaguchi, Nemoto and Kawabata [3]. The present paper also concerns properties of extrinsic spheres in such manifolds. More precisely, we show that an anti-invariant extrinsic sphere of dimension ≥ 3 is of constant curvature if its curvature tensor of the connection induced in the normal bundle satisfies the condition

$$\nabla_n \nabla_m R_{cb_a}^\gamma - \nabla_m \nabla_n R_{cb_a}^\gamma = 0.$$

Moreover, we give some sufficient conditions for an extrinsic sphere to be homothetic to a Sasakian manifold. Our theorems are strictly related to results of Yamaguchi, Nemoto and Kawabata in [3].

2. Preliminaries. Let \tilde{M} be an n -dimensional Sasakian manifold. Thus, \tilde{M} is a Riemannian manifold with metric tensor G together with (1.1) — tensor field F and a vector field ν which satisfy (cf. [1]):

$$(2.1) \quad F_r^i F_j^r = -\delta_j^i + \nu^i \nu_j, \quad \nu_r \nu^r = 1, \quad F_r^i \nu^r = 0,$$

$$(2.2) \quad G_{rs} F_r^i F_j^s = G_{ij} - \nu_i \nu_j,$$

$$(2.3) \quad \nabla_k F_j^i = -G_{kj} \nu^i + \delta_k^i \nu_j,$$

$$(2.3) \quad \nabla_k \nu_j = F_{kj} (= -F_{jk}),$$

where ∇ denotes the covariant differentiation with respect to G , $\nu_i = G_{ir} \nu^r$ and $F_{ij} = G_{jr} F_r^i$.

Let M ($\dim M = m$, $m < n$) be a submanifold of \tilde{M} . Denote by (x^i) and (u^a) local coordinates of \tilde{M} and M , respectively. The indices a, b, c, d, e, m, n run over the range $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and the indices i, j, k, r, s over the range $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Let $x^i = x^i(u^a)$ be a parametric representation of M in \tilde{M} . Set $B_a^i = \partial x^i / \partial u^a$. Let g be the Riemannian metric induced on \tilde{M} of components $g_{ab} = G_{rs} B_a^r B_b^s$.

Next we take $(n-m)$ -mutually orthogonal unit local vector fields N_a normal to M and denote their components by N_a^i . The Greek indices run over the range $\{m+1, m+2, \dots, n\}$. Denote by $g_{\alpha\gamma}$ the components of the metric tensor induced on the normal bundle $T^\perp M$ of M from the metric tensor G of \tilde{M} , that is, $g_{\alpha\gamma} = G_{rs} N_a^r N_\gamma^s$.

Let us express FB_a and FN_a as linear combinations of B_a and N_a as follows

$$(2.5) \quad F_r^i B_a^r = f_a^e B_e^i + f_a^\gamma N_\gamma^i,$$

$$(2.6) \quad F_r^i N_a^r = f_a^e B_e^i + f_a^\gamma N_\gamma^i,$$

and let

$$(2.7) \quad \nu^i = \nu^a B_a^i + \nu^\gamma N_\gamma^i.$$

Then f_a^γ are components of an f -structure in the normal bundle $T^\perp M$.

It can be easily noted that $f_{ab} = -f_{ba}$, $f_{0a} = -f_{a0}$, $f_{\alpha\gamma} = -f_{\gamma\alpha}$, where $f_{ab} = f_a^c g_{cb}$, $f_{0a} = f_a^c g_{c0}$, $f_{\alpha\alpha} = f_a^\gamma g_{\alpha\gamma}$ and $f_{\alpha\gamma} = f_a^\gamma g_{\gamma\alpha}$.

Using these equalities and taking into account (2.5)~(2.7) and (2.1) we find

$$(2.8) \quad f_a^e f_{eb} - f_a^u f_{bu} = -g_{ab} + \nu_a \nu_b,$$

$$(2.9) \quad f_a^e f_{ea} + f_{\gamma a} f_a^\gamma = \nu_a \nu_a,$$

$$(2.10) \quad f_a^\gamma f_{\gamma\beta} - f_a^\alpha f_{\beta\alpha} = -g_{\alpha\beta} + \nu_a \nu_\beta,$$

$$(2.11) \quad f_{ea} \nu^e = f_{aa} \nu^a,$$

$$(2.12) \quad f_{aa} \nu^a = -f_{\gamma\alpha} \nu^\gamma,$$

$$(2.13) \quad \nu_a \nu^a + \nu_a a^a = 1,$$

where $\nu_a = g_{ae} \nu^e$ and $\nu_a = g_{a\gamma} \nu^\gamma$. The equality (2.13) is a consequence of $\nu_a \nu^a = 1$.

If $FT_x M \subset T_x^\perp M$ for any $x \in M$ (i. e. $f_a^b = 0$), then M is said to be an anti-invariant submanifold of M (cf. [5]).

Denoting by h_{ab}^α the components of the second fundamental tensor of the submanifold M , we have the following equations of Gauss

$$(2.14) \quad \nabla_b B_a^i = h_{ab}^\alpha N_\alpha^i$$

and of Weingarten

$$(2.15) \quad \nabla_b N_\alpha^i = -h_{ba}^e B_e^i,$$

where ∇ denotes the Van der Waerden—Bortolotti covariant differentiation (see e. g. K. Yano and S. Ishihara [4]) and $h_{ba}^e = h_{ba}^\gamma g^{\alpha e} g_{\alpha\gamma}$.

The mean curvature vector field of the submanifold M has local components $h^a = 1/m g^{ab} h_{ab}^\alpha$ and the function h , such that $h^2 = g_{\alpha\gamma} h^\alpha h^\gamma$ is the mean curvature.

The submanifold M is said to be totally umbilical if $h_{ab}^\alpha = g_{ab} h^\alpha$, and totally geodesic if $h_{ab}^\alpha = 0$. If the mean curvature vector field of a totally umbilical submanifold is nonzero and parallel ($\nabla_a h^a = 0$), then M is called an extrinsic sphere in \tilde{M} (cf. [2]). The mean curvature h of an extrinsic sphere is a nonzero constant.

Let M be an extrinsic sphere in \tilde{M} . Differentiating (2.5)~(2.7) covariantly along the submanifold M we obtain, by virtue of (2.2)~(2.7), (2.14) and (2.15),

$$(2.16) \quad \nabla_c f_{ab} = \nu_a g_{bc} - \nu_b g_{ac} + u_a g_{bc} - u_b g_{ac},$$

$$(2.17) \quad \nabla_b f_{0a} = -\nu_a g_{0b} - h_a f_{0b} + f_{\gamma a} h^\gamma g_{0b},$$

$$(2.18) \quad \nabla_b f_{\alpha\gamma} = f_{ba} h_\gamma - f_{b\gamma} h_\alpha,$$

$$(2.19) \quad \nabla_b \nu_a = P g_{ab} + f_{ba},$$

$$(2.20) \quad \nabla_b \nu_a = f_{ba} - \nu_b h_a,$$

where $u_a = f_{0a} h^0$ and $P = \nu_a h^a = \nu_i N_i^i h^a = \nu_i h^i$.

We remark also that from (2.20) it follows that

$$(2.21) \quad \nabla_b P = u_b - h^2 v_b,$$

and from (2.17) it follows that

$$(2.22) \quad \nabla_b u_a = -h^2 f_{ab} - P g_{ab},$$

3. Properties of extrinsic spheres. In this section we assume that M is an extrinsic sphere in a Sasakian manifold \tilde{M} .

Lemma 3.1. *For M we have*

$$(3.1) \quad v^e T_{cbae} = 0,$$

$$(3.2) \quad f_{ea} T_{cba}^e + f_a^\gamma R_{cba\gamma} = 0,$$

$$(3.3) \quad f_a^\alpha (\nabla_n \nabla_m R_{cb\gamma\alpha} - \nabla_m \nabla_n R_{cb\gamma\alpha}) - f_\gamma^e (\nabla_n \nabla_m R_{cbae} - \nabla_m \nabla_n R_{cbae}) \\ = (1 + h^2) (f_{n\gamma} T_{cbam} - f_{m\gamma} T_{cbna} + f_\gamma^e T_{cbne} g_{am} - f_\gamma^e T_{cbme} g_{an}),$$

where

$$(3.4) \quad T_{dcba} = R_{dcba} - (1 + h^2) (g_{ad} g_{bc} - g_{ac} g_{bd}), \quad T_{dcb}^a = T_{dcb}^e g^{ea},$$

R_{dcba} and $R_{cb\gamma\alpha} = R_{cb\gamma\alpha}^\times g_{\alpha\kappa}$ are covariant components of the curvature tensor of M and the connection in the normal bundle of M , respectively.

Proof. Differentiating (2.19) covariantly and applying the Ricci identity, (2.16), (2.21) and (3.4), we find (3.1). Differentiating now (2.17) covariantly and applying (2.16), (2.18), (2.20) and the Ricci identity, we obtain

$$f_{ea} R_{cba}^e + f_{a\gamma} R_{cba}^\gamma = (1 + h^2) (g_{ab} f_{ca} - g_{ac} f_{ba}).$$

This, by making use of (3.4) can be written in the form (3.2).

To prove (3.3) we transvect the Ricci identity

$$\nabla_n \nabla_m R_{cb\gamma\alpha} - \nabla_m \nabla_n R_{cb\gamma\alpha} = -R_{eb\gamma\alpha} R_{nmc}^e - R_{ce\gamma\alpha} R_{nmb}^e - R_{cb\gamma\alpha} R_{nm\gamma}^\times - R_{cb\gamma\alpha} R_{nma}^\times$$

with f_a^α and use (3.2), (3.4) and the known identity

$$\nabla_n \nabla_m R_{dcba} - \nabla_m \nabla_n R_{dcba} = -R_{dcbe} R_{nma}^e - R_{dcea} R_{nmb}^e - R_{deba} R_{nmc}^e - R_{ecba} R_{nmd}^e.$$

This completes the proof.

In Theorem 3.4 we consider anti-invariant extrinsic spheres. The following proposition gives a characterisation of the anti-invariance:

Proposition 3.2. *An extrinsic sphere M in a Sasakian manifold is anti-invariant if and only if the tensor field of components f_{ab} is parallel on M .*

Proof. The necessary condition is obvious. Suppose now that $\nabla_c f_{ab} = 0$. Then, in virtue of (2.16), we obtain $u_a = -v_a$. The last equation, by covariant differentiation an making use of (2.19) and (2.22) leads to $f_{ab} = 0$, which completes the proof.

Contrary to the above we have:

Proposition 3.3. *Let M be an extrinsic sphere in a Sasakian manifold. There are no open subset of M on which $\nabla_b f_{aa} = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that U is an open subset of M on which $\nabla_b f_{aa} = 0$. Then, from this and (2.17) it follows that $f_{ab} = 0$ and $f_{\gamma\alpha} h^\gamma = v_\alpha$. From (2.16) and (2.12) we get $u_a = -v_a$ and $u_e v^e = v_\alpha v^\alpha$ respectively. Consequently, we have $v_e v^e + v_\alpha v^\alpha = 0$ on U . This contradicts the equality (2.13). The proof is complete.

Theorem 3.4. *Let M be an anti-invariant extrinsic sphere in a Sasakian manifold and $\dim M \geq 3$. If the curvature tensor in the normal bundle of M satisfies the condition*

$$(3.5) \quad \nabla_n \nabla_m R_{cb\gamma a} - \nabla_m \nabla_n R_{cb\gamma a} = 0,$$

then M is of constant curvature.

Proof. Suppose that (3.5) is satisfied. Then, permuting (3.3) cyclically with respect to indices (a, b, c) , adding the resulting equations and using the relation $T_{cbae} + T_{baec} + T_{acbe} = 0$ and the first Bianchi identity, we find

$$f_a^e (T_{cbne} g_{am} - T_{cbme} g_{an} + T_{bane} g_{cm} - T_{bame} g_{cn} + T_{acne} g_{bm} - T_{acme} g_{bn}) = 0.$$

Hence, by transvection with $f_a^u g^{am}$ and making use of (2.8), (3.1) and our assumption ($f_{ab} = 0$), we have

$$(3.6) \quad (m-3)T_{cbnd} = T_{ab}g_{cn} - T_{dc}g_{bn}$$

where $T_{ab} = T_{cbae}g^{ce}$.

Next, transvecting (3.6) with g^{bn} , we get $T_{dc} = 0$ (since $m \geq 3$). If $m > 3$, then the relation $T_{dc} = 0$ used in (3.6) yields $T_{abcd} = 0$. As it is well known, if $m = 3$, then $T_{dc} = 0$ always implies $T_{abcd} = 0$. Thus, M is a space of constant curvature. Our Theorem is thus proved.

Corollary 3.5. *Let M be a complete, connected, simply connected, anti-invariant extrinsic sphere in a Sasakian manifold and $\dim M \geq 3$. If the curvature tensor in the normal bundle of M satisfies (3.5), then M is isometric to an ordinary sphere.*

Theorem 3.6. *If the projection of the vector field v on M has nonzero constant length (i. e., $v_e v^e = \text{const} \neq 0$), then M is homothetic to a Sasakian manifold.*

Proof. Differentiating the relation $v_e v^e = \text{const} \neq 0$ covariantly and taking into consideration (2.19), we obtain $f_{ea} v^e = P v_a$. Hence we see that $P = 0$. It has been proved ([3], see the proof of Theorem) that if $P = 0$ and $v_e v^e = \text{const} \neq 0$, then M is homothetic to a Sasakian manifold. This completes the proof.

If $\nabla_c f_a^\gamma = 0$, then the f -structure in the normal bundle $T^\perp M$ of M is said to be parallel.

Theorem 3.7. *If the f -structure is parallel in the normal bundle and $P = v_a h^a = 0$ on M , then M is homothetic to a Sasakian manifold.*

Proof. Suppose that $\nabla_c f_a^\gamma = 0$ holds. Then, from (2.18) it follows that $f_{a\alpha} h_\gamma = f_{\alpha\gamma} h_a$. Hence, by covariant differentiation and using of (2.17), we find

$$(3.7) \quad v_\alpha h_\gamma - h_\alpha v_\gamma = h_\alpha f_{\gamma\kappa} h^\kappa - f_{\alpha\kappa} h^\kappa h_\gamma.$$

Transvecting now (3.7) with v^γ and considering (2.12), we have $P v_\alpha - h_\alpha v_\gamma v^\gamma = -h_\alpha u_e v^e - P f_{\alpha\gamma} h^\gamma$. If $P = 0$, then $u_e = h^2 v_e$ and the above equality can be rewritten in the form $h^2 v_e v^e - v_\alpha v^\alpha = 0$. Therefore, (2.13) gives $(1 + h^2) v_e v^e = 1$, which together with Theorem 3.6 completes the proof.

REFERENCES

1. D. E. Blair, Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry. (*Lecture Notes math.*, Vol. 509). Berlin, 1976.
2. K. Nomizu, K. Yano. On circles and spheres in Riemannian geometry. *Math. Ann.*, 210, 1974, 163-170.
3. S. Yamaguchi, H. Nemoto, N. Kawabata. Extrinsic spheres in a Sasakian manifold. *Tensor*, 40, 1983, 184-188.
4. K. Yano, S. Ishihara. Submanifolds with parallel mean curvature vector. *J. Differential Geometry*, 6, 1971, 95-118.
5. K. Yano, M. Kon. Structures on manifolds. (*Series in Pure Math.*, Vol. 3). Singapore, 1984.

*Institute of Mathematics
Technical University
Wybrzeże Wyspińskiego 27,
50-370 Wrocław
Poland*

Received 2. 2. 1988