

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Serdica

Bulgariacae mathematicae publicationes

Сердика

Българско математическо списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes
and its new series Serdica Mathematical Journal
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica>
or contact: Editorial Office
Serdica Mathematical Journal
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

CALCULUS OF HIGHER ORDER AVERAGED MODULUS OF SMOOTHNESS IN L^p -NORM FOR CONVEX FUNCTIONS OF HIGHER ORDER

S. GH. GAL

ABSTRACT. Continuing the ideas in [3,4] the averaged moduli of smoothness of order n in L^p norm ($1 \leq p < \infty$) have been calculated for convex functions of order $n - 1$ in this paper.

1. Introduction. The so-called averaged modulus of smoothness (or τ -modulus) first introduced by Sendov [14] has become a useful tool for giving estimates in a number of problems, such as quadrature formulae, numerical solutions of differential equations (see e.g. [15], [5]). τ -moduli have been treated in details in [15].

Let

$$M[a, b] = \{f; f \text{ is bounded and measurable on } [a, b]\}.$$

Definition 1.1 (see e.g. [13]). Let $f \in M[a, b]$ and $\delta \geq 0$. The averaged modulus of smoothness (or τ -modulus) of order n and step δ in L^p -norm ($1 \leq p < \infty$) is given by

$$\tau_n(f; \delta) = \|\omega_n(f, \cdot; \delta)\|_p$$

where $\|\cdot\|_p$ is the classical L^p -norm on $[a, b]$,

$$\omega_n(f, x; \delta) = \sup\{|\Delta_h^n f(t)|; t, t + nh \in I_n(x, \delta)\}, \quad h \in R,$$

$$\Delta_h^n f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{n-i} \binom{n}{i} f(t + ih) \quad \text{and} \quad I_n(x, \delta) = [x - n\delta/2, x + n\delta/2] \cap [a, b].$$

Remark. In Definition 1.1 h is assumed to be ≥ 0 . This obviously follows from the fact that h is ≤ 0 , then from $t, t + nh \in I_n(x, \delta)$, by denoting $h' = -h \geq 0$, $t' = t + nh = t - nh' \in I_n(x, \delta)$, we get

$$t' + nh' = t \in I_n(x, \delta) \quad \text{and} \quad |\Delta_h^n f(t)| = |\Delta_{h'}^n f(t')|.$$

Indeed

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_h^n f(t') &= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{n-i} \binom{n}{i} f(t' + ih') = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{n-i} \binom{n}{i} f(t + (n-i)h) = \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \binom{n}{n-j} f(t + jh) = (-1)^n \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^{n-j} \binom{n}{j} f(t + jh) = (-1)^n \Delta_h^n f(t).\end{aligned}$$

A first estimate for the approximation error by positive linear operators in terms of τ -moduli was given by V.A.Popov in the following way:

Theorem 1.2 (see [7]). *Let $L : M[a, b] \rightarrow M[a, b]$ be a positive linear operator with the following properties:*

$$L(1)(x) = 1, \quad L(t)(x) = x + \alpha(x), \quad L(t^2)(x) = x^2 + \beta(x), \quad x \in [a, b].$$

Let

$$\alpha = \sup\{|\beta(x) - 2x\alpha(x)|; x \in [a, b]\} \leq 1.$$

Then for $f \in M[a, b]$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$ the following estimate holds

$$\|f - L(f)\|_p \leq C\tau_1(f; \sqrt{\alpha})_p,$$

where C is an absolute constant ≤ 68 .

Remark. Estimates in terms of τ -moduli of higher order can be found in [1,2], [11-13].

We have calculated the uniform moduli of smoothness of higher order for convex functions of higher order in two recent papers [3,4]. Taking into account this idea we calculate the higher order τ -moduli for convex functions of order $n - 1$.

2. Calculus of τ -moduli of smoothness. First we need the following.

Definition 2.1 ([9], p.18). *Let n be an integer ≥ -1 . A function $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is called convex (concave) of order n on $[a, b]$ if for any system of distinct points $x_1, \dots, x_{n+2} \in [a, b]$ we have*

$$[x_1, \dots, x_{n+2}; f] \geq 0 \quad (\leq 0 \text{ respectively}),$$

where by $[x_1, \dots, x_{n+2}; f]$ the divided difference of f at x_1, \dots, x_{n+2} is denoted.

The set of all convex functions of order n will be denoted by $K_+^n[a, b]$.

The proofs of our main results require the following two lemmas.

Lemma 2.2. *For $-\infty < a < b < \infty$, $\delta \geq 0$ and $n \in \mathbf{N}$ denote by*

$$I_n(x, \delta) = [x - n\delta/2, x + n\delta/2] \cap [a, b].$$

Then for all $\delta \in [0, (b-a)/n]$ and all $x \in [a, b]$ we have:

$$I_n(x, \delta) = \begin{cases} [a, x + n\delta/2] & \text{if } x \in [a, a + n\delta/2], \\ [x - n\delta/2, x + n\delta/2] & \text{if } x \in [a + n\delta/2, b - n\delta/2], \\ [x - n\delta/2, b] & \text{if } x \in [b - n\delta/2, b]. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Evidently we have

$$I_n(x, \delta) = [\max\{a, x - n\delta/2\}, \min\{x + n\delta/2, b\}].$$

Let us suppose that $x \in [a, a + n\delta/2]$. Since $x - n\delta/2 \leq a + n\delta/2 - n\delta/2 = a$, we obtain $\max\{a, x - n\delta/2\} = a$. Then

$$x + n\delta/2 \leq a + n\delta/2 + n\delta/2 = a + n\delta \leq a + n(b-a)/n = b,$$

which implies $\min\{x + n\delta/2, b\} = x + n\delta/2$.

Finally we get

$$I_n(x, \delta) = [a, x + n\delta/2].$$

Now let $x \in [a + n\delta/2, b - n\delta/2]$. We have $x - n\delta/2 \geq a + n\delta/2 - n\delta/2 = a$ and $x + n\delta/2 \leq b - n\delta/2 + n\delta/2 = b$, which directly implies that

$$I_n(x, \delta) = [x - n\delta/2, x + n\delta/2].$$

Finally, let us suppose that $x \in [b - n\delta/2, b]$. We obtain

$$x - n\delta/2 \geq b - n\delta/2 - n\delta/2 = b - n\delta \geq b - n(b-a)/n = a$$

and

$$x + n\delta/2 \geq b - n\delta/2 + n\delta/2 = b,$$

which immediately implies

$$I_n(x, \delta) = [x - n\delta/2, b]. \quad \square$$

Lemma 2.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $f \in C^n[A, B]$ be such that $f^{(n)}(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in [A, B]$. We have:

$$\sup\{|\Delta_h^n f(t)|; t, t + nh \in [A, B]\} = \Delta_{(B-A)/n}^n f(A).$$

Proof. As in the Remark of Definition 1.1 it is easy to see that h can be considered ≥ 0 . Let $t, t + nh \in [A, B]$. By the mean value theorem there exists $\xi \in (t, t + nh)$ such that

$$\Delta_h^n f(t) = [t, t + h, \dots, t + nh; f](h^n n!) = h^n f^{(n)}(\xi) \geq 0,$$

where $|\Delta_h^n f(t)| = \Delta_h^n f(t)$.

Let us fix $t \in [A, B]$. Obviously for all $h \in [0, (B - t)/n]$ we have

$$0 \leq \Delta_h^n f(t) \leq \sup\{\Delta_h^n f(t); h \in [0, (B - t)/n]\}.$$

Let $F(h) = \Delta_h^n f(t)$, where $F : [0, (B - t)/n] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} F'(h) &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{n-i} \binom{n}{i} f(t + ih)\right)' = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{n-i} \binom{n}{i} i f'(t + ih) = \\ n \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{n-i} \binom{n-1}{i-1} f'(t + ih) &= n \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-1-j} \binom{n-1}{j} f'(t + h + jh) = \\ n \Delta_h^{n-1} f'(t + h) &= nh^{n-1} f^{(n)}(\eta), \end{aligned}$$

where $\eta \in (t + h, t + nh) \subset [A, B]$.

Hence by hypothesis, $F'(h) \geq 0$ for all $h \in [0, (B - t)/n]$ and therefore we get

(1) $0 \leq \Delta_h^n f(t) \leq \Delta_{(B-t)/n}^n f(t)$, for all $h \in [0, (B - t)/n]$ (and all $t \in [A, B]$).

Now denote $G(t) = \Delta_{(B-t)/n}^n f(t)$ where $G : [A, B] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. As above we get:

$$\begin{aligned} G'(t) &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{n-i} \binom{n}{i} f(t + i(B-t)/n)\right)' = - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-i-1} \binom{n-1}{i} f'(t + i(B-t)/n) = \\ -\Delta_{(B-t)/n}^{n-1} f'(t) &= -((B - t)/n)^{n-1} f^{(n)}(\gamma), \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma \in [A, B]$.

Hence $G'(t) \leq 0$ for all $t \in [A, B]$ and consequently $G(t) \leq G(A)$, for every $t \in [A, B]$, which can be rewritten as follows:

(2) $\Delta_{(B-t)/n}^n f(t) \leq \Delta_{(B-A)/n}^n f(A)$, for all $t \in [A, B]$.

From (1) and (2) we derive

$$0 \leq \Delta_h^n f(t) \leq \Delta_{(B-A)/n}^n f(A) \text{ for all } t \text{ and } h \text{ satisfying } A \leq t \leq t + nh \leq B,$$

which proves the lemma. \square

The first main result is

Corollary 2.4. *Let us suppose that $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and that $f \in C^n[A, B]$ satisfies $f^{(n)}(x) \geq 0$, for all $x \in [a, b]$. Then for all $\delta \in [0, (b - a)/n]$ we have:*

(3) $\tau_n(f; \delta)_p = \left(\int_a^{a+n\delta/2} (\Delta_{(x-a)/n+\delta/2}^n f(a))^p dx + \int_{a+n\delta/2}^{b-n\delta/2} (\Delta_\delta^n f(x - n\delta/2))^p dx + \right.$

$$\int_{b-n\delta/2}^b (\Delta_{(b-x)/n+\delta/2}^n f(x-n\delta/2))^p dx)^{1/p}.$$

Proof. Let $I_n(x, \delta) = [A_n(x), B_n(x)]$ where $I_n(x, \delta)$ is defined from Lemma 2.2. From Definition 1.1 and from Lemma 2.3 we obtain

$$\omega_n(f, x; \delta) = \Delta_{(B_n(x)-A_n(x))/n}^n f(A_n(x)), \quad x \in [a, b].$$

Hence from Definition 1.1 and from Lemma 2.2, and taking into account that

$$\int_a^b = \int_a^{a+n\delta/2} + \int_{a+n\delta/2}^{b-n\delta/2} + \int_{b-n\delta/2}^b,$$

by simple calculus we immediately obtain (3). \square

Now we can formulate the second main result.

Theorem 2.5. *Let us suppose that $n \in N, 1 \leq p < \infty$ and $f \in C[a, b] \cap K_+^{n-1}[a, b]$. Then for all $\delta \in [0, (b-a)/n]$ (3) holds.*

Proof. For $m \in N$ and $x \in [a, b]$ let us denote by $B_m(f)(x)$ the Bernstein polynomials defined by

$$B_m(f)(x) = (1/(b-a)^m) \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} (x-a)^k (b-x)^{m-k} f(x_k),$$

where $x_k = a + k(b-a)/m$. It is well known that $f \in K_+^{n-1}[a, b]$ implies $B_m(f) \in K_+^{n-1}[a, b]$, i.e. $[B_m(f)]^{(n)}(x) \geq 0, x \in [a, b], m \in N$ (see [10] or e.g. [8], p.125-126). Also, from $f \in [a, b]$ we obtain that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} B_m(f) = f$ uniformly on $[a, b]$ (see e.g. [6]). Now applying Corollary 2.4 to $B_m(f)$, relation (3) holds by replacing f by $B_m(f)$. Then passing to limit with $m \rightarrow \infty$ and taking into account that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} B_m(f) = f$ uniformly on $[a, b]$, we get

$$(4) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \tau_n(B_m(f); \delta)_p = E,$$

where E is the term on the right side in (3) (written for f).

But it is known (see e.g. [15]) that as function of f τ_n -modulus is a semi-norm, i.e.

$$\tau_n(f+g; \delta)_p \leq \tau_n(f; \delta)_p + \tau_n(g; \delta)_p \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_n(\lambda f; \delta)_p = |\lambda| \tau_n(f; \delta), \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{R}.$$

This immediately implies

$$|\tau_n(f; \delta)_p - \tau_n(g; \delta)_p| \leq \tau_n(f-g; \delta)_p, \quad f, g \in C[a, b].$$

Since

$$\tau_n(f-g; \delta)_p \leq 2^n \|f-g\| (b-a)^{1/p},$$

where by $\|\cdot\|$ the uniform norm is denoted and taking into account $g = B_m(f)$ we get

$$(5) \quad |\tau_n(f; \delta)_p - \tau_n(B_m(f); \delta)_p| \leq 2^n \|f - B_m(f)\| (b-a)^{1/p}.$$

Passing to limit with $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (5) and taking into account (4) we get $\tau_n(f; \delta)_p = E$, which proves (3). \square

Remark. For $n = 1$, in Theorem 2.5 f is supposed to be continuous and monotonous on $[a, b]$. However it can be proved that continuity of f on $[a, b]$ is not necessary. For example we obtain:

Corollary 2.6. Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ increasing on $[a, b]$ and let $1 \leq p < \infty$. For all $\delta \in [0, b-a]$ we have

$$(6) \quad \tau_1(f; \delta)_p = \left(\int_a^{a+\delta/2} (f(x+\delta/2) - f(a))^p dx + \int_{a+\delta/2}^{b-\delta/2} (f(x+\delta/2) - f(x-\delta/2))^p dx + \int_{b-\delta/2}^b (f(b) - f(x-\delta/2))^p dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

Proof. Since f is increasing on $[a, b]$, from Lemma 2.2 and from Definition 1.1 for $n = 1$ we get (replacing ω_1 by ω):

$$(7) \quad \omega(f, x; \delta) = \begin{cases} f(x+\delta/2) - f(a), & x \in [a, a+\delta/2], \\ f(x+\delta/2) - f(x-\delta/2), & x \in [a+\delta/2, b-\delta/2], \\ f(b) - f(x-\delta/2), & x \in [b-\delta/2, b]. \end{cases}$$

But

$$\int_a^b = \int_a^{a+\delta/2} + \int_{a+\delta/2}^{b-\delta/2} + \int_{b-\delta/2}^b,$$

therefore from Definition 1.1 and from (7) we get (6) immediately. \square

Remarks. 1). Since for $n > 1$, $f \in K_+^{n-1}[a, b]$ implies that f is continuous on the open interval (a, b) (see [9], p.27), condition $f \in C[a, b]$ in Theorem 2.5 one reduces to the continuity of f at a and b .

2). Let us consider, for example, $f : [0, \pi/2] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined by $f(x) = \sin x$. Since f is increasing on $[0, \pi/2]$, by Corollary 2.6 (for $p = 1$), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1(\sin; \delta)_1 &= \int_0^{\delta/2} \sin(x+\delta/2) dx + \int_{\delta/2}^{(\pi-\delta)/2} (\sin(x+\delta/2) - \sin(x-\delta/2)) dx + \\ & \int_{(\pi-\delta)/2}^{\pi/2} (1 - \sin(x-\delta/2)) dx = -\cos(x+\delta/2)|_0^{(\pi-\delta)/2} + \cos(x-\delta/2)|_{\delta/2}^{\pi/2} + \delta/2 = \\ & -\cos(\pi/2) + \cos(\delta/2) + \cos(\pi/2 - \delta/2) - \cos 0 + \delta/2 = \cos(\delta/2) + \sin(\delta/2) + \delta/2 - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, Corollary 2.6 can be used to calculate the τ -moduli of smoothness for many other elementary functions.

3). By Remark 2 and Theorem 1.2 we get the estimate:

$$\|\sin - L(\sin)\|_1 \leq C(\sin(\sqrt{\alpha}/2) + \cos(\sqrt{\alpha}/2) + \sqrt{\alpha}/2 - 1).$$

4). We think that Corollary 2.6 can be used, for example, to improve (for monotonous functions) the absolute constant C which appears in the estimate of Theorem 1.2.

REFERENCES

- [1] ANDREEV, A.S., V. A. POPOV. Approximation of functions by means of linear summation operators in L_p . *Coll. Math. Soc. János Bolyai*, **35**, Functions, Series Operators (1980) 127-150.
- [2] DYUKANOVA, T.A. Approximation of functions by means of linear summation of Baskakov's operators in L_p . *Pliska Stud.Math.Bulgar*, **5** (1983) 32-39.
- [3] GAL, S.G. Calculus of the modulus of continuity for nonconcave functions and applications. *CALCOLO*, **27** (1990) 195-202..
- [4] GAL, S.G. Calculus of higher order modulus of smoothness for convex functions of higher order and applications. (submitted).
- [5] IVANOV, K.G. New estimates of errors of quadrature formulae, formulae of numerical differentiation and interpolation. *Anal. Math.*, **6** (1980) 281-303.
- [6] LORENTZ, G.G. Bernstein Polynomials, Univ. Toronto Press, Toronto, 1953.
- [7] POPOV, V.A. On the quantitative Korovkin theorems in L_p . *C.R. Acad. Bulgare Sci.*, **35** (1982) 897-900.
- [8] POPOVICIU, E. Mean Value Theorems in Mathematical Analysis and their Connection with Interpolation Theory. Ed. Dacia, Cluj, 1972, (in Romanian).
- [9] POPOVICIU, T. Sur quelques propriétés des fonctions d'une ou de deux variables réelles. *Mathematica (Cluj)*, **VIII** (1933) 1-85..
- [10] POPOVICIU, T. On best approximation of continuous functions by polynomials. *Monogr. Matem., Sect. Mat. Univ. Cluj*, fasc. III, 1937, (in Romanian).
- [11] QUAK, E. Uni- und Multivariate L_p -Abschätzungen des Approximationfehlers Positive Linearer Operatoren mit Hilfe des τ -Moduls, Dissertation, Universität, Dortmund, 1985.

- [12] QUAK, E. L_p -error estimates for the approximation by positive linear operators, Approximation Theory V (C. K. Chui, L. L. Schumaker, J. D. Wards eds.) 527-530, Academic Press, New York 1986.
- [13] QUAK, E. L_p -error estimates for the approximation by positive linear operators using the second order τ -modulus. *Analysis Math.*, 14 (1988) 259-272.
- [14] SENDOV, BL. Approximation with respect to Hausdorff metric. Thesis, Moscow, 1968, (in Russian).
- [15] SENDOV, BL. V. A. POPOV. Averaged moduli of smoothness. Bulgarian Mathematical Monographs, vol. 4, Publ. House Bulg. Acad. Sci., Sofia, 1983.

Department of Mathematics
University of Oradea
Str. Armatei Romane Nr. 5
3700 Oradea
ROMANIA

Received 14.02.1992