

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Serdica

Bulgariacae mathematicae
publicationes

Сердика

Българско математическо
списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes
and its new series Serdica Mathematical Journal
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica>
or contact: Editorial Office
Serdica Mathematical Journal
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

ON SENDOV'S BOUND FOR ZEROS OF A POLYNOMIAL

K.MAHDI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we obtain upper and lower bounds for the positive roots of algebraic equations, then the results are applied to determine the R -order of convergence of iterative numerical processes.

1. Introduction. A method, originally due to Bl.Sendov [2] for simultaneous approximate calculation of all positive roots of the equation

$$(1) \quad f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_mx^m = 0$$

is based on the following theorem given by H.Poincare [1]: Let f be a polynomial with real coefficients. If k is a large natural number, then the number of positive roots of the equation (1) is equal to the number of variations in sign in the sequence of nonnegative coefficients of the polynomial

$$g(x) = (1+x)^k f(x).$$

Let $0 < x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_p$, $p \leq m$ be positive roots of equation (1), ($a_0 > 0$, $a_m \neq 0$) and

$$(2) \quad (1+x)^k f(x) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{m+k} b_k(\nu)x^\nu.$$

Let us denote by $\nu_k(1)$ the smallest integer number, for which $b_k(\nu_k(1)) \geq 0$ and $b_k(\nu_k(1)+1) < 0$, $b_k(0) = a_0 > 0$. In general, $\nu_k(s)$ is the smallest integer number, for which $(-1)^{s-1}b_k(\nu_k(s)) \geq 0$, $(-1)^{s-1}b_k(\nu_k(s)+1) < 0$, $\nu_k(s) > \nu_k(s-1)$. Then we obtain the numbers

$$(3) \quad \nu_k(1), \nu_k(2), \dots, \nu_k(s).$$

According to Poincare, there exists such number $k_0 = k_0(f)$, that for every $k \geq k_0$ we have $s_k = p$, where p is the number of positive roots of the equation (1).

Theorem A (Bl.Sendov [2]). *The numbers (3) satisfy*

$$(4) \quad \frac{\nu_k(s)}{k - \nu_k(s) + 1} \leq \xi(k, \nu, s) \leq \frac{\nu_k(s) + 1}{k - \nu_k(s)},$$

$$(5) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\nu_k(s)}{k - \nu_k(s) + 1} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \xi(k, \nu, s) = x_s, \\ f(x_s) = 0, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, p.$$

Another approach is given in [3].

We consider the polynomial equation

$$(6) \quad P_{n,p,q}(s) = s^n - (p+1) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} q^i s^{n-i-1}, \quad p \geq 0, q > 0, n \geq 2.$$

By Descartes' rule, $P_{n,p,q}(s)$ has exactly one positive root $\sigma_{p,q}^{(n)}$.

The case $p \geq 0, q = 1$ has been treated by Traub in [4] (see, also [5]) and the case $p = 1, q = 2$ was considered by Scharlach in [6]. The following theorems are used:

Theorem B (J.Herzberger [7]). *For $n > q/(p+1)$ it holds*

$$(7) \quad \frac{n}{n+1}(p+q+1) < \sigma_{p,q}^{(n)} < p+q+1.$$

Theorem C (N.Kjurkchiev [8]).

$$(8) \quad p+q+1 - \frac{(p+1)q^n}{(p+q+1)^n} (1+1/n)^n < \sigma_{p,q}^{(n)} < p+q+1 - \frac{(p+1)q^n}{(p+q+1)^n}.$$

The problem of determining the bounds for $\sigma_{p,q}^{(n)}$ is considered in [9-14].

2. Main results.

Theorem 1. *Using the same notation as in Sendov's theorem the next inequalities hold*

$$(9) \quad \frac{k}{k+1} \frac{\nu}{k-\nu+1} \leq \xi \leq \frac{\nu+1}{k-\nu}.$$

Proof. According to Bl.Sendov, there exist such numbers $\delta = \delta(f), \delta \in (0, 1)$ and $N = N(f)$, that for $k > N$ we have $\text{sgnb}_k(\nu) = \text{sgna}_0, 0 \leq \nu \leq \delta k, \text{sgnb}_k(\nu) = \text{sgna}_m, (1-\delta)k \leq \nu \leq m+k$. If $0 < \delta < 1$ and $k\delta \leq \nu \leq (1-\delta)k$, then

$$(10) \quad \frac{b_k(\nu)}{\binom{k}{\nu}} = f\left(\frac{k}{k+1} \frac{\nu}{k-\nu+1}\right) + C_1 \theta_{k,\nu} \frac{1}{k+1},$$

where $C_1 = C_1(f)$ does not depend on k and ν and $|\theta_{k,\nu}| \leq 1$. From (2) we obtain

$$(11) \quad \frac{b_k(\nu)}{\binom{k}{\nu}} = f\left(\frac{k}{k+1} \frac{\nu}{k-\nu+1}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^m B(k, \nu, i) a_i$$

where

$$B(k, \nu, i) = \frac{\nu}{k-\nu+1} \cdot \frac{\nu-1}{k-\nu+2} \cdots \frac{\nu-(i-1)}{k-\nu+i} - \left(\frac{k}{k+1} \frac{\nu}{k-\nu+1}\right)^i.$$

Letting $a+1 = \nu/(k-\nu+1) \leq (1-\delta)/\delta$ we get

$$(12) \quad |B(k, \nu, i)| \leq \left| (a+1)^i - \left(\frac{k}{k+1} (a+1)\right)^i \right| \leq i \left(\frac{1-\delta}{\delta}\right)^i \frac{1}{k+1}.$$

The equality (10) follows from (11) and (12) by setting

$$C_1 = C_1(f) = \sum_{i=1}^m i \left(\frac{1-\delta}{\delta}\right)^i |a_i|.$$

From (10) and $(-1)^{s-1} b_k(\nu_k(s)) \geq 0, (-1)^{s-1} b_k(\nu_k(s)+1) < 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{s-1} \left(f\left(\frac{k}{k+1} \frac{\nu}{k-\nu+1}\right) + C_1 \theta_1 \frac{1}{k+1} \right) &\geq 0, \\ (-1)^{s-1} \left(f\left(\frac{k}{k+1} \frac{\nu+1}{k-\nu}\right) + C_1 \theta_2 \frac{1}{k+1} \right) &< 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in [-1, 1]$.

The theorem is proved. \square

The estimates (4) and (9) are in some sense analogous to the Herzberger's estimates (7) (see, also (8)) for localization of the unique positive root of the equation (6).

In the following theorem, we shall derive some upper and lower bounds for the root $\sigma_{p,q}^{(n)}$ of the equation (6).

Theorem 2. *Using the same notations as in Herzberger's theorem the next inequalities hold*

$$(13) \quad p+q+1 - A_{p,q}^{(n)} \frac{(p+1)q^n}{(p+q+1)^n} < \sigma_{p,q}^{(n)} < p+q+1 - B_{p,q}^{(n)} \frac{(p+1)q^n}{(p+q+1)^n},$$

where

$$A_{p,q}^{(n)} = \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4n(p+1)q^n/(p+q+1)^{n+1}}}, \quad B_{p,q}^{(n)} = \left(1 + \varepsilon_{p,q}^{(n)}\right)^n,$$

$$\varepsilon_{p,q}^{(n)} = \frac{2(p+1)q^n((p+q+1)^{n+1} - (p+1)q^n(n+1))^{-1}}{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4n(p+1)^2q^{2n}/((p+q+1)^{n+1} - (p+1)q^n(n+1))^2}}.$$

Proof. Let $m_{p,q}^{(n)}$, $M_{p,q}^{(n)}$ be positive numbers and

$$P_{n,p,q}(m_{p,q}^{(n)}) < 0, \quad P_{n,p,q}(M_{p,q}^{(n)}) > 0.$$

Then

$$m_{p,q}^{(n)} < \sigma_{p,q}^{(n)} < M_{p,q}^{(n)}.$$

Let us suppose that

$$m_{p,q}^{(n)} = p + q + 1 - A_{p,q}^{(n)} \frac{(p+1)q^n}{(p+q+1)^n}, \quad P_{n,p,q}(m_{p,q}^{(n)}) < 0,$$

i.e.

$$(14) \quad \left(1 - A_{p,q}^{(n)} \frac{(p+1)q^n}{(p+q+1)^{n+1}}\right)^n A_{p,q}^{(n)} > 1.$$

Therefore

$$\left(1 - A_{p,q}^{(n)} \frac{(p+1)q^n}{(p+q+1)^{n+1}}\right)^n A_{p,q}^{(n)} > \left(1 - nA_{p,q}^{(n)} \frac{(p+1)q^n}{(p+q+1)^{n+1}}\right) A_{p,q}^{(n)}$$

the inequality (14) is true, when the inequality

$$(15) \quad n(p+1)q^n \left(A_{p,q}^{(n)}\right)^2 - (p+q+1)^{n+1} A_{p,q}^{(n)} + (p+q+1)^{n+1} \leq 0$$

is true. Define

$$M_{p,q}^{(n)} = p + q + 1 - B_{p,q}^{(n)} \frac{(p+1)q^n}{(p+q+1)^n}$$

so that

$$P_{n,p,q}(M_{p,q}^{(n)}) > 0,$$

i.e.

$$\left(1 - B_{p,q}^{(n)} \frac{(p+1)q^n}{(p+q+1)^{n+1}}\right)^n B_{p,q}^{(n)} < 1, \quad 1 \leq B_{p,q}^{(n)} < 2.$$

We take

$$B_{p,q}^{(n)} = (1 + \varepsilon_{p,q}^{(n)})^n.$$

Then

$$\left(1 - (1 + \varepsilon_{p,q}^{(n)})^n \frac{(p+1)q^n}{(p+q+1)^{n+1}}\right) (1 + \varepsilon_{p,q}^{(n)}) < 1.$$

From

$$\left(1 - (1 + n\varepsilon_{p,q}^{(n)}) \frac{(p+1)q^n}{(p+q+1)^{n+1}}\right) (1 + \varepsilon_{p,q}^{(n)}) < 1$$

we have

$$(16) \quad n(p+1)q^n \left(\varepsilon_{p,q}^{(n)}\right)^2 - \varepsilon_{p,q}^{(n)} \left((p+1)q^n(n+1) - (p+q+1)^{n+1} \right) + (p+1)q^n > 0.$$

From (15) and (16) we obtain $A_{p,q}^{(n)}, \varepsilon_{p,q}^{(n)}$ (resp. $B_{p,q}^{(n)}$) in (13). This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

3. Numerical results. The bounds (8) and (13) are tested numerically.

Table of $\sigma_{p,q}^{(n)}$ ($p = q = 1, n = 3, 4, 6, 7$)

Bound(8):		Bound(13):	
2.824417010	$< \sigma_{1,1}^{(3)} <$	2.925925926	2.919435246 $< \sigma_{1,1}^{(3)} <$ 2.919654783
2.939718364	$< \sigma_{1,1}^{(4)} <$	2.975308642	2.974437378 $< \sigma_{1,1}^{(4)} <$ 2.9744449635
2.993081958	$< \sigma_{1,1}^{(6)} <$	2.997256516	2.997241295 $< \sigma_{1,1}^{(6)} <$ 2.997241344
2.997671239	$< \sigma_{1,1}^{(7)} <$	2.999085505	2.999083546 $< \sigma_{1,1}^{(7)} <$ 2.999083547

Remark. Then the results are applied so as to determine the R -order of convergence of iterative numerical processes. Let IP denote a general iterative process that produces a sequence of approximations $\{t^{(k)}\}$ with the limit point t^* . For the errors

$$\eta^{(k)} = \|t^* - t^{(k)}\| \geq 0$$

it is often possible to derive a difference inequality like

$$(17) \quad \eta^{(k+1)} \leq \gamma \prod_{i=0}^n \left(\eta^{(k-i)} \right)^{q^{i(p+1)}}, \quad p, q, \gamma > 0.$$

According to J.Schmidt in [15] the recurrence (17) has R -order of convergence $-O_R(IP, t^*)$ (see J.Ortega and W.Rheinboldt [16]) of at least $\sigma_{p,q}^{(n+1)}$, where $\sigma_{p,q}^{(n+1)}$ is the unique positive root of the polynomial $P_{n+1,p,q}(s)$.

Using the estimate (13) we may establish

$$O_R(0, \{\eta^{(k)}\}) \geq \sigma_{p,q}^{(n+1)} > p + q + 1 - A_{p,q}^{(n+1)} \frac{(p+1)q^{n+1}}{(p+q+1)^{n+1}}.$$

The R -order is one of the most important measures to characterize the speed of convergence of sequences obtained by iterative processes in normed spaces (see W.Burmeister and J.Schmidt [17], J.Herzberger [18], F.Potra [19], N.Kjurkchiev [20]).

REFERENCES

- [1] POINCARÉ, H. Sur les equations algebriques. *C.R.Acad.Sci.*, **97** (1883) 1418-1419.
- [2] SENDOV, BL. A method for simultaneous approximate calculation of all positive roots of a polynomial. *Izv.VUZ (USSR)*, **5** (1974) 185-187 (in Russian).
- [3] KJURKCHIEV, N. A note on a method for localization of the roots of algebraic equations. *C.R. Acad. Bulgare Sci.*, **44** (1991) (in print).
- [4] TRAUB, J. Iterative Methods for the Solution of Equations. Prentice-Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, 1964.
- [5] PETKOV, M., N. KJURKCHIEV. High accuracy localization estimates for roots of algebraic equations. *Mathematics and education in mathematics*, (1990) 388-391 (in Bulgarian).
- [6] SCHARLACH, R. Private communication, 1985.
- [7] HERZBERGER, J. On the R -order of some recurrences with applications to inclusion methods. *Computing*, **36** (1986) 175-180.
- [8] KJURKCHIEV, N. Note on the estimation of the order of convergence of some iterative methods. *BIT*, **32** (1992) 525-528.
- [9] WESTERFIELD, E. A new bound for the zeros of polynomials. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **40** (1933) 18-23.
- [10] BOJANOV, B. On an estimation of the roots of algebraic equations. *Appl.Math.*, **11** (1970) 195-205.
- [11] OSTROWSKI, A. Solution of Equations and Systems of Equations 2 ed. Acad.Press Inc., New York, 1966.
- [12] HERZBERGER, J. Bounds for the R -order of certain iterative numerical processes. *BIT*, **26** (1986) 259-262.
- [13] PETKOVIC, M., L. PETKOVIC. On the bounds of the R -order of some iterative methods. *ZAMM*, **69** (1989) T197-T198.

- [14] KJURKCHIEV, N., J. HERZBERGER. On bounds for the R -order of iterative processes. *Serdica* (in print).
- [15] SCHMIDT, J. On the R -order of coupled sequences. *Computing*, **26** (1981) 333-342.
- [16] ORTEGA, J., W. RHEINBOLDT. Iterative Solution of Nonlinear Equations in Several Variables, Acad.Press, New York, 1970.
- [17] BURMEISTER, W., J. SCHMIDT. On the R -order of coupled sequences arising in single-step type methods. *Numer.Math.*, **53** (1988) 653-661.
- [18] HERZBERGER, J. Using error-bounds for hyper-power methods to calculate inclusions for the inverse of a matrix. *BIT*, **30** (1990) 508-515.
- [19] POTRA, F. On Q and R orders of convergence. *J.Optimiz.Theory Appl.*, (1991) (in print).
- [20] KJURKCHIEV, N. Uber die Konvergenzordnungen einiger Klassen iterationsverfahren. *Serdica*, **17** (1991) 139-143.

Sofia University "St. Kl. Ohridski"
Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics
5, James Boucher str.
1126 Sofia,
BULGARIA

Received 11.06.1992