

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Serdica

Mathematical Journal

Сердика

Математическо списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.
Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Serdica Mathematical Journal
which is the new series of
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica>
or contact: Editorial Office
Serdica Mathematical Journal
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

A RANDOM EVOLUTION INCLUSION OF SUBDIFFERENTIAL TYPE IN HILBERT SPACES

D. Kravvaritis, G. Pantelidis

Communicated by N. M. Yanev

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study a nonlinear evolution inclusion of subdifferential type in Hilbert spaces. The perturbation term is Hausdorff continuous in the state variable and has closed but not necessarily convex values. Our result is a stochastic generalization of an existence theorem proved by Kravvaritis and Papageorgiou in [6].

1. Introduction. In this paper we study a nonlinear random multivalued evolution inclusion of the form

$$(*) \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} -\dot{x}(\omega, t) \in \partial\varphi(\omega, x(\omega, t)) + F(\omega, t, x(\omega, t)) \\ x(\omega, 0) = x_0(\omega) \end{array} \right\},$$

where $F(\omega, t, x)$ is a random multivalued perturbation term with closed but not necessarily convex values.

Random differential inclusions have been studied by many authors (cf. Itoh [5], Papageorgiou [10], Kravvaritis and Papageorgiou [7], Nowak [9] and their references). Our result generalizes to the random case corresponding deterministic result proved by Kravvaritis and Papageorgiou in [6].

2. Mathematical preliminaries. Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a complete probability space and H a separable Hilbert space. By $P_f(H)$ we will denote the nonempty closed subsets of H . A multifunction $F : \Omega \rightarrow P_f(H)$ is said to be *measurable* if, for all $x \in H$,

$$\omega \rightarrow d(x, F(\omega)) = \inf\{\|z - x\|, z \in F(\omega)\}$$

is measurable. By S_F^2 , we will denote the set of measurable selectors of $F(\cdot)$, that belong in the Lebesgue-Bochner space $L^2(H)$, i.e.,

$$S_F^2 = \{f \in L^2(H) : f(\omega) \in F(\omega) \text{ } \mu - \text{a.e.}\}.$$

It is easy to check that this set is closed and it is nonempty if and only if $\inf\{\|x\| : x \in F(\omega)\} \in L^2$.

A function $\varphi : \Omega \times H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is a *normal integrand* if and only if $(\omega, x) \rightarrow \varphi(\omega, x)$ is measurable and, for all $\omega \in \Omega$, $x \rightarrow \varphi(\omega, x)$ is l.s.c. and proper. If, in addition, $\varphi(\omega, \cdot)$ is convex, then we say that φ is a *convex normal integrand*. Recall that for a proper, convex function $\varphi : H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ the *subdifferential* at x is defined by

$$\partial\varphi(x) = \{y \in H : \varphi(z) - \varphi(x) \geq (y, z - x) \text{ for all } z \in H\}.$$

We say that $\varphi(\cdot)$ is of compact type, if for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$, the level set $\{x \in H : \|x\|^2 + \varphi(x) \leq \lambda\}$ is compact.

The generalized Hausdorff metric on $P_f(H)$, is defined by

$$h(A, B) = \max \left[\sup_{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d(b, A) \right].$$

We say that $F : H \rightarrow P_f(H)$ is Hausdorff continuous (*h-continuous*), if it is continuous from H into the metric space $(P_f(H), h)$.

3. The main result. Let $T = [0, b]$ be a bounded, closed interval in \mathbb{R}_+ . Consider the following initial value problem

$$(**) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} -\dot{x}(t) \in \partial\varphi(x(t)) + f(t) \\ x(0) = x_0 \end{array} \right\}$$

where $f \in L^2(T, H)$ and $x_0 \in \overline{D(\partial\varphi)}$. A continuous function $x : T \rightarrow H$ is a strong solution of $(**)$ if $x(0) = x_0$, $x(\cdot)$ is absolutely continuous on every compact subset of $(0, b)$ and

$$x(t) \in D(\partial\varphi) \text{ and } \dot{x}(t) \in \partial\varphi(x(t)) + f(t) \text{ a.e. on } (0, b).$$

It is known [3] that (**) has a unique strong solution. By a *random strong solution* of (*) we understand a stochastic process $x : \Omega \times T \rightarrow H$ such that for every $\omega \in \Omega$, $x(\omega, \cdot)$ is a strong solution of

$$\begin{aligned} -\dot{x}(\omega, t) &\in \partial\varphi(\omega, x(\omega, t)) + f(\omega, t) \\ x(\omega, 0) &= x_0(\omega) \end{aligned}$$

for some $f(\omega, \cdot) \in S_{F(\omega, \cdot, x(\omega, \cdot))}^2$.

We will make the following hypotheses:

$H(\varphi) : \varphi : \Omega \times H \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is a convex normal integrand, which is of compact type in the x -variable and the multifunction

$$D(\omega) = D(\partial\varphi(\omega, \cdot)) = \{x \in H : \partial\varphi(\omega, x) \neq \emptyset\}$$

has a bounded selector.

$H(F) : F : \Omega \times T \times H \rightarrow P_f(H)$ is a multifunction such that

- (i) for all $x \in H$, $(\omega, t) \rightarrow F(\omega, t, x)$ is measurable,
- (ii) for all $(\omega, t) \in \Omega \times T$, $x \rightarrow F(\omega, t, x)$ is h -continuous,
- (iii) $|F(\omega, t, x)| = \sup\{\|z\| : z \in F(\omega, t, x)\} \leq a(t) + b(t)\|x\|$ a.e. for every $\omega \in \Omega$, with $a(\cdot), b(\cdot) \in L_+^2$.

$H_0 : x_0 : \Omega \rightarrow H$ is measurable such that

$$\sup\{\|x_0(\omega)\|, \omega \in \Omega\} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sup\{\varphi(\omega, x_0(\omega)), \omega \in \Omega\} < \infty.$$

Theorem. *If the hypotheses $H(\varphi)$, $H(F)$ and H_0 hold, then (*) admits a random strong solution.*

Proof. Let $z(\cdot)$ be a bounded selector of $D(\cdot)$ and let $u(\omega) \in \partial\varphi(\omega, z(\omega))$. If we set

$$\hat{\varphi}(\omega, x) = \varphi(\omega, x) - \varphi(\omega, z(\omega)) - (u(\omega), x - z(\omega)),$$

then (*) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} -\dot{x}(\omega, t) &\in \partial\hat{\varphi}(\omega, x(\omega, t)) + F(\omega, t, x(\omega, t)) + u(\omega) \\ x(\omega, 0) &= x_0(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

So there is no loss of generality in assuming that

$$\min\{\varphi(\omega, x) : x \in H\} = \varphi(\omega, z(\omega)) = 0.$$

Therefore

$$(z(\omega), 0) \in \text{Gr } \partial\varphi(\omega, \cdot) \text{ for all } \omega \in \Omega.$$

First let us obtain an a priori bound for the solutions of (*). So let $x(\cdot, \cdot)$ be a random strong solution. By definition then there exists $h(\omega, \cdot) \in S_{F(\omega, \cdot, x(\omega, \cdot))}^2$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} -\dot{x}(\omega, t) &\in \partial\varphi(\omega, x(\omega, t)) + h(\omega, t) \\ x(\omega, 0) &= x_0(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.1 (p. 64) of Brezis [3] we know that

$$\|x(\omega, t) - z(\omega)\|^2 \leq \|x_0(\omega) - z(\omega)\|^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|h(\omega, s)\| \cdot \|x(\omega, s) - z(\omega)\| ds.$$

Invoking Lemma A.5, p. 157, of [3], we get

$$\|x(\omega, t) - z(\omega)\| \leq \|x_0(\omega) - z(\omega)\| + \int_0^t \|h(\omega, s)\| ds \text{ for all } \omega \in \Omega, t \in T.$$

Now, using the growth hypothesis $H(F)$ (iii) we have

$$\|x(\omega, t) - z(\omega)\| \leq \|x_0(\omega) - z(\omega)\| + \int_0^t [a(s) + b(s)\|x(\omega, s)\|] ds,$$

and by Gronwall's inequality we get

$$\|x(\omega, t)\| \leq L \cdot \exp \|b(\cdot)\|_1 = M \text{ for all } \omega \in \Omega, t \in T,$$

where

$$L = \sup\{\|x_0(\omega) - z(\omega)\| + \|z(\omega)\| : \omega \in \Omega\} + \|a(\cdot)\|_1.$$

Then consider the multifunction $\hat{F} : \Omega \times T \times H \rightarrow P_f(H)$ defined by

$$\hat{F}(\omega, t, x) = \begin{cases} F(\omega, t, x) & \text{if } \|x\| \leq M \\ F\left(\omega, t, \frac{Mx}{\|x\|}\right) & \text{if } \|x\| > M. \end{cases}$$

We see that

$$\hat{F}(\omega, t, x) = F(\omega, t, p_M(x)),$$

where $p_M(\cdot)$ is the M -radial retraction for which we know that it is continuous. Hence $(\omega, t, x) \rightarrow \hat{F}(\omega, t, x)$ is measurable. Furthermore note that

$$|\hat{F}(\omega, t, x)| \leq a(t) + Mb(t) = \gamma(t), \quad \gamma(\cdot) \in L^2(T).$$

We set

$$B(\gamma) = \{h \in L^2(T, H) : \|h(t)\| \leq \gamma(t) \text{ a.e.}\}.$$

Let now $q : \Omega \times L^2(T, H) \rightarrow C(T, H)$ be the map that to each $(\omega, h) \in \Omega \times L^2(T, H)$ assigns the unique solution of

$$(*)' \quad -\dot{x}(t) \in \partial\varphi(\omega, x(t)) + h(t) \text{ a.e., } x(0) = x_0(\omega).$$

We claim that q is a Caratheodory map. Fix $h \in L^2(T, H)$. From Lemma 2.1 of [2] we know that

$$q(\omega, h) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} q_\lambda(\omega, h),$$

where $q_\lambda(\omega, h)$ is the unique solution of

$$\dot{x}_\lambda(t) = \nabla\varphi_\lambda(\omega, x_\lambda(t)) + h(t), \quad x_\lambda(0) = x_0(\omega)$$

with

$$\varphi_\lambda(\omega, x) = \inf \left[\frac{1}{2\lambda} \|x - z\|^2 + \varphi(\omega, z) : z \in H \right].$$

Since $\nabla\varphi_\lambda(\omega, x)$ is measurable in ω (see Theorem 2.3 of [1]), $q_\lambda(\cdot, h)$ is also measurable and so $q(\cdot, h)$ is measurable. From Lemma 3.1 of Brezis [3], we know that, for each $\omega \in \Omega$, $q(\omega, \cdot)$ is continuous from $L^2(T, H)$ into $C(T, H)$. So $q(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a Caratheodory map. Let

$$W = \{q(\omega, h) = x : \text{strong solution of } (*)' , \omega \in \Omega, h \in B(\gamma)\}.$$

Then for any $x(\cdot) \in W$ and $t, t' \in T, t < t'$, we have

$$\|x(t') - x(t)\| = \left\| \int_t^{t'} \dot{x}(s) ds \right\| \leq \int_t^{t'} \|\dot{x}(s)\| ds = \int_0^b \|X_{[t,t']}(s)\dot{x}(s)\| ds$$

$$\leq \left[\int_0^b \|X_{[t,t']}(s)\|^2 ds \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_0^b \|\dot{x}(s)\|^2 ds \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

From the estimates provided by Theorem 3.6 of Brezis [3], we know that

$$\left[\int_0^b \|\dot{x}(s)\|^2 ds \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \|h\|_2 + [\varphi(\omega, x_0(\omega))]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \|\gamma\|_2 + \sup \{ [\varphi(\omega, x_0(\omega))]^{\frac{1}{2}} : \omega \in \Omega \} = M_1.$$

So finally we have

$$\|x(t') - x(t)\| \leq M_1(t' - t)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which implies that W is equicontinuous.

Also again from Theorem 3.6 of [3] we know that

$$\|\dot{x}(t)\|^2 + \frac{d}{dt}\varphi(\omega, x(t)) = (h(t), \dot{x}(t)) \text{ a.e.,}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\omega, x(t)) - \varphi(\omega, x_0(\omega)) + \int_0^t (h(s), \dot{x}(s)) ds &\leq \varphi(\omega, x_0(\omega)) + \|h\|_2 \|\dot{x}\|_2 \\ &\leq \sup\{\varphi(\omega, x_0(\omega)) : \omega \in \Omega\} + \|\gamma\|_2 M_1 = M_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi(\omega, x(t)) \leq M_2 \text{ for all } t \in T, \omega \in \Omega \text{ and all } x(\cdot) \in W.$$

Recalling that $\varphi(\omega, \cdot)$ is of compact type, we deduce that $\overline{W(t)}$ is compact for all $t \in T$. Invoking the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, we conclude that W is relatively compact in $C(T, H)$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [6] we can prove that W is closed, hence compact in $C(T, H)$. It then follows that $\hat{W} = \overline{\text{conv}W}$ is compact in $C(T, H)$.

Now consider the multifunction $R : \Omega \times \hat{W} \rightarrow P_f(L^2(T, H))$ defined by

$$R(\omega, y) = S_{F(\omega, \cdot, y(\cdot))}^2.$$

For each $y \in \hat{W}$, the multifunction $\omega \rightarrow R(\omega, y)$ is measurable. Indeed, since $(\omega, t) \rightarrow F(\omega, t, x)$ is measurable and $x \rightarrow F(\omega, t, x)$ is h -continuous $F(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ is jointly measurable ([11, Theorem 3.3]). Then for every $x \in H$,

$$(\omega, t, y) \rightarrow d(x, F(\omega, t, y))$$

is measurable. Since the distance function is continuous in x , for each $h \in L^2(T, H)$,

$$(\omega, t) \rightarrow d(h(t), F(\omega, t, y(t)))$$

is measurable. From Fubini's theorem we get that

$$\omega \rightarrow \int_0^b d(h(t), F(\omega, t, y(t))) dt = d(h, S_{F(\omega, \cdot, y(\cdot))}^2)$$

is measurable, which implies that $\omega \rightarrow R(\omega, y)$ is measurable.

Next consider the multifunction $G : \Omega \rightarrow P_f(C(\hat{W}, L^2(T, H)))$ defined by

$$G(\omega) = \{r \in C(\hat{W}, L^2(T, H)) : r(y) \in R(\omega, y) \text{ for all } y \in \hat{W}\}.$$

From Fryszkowski's selection theorem [4], we know that, for all $\omega \in \Omega$, $G(\omega) \neq \emptyset$. We have

$$G(\omega) = \{r \in C(\hat{W}, L^2(T, H)) : d(r(y), R(\omega, y)) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in \hat{W}\}.$$

Since $x \rightarrow F(\omega, t, x)$ is h -continuous, the multifunction $y \rightarrow R(\omega, y)$ is also h -continuous. It then follows that $y \rightarrow d(r(y), R(\omega, y))$ is continuous. Thus if $\{y_n\}$ is dense in \hat{W} , then

$$G(\omega) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{r \in C(\hat{W}, L^2(T, H)) : d(r(y_n), R(\omega, y_n)) = 0\}.$$

Now, for fixed $y \in \hat{W}$, $(\omega, r) \rightarrow d(r(y), R(\omega, y))$ is a Caratheodory map. So the multifunction $\omega \rightarrow \{r \in C(\hat{W}, L^2(T, H)) : d(r(y), R(\omega, y)) = 0\}$ is measurable, which in turn implies that G is measurable. By the selection theorem of Kuratowski and Ryll-Nardzewski [8], there exists $r : \Omega \rightarrow C(\hat{W}, L^2(T, H))$ measurable such that $r(\omega) \in G(\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. Observe that, for every $\omega \in \Omega$, $q(\omega, r(\omega)(\cdot)) : \hat{W} \rightarrow \hat{W}$. Applying Schauder's fixed point theorem, we get $x \in W$ such that $q(\omega, r(\omega)(x)) = x$. Set $S(\omega) = \{x \in \hat{W} : q(\omega, r(\omega)(x)) = x\}$. Then there exists $s : \Omega \rightarrow C(T, H)$ measurable such that $s(\omega) \in S(\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. It then follows that

$$x(\omega, t) = q(\omega, r(\omega)(s(\omega)))(t)$$

is the desired random solution of (*).

REFERENCES

- [1] H. ATTOUCH. Families d'operateurs maximaux monotones et mesurabilite. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **120** (1979), 35-111.
- [2] V. BARBU. Nonlinear Semigroups and Differential Equations in Banach Spaces. Noordhoff Inter. Publishing, Leyden, The Netherlands, 1976.
- [3] H. BREZIS. Operateurs Maximaux Monotones et Semigroups de Contractions dans les Espaces de Hilbert. North Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.
- [4] A. FRYSZKOWSKI. Continuous selections for a class of nonconvex multivalued maps. *Studia Math.* **76** (1983), 163-174.
- [5] S. ITOH. Random fixed point theorems with an application to random differential equations in Banach spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **67**, (1979), 261-273.

- [6] D. KRAVVARITIS and N. S. PAPAGEORGIOU. Multivalued perturbations of sub-differential type evolution equation in Hilbert spaces. *J. Differential Equations* **76** (1988), 238-255.
- [7] D. KRAVVARITIS and N. S. PAPAGEORGIOU. A random nonlinear multivalued evolution equation in Hilbert space. *Colloquium Math.* **LVIII**, (1989), 129-141.
- [8] K. KURATOWSKI and C. RYLL-NARDZEWSKI. A general theorem on selectors. *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys.* **13** (1965), 397-403.
- [9] A. NOWAK. Random differential inclusions: Measurable selection approach. *Ann. Polon. Math.* **XLIX** (1989), 291-296.
- [10] N. S. PAPAGEORGIOU. Random differential inclusions in Banach spaces. *J. Differential Equations* **65** (1986), 287-303.
- [11] N. S. PAPAGEORGIOU. On measurable multifunctions with applications to random multi-valued equations. *Math. Japon.* **32** (1987), 701-727.

D. Kravvaritis, G. Pantelidis
Department of Mathematics
National Technical University of Athens
Zografou Campus
15780 Athens, Greece

Received January 15, 1996