

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Serdica

Mathematical Journal

Сердика

Математическо списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.
Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Serdica Mathematical Journal
which is the new series of
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica>
or contact: Editorial Office
Serdica Mathematical Journal
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

QUADRATIC MEAN RADIUS OF A POLYNOMIAL IN $\mathbb{C}(Z)$

K. G. Ivanov, A. Sharma

Communicated by S. L. Troyanski

Dedicated to the memory of Prof. N. Obreshkoff

ABSTRACT. A Schoenberg conjecture connecting quadratic mean radii of a polynomial and its derivative is verified for some kinds of polynomials, including fourth degree ones.

1. Introduction. Let $P_n(z) = z^n + a_2 z^{n-2} + \dots + a_n$, ($n > 2$) be a polynomial with real or complex coefficients and with $a_1 = 0$. If $P_n(z) = \prod_1^n (z - z_j)$, then $a_1 = 0$ implies that $z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_n = 0$. Following Schoenberg [1], we define the quadratic mean radius of P_n by

$$(1.1) \quad R(P_n) := \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_1^n |z_j|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Recently Schoenberg compared the quadratic mean radii of P_n and P'_n and stated the following

Conjecture. *The quadratic mean radii $R(P_n)$ and $R(P'_n)$ satisfy the inequality*

$$(1.2) \quad R(P'_n) \leq \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} R(P_n),$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 30C15, 26C10

Key words: algebraic polynomials, location of zeros, Schoenberg conjecture

with equality sign if and only if all the zeros z_j of $P_n(z)$ are on a straight line, as a partial case, all zeros z_j are real.

Schoenberg proved the conjecture when $n = 3$ and also for polynomials of the form

$$(1.3a) \quad z^n + a_k z^{n-k}$$

which he calls “binomial” polynomials.

Schoenberg’s proof of the conjecture when $P_n(z)$ has three simple zeros is very elegant and instructive. The object of this note is two-fold: We first prove the conjecture when

$$(1.3) \quad P_n(z) = \prod_{j=1}^3 (z - z_j)^{m_j}, \quad m_1 + m_2 + m_3 = n$$

and $\sum_1^3 m_j z_j = 0$.

The elegant method of Schoenberg’s proof does not seem to extend to polynomials of degree > 3 . So our second objective is to prove the conjecture for biquadratic polynomials and to verify it in some other cases.

2. Proof of Schoenberg’s Conjecture when $P(z)$ is given by (1.3). If $P_n(z)$ is given by (1.3), and if $n = m_1 + m_2 + m_3$, let w_1, w_2 be the zeros of

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{P'(z)}{P(z)} = \sum_1^3 \frac{m_j}{z - z_j}.$$

It is easily seen that w_1, w_2 are the zeros of the quadratic polynomial

$$(2.2) \quad nw^2 - n(z_1 + z_2 + z_3)w + m_1 z_2 z_3 + m_2 z_1 z_3 + m_3 z_1 z_2 = 0.$$

From (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |w_1|^2 + |w_2|^2 &= \frac{1}{2}(|w_1 + w_2|^2 + |w_1 - w_2|^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\{|z_1 + z_2 + z_3|^2 + |M(z_1, z_2, z_3)|\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M(z_1, z_2, z_3) := (z_1 + z_2 + z_3)^2 - \frac{4}{n}(m_1 z_2 z_3 + m_2 z_1 z_3 + m_3 z_1 z_2).$$

Schoenberg’s conjecture (1.2), in this case is equivalent to

$$(2.3) \quad F(z_1, z_2, z_3) \geq 0,$$

where

$$(2.4) \quad F(z_1, z_2, z_3) := \left(1 - \frac{2m_1}{n}\right) |z_1|^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2m_2}{n}\right) |z_2|^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2m_3}{n}\right) |z_3|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \{|z_1 + z_2 + z_3|^2 + |M(z_1, z_2, z_3)|\}.$$

Putting $z_3 = -\frac{m_1 z_1 + m_2 z_2}{m_3}$ and supposing without loss of generality that $m_3 \geq \max(m_1, m_2)$, then after some elementary simplification, we see that

$$(2.5) \quad F(z_1, z_2, z_3) = \sum_{j=1}^2 \left(1 - \frac{2m_j}{n}\right) |z_j|^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2m_3}{n}\right) \cdot \left| \frac{m_1 z_1}{m_3} + \frac{m_2 z_2}{m_2} \right|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left| \sum_{j=1}^2 \left(1 - \frac{m_j}{m_3}\right) z_j \right|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |A(z_1, z_2)|,$$

where

$$A(z_1, z_2) := \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{m_1}{m_3}\right)^2 + \frac{4m_1 m_2}{nm_3} \right\} z_1^2 + \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{m_2}{m_3}\right)^2 + \frac{4m_1 m_2}{nm_3} \right\} z_2^2 - 2 \left\{ 1 - \frac{m_1 + m_2}{m_3} - \frac{m_1 m_2}{m_3^2} + \frac{4m_1 m_2}{nm_3} \right\} z_1 z_2.$$

We shall need the following lemma which may be of independent interest.

Lemma 1. *If $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\alpha| \leq 1$, then*

$$(2.6) \quad |c_1^2 + c_2^2 + 2\alpha c_1 c_2| \leq |c_1|^2 + |c_2|^2 + 2\alpha \operatorname{Re} c_1 \bar{c}_2.$$

An equality in (2.6) holds iff $\arg c_1^2 = \arg c_2^2$.

Proof. Since $c_1^2 + c_2^2 + 2\alpha c_1 c_2 = (1 - |\alpha|)(c_1^2 + c_2^2) + |\alpha|(c_1 + c_2 \operatorname{sgn} \alpha)^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |c_1^2 + c_2^2 + 2\alpha c_1 c_2| &\leq (1 - |\alpha|)(|c_1|^2 + |c_2|^2) + |\alpha| |c_1 + c_2 \operatorname{sgn} \alpha|^2 \\ &= |c_1|^2 + |c_2|^2 + 2\alpha \operatorname{Re} c_1 \bar{c}_2, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of the lemma. \square

We now set

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.7) \quad c_1 &= \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{m_1}{m_3}\right)^2 + \frac{4m_1m_2}{nm_3} \right\}^{1/2} z_1, & c_2 &= \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{m_2}{m_3}\right)^2 + \frac{4m_1m_2}{nm_3} \right\}^{1/2} z_2 \\
 \alpha &= \frac{\left\{ 1 - \frac{m_1+m_2}{m_3} - \frac{m_1m_2}{m_3^2} + \frac{4m_1m_2}{nm_3} \right\} z_1 z_2}{c_1 c_2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then $A(z_1, z_2) = c_1^2 + c_2^2 - 2\alpha c_1 c_2$. If we show that $|\alpha| \leq 1$ (which we show later), then by the above lemma, we have

$$|A(z_1, z_2)| = |c_1|^2 + |c_2|^2 - 2\alpha \operatorname{Re} c_1 \bar{c}_2.$$

Then from (2.5), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(z_1, z_2, z_3) &\geq \left(1 - \frac{2m_1}{n}\right) |z_1|^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2m_2}{n}\right) |z_2|^2 \\
 &+ \left(1 - \frac{2m_3}{n}\right) \left\{ \frac{m_1^2}{m_3^2} |z_1|^2 + \frac{m_2^2}{m_3^2} |z_2|^2 + \frac{2m_1m_2}{m_3^2} \operatorname{Re} z_1 \bar{z}_2 \right\} \\
 &- \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{m_1}{m_3}\right)^2 |z_1|^2 + \left(1 - \frac{m_2}{m_3}\right)^2 |z_2|^2 \right. \\
 &+ 2 \left(1 - \frac{m_1}{m_3}\right) \left(1 - \frac{m_2}{m_3}\right) \operatorname{Re} z_1 \bar{z}_2 \left. \right\} \\
 &- \frac{1}{2} \left[|c_1|^2 + |c_2|^2 - 2 \left\{ 1 - \frac{m_1+m_2}{m_3} - \frac{m_1m_2}{m_3^2} + \frac{4m_1m_2}{nm_3} \right\} \operatorname{Re} z_1 \bar{z}_2 \right] \\
 &= 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

since on using (2.7) for $|c_1|^2$ and $|c_2|^2$ in the above, we see that the coefficients of $|z_1|^2$, $|z_2|^2$ and $\operatorname{Re} z_1 \bar{z}_2$ vanish, as is easy to verify.

Thus $F(z_1, z_2, z_3) \geq 0$, which proves the conjecture. The case of equality holds iff $\arg z_1^2 = \arg z_2^2$, i.e. all three points z_1, z_2, z_3 lie on the line $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \arg z^2 = \arg z_1^2\}$.

It only remains to show that $|\alpha| \leq 1$, where α is given by (2.7). In order to prove this, we set

$$a := 1 - \frac{m_1}{m_3}, \quad b := 1 - \frac{m_2}{m_3}, \quad c := \frac{4m_1m_2}{nm_3}, \quad d := \frac{2m_1m_2}{m_3^2},$$

so that

$$\alpha = \frac{ab + c - d}{(a^2 + c)^{1/2}(b^2 + c)^{1/2}}.$$

From our supposition that $m_3 \geq \max(m_1, m_2)$, we have $a, b \geq 0$. Also

$$2c = \frac{8m_1m_2}{nm_3} > \frac{2m_1m_2}{m_3^2} = d,$$

if $3m_3 > m_1 + m_2$ which follows from the fact that $m_3 \geq \max(m_1, m_2)$. It follows that

$$(a^2 + c)(b^2 + c) - (ab + c - d)^2 = c(a - b)^2 + 2abd + d(2c - d) \geq 0.$$

This shows that $|\alpha| \leq 1$. \square

Remark 1. A simpler proof of the above can also be given on the lines of Schoenberg’s proof using a theorem of Van der Berg [2].

Remark 2. If we suppose that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_1^n z_j = b \neq 0,$$

for a polynomial $P_n(z) = \prod_1^n (z - z_j)$, then Schoenberg’s conjecture is equivalent to the inequality

$$(2.8) \quad F(z_1, \dots, z_n) \geq 0,$$

where

$$(2.9) \quad F(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \frac{n-2}{n} \sum_1^n |z_j|^2 + |b|^2 - \sum_1^{n-1} |w_k|^2,$$

where w_k ’s are the zeros of $P'_n(z)$ and an equality in (2.8) holds iff all points z_1, \dots, z_n lie on a straight line (through b).

Remark 3. Schoenberg’s example (1.3a) can be easily extended to polynomials $P_n(z)$ of the form $z^{n-\ell k}(z^k - 1)^\ell$, ℓ, k positive integers, $n \geq \ell k$. If $k \geq 2$, $\sum_1^n z_j = 0$, but if $k = 1$, $\frac{1}{n} \sum_1^n z_j = \frac{\ell}{n}$. Indeed, we have $R(P_n) = \left(\frac{k\ell}{n}\right)^{1/2}$ and

$$R(P'_n) = \left[\frac{1}{n-1} \left\{ k \left(\frac{n-k\ell}{n} \right)^{2/k} + k(\ell-1) \right\} \right]^{1/2},$$

since $P'_n = (z^k - 1)^{\ell-1} z^{n-\ell k-1} [nz^k - (n-k\ell)]$. It is easy to see that $R(P'_n) \leq \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} R(P_n)$ is equivalent to

$$\left(1 - \frac{k\ell}{n}\right)^2 \leq \left(1 - \frac{2\ell}{n}\right)^k,$$

which is true if $k \geq 2$.

For $k = 1$ we have to show from (2.9) that

$$\frac{n-2}{n} \sum_1^n |z_j|^2 + \frac{\ell^2}{n^2} - \sum_1^{n-1} |w_j|^2 \geq 0.$$

But in this case we have

$$\frac{n-2}{n} \ell + \frac{\ell^2}{n^2} - \left(\ell - 1 + \left(\frac{n-\ell}{n}\right)^2\right) = 0.$$

3. Case when $P_n(z) = (1+z)^n - a^n z^n$, $a \in \mathbb{C}$, $a^n \neq 1$. If $P_n(z) = (1+z)^n - a^n z^n$ and if $a = \rho e^{i\alpha}$, then

$$(3.1) \quad z_k = \left(\rho e^{i\left(\alpha + \frac{2k\pi}{n}\right)} - 1\right)^{-1}, \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1)$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad w_k = \left(a^{\frac{n}{n-1}} e^{\frac{2\pi ik}{n-1}} - 1\right)^{-1}, \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, n-2).$$

Also $\frac{1}{n} \sum_0^{n-1} z_k = \frac{1}{a^n - 1}$.

From (2.9), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} F(z_1, \dots, z_n) &= \frac{n-2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left| \rho e^{i\left(\alpha + \frac{2k\pi}{n}\right)} - 1 \right|^{-2} + \left| \rho^n e^{in\alpha} - 1 \right|^{-2} \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \left| \rho^{\frac{n}{n-1}} e^{i\left(\frac{n\alpha}{n-1} + \frac{2k\pi}{n-1}\right)} - 1 \right|^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

On simplifying the above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(z_1, \dots, z_n) &= \frac{n-2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\rho^2 + 1 - 2\rho \cos \left(\alpha + \frac{2\pi k}{n} \right) \right)^{-1} \\
 &\quad + (\rho^{2n} + 1 - 2\rho^n \cos n\alpha)^{-1} \\
 (3.3) \qquad &\quad - \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \left(\rho^{\frac{2n}{n-1}} + 1 - 2\rho^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \cos \left(\frac{n\alpha}{n-1} + \frac{2k\pi}{n-1} \right) \right)^{-1} \\
 &= \frac{n-2}{n} S_1 + S_2 + S_3.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_1 &= \frac{1}{2\rho} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{t - \cos \left(\alpha + \frac{2k\pi}{n} \right)}, \quad t = \frac{\rho^2 + 1}{2\rho}, \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\rho} \cdot \frac{Q'(t)}{Q(t)},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Q(t) := \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(t - \cos \left(\alpha + \frac{2k\pi}{n} \right) \right),$$

and since

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q(t) &= \frac{1}{(2\rho)^n} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\rho^2 + 1 - 2\rho \cos \left(\alpha + \frac{2k\pi}{n} \right) \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{(2\rho)^n} (\rho^{2n} + 1 - 2\rho^n \cos n\alpha),
 \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.4) \qquad S_1 &= \frac{1}{2\rho} \left\{ \frac{d\rho}{dt} \cdot \frac{d}{d\rho} \left[\frac{\rho^{2n} + 1 - 2\rho^n \cos n\alpha}{(2\rho)^n} \right] \right\} / Q(t) \\
 &= \frac{n(\rho^{2n} - 1)}{(\rho^2 - 1)(\rho^{2n} + 1 - 2\rho^n \cos n\alpha)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can prove that

$$(3.5) \qquad S_3 = - \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} |w_k|^2 = \frac{-(n-1)(\rho^{2n} - 1)}{\left(\rho^{\frac{2n}{n-1}} - 1 \right) (\rho^{2n} + 1 - 2\rho^n \cos n\alpha)}.$$

From (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & F(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)(\rho^{2n} + 1 - 2\rho^n \cos n\alpha) \\ &= (n - 2) \frac{(\rho^{2n} - 1)}{\rho^2 - 1} + 1 - \frac{(n - 1)(\rho^{2n} - 1)}{\rho^{\frac{2n}{n-1}} - 1} \\ &= (n - 2)f(n) + f(1) - (n - 1)f(n - 1), \end{aligned}$$

where $f(t) = (\rho^{2n} - 1)/(\rho^{2n/t} - 1)$ and f is strictly convex for $t > 0$. Thus

$$F(z_1, \dots, z_n) > 0$$

for $n \geq 3$. This completes the verification of Schoenberg’s conjecture for the polynomials $(1 + z)^n - a^n z^n$, ($a^n \neq 1$).

4. Biquadratic polynomials. It is easy to see that any biquadratic polynomial $P(z)$ with zeros $\{z_j\}_1^4$ such that $\sum_1^4 z_j = 0$, can be written as the product of two quadratic polynomials. Indeed we have

$$(4.1) \quad P(z) = (z^2 - 2\alpha z + \beta)(z^2 + 2\alpha z + \gamma), \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C},$$

so that

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{1}{4}P'(z) = z^3 - \left(2\alpha^2 - \frac{\beta + \gamma}{2}\right)z + \frac{\alpha(\beta - \gamma)}{2}.$$

It follows from (4.1) that

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^4 |z_j|^2 = 2|\alpha^2| + |\alpha^2 - \beta| + |\alpha^2 - \gamma|.$$

If w_j ($j = 1, 2, 3$) denote the zeros of $P'(z)$, and if we set

$$w_j = u\omega^j + v\omega^{2j}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3 \quad \text{with } \omega^3 = 1, \omega \neq 1$$

then $\sum_{j=1}^3 |w_j|^2 = 3(|u|^2 + |v|^2)$. Since w_j are the zeros of $P'(z)$, we see from (4.2) that

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{cases} u^3 + v^3 = -\frac{\alpha}{2}(\beta - \gamma) \\ uv = \frac{1}{3} \left(2\alpha^2 - \frac{\beta + \gamma}{2}\right). \end{cases}$$

Schoenberg’s conjecture in this case reduces to

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^4 |z_j|^2 - \sum_{k=1}^3 |w_k|^2 \geq 0.$$

If $\alpha = 0$ then (4.5) reduces to the triangle inequality $|\beta| + |\gamma| - |\beta + \gamma| \geq 0$. The case $\alpha \neq 0$ is equivalent to $\alpha = 1$. Then the left side of (4.5) becomes $F(u, v)$ on using (4.4) and (4.3), where

$$F(u, v) := 2 + |u^3 + v^3 - 1 + 3uv| + |u^3 + v^3 + 1 - 3uv| - 3|u|^2 - 3|v|^2.$$

Since $u^3 + v^3 + 1 - 3uv = (u + v + 1)(u^2 + v^2 + 1 - uv - u - v)$, we may put $u + v = \zeta$, $u - v = W$ so that

$$4F(u, v) = 8 + |\zeta + 1| \cdot |(\zeta - 2)^2 + 3W^2| + |\zeta - 1| \cdot |(\zeta + 2)^2 + 3W^2| - 6|\zeta|^2 - 6|W|^2.$$

Putting $3W^2 = w$, we have

$$(4.6) \quad G(\zeta, w) := 4F(u, v) = 8 + |\zeta - 1| \cdot |(\zeta + 2)^2 + w| + |\zeta + 1| \cdot |(\zeta - 2)^2 + w| - 6|\zeta|^2 - 2|w|.$$

If $\zeta, w \in \mathbb{C}$, let $\zeta = \xi + i\eta$, $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$, $w = re^{i\varphi}$, $r \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi$. Then we set

$$p := |\zeta - 1| = \sqrt{(\xi - 1)^2 + \eta^2}, \quad q := |\zeta + 1| = \sqrt{(\xi + 1)^2 + \eta^2}.$$

If we set $(\zeta + 2)^2 := a + ib$, $(\zeta - 2)^2 := c + id$, then

$$(4.6a) \quad \begin{aligned} a &= (\xi + 2)^2 - \eta^2, & b &= 2\eta(\xi + 2), \\ c &= (\xi - 2)^2 - \eta^2, & d &= 2\eta(\xi - 2). \end{aligned}$$

We can now see that if we set

$$A := |(\zeta + 2)^2 + w|, \quad B := |(\zeta - 2)^2 + w|,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} A &= |a + r \cos \varphi + i(b + r \sin \varphi)| \\ B &= |c + r \cos \varphi + i(d + r \sin \varphi)| \end{aligned}$$

and $A^2 = a_1^2 + a_2^2$, $B^2 = b_1^2 + b_2^2$, where

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &:= -a \sin \varphi + b \cos \varphi, & a_2 &:= r + a \cos \varphi + b \sin \varphi, \\ b_1 &:= -c \sin \varphi + d \cos \varphi, & b_2 &:= r + c \cos \varphi + d \sin \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

With the above substitution, we obtain

$$(4.7) \quad G(\zeta, w) = 8 + pA + qB - 6(\xi^2 + \eta^2) - 2r.$$

Now Schoenberg’s conjecture is $G(\zeta, w) \geq 0$. In order to prove it we first consider the case of real ζ , i.e. $\eta = 0$. It will turn out that this is the only possibility for $G(\zeta, w) = 0$. Using

$$(1 + \zeta)(2 - \zeta)^2 + (1 - \zeta)(2 + \zeta)^2 = 8 - 6\zeta^2 \quad \text{and} \quad (1 + \zeta) + (1 - \zeta) = 2$$

we get from (4.6)

$$\begin{aligned} G(\zeta, w) &= \operatorname{sgn}(1 + \zeta)|1 + \zeta|(2 - \zeta)^2 + \operatorname{sgn}(1 - \zeta)|1 - \zeta|(2 + \zeta)^2 \\ &\quad + |1 + \zeta| \cdot |(2 - \zeta)^2 + w| + |1 - \zeta| \cdot |(2 + \zeta)^2 + w| \\ &\quad - \operatorname{sgn}(1 + \zeta)|1 + \zeta| \cdot |w| - \operatorname{sgn}(1 - \zeta)|1 - \zeta| \cdot |w| \\ &= |1 + \zeta| \{ |(2 - \zeta)^2 + w| + \operatorname{sgn}(1 + \zeta)[(2 - \zeta)^2 - |w|] \} \\ &\quad + |1 - \zeta| \{ |(2 + \zeta)^2 + w| + \operatorname{sgn}(1 - \zeta)[(2 + \zeta)^2 - |w|] \} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The only cases of equality $G(\zeta, w) = 0$ are

$$\begin{aligned} |\zeta| < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad w \leq -(2 + |\zeta|)^2 & \quad \text{or} \\ |\zeta| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad w \leq -1 & \quad \text{or} \\ |\zeta| > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad -(2 + |\zeta|)^2 \leq w \leq -(2 - |\zeta|)^2. & \end{aligned}$$

The zeros of P are $1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \beta}$ and $-1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \gamma}$ with $1 - \beta = -(1 - \zeta)((2 + \zeta)^2 + w)/4$, $1 - \gamma = -(1 + \zeta)((2 - \zeta)^2 + w)/4$. Therefore the equality $G(\zeta, w) = 0$ implies only real zeros for P .

In the general case we fix $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ and we want to find $\inf_{w \in \mathbb{C}} G(\zeta, w)$. The infimum can occur only at points w for which:

- i) G is not differentiable with respect to w (i.e. $r = 0$ or $A = 0$ or $B = 0$);
 - ii) $r = \infty$,
 - iii) $\frac{\partial G}{\partial \varphi} = \frac{\partial G}{\partial r} = 0$.
- i) If $r = 0$, then from the identity

$$(\zeta - 1)(\zeta + 2)^2 - (\zeta + 1)(\zeta - 2)^2 = 6\zeta^2 - 8,$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned} G(\zeta, 0) &= 8 - 6|\zeta|^2 + |(\zeta - 1)(\zeta + 2)^2| + |(\zeta + 1)(\zeta - 2)^2| \\ &\geq 8 - 6|\zeta|^2 + |6\zeta^2 - 8| \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover $G(\zeta, 0) = 0$ iff $\zeta = \pm 2$, which implies real zeros for P . If $A = 0$ or $B = 0$ then $w = -(\zeta \pm 2)^2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} G(\zeta, -(\zeta \pm 2)^2) &= 8 + 8|\zeta(\zeta \pm 1)| - 6|\zeta|^2 - 2|\zeta \pm 2|^2 \\ &= 4 + 8|\zeta(\zeta \pm 1)| - 4|\zeta|^2 - 4|\zeta \pm 1|^2 \\ &= 4 - 4(|\zeta| - |\zeta \pm 1|)^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover $G(\zeta, -(\zeta \pm 2)^2) = 0$ only on subsets of the real line which implies only real zeros for P .

ii) If $\eta \neq 0$, then $|\zeta - 1| + |\zeta + 1| > 2$ and so

$$\lim_{|w| \rightarrow \infty} G(\zeta, w) = +\infty.$$

iii) In the sequel, we assume $\eta \neq 0$ and $r, A, B > 0$ and solve the system of equations

$$(4.8) \quad \frac{\partial G}{\partial \varphi} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial G}{\partial r} = 0.$$

From (4.7), we see that (4.8) is equivalent to

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \varphi} = \left\{ \frac{p}{A} a_1 + \frac{q}{B} b_1 \right\} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial G}{\partial r} = p \frac{a_2}{A} + q \frac{b_2}{B} - 2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

We shall prove

Lemma 2. *If $A^2 = a_1^2 + a_2^2$, $B^2 = b_1^2 + b_2^2$ and*

$$p = \sqrt{(\xi - 1)^2 + \eta^2}, \quad q = \sqrt{(\xi + 1)^2 + \eta^2}, \quad A, B > 0, \quad \eta \neq 0,$$

then the system of equations (4.9) is equivalent to (4.10), (4.11), (4.12) and (4.13) (where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$):

$$(4.10) \quad \eta a_2 = \varepsilon(1 - \xi)a_1,$$

$$(4.11) \quad \eta b_2 = -\varepsilon(1 + \xi)b_1,$$

$$(4.12) \quad \operatorname{sgn} a_2 \operatorname{sgn}(1 - \xi) \geq 0,$$

$$(4.13) \quad \operatorname{sgn} b_2 \operatorname{sgn}(1 + \xi) \geq 0.$$

Proof. We shall first show that (4.10) – (4.13) imply (4.9). Indeed from (4.10) and (4.11), we get

$$(4.10)' \quad \eta^2 a_2^2 = (1 - \xi)^2 a_1^2$$

$$(4.11)' \quad \eta^2 b_2^2 = (1 + \xi)^2 b_1^2$$

so that on adding $(1 - \xi)^2 a_2^2$ (or $(1 + \xi)^2 b_2^2$) to (4.10)' (or to (4.11)'), we obtain

$$p^2 a_2^2 = (1 - \xi)^2 A^2 \quad \text{and} \quad q^2 b_2^2 = (1 + \xi)^2 B^2,$$

and in view of (4.12) and (4.13), we have

$$(4.14) \quad pa_2 = (1 - \xi)A \quad \text{and} \quad qb_2 = (1 + \xi)B.$$

From (4.14) we easily get the second condition in (4.9).

From (4.14), in view of (4.10) and (4.11), we also have

$$pa_1 A^{-1} = a_1 a_2^{-1} (1 - \xi) = \eta/\varepsilon,$$

$$qb_1 B^{-1} = b_1 b_2^{-1} (1 + \xi) = -\eta/\varepsilon$$

which on adding yield the first equation in (4.9).

We shall now show that (4.9) imply (4.10) – (4.13). Indeed from (4.9), we have

$$pa_1 A^{-1} = -qb_1 B^{-1}, \quad pa_2 A^{-1} = 2 - qb_2 B^{-1}$$

$$(\text{or } qb_2 B^{-1} = 2 - pa_2 A^{-1}).$$

Adding the square of the first equation to the squares of the second and third, we obtain

$$p^2 = 4 - 4qb_2 B^{-1} + q^2, \quad q^2 = 4 - 4pa_2 A^{-1} + p^2$$

so that

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{cases} qb_2 B^{-1} = 1 + \frac{q^2 - p^2}{4} = 1 + \xi \\ pa_2 A^{-1} = 1 - \frac{q^2 - p^2}{4} = 1 - \xi \end{cases}$$

where $\xi = (q^2 - p^2)/4$ by assumptions. These equations imply (4.12), (4.13).

Squaring (4.15) yields

$$\begin{aligned} q^2 b_2^2 &= ((1 + \xi)^2 + \eta^2) b_2^2 = (1 + \xi)^2 (b_1^2 + b_2^2), \\ p^2 a_2^2 &= ((1 - \xi)^2 + \eta^2) a_2^2 = (1 - \xi)^2 (a_1^2 + a_2^2), \end{aligned}$$

whence we have

$$\eta^2 b_2^2 = (1 + \xi)^2 b_1^2, \quad \eta^2 a_2^2 = (1 - \xi)^2 a_1^2.$$

Equivalently, we get

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{cases} \eta b_2 = (1 + \xi) b_1 \varepsilon_1, \\ \eta a_2 = (1 - \xi) a_1 \varepsilon_2 \end{cases}$$

where $\varepsilon_j = \pm 1$, ($j = 1, 2$). In order to find the relation between ε_1 and ε_2 , we put a_1 and b_1 from (4.16) in the first equation in (4.9). Then we have on using (4.15),

$$\begin{aligned} p a_1 A^{-1} + q b_1 B^{-1} &= p A^{-1} \eta a_2 (1 - \xi)^{-1} \varepsilon_2 + q B^{-1} \eta b_2 (1 + \xi)^{-1} \varepsilon_1 \\ &= \eta \varepsilon_2 + \eta \varepsilon_1 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

so that $\varepsilon_1 = -\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon$ and we get (4.12) and (4.13) from (4.9).

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

5. Proof of the conjecture for biquadratics. We shall show that

$$(5.0) \quad \inf_{w \in \mathbb{C}} G(\zeta, w) \geq 0,$$

where $G(\zeta, w)$ is given by (4.7). The conditions $\frac{\partial G}{\partial \varphi} = 0$, $r \neq 0$ and $\frac{\partial G}{\partial r} = 0$, after using Lemma 2, yield (with $\varepsilon = \pm 1$):

$$(5.1) \quad \eta(r + a \cos \varphi + b \sin \varphi) = \varepsilon(1 - \xi)(-a \sin \varphi + b \cos \varphi),$$

$$(5.2) \quad \eta(r + c \cos \varphi + d \sin \varphi) = -\varepsilon(1 + \xi)(-c \sin \varphi + d \cos \varphi),$$

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{cases} \operatorname{sgn}(r + a \cos \varphi + b \sin \varphi) \cdot \operatorname{sgn}(1 - \xi) \geq 0, \\ \operatorname{sgn}(r + c \cos \varphi + d \sin \varphi) \cdot \operatorname{sgn}(1 + \xi) \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

From the definitions of a, b, c, d in (4.6a), we have

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{cases} a - c = 8\xi, & a + c = 2(\xi^2 - \eta^2 + 4), \\ b - d = 8\eta, & b + d = 4\xi\eta. \end{cases}$$

Subtracting (5.2) from (5.1) and simplifying on using (5.4), we obtain after elementary calculations

$$(5.5) \quad [3\xi^2\varepsilon + (\varepsilon - 4)\eta^2 - 4\varepsilon]\sin\varphi = (4 + 2\varepsilon)\xi\eta\cos\varphi.$$

We now consider two cases: (i) when $\varepsilon = 1$ and (ii) when $\varepsilon = -1$.

(i) *Case when $\varepsilon = 1$.*

In this case (5.5) becomes

$$(5.6) \quad (3\xi^2 - 3\eta^2 - 4)\sin\varphi = 6\xi\eta\cos\varphi.$$

If we set $\Phi^2 := (3\xi^2 - 3\eta^2 - 4)^2 + (6\xi\eta)^2$ and $\sigma = \pm 1$, then $\Phi > 0$ because $\eta \neq 0$. From (5.6), we have

$$(5.7) \quad \sin\varphi = \frac{\sigma}{\Phi}6\xi\eta, \quad \cos\varphi = \frac{\sigma(3\xi^2 - 3\eta^2 - 4)}{\Phi}.$$

From (5.1) with $\varepsilon = 1$, using the values of $a, b, \sin\varphi, \cos\varphi$ from (4.6a), (5.7) we get

$$(5.8) \quad r = \frac{\sigma}{\Phi}[-3(\xi^2 + \eta^2)^2 - 4\eta^2 + 12\xi^2].$$

Since $\operatorname{sgn} r > 0$, σ is determined from (5.8). Indeed, we have

$$(5.9) \quad \sigma = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \eta^2 < \frac{3}{4} \text{ and } 2 - \eta^2 - 2\sqrt{1 - \frac{4}{3}\eta^2} < \xi^2 < 2 - \eta^2 + 2\sqrt{1 - \frac{4}{3}\eta^2} \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(Note that $r > 0$ excludes the case $-3(\xi^2 + \eta^2)^2 - 4\eta^2 + 12\xi^2 = 0$.) The values of $r, \sin\varphi, \cos\varphi$ given by (5.7) and (5.8) must satisfy (5.2) and (5.3).

Using (5.1), (5.7) and (5.8) some calculation yields

$$(5.10) \quad r + a\cos\varphi + b\sin\varphi = 4(1 - \xi)(-3\xi^2 - 3\eta^2 - 8\xi - 4)\frac{\sigma}{\Phi},$$

which together with (5.3) implies that

$$(5.11) \quad \sigma(-3\xi^2 - 3\eta^2 - 8\xi - 4) \geq 0.$$

Similarly from (5.2), (5.7) and (5.8), we have

$$(5.12) \quad r + c \cos \varphi + d \sin \varphi = 4(1 + \xi)(-3\xi^2 - 3\eta^2 + 8\xi - 4) \frac{\sigma}{\Phi}$$

and together with (5.3), we obtain

$$(5.13) \quad \sigma(-3\xi^2 - 3\eta^2 + 8\xi - 4) \geq 0.$$

Now (5.11) and (5.13) are satisfied simultaneously if and only if

$$\sigma = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad 3\xi^2 + 3\eta^2 + 4 \geq 8|\xi|.$$

Equivalently, (5.11) and (5.13) are valid at the same time if and only if

$$\sigma = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} \text{either } \eta^2 \geq \frac{4}{9} \\ \text{or } \eta^2 < \frac{4}{9} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| |\xi| - \frac{4}{3} \right| \geq \frac{1}{3} \sqrt{4 - 9\eta^2}. \end{cases}$$

From this and from (5.7), (5.8) and (5.9), we see that the solution to (5.1) – (5.3) is

$$(5.14) \quad \begin{cases} r = \frac{1}{\Phi} \{3(\xi^2 + \eta^2)^2 + 4\eta^2 - 12\xi^2\} \\ \sin \varphi = -\frac{6\xi\eta}{\Phi}, \quad \cos \varphi = \frac{1}{\Phi}(-3\xi^2 + 3\eta^2 + 4) \end{cases}$$

for the following two cases:

(a) $\eta^2 > \frac{3}{4}$.

(b) $0 < \eta^2 \leq \frac{3}{4}$ and $\xi^2 \in \left[0, 2 - \eta^2 - 2\sqrt{1 - \frac{4}{3}\eta^2}\right) \cup \left(2 - \eta^2 + 2\sqrt{1 - \frac{4}{3}\eta^2}, \infty\right)$.

For these cases, on using (5.1) and (5.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A^2 &= (r + a \cos \varphi + b \sin \varphi)^2 \left(1 + \frac{\eta^2}{(1 - \xi)^2}\right) \\ &= 16(3\xi^2 + 3\eta^3 + 8\xi + 4)^2 \{(1 - \xi)^2 + \eta^2\} / \Phi^2, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly from (5.2) and (5.12) we get

$$B^2 = 16(3\xi^2 + 3\eta^2 - 8\xi + 4)^2 \{(1 + \xi)^2 + \eta^2\} / \Phi^2.$$

Hence we see on using (4.7), (5.11) and (5.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{w \in \mathbb{C}} G(\zeta, w) &= 8 + 4\{(1 - \xi)^2 + \eta^2\} \frac{(3\xi^2 + 3\eta^2 + 8\xi + 4)}{\Phi} \\ &+ 4\{(1 + \xi)^2 + \eta^2\} \frac{(3\xi^2 + 3\eta^2 - 8\xi + 4)}{\Phi} - 6(\xi^2 + \eta^2) \\ &- 2\{3(\xi^2 + \eta^2)^2 + 4\eta^2 - 12\xi^2\} / \Phi. \end{aligned}$$

Elementary calculation yields

$$\inf_{w \in \mathbb{C}} G(\zeta, w) = 2 \left\{ 4 - 3\xi^2 - 3\eta^2 + \sqrt{(3\xi^2 + 3\eta^2 - 4)^2 + 48\eta^2} \right\} > 0$$

because $\eta \neq 0$.

(ii) *Case when $\varepsilon = -1$.*

In this case (5.5) becomes

$$(3\xi^2 + 5\eta^2 - 4)\sin \varphi = -2\xi\eta\cos \varphi.$$

If we set $\Psi^2 = (3\xi^2 + 5\eta^2 - 4)^2 + (2\xi\eta)^2$, then $\Psi \geq 0$ and $\Psi = 0$ if and only if

$$\xi = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \eta^2 = \frac{4}{5}.$$

We shall first consider the case when $\Psi > 0$. Then we have (for $\sigma = \pm 1$)

$$(5.15) \quad \sin \varphi = -\frac{\sigma}{\Psi} 2\xi\eta, \quad \cos \varphi = \frac{\sigma}{\Psi} (-4 + 3\xi^2 + 5\eta^2).$$

From (5.1), (4.6a) and (5.15), we have

$$(5.16) \quad r = \frac{\sigma}{\Psi} \{5(\xi^2 + \eta^2)^2 - 28\xi^2 - 44\eta^2 + 32\}.$$

As in (i), we see that

$$(5.17) \quad \sigma = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } 0 < \eta^2 < \frac{4}{5} \text{ and } \left| \xi^2 + \eta^2 - \frac{14}{5} \right| < \frac{2}{5} \sqrt{9 + 20\eta^2} \\ & \text{or } \frac{4}{5} \leq \eta^2 < 8 \text{ and } 0 \leq \xi^2 < \frac{14}{5} - \eta^2 + \frac{2}{5} \sqrt{9 + 20\eta^2}; \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(Note that $r > 0$ excludes the case $5(\xi^2 + \eta^2)^2 - 28\xi^2 - 44\eta^2 + 32 = 0$.) Using (5.15) and (5.16), we get from (5.1) with $\varepsilon = -1$

$$(5.18) \quad r + a \cos \varphi + b \sin \varphi = -(1 - \xi) \frac{\sigma}{\Psi} \{8(\xi^2 + \eta^2)\xi + 20(\xi^2 + \eta^2) - 16\}$$

which together with (5.3) yields

$$(5.19) \quad \sigma\{2\xi(\xi^2 + \eta^2) + 5(\xi^2 + \eta^2) - 4\} \leq 0.$$

Similarly from (5.2), (5.15) and (5.16), we obtain

$$(5.20) \quad r + c \cos \varphi + d \sin \varphi = -(1 + \xi) \frac{\sigma}{\Psi} \{-8\xi(\xi^2 + \eta^2) + 20(\xi^2 + \eta^2) - 16\}$$

which in view of (5.3), yields

$$(5.21) \quad \sigma\{-2\xi(\xi^2 + \eta^2) + 5(\xi^2 + \eta^2) - 4\} \leq 0.$$

Now (5.19) and (5.21) are simultaneously satisfied if and only if

$$(5.22) \quad |5(\xi^2 + \eta^2) - 4| \geq 2|\xi|(\xi^2 + \eta^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(4 - 5(\xi^2 + \eta^2)) \geq 0.$$

From (5.1) and (5.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} A^2 &= (r + a \cos \varphi + b \sin \varphi)^2 + (-a \sin \varphi + b \cos \varphi)^2 \\ &= 16\{(2\xi + 5)(\xi^2 + \eta^2) - 4\}^2\{(1 - \xi)^2 + \eta^2\}\Psi^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

and similarly from (5.2) and (5.20) we get

$$\begin{aligned} B^2 &= (r + c \cos \varphi + d \sin \varphi)^2 + (-c \sin \varphi + d \cos \varphi)^2 \\ &= 16\{(-2\xi + 5)(\xi^2 + \eta^2) - 4\}^2\{(1 + \xi)^2 + \eta^2\}\Psi^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence on using (4.7), (5.16), (5.19) and (5.21) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{w \in \mathbb{C}} G(\zeta, w) &= 8 + 4\{(1 - \xi)^2 + \eta^2\}\{4 - (2\xi + 5)(\xi^2 + \eta^2)\}\sigma\Psi^{-1} \\ &\quad + 4\{(1 + \xi)^2 + \eta^2\}\{4 - (-2\xi + 5)(\xi^2 + \eta^2)\}\sigma\Psi^{-1} \\ &\quad - 6(\xi^2 + \eta^2) - 2\{5(\xi^2 + \eta^2)^2 - 28\xi^2 - 44\eta^2 + 32\}\sigma\Psi^{-1} \\ &= 2\{4 - 3\xi^2 - 3\eta^2 - \sigma\Psi\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(5.23) \quad \Psi^2 - (3\xi^2 + 3\eta^2 - 4)^2 = 16\eta^2(\xi^2 + \eta^2 - 1),$$

we see that if $\xi^2 + \eta^2 > 1$, then (5.22) implies $\sigma = -1$ and $\Psi > |3\xi^2 + 3\eta^2 - 4|$, so that $\inf G(\zeta, w) > 0$. If $\xi^2 + \eta^2 < 1$, then from (5.23) $\Psi < 4 - 3\xi^2 - 3\eta^2$ and again we get

$\inf G(\zeta, w) > 0$. Finally if $\xi^2 + \eta^2 = 1$ then (5.22) implies $\sigma = -1$, (5.23) gives $\Psi = 1$ and hence $\inf G(\zeta, w) = 4$.

In the case $\Psi = 0$ we get by continuity from the case $\Psi > 0$ that $\inf G(\zeta, w) = \frac{16}{5}$. Thus, in the case $\varepsilon = -1$ we always have $\inf G(\zeta, w) > 0$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] I. J. SCHOENBERG. A conjectured analogue of Rolle's theorem for polynomials with real or complex coefficients. *Amer. Math. Monthly* **93** (1986), 8-13.
- [2] F. J. VAN DEN BERG. Nogmaals over algeleide Wortelpunten. *Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde* **15** (1888), 100-164.

K. G. Ivanov
Bulgarian Academy of Science
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Acad. G. Bonchev str., bl. 8
1113 Sofia
Bulgaria

A. Sharma
Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Alberta
Edmonton, Alberta
Canada T6G 2G1

Received March 27, 1996