

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Serdica

Mathematical Journal

Сердика

Математическо списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.
Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Serdica Mathematical Journal
which is the new series of
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica>
or contact: Editorial Office
Serdica Mathematical Journal
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

M -SOLID SUBVARIETIES OF SOME VARIETIES OF COMMUTATIVE SEMIGROUPS

J. Koppitz*

Communicated by V. Kanev

ABSTRACT. The basic concepts are M -hyperidentities, where M is a monoid of hypersubstitutions. The set of all M -solid varieties of semigroups forms a complete sublattice of the lattice of all varieties of semigroups. We fix some specific varieties V of commutative semigroups and study the set of all M -solid subvarieties of V , in particular, if V is nilpotent.

1. Introduction. The purpose of this work is to study the lattice $L(V)$ of all subvarieties of some varieties V of commutative semigroups and the sublattices of $L(V)$. Our basic concepts are M -hyperidentities and the stronger concept of a hyperidentity ([7]). A mapping σ from the binary operation symbol f into the set $W(X)$ is called a hypersubstitution, where $W(X)$ denotes the set of all terms over a fixed alphabet X . For a term $t \in W(X)$ let σ_t be the hypersubstitution defined by $\sigma_t(f) := t$. For a hypersubstitution σ we define the extension σ^\wedge of σ as a mapping from $W(X)$ into $W(X)$ inductively:

(i) $\sigma^\wedge[x] := x$ for $x \in X$;

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 20M07, 08B15

Key words: hypersubstitutions, M -hyperidentity, M -solid subvarieties of semigroups

*The research of the author was supported by the Alexander v. Humboldt-Stiftung

(ii) $\sigma^\wedge[f(s, t)] := \sigma(f)^{W(X)}(\sigma^\wedge[s], \sigma^\wedge[t])$, where $\sigma(f)^{W(X)}$ denotes the term operation generated by the term $\sigma(f)$.

Hyp denotes the set of all hypersubstitutions. Clearly, for two hypersubstitutions σ_1, σ_2 the product $\sigma_1 \circ_h \sigma_2$ defined by $(\sigma_1 \circ_h \sigma_2)^\wedge[t] := \sigma_1^\wedge[\sigma_2^\wedge[t]]$ for $t \in W(X)$ is again a hypersubstitution. Thus *Hyp* is a monoid under \circ_h and the identity element σ_{xy} (see [3]).

Let M be a submonoid of *Hyp* and let V be a variety of semigroups. An identity $u \approx v$ in V is called an M -hyperidentity in V if $\sigma^\wedge[u] \approx \sigma^\wedge[v]$ is an identity in V for each $\sigma \in M$. The variety V is called M -solid if each identity in V is also an M -hyperidentity in V . By [4] the collection S_M of all M -solid varieties of semigroups forms a complete sublattice of the lattice S of all varieties of semigroups.

The lattice of all *Hyp*-solid varieties (or only solid varieties) of semigroups is studied in [2], [5] and [6]. M -solid varieties for other submonoids M of *Hyp* have been studied; see for example [1] and [3]. In this paper we will study lattices of M -solid varieties of some commutative semigroups for all submonoids M of *Hyp*.

2. Basic concepts. We fix a specific variety V of semigroups. The collection of all subsets of the lattice $L(V)$ of all subvarieties of V will be denoted by $P(L(V))$. The collection of all subsets of *Hyp* will be denoted by $P(\text{Hyp})$.

We define a relation $R_V \subseteq \text{Hyp} \times L(V)$ as follows: For $\sigma \in \text{Hyp}$ and $Y \in L(V)$ set $(\sigma, Y) \in R_V$ iff for any identity $u \approx v$ in Y , Y satisfies $\sigma^\wedge[u] \approx \sigma^\wedge[v]$. Now we define two mappings α_V^* and β_V^* on $P(\text{Hyp})$ and $P(L(V))$, respectively, as follows:

For $M \in P(\text{Hyp})$ set $\alpha_V^*(M) := \{Y : Y \in L(V), (\sigma, Y) \in R_V \text{ for all } \sigma \in M\}$;

for $L \in P(L(V))$ set $\beta_V^*(L) := \{\sigma : \sigma \in \text{Hyp}, (\sigma, Y) \in R_V \text{ for all } Y \in L\}$.

Obviously, (α_V^*, β_V^*) forms a GALOIS-connection.

$L(L(V))$ denotes the collection of all complete sublattices of $L(V)$. Further we define a relation \sim_V on *Hyp* as follows: For $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \text{Hyp}$ we have $\sigma_1 \sim_V \sigma_2$ iff $\sigma_1^\wedge[xy] \approx \sigma_2^\wedge[xy]$ is an identity in V . Obviously \sim_V is an equivalence relation and $[\sigma]_V$ denotes the equivalence class of $\sigma \in \text{Hyp}$. For a submonoid M of *Hyp* by M_V we put $M_V := \{[\sigma]_V : \sigma \in M\}$ and for $\sigma \in \text{Hyp}$ we define $[\sigma]_V[t] := \sigma^\wedge[t]$ for $t \in W(X)$. $S_V(\text{Hyp})$ denotes the collection of all M_V where M is a

submonoid of *Hyp*. Now define a map α_V (a map β_V) on $S_V(\text{Hyp})$ (on $L(\mathbf{L}(V))$) by $\alpha_V(M_V) := \alpha_V^*(M)$ ($\beta_V(L) := (\beta_V^*(L))_V$).

Clearly, for $M_V \in S_V(\text{Hyp})$, $\alpha_V^*(M)$ is the collection of all *M*-solid subvarieties of *V*, that means, $\alpha_V^*(M) = S_M \cap \mathbf{L}(V)$. As S_M is a complete sublattice of *S* and $\mathbf{L}(V)$ is a complete lattice, $\alpha_V(M_V) = \alpha_V^*(M) = S_M \cap \mathbf{L}(V)$ forms a complete sublattice of $\mathbf{L}(V)$.

Let $L \in L(\mathbf{L}(V))$ and $V^* \in L$. Then for $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \beta_V^*(L)$ we have $(\sigma_1, V^*) \in R_V$ and $(\sigma_2, V^*) \in R_V$. From this it follows if $u \approx v$ an identity in V^* then $\sigma_2^\wedge[u] \approx \sigma_2^\wedge[v]$ is an identity in V^* and $\sigma_1^\wedge[\sigma_2^\wedge[u]] \approx \sigma_1^\wedge[\sigma_2^\wedge[v]]$ is an identity in V^* . Thus $(\sigma_1 \circ_h \sigma_2, V^*) \in R_V$. Clearly, $(\sigma_{xy}, V^*) \in R_V$. Altogether $\beta_V^*(L)$ forms a submonoid of *Hyp*, that means, $\beta_V(L) \in S_V(\text{Hyp})$.

We have now mappings $\alpha_V : S_V(\text{Hyp}) \rightarrow L(\mathbf{L}(V))$ and $\beta_V : L(\mathbf{L}(V)) \rightarrow S_V(\text{Hyp})$. Since (α_V^*, β_V^*) forms a GALOIS-connection it is easy to check that (α_V, β_V) has the properties of a GALOIS-connection. For $M \in S_V(\text{Hyp})$ we put $\underline{M} := \beta_V(\alpha_V(M))$ and for $L \in L(\mathbf{L}(V))$ we put $\underline{L} := \alpha_V(\beta_V(L))$. An $M \in S_V(\text{Hyp})$ (an $L \in L(\mathbf{L}(V))$) is called closed if $\underline{M} = M$ ($\underline{L} = L$).

Now want to use the kernels of α_V and β_V (denoted by $\ker \alpha_V$ and $\ker \beta_V$, respectively) to define maps on the closed monoids and on the closed sublattices, respectively. We define a map $\underline{\alpha}_V$ on $S_V(\text{Hyp})/\ker \alpha_V$ by $\underline{\alpha}_V([M]_{\ker \alpha_V}) := [a_V(M)]_{\ker \alpha_V}$ and we define a map $\underline{\beta}_V$ on $L(\mathbf{L}(V))/\ker \beta_V$ by $\underline{\beta}_V([L]_{\ker \beta_V}) := [\beta_V(L)]_{\ker \beta_V}$. Then $\underline{\alpha}_V$ and $\underline{\beta}_V$ are bijections between $S_V(\text{Hyp})/\ker \alpha_V$ and $L(\mathbf{L}(V))/\ker \beta_V$. Clearly, all members of each $\ker \alpha_V$ class ($\ker \beta_V$ class) have the same closure, so we can label an equational class as $[\underline{M}]_{\ker \alpha_V}$ for any M (as $[\underline{L}]_{\ker \beta_V}$ for any L) in the class. We could also think of $\underline{\alpha}_V$ (of $\underline{\beta}_V$) as the restriction of α_V (of β_V) to the closed members of $S_V(\text{Hyp})$ (of $L(\mathbf{L}(V))$).

In this paper we will now determine the closed members of $L(\mathbf{L}(V))$ for varieties of specific commutative semigroups, in particular, if *V* is nilpotent. Note that a variety *V* of semigroups is called nilpotent if there exists a natural number $k \geq 2$ such that $x^k \approx x$ is satisfied by *V*.

3. Varieties of commutative nilpotent semigroups. In the next by σ we mean $[\sigma]_V$ for a variety *V*.

Theorem 3.1. *Let V be a variety of nilpotent commutative semigroups and $M \in S_V(\text{Hyp})$ with $M \cap (\{\sigma_{x^i} : 1 \leq i \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{\sigma_{y^i} : 1 \leq i \in \mathbb{N}\}) = \emptyset$. Then $\alpha_V(M) = \{V' : V' \in \mathbf{L}(V), V' \subseteq \vartheta_V(M)\}$ where $\vartheta_V(M) := \text{Mod}\{x^i \approx x : i \in$*

$I_V(M)$ and $I_V(M)$ denotes the set of all natural numbers $i \geq 1$ such that there exists a natural number $j \geq 1$ with $M \cap \{\sigma_{x^i y^j}, \sigma_{x^j y^i}\} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $V' \in \alpha_V(M)$ and $i \in I_V(M)$. Clearly, $V' \in L(V)$ and there exists a natural number $j \geq 1$ with $M \cap \{\sigma_{x^i y^j}, \sigma_{x^j y^i}\} \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that $\sigma_{x^i y^j} \in M$. Then from $xy \approx yx$ it follows $\sigma_{x^i y^j}[xy] \approx \sigma_{x^i y^j}[yx]$, that means, $x^i y^j \approx y^j x^i \approx x^j y^i$ is an identity in V' . Since V is nilpotent, there exists a natural number $k \geq 2$ such that $x^k \approx x$ is an identity in V and thus in V' . From this it follows that $x^{3k-2} \approx x$ and there exists a natural number t with $x^{3k-2} \approx (x^2)^i x^j x^t$. From $x^i y^j \approx x^j y^i$ it follows $(x^2)^i x^j x^t \approx (x^2)^j x^i x^t$. Clearly, $(x^2)^j x^i x^t = x^{2j+i+t} = x^{2i+j+t+(j-i)} = x^{3k-2+(j-i)}$. Therefore $x \approx x^{3k-2+(j-i)}$. From this it follows $x^i \approx x^{3k-3+j}$. From $x^{3k-2} \approx x$ it follows $x^j \approx x^{3k-3+j}$. Thus $x^i \approx x^j$ is an identity in V' . From $(xy)z \approx x(yz)$ it follows $\sigma_{x^i y^j}[(xy)z] \approx \sigma_{x^i y^j}[x(yz)]$ where $\sigma_{x^i y^j}[(xy)z] \approx (x^i y^j)^i z^j$ and $\sigma_{x^i y^j}[x(yz)] \approx x^i (y^j z^j)^j$. Because of $x^i \approx x^j$ and the commutative law we have $(x^i y^j)^i z^j \approx x^{i^2} y^{i^2} z^j$ and $x^i (y^j z^j)^j \approx x^i y^{i^2} z^{i^2}$, that means, $x^i y^{i^2} z^{i^2} \approx x^{i^2} y^{i^2} z^i$. By substitution ($y \rightarrow x$) we obtain $x^a z^i \approx x^b z^{i^2}$ where $a = i^2 + i^2$ and $b = i + i^2$. By substitution ($x \rightarrow z^{k-1}$) we obtain $z^{a(k-1)} z^i \approx z^{b(k-1)} z^{i^2}$. Because of $x^k \approx x$ we have $z^i \approx z^{i^2}$. Thus $x^i \approx x^{i^2}$ is an identity in V' . From $x^k \approx x$ it follows $\sigma_{x^i y^j}[x^k] \approx \sigma_{x^i y^j}[x^k]$. Using $x^i \approx x^j$ and $x^i \approx x^{i^2}$ we obtain $\sigma_{x^i y^j}[x^k] \approx x^{ki}$ and thus $x^{ki} \approx x$ is an identity in V' . From $x^k \approx x$ it follows $x^{ki+1-i} \approx x$ and thus $x^{ki} \approx x^{1+i-1}$, that means, $x^{ki} \approx x^i$. Using $x^{ki} \approx x$ we obtain that $x^i \approx x$ is an identity in V' . Suppose that $\sigma_{x^j y^i} \in M$ then similarly as above we obtain that $x^i \approx x$ is an identity in V' . Altogether $V' \subseteq \text{Mod}\{x^i \approx x : i \in I_V(M)\} = \vartheta_V(M)$.

Conversely let $V' \in L(V)$ with $V' \subseteq \vartheta_V(M)$. Further let $\sigma \in M$ and let $u \approx v$ be an identity in V' . Because of $M \cap (\{\sigma_{x^i} : 1 \leq i \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{\sigma_{y^i} : 1 \leq i \in \mathbb{N}\}) = \emptyset$ and the commutative law $\sigma \in \{\sigma_{x^i y^j} : 1 \leq i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Therefore there are natural numbers $i, j \geq 1$ with $\sigma = \sigma_{x^i y^j}$, where $i, j \in I_V(M)$. Therefore $x^i \approx x$ and $x^j \approx x$ are identities in V' . From this it follows $\sigma_{x^i y^j} \sim_V \sigma_{xy}$. By [4] then $\sigma_{x^i y^j}[t] \approx \sigma_{xy}[t]$ for any $t \in W(X)$. Therefore $\sigma_{x^i y^j}[u] \approx \sigma_{xy}[u] \approx \sigma_{xy}[v] \approx \sigma_{x^i y^j}[v]$, that means, $\sigma[u] \approx \sigma[v]$ is an identity in V' . Thus $(\sigma, V') \in R_V$. Altogether $(\sigma', V') \in R_V$ for all $\sigma' \in M$, that means, V' is M -solid and thus $V' \in \alpha_V(M)$. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let V be a variety of nilpotent commutative semigroups and $M \in S_V(\text{Hyp})$ such that $M \cap (\{\sigma_{x^i} : 1 \leq i \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{\sigma_{y^i} : 1 \leq i \in \mathbb{N}\}) \neq \emptyset$. Then $\alpha_V(M) = \{T\}$ where T denotes the trivial variety.*

Proof. Clearly, $T \in \alpha_V(M)$ and thus $\{T\} \subseteq \alpha_V(M)$.

Conversely, let $V' \in \alpha_V(M)$. There exists a natural number $i \geq 1$ with $M \cap \{\sigma_{x^i}, \sigma_{y^i}\} \neq \emptyset$. From $xy \approx yx$ it follows $\sigma_{x^i}[xy] \approx \sigma_{x^i}[yx]$ and $\sigma_{y^i}[xy] \approx \sigma_{y^i}[yx]$, respectively. Thus $x^i \approx y^i$ is an identity in V' . Since V is nilpotent there exists a natural number $k \geq 2$ such that $x^k \approx x$ is an identity in V and thus also in $V' \in \alpha_V(M) \subseteq \mathbf{L}(V)$. Therefore $\sigma_{x^i}[x] \approx \sigma_{x^i}[x^k]$ and $\sigma_{y^i}[x] \approx \sigma_{y^i}[x^k]$, respectively, are identities in V' . Using the commutative law from this it follows that $x^i \approx x$ is an identity in V' . Consequently, $x \approx x^i \approx y^i \approx y$. Hence V' is the trivial variety T . Altogether $\alpha_V(M) \subseteq \{T\}$. \square

The following examples illustrate Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2. The varieties $V_k := \text{Mod}\{(xy)z \approx x(yz), xy \approx yx, x^k \approx x\}$ for $k \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$ are used. Obviously, V_2 is the variety SL of all semilattices.

Example 3.3. Obviously, $\text{Hyp}_{SL} = \{\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_{xy}\}$ and $S_{SL}(\text{Hyp}) = \{M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4\}$ with $M_1 = \{\sigma_x, \sigma_{xy}\}$, $M_2 = \{\sigma_y, \sigma_{xy}\}$, $M_3 = \{\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_{xy}\}$ and $M_4 = \{\sigma_{xy}\}$.

By Theorem 3.2 we have $\alpha_{SL}(M_i) = \{T\}$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

Because of $\vartheta_{SL}(M_4) = \text{Mod}\{x \approx x\}$ and $\mathbf{L}(SL) = \{T, SL\}$ we have $\alpha_{SL}(M_4) = \{V' : V' \in \mathbf{L}(SL), V' \subseteq \vartheta_{SL}(M_4)\} = \{T, SL\}$ by Theorem 3.1.

Example 3.4. We have $\text{Hyp}_{V_3} = \{\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_{x^2}, \sigma_{y^2}, \sigma_{xy}, \sigma_{x^2y}, \sigma_{xy^2}, \sigma_{x^2y^2}\}$. Let $M \in S_{V_3}(\text{Hyp})$.

If $M \cap \{\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_{x^2}, \sigma_{y^2}\} \neq \emptyset$ then by Theorem 3.2 we have $\alpha_{V_3}(M) = \{T\}$.

If $M = \{\sigma_{xy}\}$ then it is easy to check that $\alpha_{V_3}(M) = \mathbf{L}(V_3)$.

If $\{\sigma_{xy}, \sigma_{x^2y}, \sigma_{xy^2}, \sigma_{x^2y^2}\} \supseteq M \neq \{\sigma_{xy}\}$ then $2 \in I_{V_3}(M)$, that means, $\vartheta_{V_3}(M) \subseteq \text{Mod}\{x^2 \approx x\}$. We have $\{V' : V' \in \mathbf{L}(V_3), V' \subseteq \vartheta_{V_3}(M)\} \subseteq \{V' : V' \in \mathbf{L}(V_3), V' \subseteq \text{Mod}\{x^2 \approx x\}\} \subseteq \{T, SL\}$ because of the commutative law. Obviously $T, SL \in \{V' : V' \in \mathbf{L}(V_3), V' \subseteq \vartheta_{V_3}(M)\}$. By Theorem 3.1 we have $\alpha_{V_3}(M) = \{T, SL\}$.

Example 3.5. We have $\text{Hyp}_{V_4} = \{\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_{x^2}, \sigma_{y^2}, \sigma_{x^3}, \sigma_{y^3}, \sigma_{xy}, \sigma_{x^2y}, \sigma_{xy^2}, \sigma_{x^2y^2}, \sigma_{x^3y}, \sigma_{x^3y^2}, \sigma_{x^3y^3}, \sigma_{x^2y^3}, \sigma_{xy^3}\}$. Let $M \in S_{V_4}(\text{Hyp})$.

If $M \cap \{\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_{x^2}, \sigma_{y^2}, \sigma_{x^3}, \sigma_{y^3}\} \neq \emptyset$ then by Theorem 3.2 we have $\alpha_{V_4}(M) = \{T\}$.

If $M = \{\sigma_{xy}\}$ then it is easy to check that $\alpha_{V_4}(M) = \mathbf{L}(V_4)$.

If $\{\sigma_{xy}, \sigma_{x^2y}, \sigma_{xy^2}, \sigma_{x^2y^2}, \sigma_{x^3y}, \sigma_{x^3y^2}, \sigma_{x^3y^3}, \sigma_{x^2y^3}, \sigma_{xy^3}\} \supseteq M \neq \{\sigma_{xy}\}$ then $2 \in I_{V_4}(M)$ or $3 \in I_{V_4}(M)$, that means $\vartheta_{V_4}(M) \subseteq \text{Mod}\{x^2 \approx x\}$ or $\vartheta_{V_4}(M) \subseteq$

$\text{Mod}\{x^3 \approx x\}$. Suppose that $\vartheta_{V_4}(M) \subseteq \text{Mod}\{x^2 \approx x\}$ then similarly as in Example 3.4 we obtain that $\alpha_{V_4}(M) = \{T, SL\}$. Suppose that $\vartheta_{V_4}(M) \subseteq \text{Mod}\{x^3 \approx x\}$ then we note that from $x^3 \approx x$ and $x^4 \approx x$ it follows $x^2 \approx x$ (using that from $x^3 \approx x$ it follows $x^2 \approx x^4$). Thus also $\alpha_{V_4}(M) = \{T, SL\}$.

Example 3.6. We have $\text{Hyp}_{V_5} = \{\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_{x^2}, \sigma_{y^2}, \sigma_{x^3}, \sigma_{y^3}, \sigma_{x^4}, \sigma_{y^4}, \sigma_{xy}, \sigma_{x^2y}, \sigma_{xy^2}, \sigma_{x^2y^2}, \sigma_{x^3y}, \sigma_{x^3y^2}, \sigma_{x^3y^3}, \sigma_{x^2y^3}, \sigma_{xy^3}, \sigma_{x^4y}, \sigma_{x^4y^2}, \sigma_{x^4y^3}, \sigma_{x^4y^4}, \sigma_{x^3y^4}, \sigma_{x^2y^4}, \sigma_{xy^4}\}$. Let $M \in S_{V_5}(\text{Hyp})$.

If $M \cap \{\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_{x^2}, \sigma_{y^2}, \sigma_{x^3}, \sigma_{y^3}, \sigma_{x^4}, \sigma_{y^4}\} \neq \emptyset$ then by Theorem 3.2 we have $\alpha_{V_5}(M) = \{T\}$.

If $M = \{\sigma_{xy}\}$ then it is easy to check that $\alpha_{V_5}(M) = L(V_5)$.

If $M \cap \{\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_{x^2}, \sigma_{y^2}, \sigma_{x^3}, \sigma_{y^3}, \sigma_{x^4}, \sigma_{y^4}\} = \emptyset$ and $M \cap \{\sigma_{xy}, \sigma_{x^2y}, \sigma_{xy^2}, \sigma_{x^2y^2}, \sigma_{x^3y^2}, \sigma_{x^2y^3}, \sigma_{x^4y}, \sigma_{x^4y^2}, \sigma_{x^4y^3}, \sigma_{x^4y^4}, \sigma_{x^3y^4}, \sigma_{x^2y^4}, \sigma_{xy^4}\} \neq \emptyset$ then $2 \in I_{V_5}(M)$ or $4 \in I_{V_5}(M)$ and we note that from $x^5 \approx x$ and $x^4 \approx x$ it follows $x^2 \approx x$ (using that from $x^4 \approx x$ it follows $x^5 \approx x^2$). Similarly as in Example 3.5 we obtain that $\alpha_{V_5}(M) = \{T, SL\}$.

If $\{\sigma_{x^3y}, \sigma_{x^3y^3}, \sigma_{xy^3}\} \supseteq M \neq \{\sigma_{xy}\}$ then $3 \in I_{V_5}(M)$ and we have $\{V' : V' \in L(V_5), V' \subseteq \vartheta_{V_5}(M)\} \subseteq \{V' : V' \in L(V_5), V' \subseteq \text{Mod}\{x^3 \approx x\}\} \subseteq L(V_3)$. It is easy to check that $L(V_3) \subseteq \{V' : V' \in L(V_5), V' \subseteq \vartheta_{V_5}(M)\}$. By Theorem 3.1 we have altogether $\alpha_{V_5}(M) = L(V_3)$.

4. Other “closed” lattices. In the following we study varieties V of commutative semigroups where V satisfies an identity $x_0 \dots x_k \approx y_0 \dots y_k$ for a natural number k . We will determine all closed sublattices of $L(V)$. S_f denotes the collection of all varieties V of commutative semigroups such that there exists a natural number k with V satisfies $x_0 \dots x_k \approx y_0 \dots y_k$. Clearly, the variety Z of all zero-semigroups ($Z := \text{Mod}\{xy \approx zw\}$) is a member of S_f . The closed sublattices of $L(Z)$ and $L(Z_3)$ where Z_3 denotes the variety $Z_3 := \text{Mod}\{(xy)z \approx x(yz), xy \approx yx, x_0x_1x_2 \approx y_0y_1y_2\}$ will be given in two examples shortly. At first we characterize the lattices $\alpha_V(M)$ for any $V \in S_f$ and all $M \in S_V(\text{Hyp})$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $V \in S_f$ and let $M \in S_V(\text{Hyp})$ then $\alpha_V(M) = \{V' : V' \in L(V), V' \subseteq \text{Mod}I_V(M)\}$ if $M \cap (\{\sigma_{x^i} : 1 \leq i \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{\sigma_{y^i} : 1 \leq i \in \mathbb{N}\}) = \emptyset$ and $\alpha_V(M) = \{V' : V' \in L(V), V' \subseteq \text{Mod}(I_V(M) \cup \{x^{k(M)} \approx x^{k(M)+1}\})\}$ otherwise where $k(M)$ denotes the least natural number i with $M \cap \{\sigma_{x^i}, \sigma_{y^i}\} \neq \emptyset$ and $I_V(M) := \{x^i y^j \approx x^j y^i : 1 \leq i, j \in \mathbb{N}, \sigma_{x^i y^j} \in M\} \cup \{x^i y^{i^2} z^{i^2} \approx x^{i^2} y^{i^2} z^i : 1 \leq i \in \mathbb{N}, \sigma_{x^i y^i} \in M\}$.*

Proof. Since $V \in S_f$ there exists a natural number k with V satisfies $x_0 \dots x_k \approx y_0 \dots y_k$. Let $V' \in \alpha_V(M)$. Obviously then $V' \in L(V)$. Suppose that $M \cap (\{\sigma_{x^i} : 1 \leq i \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{\sigma_{y^i} : 1 \leq i \in \mathbb{N}\}) \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists a least natural number i with $\sigma_{x^i} \in M$ or $\sigma_{y^i} \in M$. From $xy \approx yx$ it follows $\sigma_{x^i}[xy] \approx \sigma_{x^i}[yx]$ and $\sigma_{y^i}[xy] \approx \sigma_{y^i}[yx]$, respectively. Thus $x^i \approx y^i$ is an identity in V' . From $x^i \approx y^i$ it follows $x^i \approx x^t$ for a natural number $t > k$. Then $x^{i+1} \approx x^{t+1}$. From $x_0 \dots x_k \approx y_0 \dots y_k$ and $t > k$ it follows $x^t \approx x^{t+1}$ and $x^i \approx x^t \approx x^{t+1} \approx x^{i+1}$, that means, $x^i \approx x^{i+1}$ is an identity in V' . Note that $i = k(M)$. Let $1 \leq i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\sigma_{x^i y^j} \in M$. From $xy \approx yx$ it follows $\sigma_{x^i y^j}[xy] \approx \sigma_{x^i y^j}[yx]$, that means, $x^i y^j \approx x^j y^i$ is an identity in V' . Let $1 \leq i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\sigma_{x^i y^i} \in M$. From the associative law it follows $\sigma_{x^i y^i}[(xy)z] \approx \sigma_{x^i y^i}[x(yz)]$, that means, $(x^i y^i)^i z^i \approx x^i (y^i z^i)^i$. Using the commutative law we obtain that $x^i y^{i^2} z^{i^2} \approx x^{i^2} y^{i^2} z^i$ is an identity in V' . Altogether $V' \subseteq \text{Mod}I_V(M)$ and $V' \subseteq \text{Mod}(I_V(M) \cup \{x^{k(M)} \approx x^{k(M)+1}\})$, respectively.

Conversely, let $V' \in L(V)$ with $V' \subseteq \text{Mod}I_V(M)$ and $V' \subseteq \text{Mod}(I_V(M) \cup \{x^{k(M)} \approx x^{k(M)+1}\})$, respectively. Further let $\sigma \in M$ and let $u \approx v$ be a nontrivial identity in V' . Because of the commutative law $\sigma \in \{\sigma_{x^i} : 1 \leq i \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{\sigma_{y^i} : 1 \leq i \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{\sigma_{x^i y^j} : 1 \leq i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$. At first we show that if $\sigma \in \{\sigma_{x^i} : 1 \leq i \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{\sigma_{y^i} : 1 \leq i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ then $\sigma[u] \approx \sigma[v]$. Then there exists a natural number $i \geq 1$ with $\sigma_{x^i} = \sigma$ or $\sigma_{y^i} = \sigma$. With loss of generality we assume that $\sigma_{x^i} = \sigma$. Then we have $\sigma_{x^i}[u] \approx (u_0)^a$ and $\sigma_{x^i}[v] \approx (v_0)^b$ with $a, b \in \{i^n : 1 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ where u_0 and v_0 denote the first variable in u and v , respectively. From $x^{k(M)} \approx x^{k(M)+1}$ it follows $x^{k(M)} \approx x^t$ and $x^{k(M)}y \approx x^t y$ for a natural number $t \geq k$. Using $x_0 \dots x_k \approx y_0 \dots y_k$ we have $x^{k(M)}y \approx x_0 \dots x_k$. Clearly, $i \geq k(M)$. Thus $(u_0)^a \approx x_0 \dots x_k \approx (v_0)^b$. Therefore $\sigma_{x^i}[u] \approx \sigma_{x^i}[v]$ is an identity in V' . If $\sigma = \sigma_{xy}$ then obviously $\sigma[u] \approx \sigma[v]$ is an identity in V' . If now $\sigma \in \{\sigma_{x^i y^j} : 1 \leq i, j \in \mathbb{N}\} \setminus \{\sigma_{xy}\}$ then there are natural numbers m, n and $i, j \geq 1$ and $u_0, \dots, u_m, v_0, \dots, v_n \in X$ with $u = u_0 \dots u_m, v = v_0 \dots v_n$ and $\sigma = \sigma_{x^i y^j}$. Thus $x^i y^j \approx x^j y^i$ is an identity in V' . Now we show that $\sigma[u] \approx \sigma[v]$ is an identity in V' . Here the following cases are possible:

(a) Suppose that $m = n = 0$. Obviously then $\sigma[u] \approx \sigma[v]$.

(b) Suppose that $m = 0$ and $n \geq 1$ (or $n = 0$ and $m \geq 1$). By substitution ($w \rightarrow x$ for $w \in X$) from $u \approx v$ it follows $x \approx x^t$ for a natural number $t \geq 2$. From $x_0 \dots x_k \approx y_0 \dots y_k$ it follows $x^{k+2} \approx x^{k+1}$ and using $x \approx x^t$ we obtain $x \approx x^2$. Then $\sigma[u] \approx u_0 \dots u_m \approx v_0 \dots v_n \approx \sigma[v]$.

(c) Suppose that $m = n = 1$ and $\{u_0, u_1\} = \{v_0, v_1\}$. Since $u \approx v$ is a nontrivial identity in V' it is easy to check that $u \approx v$ is the commutative law, that means $u_0 = v_1$ and $u_1 = v_0$. From $x^i y^j \approx x^j y^i$ it follows $\sigma[u] \approx u_0^i u_1^j \approx u_0^j u_1^i \approx u_1^i u_0^j \approx v_0^i v_1^j \approx \sigma[v]$.

(d) Suppose that $m = n = 1$ and $\{u_0, u_1\} \neq \{v_0, v_1\}$. Then there exists a natural number $t \geq 3$ such that $x^2 \approx x^t$ is an identity in V' . From $x_0 \dots x_k \approx y_0 \dots y_k$ and $x^2 \approx x^t$ we obtain $x^2 w \approx x_0 \dots x_k$. Because of $\sigma \neq \sigma_{xy}$ we have $i \geq 2$ or $j \geq 2$. Using $x^2 w \approx w x^2 \approx x_0 \dots x_k$ we have $\sigma[u] \approx u_0^i u_1^j \approx x_0 \dots x_k \approx v_0^i v_1^j \approx \sigma[v]$.

(e) Suppose that $m = 1$ and $n \geq 2$ (or $n = 1$ and $m \geq 2$). Then there exists an identity $x^2 \approx x^t$ in V' for a natural number $t \geq 3$. Similarly as in case (d) we obtain that $\sigma[u] \approx \sigma[v]$ is an identity in V' .

(f) Suppose that $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$ and $i \neq j$. With out loss of generality we assume that $i < j$. At first we show that from $x^i y^j \approx x^j y^i$ it follows $x^i (y^i)^j z \approx x_0 \dots x_k$. We have $x^i (y^i)^j \approx x^i y^j y^t$ with $t = (i \cdot j) - j$. Using $x^i y^j \approx x^j y^i$ we have $x^i y^j y^t \approx x^j y^i y^t \approx x^j (y^i)^i y^s \approx x^i (y^i)^j y^s$ with $s = (i \cdot j) - i^2 + i - j$. It is easy to check that from $i < j$ it follows $s \geq 1$. Altogether we have $x^i (y^i)^j \approx x^i (y^i)^j y^s$ with $s \geq 1$. Hence there exists a natural number $r \geq k$ with $x^i (y^i)^j \approx x^i (y^i)^j y^r$ and $x^i (y^i)^j z \approx x^i (y^i)^j y^r z$. Using $x_0 \dots x_k \approx y_0 \dots y_k$ we obtain $x^i (y^i)^j z \approx x_0 \dots x_k$. By the commutative law we obtain $\sigma[u] \approx u_0^i (u_1^i)^j w_u$ and $\sigma[v] \approx v_0^i (v_1^i)^j w_v$ with $w_u, w_v \in W(X)$. Using $x^i (y^i)^j z \approx x_0 \dots x_k$ we have $\sigma[u] \approx u_0^i (u_1^i)^j w_u \approx x_0 \dots x_k \approx v_0^i (v_1^i)^j w_v \approx \sigma[v]$.

In the next cases we have $i = j$ and thus $x^{i^2} y^{i^2} z^i \approx x^i y^{i^2} z^{i^2}$ is an identity in V' .

(g) Suppose that $m = n = 2$ and $\{u_0, u_1, u_2\} = \{v_0, v_1, v_2\}$. Since $u \approx v$ is a nontrivial identity in V' we have $|\{u_0, u_1, u_2\}| \geq 2$. Without loss of generality let $u_0 \neq u_1$ and $u_0 = v_0$ and $u_1 = v_1$. By substitution ($w \rightarrow w^i$ for $w \in X$) from $u \approx v$ it follows $u_0^i u_1^i u_2^i \approx v_0^i v_1^i v_2^i$ and $u_0^{i(i-1)} u_1^{i(i-1)} u_0^i u_1^i u_2^i \approx u_0^{i(i-1)} u_1^{i(i-1)} v_0^i v_1^i v_2^i$ and $u_0^{i^2} u_1^{i^2} u_2^i \approx v_0^{i^2} v_1^{i^2} v_2^i$. By $x^{i^2} y^{i^2} z^i \approx x^i y^{i^2} z^{i^2}$ and the commutative law we obtain $\sigma[u] \approx u_0^{i^2} u_1^{i^2} u_2^i \approx v_0^{i^2} v_1^{i^2} v_2^i \approx \sigma[v]$.

(h) Suppose that $m = n = 2$ and $\{u_0, u_1, u_2\} \neq \{v_0, v_1, v_2\}$. Similarly as in case (d) we obtain $x^3 w \approx x_0 \dots x_k$. From $\sigma \neq \sigma_{xy}$ it follows $i \geq 2$ and $i^2 \geq 3$. Using the commutative law and $x^3 w \approx w x^3 \approx x_0 \dots x_k$ we obtain $\sigma[u] \approx u_0^{i^2} u_1^{i^2} u_2^i \approx x_0 \dots x_k \approx v_0^{i^2} v_1^{i^2} v_2^i \approx \sigma[v]$.

(i) Suppose that $m = 2$ and $n \geq 3$ (or $m \geq 3$ and $n = 2$). Similarly as in

case (e) we obtain that $\sigma[u] \approx \sigma[v]$ is an identity in V' .

(j) Suppose that $m \geq 3$ and $n \geq 3$. At first we show that from $x^{i^2}y^{i^2}z^i \approx x^iy^{i^2}z^{i^2}$ it follows $x^iy^{i^2}z^{i^3}w \approx x_0 \dots x_k$. We have $x^iy^{i^2}z^{i^3} \approx x^iy^{i^2}z^{i^2}z^t$ with $t = i^3 - i^2$. Using $x^{i^2}y^{i^2}z^i \approx x^iy^{i^2}z^{i^2}$ we have $x^iy^{i^2}z^{i^2}z^t \approx x^{i^2}y^{i^2}z^iz^t \approx x^{i^2}y^{i^2}(z^i)^iz^s \approx x^iy^{i^2}z^{i^3}z^s$ with $s = i^3 - 2i^2 + i$. Altogether we have $x^iy^{i^2}z^{i^3} \approx x^iy^{i^2}z^{i^3}z^s$ with $s \geq 1$ because of $i \geq 2$. Then there exists a natural number $r \geq k$ with $x^iy^{i^2}z^{i^3} \approx x^iy^{i^2}z^{i^3}z^r$ and $x^iy^{i^2}z^{i^3}w \approx x^iy^{i^2}z^{i^3}z^rw$. Using $x_0 \dots x_k \approx y_0 \dots y_k$ we obtain $x^iy^{i^2}z^{i^3}w \approx x_0 \dots x_k$. Using the commutative law and by $x^{i^2}y^{i^2}z^i \approx x^iy^{i^2}z^{i^2}$ we have then $\sigma[u] \approx u_0^i u_1^{i^2} u_2^{i^3} w_u \approx x_0 \dots x_k \approx v_0^i v_1^{i^2} v_2^{i^3} w_v \approx \sigma[v]$, for $w_u, w_v \in W(X)$. Altogether $(\sigma, V') \in R_V$. Consequently, $(\sigma', V') \in R_V$ for each $\sigma' \in M$, that means, $V' \in \alpha_V(M)$. \square

We can illustrate Theorem 4.1 by the following two examples.

Example 4.2. Obviously, $\text{Hyp}_Z = \{\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_{xy}\}$ and $S_Z(\text{Hyp}) = \{M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4\}$ with $M_1 = \{\sigma_x, \sigma_{xy}\}$, $M_2 = \{\sigma_y, \sigma_{xy}\}$, $M_3 = \{\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_{xy}\}$ and $M_4 = \{\sigma_{xy}\}$. Then we have $\text{Mod}I_Z(M_4) = \text{Mod}\{xy \approx xy\}$ and $\text{Mod}(I_Z(M) \cup \{x^{k(M)} \approx x^{k(M)+1}\}) = \text{Mod}\{x \approx x^2\}$ for $M \in \{M_1, M_2, M_3\}$. Then by Theorem 4.1 it is easy to check that $\alpha_Z(M_4) = L(Z) = \{T, Z\}$ and $\alpha_Z(M_i) = \{T\}$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

Example 4.3. We have $\text{Hyp}_{Z_3} = \{\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_{x^2}, \sigma_{y^2}, \sigma_{x^3}, \sigma_{xy}\}$. Let $M \in S_{Z_3}(\text{Hyp})$. If $M \cap \{\sigma_x, \sigma_y\} \neq \emptyset$ then $k(M) = 1$. It is easy to check that $\{V' : V' \in L(Z_3), V' \subseteq \text{Mod}\{x \approx x^2\}\} = \{T\}$. Thus $\alpha_{Z_3}(M) = \{T\}$ by Theorem 4.1. If $M \cap \{\sigma_x, \sigma_y\} = \emptyset$ and $M \cap \{\sigma_{x^2}, \sigma_{y^2}\} \neq \emptyset$ then $k(M) = 2$ and we have $\alpha_{Z_3}(M) = \{V' : V' \in L(Z_3), V' \subseteq \text{Mod}\{x^2 \approx x^3\}\}$ by Theorem 4.1. If $M \cap \{\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_{x^2}, \sigma_{y^2}\} = \emptyset$ then $M = \{\sigma_{xy}\}$ or $M = \{\sigma_{x^3}, \sigma_{xy}\}$. If $M = \{\sigma_{xy}\}$ then by Theorem 4.1 we have $\alpha_{Z_3}(M) = L(Z_3)$. If $M = \{\sigma_{x^3}, \sigma_{xy}\}$ then $k(M) = 3$ and by Theorem 4.1 we obtain $\alpha_{Z_3}(M) = \{V' : V' \in L(Z_3), V' \subseteq \text{Mod}\{x^3 \approx x^4\}\}$. Since from $x_0x_1x_2 \approx y_0y_1y_2$ it follows $x^3 \approx x^4$ we have $\alpha_{Z_3}(M) = L(Z_3)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. DENECKE. Pre-solid varieties. *Demonstratio Math.* **XXVII**, 3-4 (1994), 741-750.

- [2] K. DENECKE, J. KOPPITZ. Hyperassociative semigroups. *Semigroup Forum* **49** (1994), 41-48.
- [3] K. DENECKE, J. KOPPITZ. M -solid varieties of semigroups. *Discuss. Math. Algebra and Stochastic* **15** (1995), 23-41.
- [4] K. DENECKE, M. REICHEL. Monoids of hypersubstitutions and M -solid varieties. *Contrib. General Algebra* **9** (1995), 117-126.
- [5] K. DENECKE, S. L. WISMATH. Solid varieties of semigroups. *Semigroup Forum* **48** (1994), 219-234.
- [6] L. POLÁK. All solid varieties of semigroups. Abstract, 1994.
- [7] W. TAYLOR. Hyperidentities and hypervarieties. *Aequationes Math.* **23** (1981), 30-49.

*Rennbahnring 1
06124 Halle
Germany*

*Received January 29, 1996
Revised August 12, 1996*