

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Serdica

Mathematical Journal

Сердика

Математическо списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.
Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Serdica Mathematical Journal
which is the new series of
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica>
or contact: Editorial Office
Serdica Mathematical Journal
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

THE SPACE OF DIFFERENCES OF CONVEX FUNCTIONS ON $[0, 1]$

M. Zippin*

Communicated by L. Tzafriri

ABSTRACT. The space $K[0, 1]$ of differences of convex functions on the closed interval $[0, 1]$ is investigated as a dual Banach space. It is proved that a continuous function f on $[0, 1]$ belongs to $K[0, 1]$ if, and only if,

$$(*) \quad \infty > \|f\| = |f(0)| + |f(1)| + 2 \sup_n \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n-1}-1} i |f(i2^{-n}) - \frac{1}{2}f((i-1)2^{-n}) - \frac{1}{2}f((i+1)2^{-n})| + \sum_{i=2^{n-1}}^{2^n-1} (2^n - i) |f(i2^{-n}) - \frac{1}{2}f((i-1)2^{-n}) - \frac{1}{2}f((i+1)2^{-n})| \right\}.$$

Under the norm $\| \cdot \|$, $K[0, 1]$ has a predual isometric to $C(F)$, the space of continuous functions on $F = \{-1\} \cup [0, 1] \cup \{2\}$. The isometry between the $L_1(\mu)$ -space $C(F)^*$ and $K[0, 1]$ maps the positive cone of $L_1(\mu)$ onto the set of all non positive convex functions on $[0, 1]$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 46B20.

Key words: L -preduals, convex functions.

*Participant in Workshop in Linear Analysis and Probability, Texas A & M University, College Station, Texas, 2000. Research partially supported by the Edmund Landau Center for Research in Mathematical Analysis and related areas, sponsored by Minerva Foundation (Germany).

1. Introduction. The space $BVN[0, 1]$ of differences $f = g - h$ of monotonely increasing functions g and h on $[0, 1]$ has been thoroughly investigated as a Banach space under the norm of the total variation. It is well-known that $BVN[0, 1]$ is isometric to the dual space of $C[0, 1]$, the space of continuous functions; and, by Kakutani's axiomatic characterization of L -spaces [1], it is an $L_1(\mu)$ space. Less familiar is the space $K[0, 1]$ of differences $f = g - h$ of convex functions on the closed interval $[0, 1]$. The purpose of this paper is to investigate $K[0, 1]$ as a dual Banach space under certain natural norms. The space $BVN[0, 1]$ draws attention mainly because of the relation $BVN[0, 1] = C[0, 1]^*$ and the fact that its natural norm is the precise dual norm. Analogously we wish to construct a space $\mathcal{A}(S)$ of affine continuous functions on a metrizable Choquet simplex S such that $K[0, 1] = \mathcal{A}(S)^*$. This relation suggests a "natural" norm on $K[0, 1]$, namely, the dual norm, which happens to be

$$\begin{aligned}
 (*) \quad |f| &= |f(0)| + |f(1)| \\
 &+ 2 \sup_n \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{2^n-1} i \left| f(i2^{-n}) - \frac{1}{2}f((i-1)2^{-n}) - \frac{1}{2}f((i+1)2^{-n}) \right| \right. \\
 &\left. + \sum_{i=2^{n-1}}^{2^n-1} (2^n - i) \left| f(i2^{-n}) - \frac{1}{2}f((i-1)2^{-n}) - \frac{1}{2}f((i+1)2^{-n}) \right| \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

As the dual of $\mathcal{A}(S)$, the space $K[0, 1]$ is known to be an $L_1(\mu)$ space (see e.g. [2]). It turns out that the natural isometry of $L_1(\mu)$ onto $K[0, 1]$ maps the positive cone onto the cone Π of non-positive convex functions on $[0, 1]$. The cone Π determines a natural lattice structure on $K[0, 1]$. Since any convex function \hat{g} on $[0, 1]$ is continuous in the open interval, \hat{g} can be represented as a linear combination $\hat{g} = \alpha_0 W_0 + \alpha_1 W_1 + g$, where g is a continuous convex function on $[0, 1]$ while W_0 and W_1 are the (convex) indicator functions of the singleton subsets $\{0\}$ and $\{1\}$ of $[0, 1]$, respectively. Denoting by $K^C[0, 1]$ the space of differences of continuous convex functions on $[0, 1]$ we have that $K[0, 1] = K^C[0, 1] + [W_0, W_1]$.

At this point we do not know what the "natural" norm on $K[0, 1]$ should be. But we certainly wish the norm to express the nature of the space elements, namely, differences of convex functions. A reasonable choice of a temporary norm for $K[0, 1]$ is

$$(1.1) \quad |||f||| = \inf \{ \|g\|_\infty + \|h\|_\infty : f = g - h, \text{ where } g \text{ and } h \text{ are convex on } [0, 1] \}$$

with $\| \cdot \|_\infty$ denoting the sup norm. However, an equivalent but slightly different norm is easier to work with.

Let M denote the convex cone of non negative convex functions on $[0, 1]$. It will somewhat simplify our computations in the sequel if we norm $K[0, 1]$ by

$$(1.2) \quad \|f\| = \inf\{\|g\|_\infty + \|h\|_\infty : f = g - h \text{ where } g, h \in M\}$$

instead of (1.1). Clearly, these two norms are equivalent with $\|f\| \leq \|f\| \leq 3\|f\|$ for all $f \in K[0, 1]$. At this point we do not know that the normed space $K[0, 1]$ is complete. Completeness will be established in Section 2. For each $0 \leq t \leq 1$ let $\delta(t)$ denote the point evaluation functional at t . Let Δ denote the norm closed linear span of $\{\delta(t) : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ in $K[0, 1]^*$ and put $\Gamma = [\delta(t) : 0 < t < 1]$.

In Section 2 we prove that, under the norm $\| \cdot \|$, the spaces $K^C[0, 1]$ and $K[0, 1]$ are isometric to the spaces Γ^* and Δ^* , respectively. Sections 3 and 4 are devoted to the study of certain pavements of finite dimensional subspaces of $K[0, 1]$ and Δ . In Section 5 we construct the “simplex space” $\mathcal{A}(S)$ which is isomorphic to Δ and induces the natural structure of $K[0, 1]$. In Section 6 we investigate the simplex S itself and show that its extreme boundary ∂S (= the set of extreme points of S) is homeomorphic to the space $F = [0, 1] \cup \{-1\} \cup \{2\}$. It is concluded that $K[0, 1]$ is isometric to $C(F)^*$, the space of regular Borel measures on F . Let $G(0, 0) = 0 = G(1, 1), G(x, -1) = x - 1$ and $G(x, 2) = -x$ for all $0 \leq x \leq 1$ and let

$$(1.3) \quad G(x, t) = \begin{cases} x - 1 & \text{if either } 0 \leq t < x \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{ or } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1 \\ -x & \text{if either } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1 \text{ or } \frac{1}{2} \leq x < t \leq 1 \\ (1 - t^{-1})x & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ (1 - t)^{-1}t(x - 1) & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq x \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

We show that the map $\tau : C(F)^* \rightarrow K[0, 1]$, defined for every $0 \leq x \leq 1$ by

$$(1.4) \quad \tau(\mu)(x) = \int_F G(x, t) d\mu(t)$$

is a surjective isometry which maps the positive cone of $C(F)^*$ onto the cone of non positive convex functions on $[0, 1]$. In Section 7 we present an algorithm which constructs a unique pair of non positive convex functions g and h for a given $f \in K[0, 1]$, satisfying $f = g - h$ and $|f| = |g| + |h|$.

The space of differences of convex functions with *bounded one side derivatives* at the boundary has been studied in the literature (see. e.g., [5, 4]). This

space is denoted by $BC[0, 1]$ and its norm, as suggested by F. Riesz's paper, is the following

$$\begin{aligned}
 (**) \quad \|f\| &= |f(0)| + |f'_r(0)| \\
 &+ \sup_P \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left| \frac{f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_i)}{x_{i+1} - x_i} - \frac{f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})}{x_i - x_{i-1}} \right| : \right. \\
 &\left. P = \{x_i\}_{i=0}^n \text{ a partition of } [0, 1] \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

However, $BC[0, 1]$ does not include important classes of convex functions. Our main tools are taken from the theory of $L_1(\mu)$ preduals. For information about these spaces the reader is referred to [3], [2] and [6].

Notation. We use standard Banach space notation as can be found in [3]. In particular, if $M = \{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is a subset of a Banach space X then $[M]$ and $[x_\alpha]_{\alpha \in A}$ denote the norm closed linear span of $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$. $\text{Ball}(X)$ denotes the closed unit ball of X . The Banach-Mazur distance between the isomorphic spaces X and Y is denoted by $d(X, Y)$. We consider only real Banach spaces.

2. The dual space of Δ . The facts described in this section depend on the special properties of the classical Schauder basis of the space $C[0, 1]$. Let $\{f_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$ denote the Schauder basis and let $\{\psi_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$ be the corresponding biorthogonal functionals.

Recall that $f_0 \equiv 1$, $f_1(t) = t$, $\psi_0 = \delta(0)$, $\psi_1 = \delta(1) - \delta(0)$, for $n \geq 0$ and $1 \leq i \leq 2^n$, $f_{2^n+i}(t) = 0$ at the points 0 , $(2i - 2)2^{-n-1}$, $(2i)2^{-n-1}$ and 1 ; $f_{2^n+i}((2i - 1)2^{-n-1}) = 1$ and f_{2^n+i} is linear in between. Also,

$$\psi_{2^n+i} = \delta((2i - 1)2^{-n-1}) - \frac{1}{2}\delta((2i - 2)2^{-n-1}) - \frac{1}{2}\delta((2i)2^{-n-1}).$$

It is known that $\{f_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$ is a monotone basis of $C[0, 1]$, i.e., if Q_j denotes the natural basis projection of $C[0, 1]$ onto $[f_i]_{i=0}^j$ (that is, $Q_j(\sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i f_i) = \sum_{i=1}^j a_i f_i$) then $\|Q_j\|_\infty = 1$ for all $0 \leq j < \infty$. It is also known that, for each $j = 2^m + i$ and $f \in C[0, 1]$, $Q_j(f)$ is the unique continuous, piecewise linear function on $[0, 1]$ which agrees with f at each of the points $0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, 2^{-m}, 3 \cdot 2^{-m}, \dots, (2^m - 1)2^{-m}, 2^{-m-1}, \dots, (2i - 1)2^{-m-1}$ and is linear in between. It follows that

(2.1) For each $j \geq 1$, $Q_j(f)$ is nonnegative if f is and $Q_j(f)$ is convex if f is convex.

It is easy to check that each f_j is in $K[0, 1]$, however, the sequence $\{f_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$ does not span the whole space $K[0, 1]$ as this space is non separable. Let $K_0 = [f_j]_{j=0}^\infty \cap K[0, 1]$.

Lemma 2.1. *For each $j \geq 0$ Q_j is a projection with norm 1 on $K^C[0, 1]$. The Schauder functions $\{f_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$ form a monotone basis of K_0 .*

Proof. Let $f \in K^C[0, 1]$ and assume that $\|f\| = 1$. Hence, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist non negative continuous convex functions g and h such that $\|g\|_\infty + \|h\|_\infty < 1 + \varepsilon$ and $f = g - h$. By (2.1), for each j , $Q_j g$ and $Q_j h$ are non negative continuous convex functions with $\|Q_j g\|_\infty + \|Q_j h\|_\infty \leq \|g\|_\infty + \|h\|_\infty < 1 + \varepsilon$. Since $Q_j f = Q_j g - Q_j h$ we get that $\|Q_j f\| \leq 1$. \square

Let us now discuss the properties of the subspace $\Delta_0 = [\psi_j]_{j=0}^\infty$ of $K[0, 1]^*$. It is easy to see that, for each $k \geq 1$, the subspace $\Delta_k = [\psi_j]_{j=0}^{2^k} = [\delta(j2^{-k})]_{j=0}^{2^k}$ hence $\Delta_0 \subset \Delta$. In fact $\Delta_0 = \Delta$ because of the following

Example 2.2. *For every $0 < s < t < 1$*

$$(2.2) \quad \|\delta(s) - \delta(t)\| = |s - t| \max\{t^{-1}, (1 - s)^{-1}\}.$$

Proof. Suppose that $g \in M \cap \text{Ball}(K[0, 1])$ then

$$|(\delta(s) - \delta(t))(g)| = |g(s) - g(t)| = |a| |s - t|,$$

where a is the slope of the chord joining $(s, g(s))$ and $(t, g(t))$. Since g is convex, we have that

$$t^{-1}(g(t) - g(0)) \leq a \leq (1 - s)^{-1}(g(1) - g(s))$$

and therefore, because g is non negative,

$$\begin{aligned} |(\delta(s) - \delta(t))(g)| &\leq |s - t| \max\{t^{-1}|g(0) - g(t)|, (1 - s)^{-1}|g(1) - g(s)|\} \\ &\leq |s - t| \max\{t^{-1}, (1 - s)^{-1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $0 \leq s < t \leq 1$ and $g(u) = \max\{1 - t^{-1}u, 0\}$ for $0 \leq u \leq 1$ then

$$g \in M \cap \text{Ball } K[0, 1] \quad \text{and} \quad |(\delta(s) - \delta(t))(g)| = |g(s) - g(t)| = 1 - t^{-1}s = t^{-1}|s - t|.$$

Let $h(u) = \max\{(1 - s)^{-1}(u - 1) + 1, 0\}$ then $h \in M \cap \text{Ball}(K[0, 1])$ and $|(\delta(s) - \delta(t))(h)| = |h(s) - h(t)| = |s - t|(1 - s)^{-1}$. This proves (2.2). \square

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that, for every $f \in K_0$ and $\varphi \in \Delta$,

$$(2.3) \quad \|f\| = \sup\{\langle \psi, f \rangle : \psi \in \text{Ball}(\Delta)\} \text{ and } \|\varphi\| = \sup\{\langle \varphi, g \rangle : g \in \text{Ball}(K_0)\}.$$

Let $J : K[0, 1] \rightarrow \Delta^*$ denote the natural embedding defined by $(Jf)(\delta(t)) = f(t)$. Then, by (2.3), $J|_{K_0}$ is an isometric embedding. Put $\Gamma = [\delta(t)]_{0 < t < 1}$. We will now prove

Proposition 2.3. (a) *The map $J : K[0, 1] \rightarrow \Delta^*$ is an isometric isomorphism onto Δ^* hence $K[0, 1]$ is complete. Moreover, there is projection Q of Δ^* onto $J(K^C[0, 1])$ with $\|Q\| = 1$ and Kernel $(Q) = [\delta(t)]_{0 < t < 1}^\perp = \Gamma^\perp$.
 (b) $K^C[0, 1]$ is isometric to Γ^* .*

Proof. The standard separation theorem shows that, by (2.3), $\text{Ball}(J(K_0))$ is ω^* dense in $\text{Ball}(\Delta^*)$. Put $J(K_0) = \hat{K}_0$ and let us denote $J(f)$ by \hat{f} for every $f \in K[0, 1]$. Let $x^* \in \Delta^*$ and assume that $\|x^*\| = 1$. Then there exists a sequence $\{\hat{e}_n\} \subset \hat{K}_0$ with $\|e_n\| = \|\hat{e}_n\| < 1$ so that each e_n is a finite linear combination of $\{f_j\}$ and $x^* = \omega^* \lim \hat{e}_n$. By the definition of $\|\cdot\|$, for each $n \geq 1$ there exist g_n and $h_n \in M \cap \text{Ball}(K_0)$ so that $e_n = g_n - h_n$ and $\|g_n\|_\infty + \|h_n\|_\infty \leq 1$. By passing to a subsequence, we may assume the existence of $\omega^* \lim \hat{g}_n = g^*$ and $\omega^* \lim \hat{h}_n = h^*$. Clearly, $g^* - h^* = x^*$ and $\|g^*\| + \|h^*\| \leq 1$. Let $g_0(t) = g^*(\delta(t))$ and $h_0(t) = h^*(\delta(t))$ for all $0 \leq t \leq 1$. As pointwise limits of convex functions, g_0 and h_0 are convex. Therefore $x^* = \hat{g}_0 - \hat{h}_0 \in J(K[0, 1])$ and $\|g_0\|_\infty + \|h_0\|_\infty \leq 1$. Hence $\|g_0 - h_0\| \leq 1$ and $x^* = J(g_0 - h_0)$. Let $f \in K[0, 1]$ and assume that $\|J(f)\| = 1$. Put $x^* = J(f)$ then the above argument shows that $\|J(f)\| = \|f\|$. We have thus proved (a) and the completeness of $K[0, 1]$.

Let us now correct the discontinuities of g_0 and h_0 at the end of points by defining $g(t) = g_0(t)$ and $h(t) = h_0(t)$ for $0 < t < 1$, $g(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} g(t)$, $g(1) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} g(t)$, $h(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} h(t)$ and $h(1) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} h(t)$. Then g and h are non negative continuous convex functions on $[0, 1]$ and $\|g\|_\infty + \|h\|_\infty \leq 1$. Put $f_0 = g - h$ then $f_0 \in K^C[0, 1]$ and

$$(2.4) \quad x^*(\delta(t)) = f_0(t) \quad \text{for all } 0 < t < 1.$$

It follows that the map $Q : \Delta^* \rightarrow J(K^C[0, 1])$ defined by $Qx^* = f_0$ is a projection with $\|Q\| = 1$ and Kernel $(Q) = [\delta(t)]_{0 < t < 1}^\perp = \Gamma^\perp$. Indeed, (2.4) ensures that $Q(x^*)$ is well defined (independently of the sequences $\{e_n\}, \{g_n\}$ and $\{h_n\}$) and the inequality $\|g\|_\infty + \|h\|_\infty \leq 1$ implies that $\|Q\| = 1$. To determine Γ^* , note that $\Gamma \subset \Delta$, hence Γ^* is naturally isometric to $\Delta^*/\Gamma^\perp = \Delta^*/(\text{kernel } Q) \cong Q(\Delta^*) = J(K^C[0, 1])$. This middle isomorphism is, in fact, an isometry because, as is easily checked, for every $x^* \in \Delta^*$, $\inf\{\|x^* - w\| : w = \alpha_0 W_0 + \alpha_1 W_1\} = \|Qx^*\|$. This proves Proposition 2.3. \square

Remark 2.4. It follows from (2.2) that $\Delta = \text{span}\{\delta(0), \delta(1), \Gamma\}$. Hence $\Delta^* = \text{span}\{W_0, W_1, K^C[0, 1]\}$ where, as mentioned above, W_0 and W_1 can be identified with the convex (discontinuous) indicator functions $W_0 = 1_{\{0\}}$ and $W_1 = 1_{\{1\}}$ of the singleton subsets $\{0\}$ and $\{1\}$ of $[0, 1]$, respectively.

3. The structure of $\text{Ball}([f_j]_{j=0}^{2^n})$. Consider the subspace $K_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Q_{2^n}(K_0) = \text{span}\{f_j\}_{j=0}^{2^n}$ in $K[0, 1]$. In this section we investigate the structure of $\text{Ball}(K_n)$ as a convex set. We start with the identification of the extreme points of the convex set

$$A_n = \{f \in M \cap \text{Ball}(K_n) : f(1) = 0\}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *The extreme points of A_n are the functions $\{g_i^n\}_{i=1}^{2^n}$ where $g_i^n(t) = 1 - 2^{n-i-1}t$ if $0 \leq t \leq i2^{-n}$ and $g_i^n(t) = 0$ otherwise.*

Proof. It is easy to see that each g_i^n is an extreme point of A_n . Let us show that $A_n = \text{conv}\{g_i^n\}_{i=1}^{2^n}$. Pick $g \in A_n$ and assume that $g(0) = 1$. We will show that there exist $\alpha_i \geq 0 (1 \leq i \leq 2^n)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \alpha_i = 1$ so that

$$(3.1) \quad g = \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \alpha_i g_i^n.$$

Since g and g_i^n are linear in each interval $[(j-1)2^{-n}, j2^{-n}]$, the problem is that of solving the following system of 2^n linear equations in 2^n variables $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^{2^n}$:

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \alpha_i = 1 \\ \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \alpha_i g_i^n(j2^{-n}) = g(j2^{-n}) \quad 1 \leq j \leq 2^n - 1. \end{cases}$$

But $g_i^n(\frac{j}{2^n}) = 0$ if $j \geq i$, therefore (3.2) reduces to the triangular system

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \alpha_i = 1 \\ \sum_{i=j+1}^{2^n} \alpha_i (1 - ji^{-1}) = g(j2^{-n}) \quad 1 \leq j \leq 2^n - 1. \end{cases}$$

Let us first agree that $g(t)$ denotes 0 whenever $t \geq 1$. Starting with the last equation we get $\alpha_{2^n} = 2^n g((2^n - 1)2^{-n})$ and going down one easily proves by induction that for every $0 \leq k < 2^n$

$$(3.4) \quad \alpha_{2^n - k} = (2^n - k)[g((2^n - (k + 1))2^{-n}) - 2g((2^n - k)2^{-n}) + g((2^n - k + 1)2^{-n})]$$

Note that $\alpha_i \geq 0$ because g is convex. With these values of α_i ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n - 1} \alpha_{2^n - k} &= 2^n g((2^n - 1)2^{-n}) + (2^n - 1)[g((2^n - 2)2^{-n}) - 2g(2^n - 1)2^{-n}] + \\ &\quad + (2^n - 2)[g(2^n - 3)2^{-n}) - 2g((2^n - 2)2^{-n}) + g((2^n - 1)2^{-n})] + \\ &\quad + (2^n - 3)[g((2^n - 4)2^{-n}) - 2g((2^n - 3)2^{-n}) + g((2^n - 2)2^{-n})] + \\ &\quad + \dots + \\ &\quad + 3[g(2 \cdot 2^{-n}) - 2g(3 \cdot 2^{-n}) + g(4 \cdot 2^{-n})] + \\ &\quad + 2[g(2^{-n}) - 2g(2 \cdot 2^{-n}) + g(3 \cdot 2^{-n})] + \\ &\quad + [g(0) - 2g(2^{-n}) + g(2 \cdot 2^{-n})] = \\ &= g((2^n - 1)2^{-n})[2^n - 2(2^n - 1) + (2^n - 2)] + \\ &\quad + g((2^n - 2)2^{-n})[2^n - 1 - 2(2^n - 2) + (2^n - 3)] + \\ &\quad + g((2^n - 3)2^{-n})[2^n - 2 - 2(2^n - 3) + (2^n - 4)] + \dots + \\ &\quad + g(2 \cdot 2^{-n})[3 - 4 + 1] + \\ &\quad + g(2^{-n})[2 - 2] + \\ &\quad + g(0) = g(0) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

This proves Lemma 3.1. \square

The functions $g_i^n(t) = \max\{1 - 2^ni^{-1}t, 0\}$ ($1 \leq i \leq 2^n$) and their symmetric images with respect to $\frac{1}{2}$,

$$\tilde{g}_i^n(t) = g_{2^n - i}^n(1 - t) = \max\{(2^n - i)^{-1}2^n(t - 1) + 1, 0\} \quad (0 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1)$$

play an important role in the following computations.

Corollary 3.2. *For every $n \geq 1$*

$$(3.5) \quad M \cap \text{Ball}(K_n) \subset 2\text{conv}\{\{g_i^n\}_{i=1}^{2^n}, \{\tilde{g}_i^n\}_{i=0}^{2^n - 1}\}$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad \text{Ball}(K_n) \subset 2\text{conv}\{\{\pm g_i^n\}_{i=1}^{2^n}, \{\pm \tilde{g}_i^n\}_{i=0}^{2^n - 1}\}.$$

Proof. First note that the function $1 = g_{2^n}^n + \tilde{g}_0^n$. Let $f \in M \cap \text{Ball}(K_n)$ and assume that $\min\{f(t) : 0 \leq t \leq 1\} = \beta = f(t_0)$. Then $\|f - \beta 1\| = \|f\| - \beta$ and

$f = \beta 1 + g + h$ where $g(t) = f(t) - \beta$ if $0 \leq t \leq t_0$, $g(t) = 0$ if $t_0 \leq t \leq 1$, $h(t) = f(t) - \beta$ if $t_0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $h(t) = 0$ if $0 \leq t \leq t_0$. Clearly, $|\beta| + \|g + h\| \leq 1$. if g is not identically 0 then $g(0) = \|g\| \neq 0$ and $g(0)^{-1}g$ is a member of the set A_n defined in Lemma 3.1. If $h \neq 0$ then $h(1) = \|h\| \neq 0$ and the function $h(1)^{-1}h$ is a symmetric image of a member of A_n with respect to the point $t = \frac{1}{2}$. By Lemma 3.1, $g(0)^{-1}g$ is a convex combination of $\{g_i^n\}_{i=1}^{2^n}$ and $h(1)^{-1}h$ is a convex combination of $\{\tilde{g}_i^n\}_{i=0}^{2^n-1}$ hence $f = \beta 1 + g(0) \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \alpha_i g_i^n + h(1) \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} \beta_i \tilde{g}_i^n$ with $\beta \geq 0$, $\alpha_i \geq 0$, $\beta_i \geq 0$ and $\beta + \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} g(0)\alpha_i + \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} h(1)\beta_i = \beta + g(0) + h(1) \leq 2$. This proves (3.5).

By the definition of $\| \cdot \|$, each $f \in \text{Ball}(K_n)$ is a convex combination of some f_1 and $-f_2$ with $f_1, f_2 \in M \cap \text{Ball}(K_n)$ therefore, (3.5) implies (3.6).

This proves Corollary 3.2. \square

4. The structure of Δ_n . Recall that, by (2.3), the subspace $\Delta_n = [\psi_j]_{j=0}^{2^n}$ is isometric to the dual of K_n (under the norm $\| \cdot \|$). For each $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1$ let $\tilde{w}_i^n = \delta(i2^{-n}) - \frac{1}{2}\delta((i-1)2^{-n}) - \frac{1}{2}\delta((i+1)2^{-n})$. Put $\tilde{w}_0^n = \delta(0)$ and $\tilde{w}_{2^n}^n = \delta(1)$ then, as is easily checked $\Delta_n = [\tilde{w}_i^n]_{i=0}^{2^n}$.

Proposition 4.1. For every $n \geq 1$,

$$d(\Delta_n, \ell_\infty^{2^n+1}) \leq 8.$$

Proof. We wish to estimate $\left\| \sum_{i=0}^{2^n} a_i \tilde{w}_i^n \right\|$ from above and from below. This is done in two steps. We start with the computation of $\langle \tilde{w}_i^n, g_j^n \rangle, \langle \tilde{w}_i^n, \tilde{g}_j^n \rangle$ and $\|\tilde{w}_i^n\|$.

Lemma 4.2. For all $1 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1$, $1 \leq j \leq 2^n$ and $0 \leq h \leq 2^n - 1$

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{w}_i^n, g_j^n \rangle &= -(2i)^{-1} \delta_{i,j}, \quad \langle \tilde{w}_i^n, \tilde{g}_h^n \rangle = -[2(2^n - i)]^{-1} \delta_{i,h} \\ \|\tilde{w}_0^n\| &= \langle \tilde{w}_0^n, g_{2^n}^n \rangle = 1, \quad \|\tilde{w}_{2^n}^n\| = \langle \tilde{w}_{2^n}^n, \tilde{g}_0^n \rangle = 1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} \max\{i^{-1}, (2^n - i)^{-1}\} \leq \|\tilde{w}_i^n\| \leq \max\{i^{-1}, (2^n - i)^{-1}\}.$$

Proof. Clearly, $\|\tilde{w}_0^n\| = \|\delta(0)\| = 1 = \|\delta(1)\| = \|w_{2^n}^n\|$ and $\langle \tilde{w}_0^n, g_{2^n}^n \rangle = g_{2^n}^n(0) = 1 = \tilde{g}_0^n(1) = \langle \tilde{w}_0^n, \tilde{g}_0^n \rangle$. By (2.2), for each $1 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{w}_i^n\| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\delta(i2^{-n}) - \delta((i-1)2^{-n})\| + \frac{1}{2} \|\delta(i2^{-n}) - \delta((i+1)2^{-n})\| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \max\{i^{-1}, (2^n - i + 1)^{-1}\} + \frac{1}{2} \max\{(i+1)^{-1}, (2^n - i)^{-1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\|\tilde{w}_i^n\| \leq i^{-1}$ if $1 \leq i \leq 2^{n-1}$ while $\|\tilde{w}_i^n\| \leq (2^n - i)^{-1}$ if $2^{n-1} \leq i \leq 2^n - 1$. Clearly, $\langle \tilde{w}_i^n, \tilde{g}_j^n \rangle = 0 = \langle w_i^n, \tilde{g}_j^n \rangle$ whenever $i \neq j$. If $1 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{w}_i^n, g_i^n \rangle &= \left\langle -\frac{1}{2} \delta((i-1)2^{-n}), g_i^n \right\rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} g_i^n((i-1)2^{-n}) = -\frac{1}{2} (1 - i^{-1} 2^n (i-1)2^{-n}) = -(2i)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|g_i^n\| = 1$, we get, for all $1 \leq i \leq 2^{n-1}$, that $1 = \langle -(2i)\tilde{w}_i^n, g_i^n \rangle \leq \|-(2i)\tilde{w}_i^n\| \leq 2$. Similarly, if $1 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{w}_i^n, \tilde{g}_i^n \rangle &= \left\langle -\frac{1}{2} \delta((i+1)2^{-n}), \tilde{g}_i^n \right\rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}_i^n((i+1)2^{-n}) = -\frac{1}{2} [(2^n - i)^{-1} 2^n ((i+1)2^{-n} - 1) + 1] \\ &= -[2(2^n - i)]^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Again, since $\|\tilde{g}_i^n\| = 1$, we have that, for $2^{n-1} \leq i \leq 2^n - 1$,

$$1 \leq \langle -2(2^n - i)\tilde{w}_i^n, \tilde{g}_i^n \rangle \leq \| -2(2^n - i)\tilde{w}_i^n \| \leq 2.$$

This proves (4.1). \square

Let us define

$$\begin{aligned} w_0^n &= -\tilde{w}_0^n = -\delta(0), \quad w_{2^n}^n = -\tilde{w}_{2^n}^n = -\delta(1) \\ w_i^n &= -(2i)\tilde{w}_i^n \quad \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq 2^{n-1} \\ &\text{and } w_i^n = -2(2^n - i)\tilde{w}_i^n \quad \text{if } 2^{n-1} < i \leq 2^n - 1. \end{aligned}$$

In order to complete the proof of Proposition 4.1 we need

Lemma 4.3. For every $n \geq 1$ and any sequence $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^{2^n}$ of numbers,

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{1}{2} \max |a_i| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=0}^{2^n} a_i w_i^n \right\| \leq 4 \max |a_i|.$$

Proof. By Corollary 3.2, for each $w \in \Delta_n$

$$\|w\| \leq 2 \max\{\max\{|\langle w, g_i^n \rangle| : 1 \leq i \leq 2^n\}, \max\{|\langle w, \tilde{g}_i^n \rangle| : 0 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1\}\}.$$

If $w = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n} a_i w_i^n$ then, by (4.1),

$$\begin{aligned} &\max\{|\langle w, g_i^n \rangle| : 1 \leq i \leq 2^n\} = \\ &\max\{\max\{|-a_0 + a_i| : 1 \leq i < 2^{n-1}\}, \max\{|-a_0 + a_i(2^n - i)i^{-1}| : \\ &2^{n-1} \leq i \leq 2^n - 1\}\} \leq 2 \max\{|a_i| : 0 \leq i \leq 2^n\} \quad \text{while} \\ &\max\{|\langle w, \tilde{g}_i^n \rangle| : 0 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1\} = \\ &\max\{\max\{|-a_{2^n} + a_i(2^n - i)^{-1}i| : 1 \leq i \leq 2^{n-1}\}, \\ &\max\{|-a_{2^n} + a_i| : 2^{n-1} < i \leq 2^n\}\} \leq 2 \max\{|a_i| : 0 \leq i \leq 2^n\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\|w\| \leq 4 \max\{|a_i| : 0 \leq i \leq 2^n\}$. On the other hand, for all $1 \leq i \leq 2^n$ and $0 \leq j \leq 2^n - 1$, $\|g_i^n\| = \|\tilde{g}_j^n\| = 1$ hence

$$\begin{aligned} |a_0| &= |a_0 g_{2^n}^n(0) = |a_0 \langle g_{2^n}^n, \delta(0) \rangle| = |a_0 \langle -g_{2^n}^n, w_0^n \rangle| \\ &= |\langle -g_{2^n}^n, w \rangle| \leq \|w\| \quad \text{and} \\ |a_{2^n}| &= |a_{2^n} \tilde{g}_0^n(1)| = |a_{2^n} \langle \tilde{g}_0^n, \delta(1) \rangle| = |a_{2^n} \langle -\tilde{g}_0^n, \tilde{w}_{2^n}^n \rangle| \\ &= |\langle -\tilde{g}_0^n, w \rangle| \leq \|w\|. \end{aligned}$$

For $1 \leq i < 2^{n-1}$ let $v_i^n = g_i^n - g_{2^n}^n$ and, if $2^{n-1} \leq i < 2^n$, put $v_i^n = \tilde{g}_i^n - \tilde{g}_0^n$. Then $\langle v_i^n, w_0^n \rangle = \langle v_i^n, -\delta(0) \rangle = -v_i^n(0) = 0 = -v_i^n(1) = \langle v_i^n, -\delta(1) \rangle = \langle v_i^n, w_{2^n}^n \rangle$. Because both $\langle g_{2^n}^n, w_i \rangle = 0 = \langle \tilde{g}_0^n, w_i \rangle$ and $\|v_i^n\| \leq 2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1$ we get that $|a_i| = |\langle v_i^n, w \rangle| \leq 2\|w\|$. This proves (4.2) and completes the proof of Proposition 4.1 \square

Remark 4.4. The biorthogonal functionals of $\{w_i^n\}_{i=0}^{2^n}$.

We have established the fact that, for each $n \geq 1$, $\{w_i^n\}_{i=0}^{2^n}$ is a basis of Δ_n which is 8-equivalent to the unit vector basis of $\ell_\infty^{2^n+1}$. While proving Lemma 4.3 we have, in fact, constructed “natural” biorthogonal functionals $\{v_i^n\}_{i=0}^{2^n}$ of $\{w_i^n\}_{i=0}^{2^n}$ in K_n as follows: $v_0^n(t) = t - 1, v_{2^n}^n(t) = -t$, for each $1 \leq i < 2^{n-1}, v_i^n(t) = g_i^n(t) - g_{2^n}^n(t) = \max\{-(2^n - i)i^{-1}t, t - 1\}$ and for $2^{n-1} \leq i < 2^n, v_i^n(t) = \max\{-t, i(2^n - i)^{-1}(t - 1)\}$. Note that each of the functions $v_i^n(t)$ is convex and continuous and those v_i^n which are not linear vanish at the

end points. Clearly, $\langle v_i^n, w_j^n \rangle = \delta_{i,j}, \|v_i^n\| \leq 2$ for all $0 \leq i, j \leq 2^n$ and for every sequence $\{b_i\}_{i=0}^{2^n}$ of numbers

$$(4.3) \quad 2 \sum_{i=0}^{2^n} |b_i| \geq \left\| \sum_{i=0}^{2^n} b_i v_i^n \right\| \geq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=0}^{2^n} |b_i|.$$

Note that $v_i^n = v_{2i}^{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $0 \leq i \leq 2^n$.

5. The structure of the space Δ . Most of the properties of $K[0, 1]$ stated in the Introduction are based on the following

Theorem 5.1. *There exists a metrizable Choquet simplex S such that Δ is 8-isomorphic to the space $\mathcal{A}(S)$ of all affine continuous functions on S .*

Proof. The theory of $L_1(\mu)$ preduals makes it possible to show that $\Delta \sim \mathcal{A}(S)$ by studying the structure of Δ without even knowing what the simplex S is. By Theorem 5.2 of [2] it suffices to show that there exists a sequence $\{\Delta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of subspaces of Δ with $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \Delta_n = \Delta$ such that $\Delta_n \subset \Delta_{n+1}, \dim \Delta_n = 2^n + 1$ for each $n \geq 1$, and, each Δ_n admits a basis $\{w_i^n\}_{i=0}^{2^n}$ satisfying the following three conditions:

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} w_0^n &= w_0^{n+1}, w_{2^n}^n = w_{2^{n+1}}^{n+1} \quad \text{and for each } 1 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1, \\ w_i^n &= w_{2i}^{n+1} + a_i^n w_{2i-1}^{n+1} + b_i^n w_{2i+1}^{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \geq a_i^n, b_i^n \geq 0$,

$$(5.2) \quad a_1^n = b_{2^n-1}^n = 1 \quad \text{and } a_i^n + b_{i-1}^n = 1 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1$$

hence, there is an $w_0^0 \in \Delta$ so that, for every $n \geq 1, w_0^0 = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n} w_i^n$, and

$$(5.3) \quad \text{For every } n \geq 1 \text{ and any numbers } \{a_i\}_{i=0}^{2^n},$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \max |a_i| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=0}^{2^n} a_i w_i^n \right\| \leq 4 \max |a_i|.$$

We will show that the bases $\{w_i^n\}_{i=0}^{2^n}$ of Δ_n constructed in Section 4 satisfy the above three conditions. Indeed, for every $n \geq 1, w_0^n = -\delta(0) = w_0^{n+1}$ and $w_{2^n}^n = -\delta(1) = w_{2^{n+1}}^{n+1}$. If $1 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1$ then we have

$$\tilde{w}_i^n = \delta(i2^{-n}) - \frac{1}{2}\delta((i-1)2^{-n}) - \frac{1}{2}\delta((i+1)2^{-n})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 2[\delta(2i2^{-n-1}) - \frac{1}{2}\delta(2i-1)2^{-n-1} - \frac{1}{2}\delta((2i+1)2^{-n-1})] \\
 &= [\delta(2i-1)2^{-n-1} - \frac{1}{2}\delta((2i-2)2^{-n-1}) - \frac{1}{2}\delta((2i)2^{-n-1})] \\
 &\quad + [\delta((2i+1)2^{-n-1}) - \frac{1}{2}\delta((2i)2^{-n-1}) - \frac{1}{2}\delta((2i+2)2^{-n-1})] \\
 &= 2\tilde{w}_{2i}^{n+1} + \tilde{w}_{2i-1}^{n+1} + \tilde{w}_{2i+1}^{n+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $1 \leq i \leq 2^{n-1} - 1$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
 w_i^n &= -2i\tilde{w}_i^n = -(4i\tilde{w}_{2i}^{n+1} + 2i\tilde{w}_{2i-1}^{n+1} + 2i\tilde{w}_{2i+1}^{n+1}) \\
 &= w_{2i}^{n+1} + (4i-2)^{-1}2iw_{2i-1}^{n+1} + (4i+2)^{-1}2iw_{2i+1}^{n+1} \\
 &= w_{2i}^{n+1} + (2i-1)^{-1}iw_{2i-1}^{n+1} + (2i+1)^{-1}iw_{2i+1}^{n+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If $2^{n-1} < i \leq 2^n - 1$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
 w_i^n &= -2(2^n - i)\tilde{w}_i^n = -(4(2^n - i)\tilde{w}_{2i}^{n+1} + 2(2^n - i)\tilde{w}_{2i-1}^{n+1} \\
 &\quad + 2(2^n - i)\tilde{w}_{2i+1}^{n+1}) = w_{2i}^{n+1} + (2^{n+1} - 2i)(2^{n+2} - 4i + 2)^{-1}w_{2i-1}^{n+1} \\
 &\quad + (2^{n+1} - 2i)(2^{n+2} - 4i - 2)^{-1}w_{2i+1}^{n+1} \\
 &= w_{2i}^{n+1} + (2^n - i)(2^{n+1} - (2i - 1))^{-1}w_{2i-1}^{n+1} \\
 &\quad + (2^n - i)(2^{n+1} - (2i + 1))^{-1}w_{2i+1}^{n+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

In the case $i = 2^{n-1}$ we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 w_{2^{n-1}}^n &= -2^n\tilde{w}_{2^{n-1}}^n = -(2^{n+1}\tilde{w}_{2^n}^{n+1} + 2^n\tilde{w}_{2^{n-1}}^{n+1} + 2^n\tilde{w}_{2^{n+1}}^{n+1}) \\
 &= w_{2^n}^{n+1} + 2^n(2^{n+1} - 2)^{-1}w_{2^{n-1}}^{n+1} + 2^n(2^{n+2} - 2^{n+1} - 2)^{-1}w_{2^{n+1}}^{n+1} \\
 &= w_{2^n}^{n+1} + 2^{n-1}(2^n - 1)^{-1}w_{2^{n-1}}^{n+1} + 2^{n-1}(2^{n+1} - (2^n + 1))^{-1}w_{2^{n+1}}^{n+1} \\
 &= w_{2^n}^{n+1} + 2^{n-1}(2^n - 1)^{-1}w_{2^{n-1}}^{n+1} + 2^{n-1}(2^n - 1)^{-1}w_{2^{n+1}}^{n+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We have thus proved (5.1) with

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_i^n &= (2i-1)^{-1}i \quad \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq 2^{n-1}, \\
 a_i^n &= (2^n - i)(2^{n+1} - (2i - 1))^{-1} \quad \text{if } 2^{n-1} < i \leq 2^n \\
 b_i^n &= (2i+1)^{-1}i \quad \text{if } 0 \leq i < 2^{n-1}, \\
 \text{and } b_i^n &= (2^n - i)(2^{n+1} - (2i + 1))^{-1} \quad \text{if } 2^{n-1} \leq i \leq 2^n.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us prove (5.2). Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_1^n &= 1 = b_{2^n-1}^n \quad \text{and, for } 1 \leq i < 2^{n-1}, \\
 a_i^n + b_{i-1}^n &= i(2i-1)^{-1} + (i-1)(2i-1)^{-1} = 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

If $i = 2^{n-1}$ then $a_{2^{n-1}}^n + b_{2^{n-1}-1}^n = 2^{n-1}(2^n - 1)^{-1} + (2^n - 1)^{-1}(2^{n-1} - 1) = 1$. For $2^{n-1} < i < 2^n - 1$ we get that

$$a_i^n + b_{i-1}^n = (2^n - i)(2^{n+1} - (2i - 1))^{-1} + (2^n - i + 1)(2^{n+1} - 2i + 1)^{-1} = 1.$$

Finally, if $i = 2^{n-1} + 1$ we have

$$a_{2^{n-1}+1}^n + b_{2^{n-1}}^n = (2^{n-1} - 1)(2^n - 1)^{-1} + 2^{n-1}(2^n - 1)^{-1} = 1.$$

These equalities imply, in view of (5.1), that the element $w_0^0 = -2\delta(\frac{1}{2}) = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n} w_i^n$ for all $n \geq 1$; this w_0^0 is the unit function of $\mathcal{A}(S)$. This proves (5.2). Since condition (5.3) is exactly (4.2), the proof of Theorem 5.1 is complete. \square

We now know that Δ is 8-isomorphic to the space $\mathcal{A}(S)$ where the natural norm of each affine continuous function $w(s)$ is $\|w\| = \max\{|w(s)| : s \in S\}$.

Remark 5.2. It is known (see e.g., [2]) that, for each $w \in \mathcal{A}(S)$

$$(5.4) \quad \|w\| = \sup_n \max\{|\langle w, v_i^n \rangle| : 0 \leq i \leq 2^n\} = \lim_n \max\{|\langle w, v_i^n \rangle| : i \leq 2^n\}$$

Since $\frac{1}{2}\|w\| \leq |w| \leq 4\|w\|$, we get that, for every $f \in K[0, 1]$,

$$(5.5) \quad \frac{1}{4}\|f\| \leq |f| = \lim_n \sum_{i=0}^{2^n} |\langle f, w_i^n \rangle| \leq 2\|f\|.$$

Hence, the dual norm $|f|$ in $\mathcal{A}(S)^* = K[0, 1]$, can be expressed in the form

$$(5.6) \quad |f| = \lim_n [|f(0)| + |f(1)| + 2 \sum_{i=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} i|f(i2^{-n}) - \frac{1}{2}f((i-1)2^{-n}) - \frac{1}{2}f((i+1)2^{-n})| + 2 \sum_{i=2^{n-1}}^{2^n-1} (2^n - i)|f(i2^{-n}) - \frac{1}{2}f((i-1)2^{-n}) - \frac{1}{2}f((i+1)2^{-n})|]$$

which is (*)

6. The simplex S . We have used structure theorems in order to show that Δ is a space $\mathcal{A}(S)$ of all affine continuous functions on some Choquet simplex S , under the norm $|w|$ determined by (5.4). In this space the unit function is

$w_0^0 = -2\delta(\frac{1}{2})$. The theory of $\mathcal{A}(S)$ spaces suggests a simple way to present the simplex S itself: S can be identified with the set $\{f \in \mathcal{A}(S^*) : |f| = \langle f, w_0^0 \rangle = 1\}$ equipped with the relative w^* topology (see e.g., Section 2 of [6]). Before investigating S we study the properties of some special members of S . Define the following functions on $[0, 1]$: $v_{-1}(x) = x - 1, v_2(x) = -x, v_0(x) = x - 1$ if $0 < x \leq 1, v_0(0) = 0, v_1(x) = -x$ if $0 \leq x < 1$ and $v_1(1) = 0$. For every $0 < t \leq \frac{1}{2}$ put $v_t(x) = \max\{-t^{-1}(1-t)x, x-1\}$ and, if $\frac{1}{2} < t \leq 1$, let $v_t(x) = \max\{-x, t(1-t)^{-1}(x-1)\}$. Let $V = \{v_t : 0 \leq t \leq 1\} \cup \{v_{-1}\} \cup \{v_2\}$.

Lemma 6.1. (a) For each $v \in V, |v| = \langle v, w_0^0 \rangle = 1$ and $\langle v, w_i^n \rangle \geq 0$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $0 \leq i \leq 2^n$.

(b) Each $v \in V$ is an extreme point of S .

Proof. (a) A straightforward computation gives $\langle v, w_0^0 \rangle = \langle v, -2\delta(\frac{1}{2}) \rangle = 1$ for every $v \in V$. Let us compute the norm $|v|$. Since v_{-1} and v_2 are linear, $\langle v_{-1}, w_i^n \rangle = 0 = \langle v_2, w_i^n \rangle$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1$, while $\langle v_{-1}, w_{2^n}^n \rangle = \langle v_{-1}, -\delta(1) \rangle = 0 = \langle v_2, -\delta(0) \rangle = \langle v_2, w_0^n \rangle$. Therefore, by (5.6), $|v_{-1}| = |\langle v_{-1}, w_0^n \rangle| = \langle v_{-1}, -\delta(0) \rangle = -v_{-1}(0) = 1$ and $|v_2| = |\langle v_2, w_{2^n}^n \rangle| = \langle v_2, -\delta(1) \rangle = -v_2(1) = 1$. Consider v_0 and v_1 : because of the linearity in the half-closed interval, $\langle v_1, w_i^n \rangle = 0$ for $n \geq 1$ and all $2 \leq i \leq 2^n$ while $\langle v_2, w_i^n \rangle = 0$ if $n \geq 1$ and $0 \leq i \leq 2^n - 2$. Since $\langle v_0, w_0^n \rangle = -v_0(0) = 0 = -v_1(1) = \langle v_1, w_{2^n}^n \rangle$ we get that $|v_0| = |\langle v_0, w_1^n \rangle| = |2v_0(2^{-n}) - v_0(2^{-n+1})| = |2^{-n+1} - 2 - 2^{-n+1} + 1| = 1$. Similarly one proves that $|v_1| = |\langle v_1, w_{2^n-1}^n \rangle| = 1$. Consider v_t with $0 \leq t \leq 1$. First note that if $t = i2^{-n}$ then $v_t = v_i^n$ and by Remark 4.4, $|v_t| = |v_i^n| = |\langle v_i^n, w_i^n \rangle| = 1$. If $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $t \neq i2^{-n}$ then pick a numerical sequence $t(k) = i(k)2^{-n(k)}$ which converges to t . The definition of v_t implies that the pointwise limit $\lim_n v_{t(k)} = v_t$. Therefore $\langle v_{t(k)}, \delta(s) \rangle \rightarrow \langle v_t, \delta(s) \rangle$, i.e., $v_{t(k)}$ converges to v_t in the w^* topology. Since $|v_{t(k)}| = 1, |v_t| \leq 1$ and because $\langle v_t, w_0^0 \rangle = 1$ we get that $|v_t| = 1$ for all $0 \leq t \leq 1$. It follows from (5.1) and (5.2) that, for every $v \in V$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq \sum_{i=0}^{2^n} |\langle v, w_i^n \rangle| \geq \sum_{i=0}^{2^n} \langle v, w_i^n \rangle \\ &= \langle v, \sum_{i=0}^{2^n} w_i^n \rangle = \langle v, w_0^0 \rangle = 1 \quad \text{therefore} \\ &\langle v, w_i^n \rangle \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq i \leq 2^n. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (a).

(b). Suppose that $0 < t < \frac{1}{2}, c > 0$ and let $g(x) = -ct^{-1}x$ if $0 \leq x \leq t$ and $g(x) = (1 - t)^{-1}c(x - 1)$ if $t \leq x \leq 1$. Let us compute $|g|$. Let n be so large that $t \leq \frac{1}{2} - 2^{-n+1}$ and let j be the integer for which $(j - 1)2^{-n} < t \leq j2^{-n}$. Because g is linear in each of the intervals $[0, t]$ and $[t, 1]$, $\langle g, w_i^n \rangle = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq 2^n$ except possibly for $i = j$ and $i = j - 1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{2^n} |\langle g, w_i^n \rangle| &= |\langle g, w_{j-1}^n \rangle| + |\langle g, w_j^n \rangle| \\ &= 2(j - 1)|g((j - 1)2^{-n}) - \frac{1}{2}g(j - 2)2^{-n} - \frac{1}{2}g(j2^{-n})| \\ &\quad + 2j|g(j2^{-n}) - \frac{1}{2}g((j - 1)2^{-n}) - \frac{1}{2}g((j + 1)2^{-n})| \\ &= (j - 1)c(1 - t)^{-1}(j2^{-n}t^{-1} - 1) + jc(1 - t)^{-1}(1 - 2^{-n}t^{-1}(j - 1)) \\ &= (1 - t)^{-1}c. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(6.1) \quad |g| = (1 - t)^{-1}c.$$

We are now ready to prove that every $v \in V$ is an extreme point of S . First suppose that t is either $-1, 2$ or a dyadic fraction $t = i2^{-n}$ with $1 \leq i < 2^n$. Then $v_t = v_i^n$ for some $0 \leq i < 2^n$, which is an extreme point of S by Proposition 3.1 of [6]. It remains to consider $t = 0, 1$, and $0 < t < 1, t \neq i2^{-n}$. Suppose that $0 < t < \frac{1}{2}, t \neq i2^{-n}$. Let $g, h \in S$ and assume that $v_t = \frac{1}{2}(g + h)$. Recall that, because $|g| = \langle g, w_0^0 \rangle = 1 = \langle h, w_0^0 \rangle = |h|$, we have that $\langle g, w_i^n \rangle, \langle h, w_i^n \rangle \geq 0$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $0 \leq i < 2^n$. Since v_t is linear in each of the intervals $[0, t]$ and $[t, 1]$ g and h must also be linear in these intervals. Similarly, because $-v_t(0) = \langle v_t, w_0^n \rangle = 0 = \langle v_t, w_{2^n}^n \rangle = -v_t(1)$ also $g(0) = h(0) = 0 = h(1) = g(1)$. Suppose that $g(t) = -c$ and $h(t) = -d$ for some $c, d \geq 0$. Then by (6.1),

$$1 = |g| = (1 - t)^{-1}c \quad \text{and} \quad 1 = |h| = (1 - t)d$$

hence $c = d = (1 - t)^{-1}$ and therefore $v_t = h = g$. This proves that v_t is an extreme point of S if $0 < t < \frac{1}{2}$. The case $\frac{1}{2} < t < 1$ is proved in a similar fashion. Let us consider v_0 and let $v_0 = \frac{1}{2}(g + h)$ where $g, h \in S$. Again, because v_0 is linear in $(0, 1]$ so are g and h . Since $v_0(1) = 0$ also $-g(1) = \langle g, w_{2^n}^n \rangle = 0 = \langle h, w_{2^n}^n \rangle = -h(1)$. It follows that $g(x) = a(x - 1)$ and $h(x) = b(x - 1)$ for $0 < x \leq 1$. Let $g(0) = c$ and $h(0) = d$. Then $c = g(0) = \langle g, \delta(0) \rangle = -\langle g, w_0^n \rangle \leq 0$

and $d = h(0) = \langle h, \delta(0) \rangle = -\langle h, w_0^n \rangle \leq 0$. Since $0 = v_0(0) = \frac{1}{2}(g(0) + h(0))$ we get that $c = d = 0$. If $0 < x \leq 1$ then $x - 1 = v_0(x) = \frac{1}{2}(g(x) + h(x)) = \frac{1}{2}(a + b)(x - 1)$. Hence $\frac{1}{2}(a + b) = 1$. But, for each $n \geq 1$, by (a),

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq \langle g, w_1^n \rangle = -2\langle g, \delta(2^{-n}) - \frac{1}{2}\delta(0) - \frac{1}{2}\delta(2^{-n+1}) \rangle \\ &= -2g(2^{-n}) + g(2^{-n+1}) = -2a(2^{-n} - 1) + a(2^{-n+1} - 1) = a. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $b \leq 1$ and therefore $a = b = 1$. It follows that $v_0 = g = h$. A similar argument works for v_1 . This proves (b) and completes the proof of Lemma 6.1. \square

We are now prepared to characterize the extreme boundary ∂S of S which is the set of extreme points of S .

Lemma 6.2. (a) $V = \partial S$
 (b) ∂S is a w^* compact set.

Proof. (a). In Lemma 6.1 we proved that each $v \in V$ is an extreme point of S . By Proposition 3.4 of [6] each extreme point of S is a w^* limit of a sequence $v_{i(k)}^{n(k)}$ with $0 \leq i(k) \leq 2^{n(k)}$. We know that every w^* $\lim v_{t(k)}$ with $\{t(k)\} \subset [0, 1]$ is in V . Hence by Lemma 6.1 (b) $V = \partial S$. To prove (b), given a numerical sequence $\{t(k)\} \subset [0, 1]$, pick a converging subsequence $\{t'(k)\}$ with $\lim t'(k) = t \in [0, 1]$. Then the pointwise limit $\lim_k v_{t'(k)}(x) = v_t(x)$ hence $v_t = w^* \lim v_{t'(k)}$. This proves (b). \square

A metrizable Choquet simplex with a compact set ∂S of its extreme points is called a Bauer Simplex. For information about Bauer simplices we refer the reader to Section 4 of [6]. A direct consequence of Lemma 6.2, Theorem 6.1 and Remark 5.2 is the following

Theorem 6.3. Let F denote the compact metric space $[0, 1] \cup \{-1\} \cup \{2\}$. Then the operator $T : \mathcal{A}(S) \rightarrow C(F)$ defined by

$$(6.2) \quad (Tw)(t) = \langle w, v_t \rangle$$

is an isometry of $\mathcal{A}(S)$ onto $C(F)$. The dual surjective isometry $T^* : C(F)^* \rightarrow K[0, 1]$ maps the measure $\mu \in C(F)^*$ (represented on $[0, 1]$ by the BVN $[0, 1]$ function $\tilde{\mu}$ with $\tilde{\mu}(0) = 0$) onto the function $f \in K[0, 1]$ defined (see (1.3)) by

$$(6.3) \quad f(x) = \int_0^1 G(x, t) d\mu(t) + x(\mu(\{-1\}) - \mu(\{2\})) - \mu(\{-1\})$$

$$= \begin{cases} x(2\tilde{\mu}(\frac{1}{2}) - \tilde{\mu}(1) + \mu(\{-1\}) - \mu(\{2\})) - \mu(\{-1\}) - \tilde{\mu}(x) - x \int_x^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{-1} d\mu(t) \\ \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ x(2\tilde{\mu}(\frac{1}{2}) - \tilde{\mu}(1) + \mu(\{-1\}) - \mu(\{2\})) - \mu(\{-1\}) - 2\tilde{\mu}(\frac{1}{2}) + \\ \tilde{\mu}(x) + (x-1) \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^x (1-t)^{-1} d\mu(t) \quad \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Proof. Lemma 6.2 states that $\partial S = \{v_t : 0 \leq t \leq 1\} \cup \{v_{-1}\} \cup \{v_2\}$ which, under the topology of S (i.e., the w^* topology of Ball $\mathcal{A}(S)^*$), is homeomorphic to F . Since ∂S is compact, S is a Bauer simplex, hence (see e.g., Proposition 2.1 of [6]) the restriction map $R : \mathcal{A}(S) \rightarrow C(\partial S)$ defined by $(Rw)(t) = \langle w, v_t \rangle$ for all $v_t \in \partial S$ ($t \in F$), is an isometry of $\mathcal{A}(S)$ onto $C(\partial S) = C(F)$. But, in view of Lemma 6.2 and (6.2), $R = T$, which proves the first part of Theorem 6.3. Let us now consider the dual surjective isometry $T^* : C(F)^* \rightarrow K[0, 1]$ and put $T^* = \tau$. For each $x \in [0, 1]$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (6.4) \quad \tau(\mu)(x) &= f(x) = \langle f, \delta(x) \rangle = \langle T^* \mu, \delta(x) \rangle = \langle \mu, T\delta(x) \rangle \\ &= \int_F \langle \delta(x), v_t \rangle d\mu(t) = v_{-1}(x)\mu(\{-1\}) + v_2(x)\mu(\{2\}) + \int_0^1 \langle \delta(x), v_t \rangle d\mu(t) \\ &= x(\mu(\{-1\}) - \mu(\{2\}) - \mu(\{-1\})) + \int_0^1 \langle \delta(x), v_t \rangle d\mu(t). \end{aligned}$$

Let us compute $\langle \delta(x), v_t \rangle$. We get that, if $0 < x \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$(6.5) \quad \langle \delta(x), v_t \rangle = \begin{cases} x - 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq t < x \\ t^{-1}(t - 1)x & \text{if } x \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ -x & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

while, for $\frac{1}{2} \leq x < 1$, we have

$$(6.6) \quad \langle \delta(x), v_t \rangle = \begin{cases} x - 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ (1 - t)^{-1}t(x - 1) & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq x \\ -x & \text{if } x < t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Also, $\langle \delta(0), v_t \rangle = 0 = \langle \delta(1), v_t \rangle$ for all $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Using (6.4) and (6.5) we get for all $0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\mu)(x) &= f(x) = x(\mu(\{-1\}) - \mu(\{2\})) - \mu(\{-1\}) + \int_0^1 G(x, t)d\mu(t) \\ &= x(\mu(\{-1\}) - \mu(\{2\})) - \mu(\{-1\}) \\ &\quad + (x - 1)\tilde{\mu}(x) - x \int_x^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{-1}(1 - t)d\mu(t) - x(\tilde{\mu}(1) - \tilde{\mu}(\frac{1}{2})) \\ &= x(\mu(\{-1\}) - \mu(\{2\}) + 2\tilde{\mu}(\frac{1}{2}) - \tilde{\mu}(1)) - \mu(\{-1\}) \\ &\quad - \tilde{\mu}(x) - x \int_x^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{-1}d\mu(t). \end{aligned}$$

If $\frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1$ then, a similar computation yields, by (6.4) and (6.6), the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\mu)(x) &= f(x) = x(\mu(\{-1\}) - \mu(\{2\})) - \mu(\{-1\}) \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 G(x, t)d\mu(t) = x(\mu(\{-1\}) - \mu(\{2\}) + 2\tilde{\mu}(\frac{1}{2}) - \tilde{\mu}(1)) \\ &\quad - 2\tilde{\mu}(\frac{1}{2}) - \mu(\{-1\}) + \tilde{\mu}(x) + (x - 1) \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^x (1 - t)^{-1}d\mu(t). \end{aligned}$$

Also, $\tau(\mu)(0) = f(0) = \langle \delta(x), T^*\mu \rangle = \int_F \langle \delta(0), v_t \rangle d\mu(t) = -\mu(\{-1\})$ while $f(1) = \int_F \langle \delta(1), v_t \rangle d\mu(t) = -\mu(\{2\})$. This proves Theorem 6.3. \square

The properties of the map $\tau = T^*$.

(6.7) *If μ is a finite positive measure on F then $f = \tau(\mu)$ is a non positive convex function on $[0, 1]$.*

Proof. By (6.3), $f(x)$ is the sum of a non positive linear function and $\int_0^1 G(x, t) d\mu(t)$. For each $0 \leq t \leq 1$, $G(x, t) = v_t(x)$, which is a convex function vanishing at the end points. When $\mu(t)$ is a finite positive measure, $\int_0^1 G(x, t) d\mu(t)$ is also a convex function vanishing at 0 and at 1. \square

(6.8) *Conversely, let $T^*\mu = f$ and assume that f is a nonpositive convex function. Then μ is a positive measure on F .*

Proof. Let $n \geq 1$ and pick $0 \leq i \leq 2^n$. Then $\langle f, w_i^n \rangle = \langle T^*\mu, w_i^n \rangle = \int_F \langle w_i^n, v_t \rangle d\mu(t)$. If $i = 0$ then $w_0^n = -\delta(0)$, $v_{-1}(t) = t - 1$ and v_t vanishes at 0. Therefore $0 \leq \langle f, -\delta(0) \rangle = \int_F \langle -\delta(0), v_t \rangle d\mu(t) = -v_{-1}(0)\mu(\{-1\}) = \mu(\{-1\})$.

Similarly, choosing $i = 2^n$ we get that $0 \leq \mu(\{2\})$.

For $1 \leq i \leq 2^n$, the definition of v_t yields the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \langle w_i^n, v_t \rangle &= (\text{negative constant})[\langle \delta(i2^{-n}), v_t \rangle - \frac{1}{2}\delta(i-1)2^{-n}] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}\langle \delta((i+1)2^{-n}), v_t \rangle = 0 \quad \text{if either } (i+1)2^{-n} \leq t \quad \text{or } t \leq (i-1)2^{-n}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, because f is convex,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \langle f, w_i^n \rangle = \int_F \langle w_i^n, v_t \rangle d\mu(t) = \int_0^1 \langle w_i^n, v_t \rangle d\mu(t) \\ &= \int_{(i-1)2^{-n}}^{(i+1)2^{-n}} \langle w_i^n, v_t \rangle d\mu(t). \end{aligned}$$

Since the integrand is positive (v_t is a convex function) and because this inequality holds for every $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1$ we get that the regular measure μ is positive. \square

7. Construction of the difference $g - h = f$. The purpose of this section is to present an algorithm which will construct, for each $f \in K[0, 1]$, a unique pair of non positive convex functions g and h satisfying $f = g - h$ and $|g| + |h| = |f|$. This is done in the following three steps.

Step 1. *A commuting sequence of projections on Δ .*

We use the bases $\{w_i^n\}_{i=0}^{2^n}$ and their biorthogonal functionals $\{v_i^n\}_{i=0}^{2^n}$ described in Remark 4.4. For each $n \geq 1$ define the projection P_n of Δ onto Δ_n by $P_n w = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n} \langle w, v_i^n \rangle v_i^n$. Then (5.4) yields the equality $|P_n| = 1$ and, by (5.1) we get that $P_{n+1}P_n = P_n$. Since $P_n^*(\Delta) = [v_i^n]_{i=0}^{2^n}$ and because (see Remark 4.4) $v_0^n = v_0^{n+1}$, $v_{2^n}^n = v_{2^{n+1}}^{n+1}$ and, for $1 \leq i \leq 2^n$, $v_i^n = v_{2i}^{n+1}$ we have that $P_{n+1}^*P_n^* = P_n^*$. It follows that $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of commuting projections on Δ . Moreover, for every $w \in \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \Delta_n$, $P_m w = w$ eventually, hence

$$(7.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n w = w \quad \text{for all } w \in \Delta.$$

It follows from (7.1) that, for every $f \in \Delta^*$ and $w \in \Delta$

$$(7.2) \quad \lim_n \langle P_n^* f, w \rangle = \langle f, w \rangle.$$

Hence $\{P_n^*\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to the identity I of Δ^* in the w^* -strong topology. In fact, it is easy to see that, for each $n \geq 1$, $P_n^* = Q_{2^n}$, the $(2^n + 1)$ th Schauder basis projection.

Step 2. *Obtaining approximating differences of continuous convex functions.*

Given $f \in K[0, 1]$ with $|f| = 1$, let

$$\begin{aligned} B(n) &= \{0 \leq i \leq 2^n : \langle f, w_i^n \rangle \geq 0\} \quad \text{and} \\ C(n) &= \{0 \leq i \leq 2^n : \langle f, w_i^n \rangle < 0\} \quad \text{and consider} \end{aligned}$$

the functions $u_n = P_n^* f = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n} \langle f, w_i^n \rangle v_i^n$, $g_n = \sum_{i \in B(n)} \langle f, w_i^n \rangle v_i^n$ and $h_n = - \sum_{i \in C(n)} \langle f, w_i^n \rangle v_i^n$.

Since each v_i^n is a non positive continuous convex function, so are g_n and h_n ; moreover, $u_n = g_n - h_n$.

By (5.5), the norm $|g_n| + |h_n| = |u_n| = |P_n f| \leq |f| = 1$.

Step 3. *The limit process.*

Using the w^* compactness of $\text{Ball}(\Delta^*)$, by passing to a subsequence $\{n(k)\}$ we may assume that $g = w^* \lim g_{n(k)}$ and $h = w^* \lim h_{n(k)}$ exist with

$|g| + |h| \leq |f| = 1$ and, by (7.3), $g - h = w^* \lim (g_{n(k)} - h_{n(k)}) = w^* \lim P_{n(k)}^* f = f$. As pointwise limits of sequences of non positive convex functions, both g and h are non positive convex functions with $|g| + |h| = |f|$. We claim that g and h are independent of the subsequence $\{n(k)\}$. Indeed, if $\{m(k)\}$ is another subsequence with $\tilde{g} = w^* \lim g_{m(k)}$ and $\tilde{h} = w^* \lim h_{m(k)}$ then, again, both \tilde{g} and \tilde{h} are non positive convex functions, $f = \tilde{g} - \tilde{h}$ and $|\tilde{g}| + |\tilde{h}| = |f|$. Since $K[0, 1]$ is order-isometric to $C(F)^*$, an $L_1(\mu)$ - space, and because, in $L_1(\mu)$, the decomposition of each elements into the sum of its positive and negative parts is uniquely determined, we get by (6.7) and (6.8) that $g = \tilde{g}$ and $h = \tilde{h}$. This concludes the construction of the difference of convex functions $g - h = f$.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. KAKUTANI. Concrete representation of abstract L -spaces and the mean ergodic theorem. *Ann. of Math.* **42** (1941), 523–537.
- [2] A. J. LAZAR, J. LINDENSTRAUSS. Banach spaces whose duals are L_1 spaces and their representing matrices. *Acta Math.* **126** (1971), 165–193.
- [3] J. LINDENSTRAUSS, L. TZAFRIRI. Classical Banach spaces. Lectures Notes in Math. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, Springer, 1973.
- [4] W. ROBERTS, D. E. VARBERG. Convex Functions. Academic Press, New York and London, 1973.
- [5] F. RIESZ. Sur certain systems singuliers d'equations integrals. *Ann. Sci École Norm. Sup., Paris* **28** (1911), 33–62.
- [6] Y. STENFELD. Characterization of Bauer simplices and some other classes of Choquet simplices by their representing matrices. Notes in Banach spaces, University of Texas Press, Austin and London, 1980, 306–358.
- [7] M. ZIPPIN. On some subspaces of Banach spaces whose duals are L_1 spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **23** (1969), 378–385.

Department of Mathematics
 The Hebrew University
 Jerusalem
 91904 Israel
 e-mail: zippin@math.huji.ac.il

Received September 10, 2000