

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Serdica

Mathematical Journal

Сердика

Математическо списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.
Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Serdica Mathematical Journal
which is the new series of
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica>
or contact: Editorial Office
Serdica Mathematical Journal
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

WEIERSTRASS POINTS WITH FIRST NON-GAP FOUR ON A DOUBLE COVERING OF A HYPERELLIPTIC CURVE

Jiryō Komeda*, Akira Ohbuchi**

Communicated by V. Kanev

ABSTRACT. Let H be a 4-semigroup, i.e., a numerical semigroup whose minimum positive element is four. We denote by $4r(H) + 2$ the minimum element of H which is congruent to 2 modulo 4. If the genus g of H is larger than $3r(H) - 1$, then there is a cyclic covering $\pi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ of curves with degree 4 and its ramification point P such that the Weierstrass semigroup $H(P)$ of P is H (Komeda [1]). In this paper it is showed that we can construct a double covering of a hyperelliptic curve and its ramification point P such that $H(P)$ is equal to H even if $g \leq 3r(H) - 1$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 14H55; Secondary 14H30, 14H40, 20M14.

Key words: Weierstrass semigroup of a point, double covering of a hyperelliptic curve, 4-semigroup.

* Partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (15540051), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

** Partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (15540035), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

1. Introduction. Let $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be the additive semigroup of non-negative integers. A subsemigroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is called a *numerical semigroup* if the complement of H in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is finite. The cardinality of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \setminus H$ is said to be the *genus* of H , which is denoted by $g(H)$. For a 4-semigroup H let $S(H) = \{4, s_1, s_2, s_3\}$ be the standard basis for H , i.e., $s_i = \text{Min}\{h \in H \mid h \equiv i \pmod{4}\}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. We set $s_2 = 4r(H) + 2$. On the other hand, let C be a complete non-singular irreducible curve over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0, which is called a *curve* in this paper. For any point P of C we define the *Weierstrass semigroup* $H(P)$ of P as follows:

$$H(P) = \{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid \text{there exists } f \in \mathbb{K}(C) \text{ with } (f)_{\infty} = nP\}$$

where $\mathbb{K}(C)$ denotes the function field of C . It is known that $H(P)$ is a numerical semigroup whose genus is equal to the genus of the curve C . In the case where $g(H) \leq 3r(H) - 1$ it was only shown that the moduli space \mathcal{M}_H of pointed curves (C, P) with $H(P) = H$ is non-empty (Komeda [1] Corollary 4.13). We did not give a curve C and its point P with $H(P) = H$. In this paper even if $g(H) \leq 3r(H) - 1$, it will be shown that we can find a double covering C of a hyperelliptic curve with its ramification point P such that $H(P) = H$. We note that such a curve C is not a cyclic covering of \mathbb{P}^1 with degree 4.

2. On double coverings of a hyperelliptic curve. In this section we construct a double covering of a hyperelliptic curve using the method of Mumford [2] and investigate the Weierstrass semigroup of a ramification point of the covering. Let C be a curve. For any even number t let P_1, \dots, P_t be distinct points of C . Let us take an invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} and an isomorphism ϕ such that

$$\phi : \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \cong \mathcal{O}_C \left(- \sum_{i=1}^t P_i \right) \subset \mathcal{O}_C.$$

Let \mathcal{S} be a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_C -algebras of the form $\mathcal{S} \cong \mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{L}$ where multiplication is given by

$$(a, l) \cdot (b, m) = (a \cdot b + \phi(l \otimes m), a \cdot m + b \cdot l).$$

Then the canonical morphism $\pi : \tilde{C} = \text{Spec } \mathcal{S} \longrightarrow C$ is a double covering of curves whose branch locus is $\sum_{i=1}^t P_i$ (Mumford [2]). Hence, if r is the genus of C ,

then by Riemann-Hurwitz formula the genus of \tilde{C} is $2r - 1 + \frac{t}{2}$. For any i let $\tilde{P}_i \in \tilde{C}$ such that $\pi(\tilde{P}_i) = P_i$.

Proposition 2.1. *For any i and any positive integer n we have*

$$h^0(C, \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(2n\tilde{P}_i)) = h^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(nP_i)) + h^0(C, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(nP_i)).$$

Proof. First we note that $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}} \cong \mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{L}$. Hence for any point P of C we get

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(n\pi^*P) &\cong \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(n\pi^*P)) \cong \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}} \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}_C(nP)) \\ &\cong \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(nP) \cong (\mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(nP) \cong \mathcal{O}_C(nP) \oplus (\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(nP)). \end{aligned}$$

Since we have $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(n\pi^*P_i) = \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(2n\tilde{P}_i)$, we get the desired equality. \square

From now on we consider the case where C is a hyperelliptic curve of genus $r \geq 2$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let P_i be a Weierstrass point on C . Then \tilde{C} is non-hyperelliptic. Moreover, $H(\tilde{P}_i)$ is a 4-semigroup.*

Proof. Since the curve C is not rational, $H(\tilde{P}_i) \not\cong 2$ follows from Proposition 2.1. Next we will show that $H(\tilde{P}_i) \not\cong 3$. Assume that $H(\tilde{P}_i) \cong 3$. We know that $H(\tilde{P}_i)$ also contains 4, because P_i is a Weierstrass point on a hyperelliptic curve C . Hence, we obtain $g(H(\tilde{P}_i)) \leq 3$. But

$$3 \geq g(H(\tilde{P}_i)) = 2r - 1 + \frac{t}{2} \geq 2 \times 2 - 1 + 1 = 4,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, $H(\tilde{P}_i)$ is a 4-semigroup. Assume that \tilde{C} were hyperelliptic. Since $H(\tilde{P}_i)$ is a 4-semigroup, \tilde{P}_i is not a Weierstrass point. Therefore, we get

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \setminus H(\tilde{P}_i) = \{1, \dots, n\}$$

for some n . But $H(\tilde{P}_i)$ is a 4-semigroup, which implies that $n + 1 = 4$. Hence, we get $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \setminus H(\tilde{P}_i) = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Thus, we see that $H(\tilde{P}_i)$ is generated by 4, 5, 6 and 7, which implies that there is $f \in \mathbb{K}(\tilde{C})$ such that $(f)_\infty = 6\tilde{P}_i$. Let us take a local

parameter t at \tilde{P}_i such that $\sigma^*t = -t$ where σ is the involution on \tilde{C} such that $\tilde{C}/\langle \sigma \rangle \cong C$. Then f is written by

$$f = \frac{a}{t^6} + (\text{higher order})$$

locally at \tilde{P}_i where a is a non-zero element of k . Moreover, we have

$$\sigma^*f = \frac{a}{t^6} + (\text{higher order})$$

locally at \tilde{P}_i . Hence $f + \sigma^*f$ is a non-zero function on \tilde{C} such that $(f + \sigma^*f)_\infty = 6\tilde{P}_i$ on \tilde{C} , which implies that $(f + \sigma^*f)_\infty = 3P_i$ on C . Hence we get $H(P_i) \ni 3$. Since P_i is a Weierstrass point on a hyperelliptic curve of genus $r \geq 2$, we get $H(P_i) \ni 2$. Thus, $2 \leq r = g(H(P_i)) \leq 1$, which is a contradiction. Hence \tilde{C} is non-hyperelliptic. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let the notation be as in Lemma 2.2. If $r \geq 3$, then \tilde{C} is not bielliptic.*

Proof. We note that

$$g(\tilde{C}) = 2r - 1 + \frac{t}{2} \geq 2 \times 3 - 1 + \frac{2}{2} = 6.$$

If $H(\tilde{P}_i) \ni 6$, then $H(P_i) \ni 3$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $H(\tilde{P}_i) \not\ni 6$. Since by Lemma 2.2 $H(\tilde{P}_i)$ is a 4-semigroup, \tilde{C} is not bielliptic (Komeda [1] Lemma 2.8.) \square

Proposition 2.4. *Let P_i be a Weierstrass point on a hyperelliptic curve C of genus $r \geq 5$. There exists an odd number s with $1 \leq s \leq t - 1$ such that*

$$S(H(\tilde{P}_i)) = \{4, 2r + s, 2r + 2t - s, 4r + 2\}.$$

Proof. In view of $r \geq 5$ the genus of \tilde{C} is at least 10. By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 we must have

$$S(H(\tilde{P}_i)) = \{4, 4r + 2, 4m + 1, 4n + 3\}$$

(Komeda [1] Proposition 3.1). We get

$$\text{Min}\{h \in H(\tilde{P}_i) | h \text{ is odd}\} \geq 2r + 1,$$

because $4r + 2 \in S(H(\tilde{P}_i))$. We set

$$\text{Min } \{h \in H(\tilde{P}_i) | h \text{ is odd}\} = 2r + s$$

with odd $s \geq 1$. If $s > t - 1$, then we obtain

$$2r + \frac{t}{2} - 1 = g(H(\tilde{P}_i)) \geq r + \left\lfloor \frac{2r + t + 1}{4} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{2r + t + 3}{4} \right\rfloor = 2r + \frac{t}{2}$$

where for any real number x the symbol $[x]$ denotes the largest integer less than or equal to x . This is a contradiction. Thus, $s \leq t - 1$. Let $S(H(\tilde{P}_i)) = \{4, 2r + s, h, 4r + 2\}$. Then we must have

$$\left\lfloor \frac{h}{4} \right\rfloor = r - 1 + \frac{t}{2} - \left\lfloor \frac{2r + s}{4} \right\rfloor.$$

Since h is an odd number such that $h \not\equiv 2r + s \pmod{4}$, we obtain $h = 2r + 2t - s$. \square

Example 2.5. Let the notation be as in Proposition 2.4. If $t = 2$, then

$$S(H(\tilde{P}_i)) = \{4, 2r + 1, 2r + 3, 4r + 2\}.$$

In this case the semigroup $H(\tilde{P}_i)$ is generated by $4, 2r + 1$ and $2r + 3$.

Combining Proposition 2.4 with Proposition 2.1 we get the following:

Theorem 2.6. *Let P_i be a Weierstrass point on a hyperelliptic curve C of genus $r \geq 5$. Let $t \leq 2r$ and s an odd number with $1 \leq s \leq t - 1$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- i) $S(H(\tilde{P}_i)) = \{4, 2r + s, 2r + 2t - s, 4r + 2\}$.
- ii) $h^0\left(C, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C\left(\left(r + \frac{s+1}{2}\right)P_i\right)\right) = 1$ and $h^0\left(C, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C\left(\left(r + \frac{s-1}{2}\right)P_i\right)\right) = 0$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} h^0\left(C, \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}\left((2r + s + 1)\tilde{P}_i\right)\right) &= h^0\left(C, \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}\left(2\left(r + \frac{s+1}{2}\right)\tilde{P}_i\right)\right) \\ &= h^0\left(C, \mathcal{O}_C\left(\left(r + \frac{s+1}{2}\right)P_i\right)\right) + h^0\left(C, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C\left(\left(r + \frac{s+1}{2}\right)P_i\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since P_i is a Weierstrass point on a hyperelliptic curve and we have $t \leq 2r$ and $s \leq t - 1$, we get

$$h^0(C, \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}((2r+s+1)\tilde{P}_i)) = \left\lfloor \frac{2r+s+1}{4} \right\rfloor + 1 + h^0\left(C, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C\left(\left(r + \frac{s+1}{2}\right)P_i\right)\right).$$

First we show that i) implies ii). Since $2r + s \in H(\tilde{P}_i)$, we have

$$h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}((2r+s)\tilde{P}_i)) = \left\lfloor \frac{2r+s}{4} \right\rfloor + 2.$$

Hence, we get

$$h^0\left(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}\left((2r+s+1)\tilde{P}_i\right)\right) = \left\lfloor \frac{2r+s+1}{4} \right\rfloor + 2.$$

By the above formula we obtain

$$h^0\left(C, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C\left(\left(r + \frac{s+1}{2}\right)P_i\right)\right) = 1.$$

Since we have

$$h^0\left(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}\left((2r+s-1)\tilde{P}_i\right)\right) = \left\lfloor \frac{2r+s-1}{4} \right\rfloor + 1,$$

we get

$$h^0\left(C, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C\left(\left(r + \frac{s-1}{2}\right)P_i\right)\right) = 0.$$

Assume that ii) holds. By Proposition 2.4 there exists an odd number s' with $1 \leq s' \leq t - 1$ such that

$$S(H(\tilde{P}_i)) = \{4, 2r + s', 2r + 2t - s', 4r + 2\}.$$

If $s' \leq s - 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & h^0(\tilde{C}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}((2r+s'+1)\tilde{P}_i)) \\ &= h^0\left(C, \mathcal{O}_C\left(\left(r + \frac{s'+1}{2}\right)P_i\right)\right) + h^0\left(C, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C\left(\left(r + \frac{s'+1}{2}\right)P_i\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \left\lfloor \frac{2r + s' + 1}{4} \right\rfloor + 1$$

because of

$$h^0 \left(C, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \left(\left(r + \frac{s-1}{2} \right) P_i \right) \right) = 0.$$

Hence $2r + s' \notin H(\tilde{P}_i)$, which is a contradiction. Assume that $s' \geq s + 2$. Since we have

$$h^0(C, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C((r + \frac{s+1}{2})P_i)) = 1,$$

we know that

$$h^0(\tilde{C}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}((2r + s + 1)\tilde{P}_i)) = \left\lfloor \frac{2r + s + 1}{4} \right\rfloor + 2.$$

Therefore there exists an odd number h with $h \leq 2r + s$ such that $h \in H(\tilde{P}_i)$. Then $h < 2r + s'$, which is a contradiction. Hence $s' = s$. \square

Since for a 4-semigroup H with $g(H) \geq 3r(H)$ there exist a cyclic covering of the projective line \mathbb{P}^1 with degree 4 and its total ramification point P such that $H(P) = H$ (Komeda [1] §4), we want to investigate 4-semigroups H with $g(H) \leq 3r(H) - 1$.

Proposition 2.7. *Let H be a 4-semigroup with $g(H) \leq 3r(H) - 1$. Then there exist $2 \leq t \leq 2r$ and an odd number s with $1 \leq s \leq t - 1$ such that $S(H) = \{4, 2r + s, 2r + 2t - s, 4r + 2\}$.*

Proof. If a 4-semigroup H satisfies $g(H) \leq 3r(H) - 1$, by Komeda [1] it is one of the semigroups with the following standard basis:

- i) $\{4, 4n + 1, 4m + 3, 4 \cdot 2n + 2\}, 1 \leq n \leq m \leq 3n - 1,$
 - ii) $\{4, 4n + 3, 4m + 1, 4(2n + 1) + 2\}, 2 \leq n + 1 \leq m \leq 3n + 1,$
 - iii) $\{4, 4n + 1, 4m + 2, 4l + 3\}, 1 \leq n \leq m \leq 2n - 1, m \leq l \leq n + m - 1, n + l \leq 2m - 1,$
 - vi) $\{4, 4n + 1, 4m + 3, 4l + 2\}, 2 \leq n \leq m \leq 2n - 2, m + 1 \leq l \leq 2n - 1,$
 - v) $\{4, 4n + 3, 4m + 1, 4l + 2\}, 2 \leq n + 1 \leq m \leq 2n, m \leq l \leq 2n,$
 - vi) $\{4, 4n + 3, 4m + 2, 4l + 1\}, 2 \leq n + 1 \leq m \leq 2n, m + 1 \leq l \leq n + m, n + l \leq 2m - 1.$
- In the case i) let $r = 2n, s = 1$ and $t = 2m - 2n + 2$. Then the set $\{4, 2r + s, 2r + 2t - s, 4r + 2\}$ coincides with the set $\{4, 4n + 1, 4m + 3, 4 \cdot 2n + 2\}$. In the case ii) let $r = 2n + 1, s = 1$ and $t = 2m - 2n$. In the case iii) let $r = m, s = 4n + 1 - 2m$ and $t = 2n - 2m + 2l + 2$. In the case vi) let $r = l, s = 4n + 1 - 2l$ and $t = 2n + 2m - 2l + 2$.

In the case v) let $r = l$, $s = 4n + 3 - 2l$ and $t = 2n + 2m - 2l + 2$. In the case vi) let $r = m$, $s = 4n + 3 - 2m$ and $t = 2n - 2m + 2l + 2$. \square

3. Construction of a point on a double covering of a hyperelliptic curve with a given semigroup. In this section we construct a point \tilde{P}_i satisfying the conditions in Theorem 2.6 ii). For that purpose we need a hyperelliptic curve C which is a covering of degree n of another hyperelliptic curve. First we build a hyperelliptic curve C' which is the base of the covering. For a homogeneous polynomial $F \in \mathbb{C}[x, z]$ of degree $2b + 2$ which has no multiple factor we set

$$C_1(F) = \{(s, x) | s^2 = F(x, 1)\}, (C_1(F))_0 = \{(s, x) | s^2 = F(x, 1), x \neq 0\},$$

$$C_2(F) = \{(t, z) | t^2 = F(1, z)\}, (C_2(F))_0 = \{(t, z) | t^2 = F(1, z), z \neq 0\}.$$

Through the isomorphism between $(C_1(F))_0$ and $(C_2(F))_0$ sending (s, x) to $\left(\frac{s}{x^{b+1}}, \frac{1}{x}\right)$ we can construct the nonsingular curve $C' = HC(F)$ by patching $C_1(F)$ and $C_2(F)$. We can define a morphism $h : C' = HC(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ sending an element (s, x) of $C_1(F)$ (resp. (t, z) of $C_2(F)$) to $(x : 1)$ (resp. $(1 : z)$). Since the degree of h is two, $HC(F)$ is a hyperelliptic curve of genus b . On the other hand, let $\rho : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the morphism defined by sending $(u : v)$ to $(x(u, v) : z(u, v))$ where $z(u, v) = v^n$ and $x(u, v) = u^\lambda(u - \tau_1 v)(u - \tau_2 v) \cdots (u - \tau_{n-\lambda} v)$ with distinct non-zero elements $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-\lambda}$ of k . Then $(0 : 1)$ and $(1 : 0)$ are ramification points with indices λ and n respectively. Let $q_1 = (0 : 1), q_2, \dots, q_{\alpha-1}, q_\alpha = (1 : 0)$ be the branch points of ρ . We set $(\rho^*F)(u, v) = F(x(u, v), z(u, v))$. We consider the curve $HC(\rho^*F)$ in the following cases:

i) The case where the zeros of $F(x, y)$ in \mathbb{P}^1 are different from q_1, \dots, q_α . Then $HC(\rho^*F)$ is a non-singular curve of genus $nb + n - 1$.

ii) The case where one of the zeros of $F(x, y)$ is equal to q_1 and the other zeros are different from q_2, \dots, q_α . Then $HC(\rho^*F)$ is a singular curve with only one singular point. The singular point is analytically isomorphic to the point $(0, 0)$ on the curve defined by the equation $y^2 = u^\lambda$. Since the singularity is resolved by $\left[\frac{\lambda}{2}\right]$ blowing-ups where $[x]$ means the largest integer less than or equal to x , the genus of $HC(\rho^*F)$ is $nb + n - 1 - \left[\frac{\lambda}{2}\right]$.

iii) The case where q_1 and q_α are zeros of $F(x, y)$ and the other zeros of $F(x, y)$ are different from $q_2, \dots, q_{\alpha-1}$. By the similar method to the case ii) the genus of $HC(\rho^*F)$ is $nb + n - 1 - \left\lfloor \frac{\lambda}{2} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$.

Let $\eta : C \rightarrow HC(\rho^*F)$ be the normalization. Then we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C = \widetilde{HC(\rho^*F)} & \xrightarrow{\eta} & HC(\rho^*F) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & HC(F) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \mathbb{P}^1 \end{array}$$

Thus C is a hyperelliptic curve whose genus takes any value of $nb, nb+1, \dots, nb+n-1$. Moreover, the morphism $\tilde{\phi} = \phi \circ \eta : C \rightarrow HC(F)$ is of degree n , which implies that

$$\tilde{\phi}^* g_2^1(HC(F)) = \tilde{\phi}^* h^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) = h_C^* \rho^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) = h_C^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(n) = n g_2^1(C),$$

where h_C is the composite map of η and the morphism $HC(\rho^*F) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ of degree 2.

Lemma 3.1. *Let the notation be as in the above. We denote the genus of C by r . We set $t = 2n$ with a positive integer $n \leq r$. Let s be an odd integer with $1 \leq s \leq t-1$. Then there exist points $P_1, \dots, P_t, Q_1, \dots, Q_{\frac{s+1-t}{2}+r}$ of C such that*

$$P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_t + \left(r - t + \frac{s+1}{2}\right) g_2^1(C) \sim 2(Q_1 + \dots + Q_{\frac{s+1-t}{2}+r})$$

where P_1, \dots, P_n are Weierstrass points and $Q_1, \dots, Q_{\frac{s+1-t}{2}+r}$ are different from P_1 . Moreover, we get $h^0(\mathcal{O}_C(Q_1 + \dots + Q_{\frac{s+1-t}{2}+r})) = 1$.

Proof. Let p be a point on $C' = HC(F)$. First we show that there are points q, q_1, \dots, q_{b-1} of C' such that

$$p + q + (b-2)g_2^1(C') \sim 2(q_1 + \dots + q_{b-1}).$$

Let $2_A : \text{Pic}^{b-1}(C') \rightarrow \text{Pic}^{2b-2}(C')$ be the morphism defined by $2_A(\mathcal{L}) = 2\mathcal{L}$. We set

$$\Theta = \{\mathcal{O}(T_1 + \dots + T_{b-1}) \mid T_1, \dots, T_{b-1} \in C'\},$$

which is a theta divisor on the abelian variety $\text{Pic}^{b-1}(C')$. Hence Θ is an ample divisor, which implies that the divisor $2_A(\Theta) \subset \text{Pic}^{2b-2}(C')$ is ample. By Nakai's

criterion for any 1-dimensional subvariety $\Sigma \subset \text{Pic}^{2b-2}(C')$ we have $(\Sigma.2_A(\Theta)) > 0$, that is to say, $\Sigma \cap 2_A(\Theta) \neq \emptyset$. Now we set

$$\Sigma = \{p + q + (b-2)g_2^1(C') \mid q \in C'\},$$

which is a 1-dimensional locus in $\text{Pic}^{2b-2}(C')$. Therefore we get $\Sigma \cap 2_A(\Theta) \neq \emptyset$, which implies that

$$p + q + (b-2)g_2^1(C') \sim 2(q_1 + \cdots + q_{b-1})$$

for some points q, q_1, \dots, q_{b-1} of C' . Here let p be a Weierstrass point on the hyperelliptic curve C' . We may assume that q_1, \dots, q_{b-1} are distinct from p . In fact, let $q_1 = \cdots = q_l = p$ and let q_{l+1}, \dots, q_{b-1} be distinct from p . Then we get

$$p + q + (b-2-l)g_2^1(C') \sim 2(q_{l+1} + \cdots + q_{b-1})$$

because of $2p \sim g_2^1(C')$. Take Weierstrass points q'_1, \dots, q'_l on C' which are distinct from p . Then we obtain

$$p + q + (b-2)g_2^1(C') \sim 2(q'_1 + \cdots + q'_l + q_{l+1} + \cdots + q_{b-1}).$$

Let $\tilde{\phi}^*p = P_1 + \cdots + P_n$ and $\tilde{\phi}^*q = P_{n+1} + \cdots + P_{2n}$. Since p is a Weierstrass point on C' , P_1, \dots, P_n are also Weierstrass points on C . We obtain

$$P_1 + \cdots + P_t + \left(r - t + \frac{s+1}{2}\right) g_2^1(C) \sim$$

$$\tilde{\phi}^*(p + q + (b-2)g_2^1(C')) + \left(\left(r - t + \frac{s+1}{2}\right) - (nb - 2n)\right) g_2^1(C)$$

because of $\tilde{\phi}^*g_2^1(C') = ng_2^1(C)$. Since $r - t + \frac{s+1}{2} \geq nb - 2n$, we get

$$P_1 + \cdots + P_t + \left(r - t + \frac{s+1}{2}\right) g_2^1(C) \sim 2\left(Q_1 + \cdots + Q_{\frac{s+1-t}{2}+r}\right)$$

for some points $Q_1, \dots, Q_{\frac{s+1-t}{2}+r}$ of C distinct from P_1 . Lastly we may assume that $h^0\left(\mathcal{O}_C\left(Q_1 + \cdots + Q_{\frac{s+1-t}{2}+r}\right)\right) = 1$. In fact, if $h^0\left(\mathcal{O}_C\left(Q_1 + \cdots + Q_{\frac{s+1-t}{2}+r}\right)\right) \geq 2$, then we must have (upon renumbering of the points Q_i)

$$Q_1 + \cdots + Q_{\frac{s+1-t}{2}+r} \sim lg_2^1(C) + Q_1 + \cdots + Q_{\frac{s+1-t}{2}+r-2l}.$$

Hence we get

$$P_1 + \cdots + P_t + \left(r - t + \frac{s+1}{2} - 2l \right) g_2^1(C) \sim 2 \left(Q_1 + \cdots + Q_{\frac{s+1-t}{2}+r-2l} \right).$$

Let us take distinct Weierstrass points $Q_{\frac{s+1-t}{2}+r-2l+1}, \dots, Q_{\frac{s+1-t}{2}+r}$ on C which are different from $P_1, Q_1, \dots, Q_{\frac{s+1-t}{2}+r-2l}$. Then we get

$$P_1 + \cdots + P_t + \left(r - t + \frac{s+1}{2} \right) g_2^1(C) \sim 2 \left(Q_1 + \cdots + Q_{\frac{s+1-t}{2}+r} \right)$$

again where $h^0 \left(\mathcal{O}_C \left(Q_1 + \cdots + Q_{\frac{s+1-t}{2}+r} \right) \right) = 1$ and $Q_1, \dots, Q_{\frac{s+1-t}{2}+r}$ are different from P_1 . \square

We set

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_C \left(Q_1 + \cdots + Q_{\frac{s+1-t}{2}+r} - \left(r + \frac{s+1}{2} \right) P_1 \right).$$

Then by Lemma 3.1 we get

$$\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \cong \mathcal{O}_C(P_1 + P_2 + \cdots + P_t - t g_2^1(C)) \cong \mathcal{O}_C(-\iota(P_1) - \cdots - \iota(P_t))$$

where ι is the hyperelliptic involution on C .

Theorem 3.2. *Let the notation be as in the above. Let $\pi : \tilde{C} = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow C$ be the canonical morphism. We set $\pi^{-1}(P_1) = \{\tilde{P}_1\}$. If $r \geq 5$, then we get*

$$S(H(\tilde{P}_1)) = \{4, 2r + s, 2r + 2t - s, 4r + 2\}$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 we get

$$h^0 \left(C, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \left(\left(r + \frac{s+1}{2} \right) P_1 \right) \right) = h^0 \left(\mathcal{O}_C \left(Q_1 + \cdots + Q_{\frac{s+1-t}{2}+r} \right) \right) = 1$$

and

$$h^0 \left(C, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \left(\left(r + \frac{s-1}{2} \right) P_1 \right) \right) = h^0 \left(\mathcal{O}_C \left(Q_1 + \cdots + Q_{\frac{s+1-t}{2}+r} \right) - P_1 \right) = 0$$

By Theorem 2.6 we get our desired result. \square

Combining Theorem 3.2 with Proposition 2.7 we get the following:

Main Theorem 3.3. *Let H be a 4-semigroup of genus $g(H) \geq 10$ with*

$$S(H) = \{4, 4r_1 + 1, 4r_2 + 2, 4r_3 + 3\}.$$

Assume that $g(H) \leq 3r_2 - 1$. Then there exist a double covering $\pi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ of a hyperelliptic curve and its ramification point $\tilde{P} \in \tilde{C}$ such that $H(\tilde{P}) = H$.

Considering the result of the case where H is a 4-semigroup with $4r_2 + 2 \in S(H)$ and $g(H) \geq 3r_2$, the following statement holds:

Corollary 3.4. *Let H be a 4-semigroup of genus ≥ 10 . Then there exist a double covering of a hyperelliptic curve and its ramification point \tilde{P} such that $H(\tilde{P}) = H$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] J. KOMEDA. On Weierstrass points whose first non-gaps are four. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **341** (1983), 68–86.
- [2] D. MUMFORD. Prym varieties I. Contributions to Analysis, Academic Press, New York, 1974, 325–350.

Jiryō Komeda
 Department of Mathematics
 Kanagawa Institute of Technology
 Atsugi, 243-0292, Japan
 e-mail: komeda@gen.kanagawa-it.ac.jp

Akira Ohbuchi
 Department of Mathematics
 Faculty of Integrated Arts and Sciences
 Tokushima University
 Tokushima, 770-8502, Japan
 e-mail: ohbuchi@ias.tokushima-u.ac.jp

Received July 26, 2003