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# INVARIANTS OF UNIPOTENT TRANSFORMATIONS ACTING ON NOETHERIAN RELATIVELY FREE ALGEBRAS 

Vesselin Drensky<br>Communicated by V. Brînzănescu


#### Abstract

The classical theorem of Weitzenböck states that the algebra of invariants $K[X]^{g}$ of a single unipotent transformation $g \in G L_{m}(K)$ acting on the polynomial algebra $K[X]=K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right]$ over a field $K$ of characteristic 0 is finitely generated. This algebra coincides with the algebra of constants $K[X]^{\delta}$ of a linear locally nilpotent derivation $\delta$ of $K[X]$. Recently the author and C. K. Gupta have started the study of the algebra of invariants $F_{m}(\mathfrak{V})^{g}$ where $F_{m}(\mathfrak{V})$ is the relatively free algebra of rank $m$ in a variety $\mathfrak{V}$ of associative algebras. They have shown that $F_{m}(\mathfrak{V})^{g}$ is not finitely generated if $\mathfrak{V}$ contains the algebra $U T_{2}(K)$ of $2 \times 2$ upper triangular matrices (and $g \neq 1$ ). The main result of the present paper is that the algebra $F_{m}(\mathfrak{V})^{g}$ is finitely generated if and only if the variety $\mathfrak{V}$ does not contain the algebra $U T_{2}(K)$. As a by-product of the proof we have established also the finite generation of the algebra of invariants $T_{n m}^{g}$ where $T_{n m}$ is the mixed trace algebra generated by $m$ generic $n \times n$ matrices and the traces of their products.


[^0]Introduction. Let $K$ be any field of characteristic 0 and let $X=$ $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\}$, where $m>1$. Let $g \in G L_{m}=G L_{m}(K)$ be a unipotent linear operator acting on the vector space $K X=K x_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus K x_{m}$. By the classical theorem of Weitzenböck [16], the algebra of invariants

$$
K[X]^{g}=\left\{f \in K[X] \mid f\left(g\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, g\left(x_{m}\right)\right)=f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)\right\}
$$

is finitely generated. A proof in modern language was given by Seshadri [12]. An elementary proof based on the ideas of [12] was presented by Tyc [14]. Since $g-1$ is a nilpotent linear operator of $K X$, we may consider the linear locally nilpotent derivation

$$
\delta=\log g=\sum_{i \geq 1}(-1)^{i-1} \frac{(g-1)^{i}}{i}
$$

called a Weitzenböck derivation. (The $K$-linear operator $\delta$ acting on an algebra $A$ is called a derivation if $\delta(u v)=\delta(u) v+u \delta(v)$ for all $u, v \in A$.) The algebra of invariants $\mathbb{C}[X]^{g}$ coincides with the algebra of constants $\mathbb{C}[X]^{\delta}(=\operatorname{ker}(\delta))$. See the book by Nowicki [10] for a background on the properties of the algebras of constants of Weitzenböck derivations.

Looking for noncommutative generalizations of invariant theory, see e. g. the survey by Formanek [8], let $K\langle X\rangle=K\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\rangle$ be the free unitary associative algebra freely generated by $X$. The action of $G L_{m}$ is extended diagonally on $K\langle X\rangle$ by the rule

$$
h\left(x_{j_{1}} \cdots x_{j_{n}}\right)=h\left(x_{j_{1}}\right) \cdots h\left(x_{j_{n}}\right), h \in G L_{m}, x_{j_{1}}, \ldots, x_{j_{n}} \in X
$$

For any PI-algebra $R$, let $T(R) \subset K\langle X\rangle$ be the T-ideal of all polynomial identities in $m$ variables satisfied by $R$. The class $\mathfrak{V}=\operatorname{var}(R)$ of all algebras satisfying the identities of $R$ is called the variety of algebras generated by $R$ (or determined by the polynomial identities of $R$ ). The factor algebra $F_{m}(\mathfrak{V})=K\langle X\rangle / T(R)$ is called the relatively free algebra of rank $m$ in $\mathfrak{V}$. We shall use the same symbols $x_{j}$ and $X$ for the generators of $F_{m}(\mathfrak{V})$. Since $T(R)$ is $G L_{m}$-invariant, the action of $G L_{m}$ on $K\langle X\rangle$ is inherited by $F_{m}(\mathfrak{V})$ and one can consider the algebra of invariants $F_{m}(\mathfrak{V})^{G}$ for any linear group $G$. As in the commutative case, if $g \in G L_{m}$ is unipotent, then $F_{m}(\mathfrak{V})^{g}$ coincides with the algebra $F_{m}(\mathfrak{V})^{\delta}$ of the constants of the derivation $\delta=\log g$.

Till the end of the paper we fix the integer $m>1$, the variety $\mathfrak{V}$, the unipotent linear operator $g \in G L_{m}$ and the derivation $\delta=\log g$.

The author and C. K. Gupta [6] have started the study of the algebra of invariants $F_{m}(\mathfrak{V})^{g}$. They have shown that if $\mathfrak{V}$ contains the algebra $U T_{2}(K)$ of
$2 \times 2$ upper triangular matrices and $g$ is different from the identity of $G L_{m}$, then $F_{m}(\mathfrak{V})^{g}$ is not finitely generated for any $m>1$. They have also established that, if $U T_{2}(K)$ does not belong to $\mathfrak{V}$, then, for $m=2$, the algebra $F_{2}(\mathfrak{V})^{g}$ is finitely generated.

In the present paper we close the problem for which varieties $\mathfrak{V}$ and which $m$ the algebra $F_{m}(\mathfrak{V})^{g}$ is finitely generated. Our main result is that this holds, and for all $m>1$, if and only if the variety $\mathfrak{V}$ does not contain the algebra $U T_{2}(K)$.

It is natural to expect such a result by two reasons. First, it follows from the proofs of Seshadri [12] and of Tyc [14], see also the paper by Onoda [11], that the algebra $K[X]^{g}$ is isomorphic to the algebra of invariants of certain $S L_{2^{-}}$ action on the polynomial algebra in $m+2$ variables. One can prove a similar fact for $F_{m}(\mathfrak{V})^{g}$ and $\left(K\left[y_{1}, y_{2}\right] \otimes_{K} F_{m}(\mathfrak{V})\right)^{S L_{2}}$. Second, the results of Vonessen [15], Domokos and the author [3] give that $F_{m}(\mathfrak{V})^{G}$ is finitely generated for all reductive $G$ if and only if the finitely generated algebras in $\mathfrak{V}$ are one-side noetherian. For unitary algebras this means that $\mathfrak{V}$ does not contain $U T_{2}(K)$ or, equaivalently, $\mathfrak{V}$ satisfies the Engel identity $\left[x_{2}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{1}\right]=0$. In our proof we use the so called proper polynomial identities introduced by Specht [13], the fact that the Engel identity implies that the vector space of proper polynomials in $F_{m}(\mathfrak{V})$ is finite dimensional and hence $F_{m}(\mathfrak{V})$ has a series of ideals such that the factors are finitely generated $K[X]$-modules. As a by-product of the proof we have established also the finite generation of the algebra of invariants $T_{n m}^{g}$, where $T_{n m}$ is the mixed trace algebra generated by $m$ generic $n \times n$ matrices $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ and and the traces of their products $\operatorname{tr}\left(x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{k}}\right), k \geq 1$.

1. Preliminaries. We fix two finite dimensional vector spaces $U$ and $V$, $\operatorname{dim} U=p, \operatorname{dim} V=q$, and representations of the infinite cyclic group $G=\langle g\rangle$ :

$$
\rho_{U}: G \rightarrow G L(U)=G L_{p}, \quad \rho_{V}: G \rightarrow G L(V)=G L_{q}
$$

where $\rho_{U}(g)$ and $\rho_{V}(g)$ are unipotent linear operators. Fixing bases $Y=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.y_{p}\right\}$ and $Z=\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{q}\right\}$ of $U$ and $V$, respectively, we consider the free left $K[Y]$-module $M(Y, Z)$ with basis $Z$. Then $g$ acts diagonally on $M(Y, Z)$ by the rule

$$
g: \sum_{j=1}^{q} f_{j}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{p}\right) z_{j} \rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{q} f_{j}\left(g\left(y_{1}\right), \ldots, g\left(y_{p}\right)\right) g\left(z_{j}\right), \quad f_{j} \in K[Y]
$$

where, by definition, $g\left(y_{i}\right)=\rho_{U}(g)\left(y_{i}\right)$ and $g\left(z_{j}\right)=\rho_{V}(g)\left(z_{j}\right)$. Let $M(Y, Z)^{g}$ be the set of fixed points in $M(Y, Z)$ under the action of $g$. Since $\rho_{U}(g)$ and $\rho_{V}(g)$
are unipotent operators, the operators $\delta_{U}=\log \rho_{U}(g)$ and $\delta_{V}=\log \rho_{V}(g)$ are well defined. Denote by $\delta$ the induced derivation of $K[Y]$. We extend $\delta$ to a derivation of $M(Y, Z)$, denoted also by $\delta$, i. e. $\delta$ is the linear operator of $M(Y, Z)$ defined by

$$
\delta: \sum_{j=1}^{q} f_{j}(Y) z_{j} \rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{q} \delta\left(f_{j}(Y)\right) z_{j}+\sum_{j=1}^{q} f_{j}(Y) \delta\left(z_{j}\right)
$$

It is easy to see that $\delta=\log g$ on $M(Y, Z)$ and $M(Y, Z)^{g}$ coincides with the kernel of $\delta$, i. e. the set of constants $M(Y, Z)^{\delta}$. Changing the bases of $U$ and $V$, we may assume that $\delta_{U}$ and $\delta_{V}$ have the form

$$
\delta_{U}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
J_{p_{1}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & J_{p_{2}} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & J_{p_{k-1}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & J_{p_{k}}
\end{array}\right), \quad \delta_{V}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
J_{q_{1}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & J_{q_{2}} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & J_{q_{l-1}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & J_{q_{l}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $J_{r}$ is the $(r+1) \times(r+1)$ Jordan cell

$$
J_{r}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0  \tag{1}\\
0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

with zero diagonal.
We denote by $W_{r}$ the irreducible $(r+1)$-dimensional $S L_{2}$-module. It is isomorphic to the $S L_{2}$-module of the forms of degree $r$ in two variables $x, y$. This is the unique structure of an $S L_{2}$-module on the $(r+1)$-dimensional vector space which agrees with the action of $\delta$ (and hence of $g$ ) as the Jordan cell (1): We can think of $\delta$ as the derivation of $K[x, y]$ defined by $\delta(x)=0, \delta(y)=x$. We fix the "canonical" basis of $W_{r}$
(2) $u^{(0)}=x^{r}, u^{(1)}=\frac{x^{r-1} y}{1!}, u^{(2)}=\frac{x^{r-2} y^{2}}{2!}, \ldots, u^{(r-1)}=\frac{x y^{r-1}}{(r-1)!}, u^{(r)}=\frac{y^{r}}{r!}$.

We give $U$ and $V$ the structure of $S L_{2}$-modules

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=W_{p_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus W_{p_{k}}, \quad V=W_{q_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus W_{q_{l}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and extend it on $K[Y]$ and $M(Y, Z)$ via the diagonal action of $S L_{2}$. Again, this agrees with the action of $g$ and $\delta$. Then $K[U]$ and $M(Y, Z)$ are direct
sums of irreducible $S L_{2}$-modules $U_{r i} \subset K[Y]$ and $W_{r j} \subset M(Y, Z)$ isomorphic to $W_{r}, i, j=1,2, \ldots, r=0,1,2, \ldots$, with canonical bases $\left\{u_{r i}^{(0)}, u_{r i}^{(1)}, \ldots, u_{r i}^{(r)}\right\}$ and $\left\{w_{r j}^{(0)}, w_{r j}^{(1)}, \ldots, w_{r j}^{(r)}\right\}$, respectively.

Lemma 1. The elements $u \in K[Y]$ and $w \in M(Y, Z)$ belong to $K[Y]^{\delta}$ and $M(Y, Z)^{\delta}$, respectively, if and only if they have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\sum_{r, i} \alpha_{r i} u_{r i}^{(0)}, \quad w=\sum_{r, j} \beta_{r j} w_{r j}^{(0)}, \quad \alpha_{r i}, \beta_{r j} \in K \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Almkvist, Dicks and Formanek [1] translated in the language of $g$-invariants results of De Concini, Eisenbud and Procesi [2] and proved that, in our notation, $g(u)=u$ and $g(w)=w$ if and only if $u$ and $w$ have the form (4). Since $g(u)=u$ if and only if $\delta(u)=0$, and similarly for $w$, we obtain that (4) holds if and only if $u$ and $w$ are $\delta$-constants. (The same fact is contained in the paper by Tyc [14] but in the language of representations of the Lie algebra $s l_{2}(K)$.)

In each component $W_{r}$ of $U$ in (3), using the basis (2), we define a linear operator $d$ by

$$
d\left(u^{(k)}\right)=(k+1)(r-k) u^{(k+1)}, \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots, r
$$

i. e., up to multiplicative constants, $d$ acts by $u^{(0)} \rightarrow u^{(1)} \rightarrow u^{(2)} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow u^{(r)} \rightarrow$ 0 . We extend $d$ to a derivation of $K[Y]$. As in the case of $\delta$, again we can think of $d$ as the derivation of $K[x, y]$ defined by $d(x)=y, d(y)=0$.

Lemma 2. (i) The derivation $d$ acts on each irreducible component $U_{r i}$ of $K[Y]$ by

$$
d\left(u_{r i}^{(k)}\right)=(k+1)(r-k) u_{r i}^{(k+1)}, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, r
$$

(ii) If $f=f(Y) \in K[Y]$, then $\delta^{s+1}(f)=0$ if and only if $f$ belongs to the vector space

$$
\begin{equation*}
K[Y]_{s}=\sum_{t=0}^{s} d^{t}\left(K[Y]^{\delta}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Part (i) follows from the fact that the $S L_{2}$-action on $U$ is the only action which agrees with the action of $\delta$ as well as with the action of $d$ (as the derivations of $K[x, y]$ defined by $\delta(x)=0, \delta(y)=x$ and $d(x)=y, d(y)=0$, respectively), and the extension of this $S L_{2}$-action to $K[U]$ also agrees with the action of $\delta$ and $d$ on $K[U]$.
(ii) Since the irreducible $S L_{2}$-submodules of $K[Y]$ are $\delta$ - and $d$-invariant, it is sufficient to prove the statement only for $f \in W_{r} \subset K[Y]$. Considering the basis (2) of $W_{r}$, we have that $\delta^{s+1}(f)=0$ if and only if

$$
f=\alpha_{0} u^{(0)}+\alpha_{1} u^{(1)}+\cdots+\alpha_{s} u^{(s)}, \quad \alpha_{k} \in K
$$

Since $W_{r}^{\delta}=K u^{(0)}$ and $d^{t}\left(u^{(0)}\right) \in K u^{(t)}$, we obtain that $W_{r} \cap K[Y]_{s}$ is spanned by $u^{(0)}, u^{(1)}, \ldots, u^{(s)}$ and coincides with the kernel of $\delta^{s+1}$ in $W_{r}$.

In principle, the proof of the following proposition can be obtained following the main steps of the proof of Tyc [14] of the Weitzenböck theorem. The proof of the three main lemmas in [14] uses only the fact that the ideals of the algebra $K[Y]$ are finitely generated $K[Y]$-modules. Instead, we shall give a direct proof, using the idea of the proof of Lemma 3 in [14].

Proposition 3. The set of constants $M(Y, Z)^{\delta}$ is a finitely generated $K[Y]^{\delta}$-module.

Proof. Let $N_{i}$ be the $K[Y]$-submodule of $M(Y, Z)$ generated by the basis elements $z_{j}$ of $V=K z_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus K z_{q}$ corresponding to the $i$-th Jordan cell $J_{q_{i}}$. Since $M(Y, Z)=N_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus N_{l}$ and $M(Y, Z)^{\delta}=N_{1}^{\delta} \oplus \cdots \oplus N_{l}^{\delta}$, it is sufficient to show that each $N_{i}^{\delta}$ is a finitely generated $K[Y]^{\delta}$-module. Hence, without loss of generality we may assume that $q=r+1$ and $\delta\left(z_{0}\right)=0, \delta\left(z_{j}\right)=z_{j-1}$, $j=1,2, \ldots, r$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=f_{0}(Y) z_{0}+f_{1}(Y) z_{1}+\cdots+f_{r}(Y) z_{r} \in M(Y, Z)^{\delta}, \quad f_{j}(Y) \in K[Y] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\delta(f)=\left(\delta\left(f_{0}\right)+f_{1}\right) z_{0}+\left(\delta\left(f_{1}\right)+f_{2}\right) z_{1}+\cdots+\left(\delta\left(f_{r-1}\right)+f_{r}\right) z_{r-1}+\delta\left(f_{r}\right) z_{r}
$$

and this implies that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta\left(f_{j}\right)=-f_{j+1}, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, r-1 \\
\delta\left(f_{r}\right)=\delta^{2}\left(f_{r-1}\right)=\cdots=\delta^{r}\left(f_{1}\right)=\delta^{r+1}\left(f_{0}\right)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, fixing any element $f_{0}(Y)$ from $K[Y]_{r}$, we determine all the coefficients $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}$ from (6). By Lemma 2 it is sufficient to show that the $K[Y]^{\delta}$-module generated by $d^{t}\left(K[Y]^{\delta}\right)$ is finitely generated. By the theorem of Weitzenböck, $K[Y]^{\delta}$ is a finitely generated algebra. Let $\left\{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right\}$ be a generating set of $K[Y]^{\delta}$. Then $d^{t}\left(K[Y]^{\delta}\right)$ is spanned by the elements $d^{t}\left(h_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots h_{n}^{a_{n}}\right)$. Since $d$ is a derivation, $d^{t}\left(K[Y]^{\delta}\right)$ is spanned by elements of the form

$$
h_{1}^{c_{1}} \cdots h_{n}^{c_{n}}\left(\prod d^{t_{i_{1}}}\left(h_{1}\right)\right) \cdots\left(\prod d^{t_{i_{n}}}\left(h_{n}\right)\right), \quad \sum t_{i_{1}}+\cdots+\sum t_{i_{n}}=t
$$

There is only a finite number of possibilities for $t_{i_{1}}, \ldots, t_{i_{n}}$, and we obtain that $d^{t}\left(K[Y]^{\delta}\right)$ generates a finitely generated $K[Y]^{\delta}$-module.

Corollary 4. Let, in the notation of this section, $U$ and $V$ be polynomial $G L_{m}$-modules, let $g \in G L_{m}$ be a unipotent matrix and let $M(Y, Z)$ be equipped with the diagonal action of $G L_{m}$. Then, for every $G L_{m}$-submodule $M_{0}$ of $M(Y, Z)$, the natural homomorphism $M(Y, Z) \rightarrow M(Y, Z) / M_{0}$ induces an epimorphism $M(Y, Z)^{g} \rightarrow\left(M(Y, Z) / M_{0}\right)^{g}$, i. e. we can lift the $g$-invariants of $M(Y, Z) / M_{0}$ to $g$-invariants of $M(Y, Z)$.

Proof. The lifting of the constants was established in [6] in the case of relatively free algebras and the same proof works in our situation. Since $U$ and $V$ are polynomial $G L_{m}$-modules, the module $M(Y, Z)$ is completely reducible. Hence $M(Y, Z)=M_{0} \oplus M^{\prime}$ for some $G L_{m}$-submodule $M^{\prime}$ of $M(Y, Z)$ and $M(Y, Z) / M_{0} \cong M^{\prime}$. If $w+M_{0}=\bar{w} \in\left(M(Y, Z) / M_{0}\right)^{g}$, then $w=w_{0}+w^{\prime}$, $w_{0} \in M_{0}, w^{\prime} \in M^{\prime}$, and $g(w)=g\left(w_{0}\right)+g\left(w^{\prime}\right)$. Since $g(\bar{w})=\bar{w}$, we obtain that $g\left(w^{\prime}\right)=w^{\prime}$ and the $g$-invariant $\bar{w}$ is lifted to the $g$-invariant $w^{\prime}$.

Remark 5. The proof of Proposition 3 gives also an algorithm to find the generators of $M(Y, Z)^{\delta}$ in terms of the generators of $K[Y]^{\delta}$. The latter problem is solved by van den Essen [7] and his algorithm uses Gröbner bases techniques.
2. The main results. The following theorem is the main result of our paper. For $m=2$ it was established in [6] using the description of the $g$-invariants of $K\langle x, y\rangle$.

Theorem 6. For any variety $\mathfrak{V}$ of associative algebras which does not contain the algebra $U T_{2}(K)$ of $2 \times 2$ upper triangular matrices, the algebra of invariants $F_{m}(\mathfrak{V})^{g}$ of any unipotent $g \in G L_{m}$ is finitely generated.

Proof. We shall work with the linear locally nilpotent derivation $\delta=$ $\log g$ instead with $g$.

It is well known that any variety $\mathfrak{V}$ which does not contain $U T_{2}(K)$ satisfies some Engel identity $\left[x_{2}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{1}\right]=0$. By the theorem of Zelmanov [17] any Lie algebra over a field of characteristic zero satisfying the Engel identity is nilpotent. Hence we may assume that $\mathfrak{V}$ satisfies the polynomial identity of Lie nilpotency $\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{c+1}\right]=0$. (Actually, this follows from much easier and much earlier results on PI-algebras.)

Let us consider the vector space $B_{m}(\mathfrak{V})$ of so called proper polynomials in $F_{m}(\mathfrak{V})$. It is spanned by all products $\left[x_{i_{1}}, \ldots, x_{i_{k}}\right] \cdots\left[x_{j_{1}}, \ldots, x_{j_{l}}\right]$ of commutators
of length $\geq 2$. One of the main results of the paper by the author [4] states that if $\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ is a basis of $B_{m}(\mathfrak{V})$, then $F_{m}(\mathfrak{V})$ has a basis

$$
\left\{x_{1}^{p_{1}} \cdots x_{m}^{p_{m}} f_{i} \mid p_{j} \geq 0, i=1,2, \ldots\right\}
$$

Let $B_{m}^{(k)}(\mathfrak{V})$ be the homogeneous component of degree $k$ of $B_{m}(\mathfrak{V})$. It follows from the proof of Theorem 5.5 in [4], that for any Lie nilpotent variety $\mathfrak{V}$, and for a fixed positive integer $m$, the vector space $B_{m}(\mathfrak{V})$ is finite dimensional. Hence $B_{m}^{(n)}(\mathfrak{V})=0$ for $n$ sufficiently large, e. g. for $n>n_{0}$. Let $I_{k}$ be the ideal of $F_{m}(\mathfrak{V})$ generated by $B_{m}^{(k+1)}(\mathfrak{V})+B_{m}^{(k+2)}(\mathfrak{V})+\cdots+B_{m}^{\left(n_{0}\right)}(\mathfrak{V})$. Since $w x_{i}=x_{i} w+\left[w, x_{i}\right], w \in F_{m}(\mathfrak{V})$, applying Lemma 2.4 [4], we obtain that $I_{k} / I_{k+1}$ is a free left $K[X]$-module with any basis of the vector space $B_{m}^{(k)}(\mathfrak{V})$ as a set of free generators. Since $\delta$ is a nilpotent linear operator of $U=K X=K x_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus$ $K x_{m}$, it acts also as a nilpotent linear operator of $V_{k}=B_{m}^{(k)}(\mathfrak{V})$. Proposition 3 gives that $\left(I_{k} / I_{k+1}\right)^{\delta}$ is a finitely generated $K[X]^{\delta}$-module. Clearly, $B_{m}^{(0)}(\mathfrak{V})=$ $K, B_{m}^{(1)}(\mathfrak{V})=0, B_{m}^{(2)}(\mathfrak{V})$ is spanned by the commutators $\left[x_{i_{1}}, x_{i_{2}}\right]$, etc. Hence $I_{0} / I_{1} \cong K[X]$ and by the theorem of Weitzenböck $\left(I_{0} / I_{1}\right)^{\delta}$ is a finitely generated algebra. We fix a system of generators $\bar{f}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{f}_{a}$ of the algebra $\left(I_{0} / I_{1}\right)^{\delta}$ and finite sets of generators $\left\{\bar{f}_{k 1}, \ldots, \bar{f}_{k b_{k}}\right\}$ of the $K[X]^{\delta}$-modules $\left(I_{k} / I_{k+1}\right)^{\delta}, k=$ $2,3, \ldots, n_{0}$. The vector space $U$ is a $G L_{m}$-module and its $G L_{m}$-action makes $V_{k}$ a polynomial $G L_{m}$-module. We apply Corollary 4 and lift all $\bar{f}_{i}$ and $\bar{f}_{k j}$ to some $\delta$-constants $f_{i}, f_{k j} \in F_{m}(\mathfrak{V})^{\delta}$. The algebra $S$ generated by $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{a}$ maps onto $\left(I_{0} / I_{1}\right)^{\delta}$ and hence $\left(I_{k} / I_{k+1}\right)^{\delta}$ is a left $S$-module generated by $\bar{f}_{k 1}, \ldots, \bar{f}_{k b_{k}}$. The condition $I_{n_{0}+1}=0$ together with Corollary 4 gives that the $f_{i}$ and $f_{k j}$ generate $F_{m}(\mathfrak{V})^{\delta}$.

Together with the results of [6] Theorem 6 gives immediately:
Corollary 7. For $m \geq 2$ and for any fixed unipotent operator $g \in G L_{m}$, $g \neq 1$, the algebra of $g$-invariants $F_{m}(\mathfrak{V})^{g}$ is finitely generated if and only if $\mathfrak{V}$ does not contain the algebra $U T_{2}(K)$.

We refer to the books [9] and [5] for a background on the theory of matrix invariants. We fix an integer $n>1$ and consider the generic $n \times n$ matrices $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$. Let $C_{n m}$ be the pure trace algebra, i. e. the algebra generated by the traces of products $\operatorname{tr}\left(x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{k}}\right), k=1,2, \ldots$, and let $T_{n m}$ be the mixed trace algebra generated by $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ and $C_{n m}$. It is well known that $C_{n m}$ is finitely generated. (For an explicit set of generators, the Nagata-Higman theorem states that the nil polynomial identity $x^{n}=0$ implies the identity of nilpotency $x_{1} \cdots x_{d}=0$. If $d(n)$ is the minimal $d$ with this property, one may take as
generators $\operatorname{tr}\left(x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{k}}\right)$ with $k \leq d(n)$.) Also, $T_{n m}$ is a finitely generated $C_{n m^{-}}$ module.

Theorem 8. For any unipotent operator $g \in G L_{m}$, the algebra $T_{n m}^{g}$ is finitely generated.

Proof. Consider the vector space $U$ of all formal traces $y_{i}=\operatorname{tr}\left(x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{k}}\right)$, $i_{j}=1, \ldots, m, 1 \leq k \leq d(n)$. Let $Y$ be the set of all $y_{i}$. It has a natural structure of a $G L_{m}$-module and hence $\delta=\log g$ acts as a nilpotent linear operator on $U$. Also, consider a finite system of generators $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{a}$ of the $C_{n m}$-module $T_{n m}$. We may assume that the $f_{j}$ do not depend on the traces and fix some elements $h_{j} \in K\langle X\rangle$ such that $h_{j} \rightarrow f_{j}$ under the natural homomorphism $K\langle X\rangle \rightarrow T_{n m}$ extending the mapping $x_{i} \rightarrow x_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m$. Let $V$ be the $G L_{m}$-submodule of $K\langle X\rangle$ generated by the $h_{j}$. Again, $\delta$ acts as a nilpotent linear operator on $V$. We fix a basis $Z=\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{q}\right\}$ of $V$. Consider the free $K[Y]$-module $M(Y, Z)$ with basis $Z$. Proposition 3 gives that $M(Y, Z)^{\delta}$ is a finitely generated $K[Y]^{\delta}$-module and the theorem of Weitzenböck implies that $K[Y]^{\delta}$ is a finitely generated algebra. Since the algebra $C_{n m}$ and the $C_{n m}$-module $T_{n m}$ are homomorphic images of the algebra $K[Y]$ and the $K[Y]$-module $M(Y, Z)$, Corollary 4 gives that $K[Y]^{\delta}$ and $M(Y, Z)^{\delta}$ map on $C_{n m}^{\delta}$ and $T_{n m}^{\delta}$, respectively. Hence $T_{n m}^{\delta}$ is a finitely generated module of the finitely generated algebra $C_{n m}^{\delta}$ and, therefore, the algebra $T_{n m}^{\delta}$ is finitely generated.

## REFERENCES

[1] G. Almkvist, W. Dicks, E. Formanek. Hilbert series of fixed free algebras and noncommutative classical invariant theory. J. Algebra 93 (1985), 189-214.
[2] C. De Concini, D. Eisenbud, C. Procesi. Young diagrams and determinantal varieties. Invent. Math. 56 (1980), 129-165.
[3] M. Domokos, V. Drensky. A Hilbert-Nagata theorem in noncommutative invariant theory. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 350 (1998), 2797-2811.
[4] V. Drensky. Codimensions of T-ideals and Hilbert series of relatively free algebras. J. Algebra 91 (1984), 1-17.
[5] V. Drensky, E. Formanek. Polynomial Identity Rings, Advanced Courses in Mathematics. CRM Barcelona, Birkhäuser, Basel-Boston, 2004 (to appear).
[6] V. Drensky, C. K. Gupta. Constants of Weitzenböck derivations and invariants of unipotent transformations acting on relatively free algebras. Preprint.
[7] A. VAN DEn ESSEn. An algorithm to compute the invariant ring of a $G_{a^{-}}$ action on an affine variety. J. Symbolic Computation 16 (1993), 551-555.
[8] E. Formanek. Noncommutative invariant theory. Contemp. Math. 43 (1985), 87-119.
[9] E. Formanek. The Polynomial Identities and Invariants of $n \times n$ Matrices. CBMS Regional Conf. Series in Math. 78, Published for the Confer. Board of the Math. Sci. Washington DC, AMS, Providence RI, 1991.
[10] A. Nowicki. Polynomial Derivations and Their Rings of Constants. Uniwersytet Mikolaja Kopernika, Torun, 1994, http://www-users.mat.uni.torun.pl/~anow/ploder.html.
[11] N. Onoda. Linear actions of $G_{a}$ on polynomial rings. Proceedings of the 25th Symposium on Ring Theory (Matsumoto, 1992), 11-16, Okayama Univ., Okayama, 1992.
[12] C. S. Seshadri. On a theorem of Weitzenböck in invariant theory. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 1 (1962), 403-409.
[13] W. Specht. Gesetze in Ringen, I. Math. Z. 52 (1950), 557-589.
[14] A. Tyc. An elementary proof of the Weitzenböck theorem. Colloq. Math. 78 (1998), 123-132.
[15] N. Vonessen. Actions of Linearly Reductive Groups on Affine PI-Algebras. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 414, 1989.
[16] R. Weitzenböck. Über die Invarianten von linearen Gruppen. Acta Math. 58 (1932), 231-293.
[17] E. I. Zelmanov. On Engel Lie algebras. Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 29, 5 (1988), 112-117 (in Russian); English translation: Sib. Math. J. 29 (1988), 777-781.

Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
1113 Sofia, Bulgaria
e-mail: drensky@math.bas.bg


[^0]:    2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16R10, 16R30.
    Key words: Noncommutative invariant theory; unipotent transformations; relatively free algebras.

    Partially supported by Grant MM-1106/2001 of the Bulgarian National Science Fund.

