Provided for non-commercial research and educational use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Serdica Mathematical Journal Сердика

Математическо списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints. Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

> For further information on Serdica Mathematical Journal which is the new series of Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes visit the website of the journal http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica or contact: Editorial Office Serdica Mathematical Journal Institute of Mathematics and Informatics Bulgarian Academy of Sciences Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49 e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

Serdica Math. J. 30 (2004), 395-404

Serdica Mathematical Journal

Bulgarian Academy of Sciences Institute of Mathematics and Informatics

INVARIANTS OF UNIPOTENT TRANSFORMATIONS ACTING ON NOETHERIAN RELATIVELY FREE ALGEBRAS

Vesselin Drensky

Communicated by V. Brînzănescu

ABSTRACT. The classical theorem of Weitzenböck states that the algebra of invariants $K[X]^g$ of a single unipotent transformation $g \in GL_m(K)$ acting on the polynomial algebra $K[X] = K[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$ over a field K of characteristic 0 is finitely generated. This algebra coincides with the algebra of constants $K[X]^{\delta}$ of a linear locally nilpotent derivation δ of K[X]. Recently the author and C. K. Gupta have started the study of the algebra of invariants $F_m(\mathfrak{V})^g$ where $F_m(\mathfrak{V})$ is the relatively free algebra of rank m in a variety \mathfrak{V} of associative algebras. They have shown that $F_m(\mathfrak{V})^g$ is not finitely generated if \mathfrak{V} contains the algebra $UT_2(K)$ of 2×2 upper triangular matrices (and $g \neq 1$). The main result of the present paper is that the algebra $F_m(\mathfrak{V})^g$ is finitely generated if and only if the variety \mathfrak{V} does not contain the algebra $UT_2(K)$. As a by-product of the proof we have established also the finite generation of the algebra of invariants T_{nm}^g where T_{nm} is the mixed trace algebra generated by m generic $n \times n$ matrices and the traces of their products.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 16R10, 16R30.

Key words: Noncommutative invariant theory; unipotent transformations; relatively free algebras.

Partially supported by Grant MM-1106/2001 of the Bulgarian National Science Fund.

Vesselin Drensky

Introduction. Let K be any field of characteristic 0 and let $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$, where m > 1. Let $g \in GL_m = GL_m(K)$ be a unipotent linear operator acting on the vector space $KX = Kx_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Kx_m$. By the classical theorem of Weitzenböck [16], the algebra of invariants

$$K[X]^{g} = \{ f \in K[X] \mid f(g(x_{1}), \dots, g(x_{m})) = f(x_{1}, \dots, x_{m}) \}$$

is finitely generated. A proof in modern language was given by Seshadri [12]. An elementary proof based on the ideas of [12] was presented by Tyc [14]. Since g-1 is a nilpotent linear operator of KX, we may consider the linear locally nilpotent derivation

$$\delta = \log g = \sum_{i \ge 1} (-1)^{i-1} \frac{(g-1)^i}{i}$$

called a Weitzenböck derivation. (The K-linear operator δ acting on an algebra A is called a derivation if $\delta(uv) = \delta(u)v + u\delta(v)$ for all $u, v \in A$.) The algebra of invariants $\mathbb{C}[X]^g$ coincides with the algebra of constants $\mathbb{C}[X]^{\delta}$ (= ker(δ)). See the book by Nowicki [10] for a background on the properties of the algebras of constants of Weitzenböck derivations.

Looking for noncommutative generalizations of invariant theory, see e. g. the survey by Formanek [8], let $K\langle X \rangle = K\langle x_1, \ldots, x_m \rangle$ be the free unitary associative algebra freely generated by X. The action of GL_m is extended diagonally on $K\langle X \rangle$ by the rule

$$h(x_{j_1}\cdots x_{j_n}) = h(x_{j_1})\cdots h(x_{j_n}), \ h \in GL_m, \ x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_n} \in X.$$

For any PI-algebra R, let $T(R) \subset K\langle X \rangle$ be the T-ideal of all polynomial identities in m variables satisfied by R. The class $\mathfrak{V} = \operatorname{var}(R)$ of all algebras satisfying the identities of R is called the variety of algebras generated by R (or determined by the polynomial identities of R). The factor algebra $F_m(\mathfrak{V}) = K\langle X \rangle / T(R)$ is called the relatively free algebra of rank m in \mathfrak{V} . We shall use the same symbols x_j and X for the generators of $F_m(\mathfrak{V})$. Since T(R) is GL_m -invariant, the action of GL_m on $K\langle X \rangle$ is inherited by $F_m(\mathfrak{V})$ and one can consider the algebra of invariants $F_m(\mathfrak{V})^G$ for any linear group G. As in the commutative case, if $g \in GL_m$ is unipotent, then $F_m(\mathfrak{V})^g$ coincides with the algebra $F_m(\mathfrak{V})^\delta$ of the constants of the derivation $\delta = \log g$.

Till the end of the paper we fix the integer m > 1, the variety \mathfrak{V} , the unipotent linear operator $g \in GL_m$ and the derivation $\delta = \log g$.

The author and C. K. Gupta [6] have started the study of the algebra of invariants $F_m(\mathfrak{V})^g$. They have shown that if \mathfrak{V} contains the algebra $UT_2(K)$ of

396

 2×2 upper triangular matrices and g is different from the identity of GL_m , then $F_m(\mathfrak{V})^g$ is not finitely generated for any m > 1. They have also established that, if $UT_2(K)$ does not belong to \mathfrak{V} , then, for m = 2, the algebra $F_2(\mathfrak{V})^g$ is finitely generated.

In the present paper we close the problem for which varieties \mathfrak{V} and which m the algebra $F_m(\mathfrak{V})^g$ is finitely generated. Our main result is that this holds, and for all m > 1, if and only if the variety \mathfrak{V} does not contain the algebra $UT_2(K)$.

It is natural to expect such a result by two reasons. First, it follows from the proofs of Seshadri [12] and of Tyc [14], see also the paper by Onoda [11], that the algebra $K[X]^g$ is isomorphic to the algebra of invariants of certain SL_2 action on the polynomial algebra in m + 2 variables. One can prove a similar fact for $F_m(\mathfrak{V})^g$ and $(K[y_1, y_2] \otimes_K F_m(\mathfrak{V}))^{SL_2}$. Second, the results of Vonessen [15], Domokos and the author [3] give that $F_m(\mathfrak{V})^G$ is finitely generated for all reductive G if and only if the finitely generated algebras in \mathfrak{V} are one-side noetherian. For unitary algebras this means that \mathfrak{V} does not contain $UT_2(K)$ or, equal valently, \mathfrak{V} satisfies the Engel identity $[x_2, x_1, \ldots, x_1] = 0$. In our proof we use the so called proper polynomial identities introduced by Specht [13], the fact that the Engel identity implies that the vector space of proper polynomials in $F_m(\mathfrak{V})$ is finite dimensional and hence $F_m(\mathfrak{V})$ has a series of ideals such that the factors are finitely generated K[X]-modules. As a by-product of the proof we have established also the finite generation of the algebra of invariants T_{nm}^g , where T_{nm} is the mixed trace algebra generated by m generic $n \times n$ matrices x_1, \ldots, x_m and and the traces of their products $tr(x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k}), k \geq 1$.

1. Preliminaries. We fix two finite dimensional vector spaces U and V, dim U = p, dim V = q, and representations of the infinite cyclic group $G = \langle g \rangle$:

$$\rho_U: G \to GL(U) = GL_p, \quad \rho_V: G \to GL(V) = GL_q,$$

where $\rho_U(g)$ and $\rho_V(g)$ are unipotent linear operators. Fixing bases $Y = \{y_1, \ldots, y_p\}$ and $Z = \{z_1, \ldots, z_q\}$ of U and V, respectively, we consider the free left K[Y]-module M(Y, Z) with basis Z. Then g acts diagonally on M(Y, Z) by the rule

$$g: \sum_{j=1}^{q} f_j(y_1, \dots, y_p) z_j \to \sum_{j=1}^{q} f_j(g(y_1), \dots, g(y_p)) g(z_j), \quad f_j \in K[Y],$$

where, by definition, $g(y_i) = \rho_U(g)(y_i)$ and $g(z_j) = \rho_V(g)(z_j)$. Let $M(Y, Z)^g$ be the set of fixed points in M(Y, Z) under the action of g. Since $\rho_U(g)$ and $\rho_V(g)$ are unipotent operators, the operators $\delta_U = \log \rho_U(g)$ and $\delta_V = \log \rho_V(g)$ are well defined. Denote by δ the induced derivation of K[Y]. We extend δ to a derivation of M(Y, Z), denoted also by δ , i. e. δ is the linear operator of M(Y, Z)defined by

$$\delta: \sum_{j=1}^q f_j(Y)z_j \to \sum_{j=1}^q \delta(f_j(Y))z_j + \sum_{j=1}^q f_j(Y)\delta(z_j)$$

It is easy to see that $\delta = \log g$ on M(Y, Z) and $M(Y, Z)^g$ coincides with the kernel of δ , i. e. the set of constants $M(Y, Z)^{\delta}$. Changing the bases of U and V, we may assume that δ_U and δ_V have the form

$$\delta_U = \begin{pmatrix} J_{p_1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & J_{p_2} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & J_{p_{k-1}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & J_{p_k} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \delta_V = \begin{pmatrix} J_{q_1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & J_{q_2} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & J_{q_{l-1}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & J_{q_l} \end{pmatrix},$$

where J_r is the $(r+1) \times (r+1)$ Jordan cell

(1)
$$J_r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with zero diagonal.

We denote by W_r the irreducible (r + 1)-dimensional SL_2 -module. It is isomorphic to the SL_2 -module of the forms of degree r in two variables x, y. This is the unique structure of an SL_2 -module on the (r + 1)-dimensional vector space which agrees with the action of δ (and hence of g) as the Jordan cell (1): We can think of δ as the derivation of K[x, y] defined by $\delta(x) = 0$, $\delta(y) = x$. We fix the "canonical" basis of W_r

(2)
$$u^{(0)} = x^r, u^{(1)} = \frac{x^{r-1}y}{1!}, u^{(2)} = \frac{x^{r-2}y^2}{2!}, \dots, u^{(r-1)} = \frac{xy^{r-1}}{(r-1)!}, u^{(r)} = \frac{y^r}{r!}.$$

We give U and V the structure of SL_2 -modules

(3)
$$U = W_{p_1} \oplus \dots \oplus W_{p_k}, \quad V = W_{q_1} \oplus \dots \oplus W_{q_l},$$

and extend it on K[Y] and M(Y,Z) via the diagonal action of SL_2 . Again, this agrees with the action of g and δ . Then K[U] and M(Y,Z) are direct

398

sums of irreducible SL_2 -modules $U_{ri} \subset K[Y]$ and $W_{rj} \subset M(Y,Z)$ isomorphic to W_r , $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, r = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, with canonical bases $\{u_{ri}^{(0)}, u_{ri}^{(1)}, \ldots, u_{ri}^{(r)}\}$ and $\{w_{rj}^{(0)}, w_{rj}^{(1)}, \ldots, w_{rj}^{(r)}\}$, respectively.

Lemma 1. The elements $u \in K[Y]$ and $w \in M(Y,Z)$ belong to $K[Y]^{\delta}$ and $M(Y,Z)^{\delta}$, respectively, if and only if they have the form

(4)
$$u = \sum_{r,i} \alpha_{ri} u_{ri}^{(0)}, \quad w = \sum_{r,j} \beta_{rj} w_{rj}^{(0)}, \quad \alpha_{ri}, \beta_{rj} \in K.$$

Proof. Almkvist, Dicks and Formanek [1] translated in the language of g-invariants results of De Concini, Eisenbud and Procesi [2] and proved that, in our notation, g(u) = u and g(w) = w if and only if u and w have the form (4). Since g(u) = u if and only if $\delta(u) = 0$, and similarly for w, we obtain that (4) holds if and only if u and w are δ -constants. (The same fact is contained in the paper by Tyc [14] but in the language of representations of the Lie algebra $sl_2(K)$.) \Box

In each component W_r of U in (3), using the basis (2), we define a linear operator d by

$$d(u^{(k)}) = (k+1)(r-k)u^{(k+1)}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, r,$$

i. e., up to multiplicative constants, d acts by $u^{(0)} \to u^{(1)} \to u^{(2)} \to \cdots \to u^{(r)} \to 0$. We extend d to a derivation of K[Y]. As in the case of δ , again we can think of d as the derivation of K[x, y] defined by d(x) = y, d(y) = 0.

Lemma 2. (i) The derivation d acts on each irreducible component U_{ri} of K[Y] by

$$d(u_{ri}^{(k)}) = (k+1)(r-k)u_{ri}^{(k+1)}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, r.$$

(ii) If $f = f(Y) \in K[Y]$, then $\delta^{s+1}(f) = 0$ if and only if f belongs to the vector space

(5)
$$K[Y]_s = \sum_{t=0}^s d^t (K[Y]^{\delta}).$$

Proof. Part (i) follows from the fact that the SL_2 -action on U is the only action which agrees with the action of δ as well as with the action of d (as the derivations of K[x, y] defined by $\delta(x) = 0$, $\delta(y) = x$ and d(x) = y, d(y) = 0, respectively), and the extension of this SL_2 -action to K[U] also agrees with the action of δ and d on K[U].

(ii) Since the irreducible SL_2 -submodules of K[Y] are δ - and d-invariant, it is sufficient to prove the statement only for $f \in W_r \subset K[Y]$. Considering the basis (2) of W_r , we have that $\delta^{s+1}(f) = 0$ if and only if

$$f = \alpha_0 u^{(0)} + \alpha_1 u^{(1)} + \dots + \alpha_s u^{(s)}, \quad \alpha_k \in K.$$

Since $W_r^{\delta} = Ku^{(0)}$ and $d^t(u^{(0)}) \in Ku^{(t)}$, we obtain that $W_r \cap K[Y]_s$ is spanned by $u^{(0)}, u^{(1)}, \ldots, u^{(s)}$ and coincides with the kernel of δ^{s+1} in W_r . \Box

In principle, the proof of the following proposition can be obtained following the main steps of the proof of Tyc [14] of the Weitzenböck theorem. The proof of the three main lemmas in [14] uses only the fact that the ideals of the algebra K[Y] are finitely generated K[Y]-modules. Instead, we shall give a direct proof, using the idea of the proof of Lemma 3 in [14].

Proposition 3. The set of constants $M(Y,Z)^{\delta}$ is a finitely generated $K[Y]^{\delta}$ -module.

Proof. Let N_i be the K[Y]-submodule of M(Y,Z) generated by the basis elements z_j of $V = Kz_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Kz_q$ corresponding to the *i*-th Jordan cell J_{q_i} . Since $M(Y,Z) = N_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus N_l$ and $M(Y,Z)^{\delta} = N_1^{\delta} \oplus \cdots \oplus N_l^{\delta}$, it is sufficient to show that each N_i^{δ} is a finitely generated $K[Y]^{\delta}$ -module. Hence, without loss of generality we may assume that q = r + 1 and $\delta(z_0) = 0$, $\delta(z_j) = z_{j-1}$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, r$. Let

(6)
$$f = f_0(Y)z_0 + f_1(Y)z_1 + \dots + f_r(Y)z_r \in M(Y,Z)^{\delta}, \quad f_j(Y) \in K[Y].$$

Then

Then

 $\delta(f) = (\delta(f_0) + f_1)z_0 + (\delta(f_1) + f_2)z_1 + \dots + (\delta(f_{r-1}) + f_r)z_{r-1} + \delta(f_r)z_r$

and this implies that

$$\delta(f_j) = -f_{j+1}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, r-1,$$

 $\delta(f_r) = \delta^2(f_{r-1}) = \dots = \delta^r(f_1) = \delta^{r+1}(f_0) = 0.$

Hence, fixing any element $f_0(Y)$ from $K[Y]_r$, we determine all the coefficients f_1, \ldots, f_r from (6). By Lemma 2 it is sufficient to show that the $K[Y]^{\delta}$ -module generated by $d^t(K[Y]^{\delta})$ is finitely generated. By the theorem of Weitzenböck, $K[Y]^{\delta}$ is a finitely generated algebra. Let $\{h_1, \ldots, h_n\}$ be a generating set of $K[Y]^{\delta}$. Then $d^t(K[Y]^{\delta})$ is spanned by the elements $d^t(h_1^{a_1} \cdots h_n^{a_n})$. Since d is a derivation, $d^t(K[Y]^{\delta})$ is spanned by elements of the form

$$h_1^{c_1} \cdots h_n^{c_n} \left(\prod d^{t_{i_1}}(h_1) \right) \cdots \left(\prod d^{t_{i_n}}(h_n) \right), \quad \sum t_{i_1} + \cdots + \sum t_{i_n} = t.$$

400

There is only a finite number of possibilities for t_{i_1}, \ldots, t_{i_n} , and we obtain that $d^t(K[Y]^{\delta})$ generates a finitely generated $K[Y]^{\delta}$ -module. \Box

Corollary 4. Let, in the notation of this section, U and V be polynomial GL_m -modules, let $g \in GL_m$ be a unipotent matrix and let M(Y,Z) be equipped with the diagonal action of GL_m . Then, for every GL_m -submodule M_0 of M(Y,Z), the natural homomorphism $M(Y,Z) \to M(Y,Z)/M_0$ induces an epimorphism $M(Y,Z)^g \to (M(Y,Z)/M_0)^g$, i. e. we can lift the g-invariants of $M(Y,Z)/M_0$ to g-invariants of M(Y,Z).

Proof. The lifting of the constants was established in [6] in the case of relatively free algebras and the same proof works in our situation. Since U and V are polynomial GL_m -modules, the module M(Y,Z) is completely reducible. Hence $M(Y,Z) = M_0 \oplus M'$ for some GL_m -submodule M' of M(Y,Z)and $M(Y,Z)/M_0 \cong M'$. If $w + M_0 = \overline{w} \in (M(Y,Z)/M_0)^g$, then $w = w_0 + w'$, $w_0 \in M_0, w' \in M'$, and $g(w) = g(w_0) + g(w')$. Since $g(\overline{w}) = \overline{w}$, we obtain that g(w') = w' and the g-invariant \overline{w} is lifted to the g-invariant w'. \Box

Remark 5. The proof of Proposition 3 gives also an algorithm to find the generators of $M(Y,Z)^{\delta}$ in terms of the generators of $K[Y]^{\delta}$. The latter problem is solved by van den Essen [7] and his algorithm uses Gröbner bases techniques.

2. The main results. The following theorem is the main result of our paper. For m = 2 it was established in [6] using the description of the *g*-invariants of $K\langle x, y \rangle$.

Theorem 6. For any variety \mathfrak{V} of associative algebras which does not contain the algebra $UT_2(K)$ of 2×2 upper triangular matrices, the algebra of invariants $F_m(\mathfrak{V})^g$ of any unipotent $g \in GL_m$ is finitely generated.

Proof. We shall work with the linear locally nilpotent derivation $\delta = \log g$ instead with g.

It is well known that any variety \mathfrak{V} which does not contain $UT_2(K)$ satisfies some Engel identity $[x_2, x_1, \ldots, x_1] = 0$. By the theorem of Zelmanov [17] any Lie algebra over a field of characteristic zero satisfying the Engel identity is nilpotent. Hence we may assume that \mathfrak{V} satisfies the polynomial identity of Lie nilpotency $[x_1, \ldots, x_{c+1}] = 0$. (Actually, this follows from much easier and much earlier results on PI-algebras.)

Let us consider the vector space $B_m(\mathfrak{V})$ of so called proper polynomials in $F_m(\mathfrak{V})$. It is spanned by all products $[x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_k}] \cdots [x_{j_1}, \ldots, x_{j_l}]$ of commutators

of length ≥ 2 . One of the main results of the paper by the author [4] states that if $\{f_1, f_2, \ldots\}$ is a basis of $B_m(\mathfrak{V})$, then $F_m(\mathfrak{V})$ has a basis

$$\{x_1^{p_1}\cdots x_m^{p_m}f_i \mid p_j \ge 0, i = 1, 2, \ldots\}.$$

Let $B_m^{(k)}(\mathfrak{V})$ be the homogeneous component of degree k of $B_m(\mathfrak{V})$. It follows from the proof of Theorem 5.5 in [4], that for any Lie nilpotent variety \mathfrak{V} , and for a fixed positive integer m, the vector space $B_m(\mathfrak{V})$ is finite dimensional. Hence $B_m^{(n)}(\mathfrak{V}) = 0$ for *n* sufficiently large, e. g. for $n > n_0$. Let I_k be the ideal of $F_m(\mathfrak{V})$ generated by $B_m^{(k+1)}(\mathfrak{V}) + B_m^{(k+2)}(\mathfrak{V}) + \cdots + B_m^{(n_0)}(\mathfrak{V})$. Since $wx_i = x_iw + [w, x_i], w \in F_m(\mathfrak{V})$, applying Lemma 2.4 [4], we obtain that I_k/I_{k+1} is a free left K[X]-module with any basis of the vector space $B_m^{(k)}(\mathfrak{V})$ as a set of free generators. Since δ is a nilpotent linear operator of $U = KX = Kx_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus$ Kx_m , it acts also as a nilpotent linear operator of $V_k = B_m^{(k)}(\mathfrak{V})$. Proposition 3 gives that $(I_k/I_{k+1})^{\delta}$ is a finitely generated $K[X]^{\delta}$ -module. Clearly, $B_m^{(0)}(\mathfrak{V}) =$ $K, B_m^{(1)}(\mathfrak{V}) = 0, B_m^{(2)}(\mathfrak{V})$ is spanned by the commutators $[x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}]$, etc. Hence $I_0/I_1 \cong K[X]$ and by the theorem of Weitzenböck $(I_0/I_1)^{\delta}$ is a finitely generated algebra. We fix a system of generators $\overline{f}_1, \ldots, \overline{f}_a$ of the algebra $(I_0/I_1)^{\delta}$ and finite sets of generators $\{\overline{f}_{k1},\ldots,\overline{f}_{kb_k}\}$ of the $K[X]^{\delta}$ -modules $(I_k/I_{k+1})^{\delta}, k =$ 2,3,..., n_0 . The vector space U is a GL_m -module and its GL_m -action makes V_k a polynomial GL_m -module. We apply Corollary 4 and lift all \overline{f}_i and \overline{f}_{ki} to some δ -constants $f_i, f_{kj} \in F_m(\mathfrak{V})^{\delta}$. The algebra S generated by f_1, \ldots, f_a maps onto $(I_0/I_1)^{\delta}$ and hence $(I_k/I_{k+1})^{\delta}$ is a left S-module generated by $\overline{f}_{k1}, \ldots, \overline{f}_{kb_k}$. The condition $I_{n_0+1} = 0$ together with Corollary 4 gives that the f_i and f_{kj} generate $F_m(\mathfrak{V})^{\delta}$. \Box

Together with the results of [6] Theorem 6 gives immediately:

Corollary 7. For $m \geq 2$ and for any fixed unipotent operator $g \in GL_m$, $g \neq 1$, the algebra of g-invariants $F_m(\mathfrak{V})^g$ is finitely generated if and only if \mathfrak{V} does not contain the algebra $UT_2(K)$.

We refer to the books [9] and [5] for a background on the theory of matrix invariants. We fix an integer n > 1 and consider the generic $n \times n$ matrices x_1, \ldots, x_m . Let C_{nm} be the pure trace algebra, i. e. the algebra generated by the traces of products $\operatorname{tr}(x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k})$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, and let T_{nm} be the mixed trace algebra generated by x_1, \ldots, x_m and C_{nm} . It is well known that C_{nm} is finitely generated. (For an explicit set of generators, the Nagata-Higman theorem states that the nil polynomial identity $x^n = 0$ implies the identity of nilpotency $x_1 \cdots x_d = 0$. If d(n) is the minimal d with this property, one may take as generators $\operatorname{tr}(x_{i_1}\cdots x_{i_k})$ with $k \leq d(n)$.) Also, T_{nm} is a finitely generated C_{nm} -module.

Theorem 8. For any unipotent operator $g \in GL_m$, the algebra T_{nm}^g is finitely generated.

Proof. Consider the vector space U of all formal traces $y_i = tr(x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k})$, $i_i = 1, \ldots, m, 1 \le k \le d(n)$. Let Y be the set of all y_i . It has a natural structure of a GL_m -module and hence $\delta = \log g$ acts as a nilpotent linear operator on U. Also, consider a finite system of generators f_1, \ldots, f_a of the C_{nm} -module T_{nm} . We may assume that the f_j do not depend on the traces and fix some elements $h_j \in K\langle X \rangle$ such that $h_j \to f_j$ under the natural homomorphism $K\langle X \rangle \to T_{nm}$ extending the mapping $x_i \to x_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$. Let V be the GL_m -submodule of $K\langle X\rangle$ generated by the h_i . Again, δ acts as a nilpotent linear operator on V. We fix a basis $Z = \{z_1, \ldots, z_q\}$ of V. Consider the free K[Y]-module M(Y, Z) with basis Z. Proposition 3 gives that $M(Y,Z)^{\delta}$ is a finitely generated $K[Y]^{\delta}$ -module and the theorem of Weitzenböck implies that $K[Y]^{\delta}$ is a finitely generated algebra. Since the algebra C_{nm} and the C_{nm} -module T_{nm} are homomorphic images of the algebra K[Y] and the K[Y]-module M(Y, Z), Corollary 4 gives that $K[Y]^{\delta}$ and $M(Y,Z)^{\delta}$ map on C_{nm}^{δ} and T_{nm}^{δ} , respectively. Hence T_{nm}^{δ} is a finitely generated module of the finitely generated algebra C_{nm}^{δ} and, therefore, the algebra T_{nm}^{δ} is finitely generated. \Box

REFERENCES

- G. ALMKVIST, W. DICKS, E. FORMANEK. Hilbert series of fixed free algebras and noncommutative classical invariant theory. J. Algebra 93 (1985), 189–214.
- [2] C. DE CONCINI, D. EISENBUD, C. PROCESI. Young diagrams and determinantal varieties. *Invent. Math.* 56 (1980), 129–165.
- [3] M. DOMOKOS, V. DRENSKY. A Hilbert-Nagata theorem in noncommutative invariant theory. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 350 (1998), 2797–2811.
- [4] V. DRENSKY. Codimensions of T-ideals and Hilbert series of relatively free algebras. J. Algebra 91 (1984), 1–17.
- [5] V. DRENSKY, E. FORMANEK. Polynomial Identity Rings, Advanced Courses in Mathematics. CRM Barcelona, Birkhäuser, Basel-Boston, 2004 (to appear).

- [6] V. DRENSKY, C. K. GUPTA. Constants of Weitzenböck derivations and invariants of unipotent transformations acting on relatively free algebras. Preprint.
- [7] A. VAN DEN ESSEN. An algorithm to compute the invariant ring of a G_a -action on an affine variety. J. Symbolic Computation 16 (1993), 551–555.
- [8] E. FORMANEK. Noncommutative invariant theory. Contemp. Math. 43 (1985), 87–119.
- [9] E. FORMANEK. The Polynomial Identities and Invariants of $n \times n$ Matrices. CBMS Regional Conf. Series in Math. **78**, Published for the Confer. Board of the Math. Sci. Washington DC, AMS, Providence RI, 1991.
- [10] A. NOWICKI. Polynomial Derivations and Their Rings of Constants. Uniwersytet Mikolaja Kopernika, Torun, 1994, http://www-users.mat.uni.torun.pl/~anow/ploder.html.
- [11] N. ONODA. Linear actions of G_a on polynomial rings. Proceedings of the 25th Symposium on Ring Theory (Matsumoto, 1992), 11-16, Okayama Univ., Okayama, 1992.
- [12] C. S. SESHADRI. On a theorem of Weitzenböck in invariant theory. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 1 (1962), 403–409.
- [13] W. SPECHT. Gesetze in Ringen, I. Math. Z. 52 (1950), 557–589.
- [14] A. TYC. An elementary proof of the Weitzenböck theorem. Colloq. Math. 78 (1998), 123–132.
- [15] N. VONESSEN. Actions of Linearly Reductive Groups on Affine PI-Algebras. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 414, 1989.
- [16] R. WEITZENBÖCK. Über die Invarianten von linearen Gruppen. Acta Math. 58 (1932), 231–293.
- [17] E. I. ZELMANOV. On Engel Lie algebras. Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 29, 5 (1988), 112-117 (in Russian); English translation: Sib. Math. J. 29 (1988), 777–781.

Institute of Mathematics and Informatics Bulgarian Academy of Sciences 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria e-mail: drensky@math.bas.bg

Received April 27, 2004