Provided for non-commercial research and educational use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Serdica Mathematical Journal Сердика

Математическо списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints. Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

> For further information on Serdica Mathematical Journal which is the new series of Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes visit the website of the journal http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica or contact: Editorial Office Serdica Mathematical Journal Institute of Mathematics and Informatics Bulgarian Academy of Sciences Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49 e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

Serdica Math. J. 34 (2008), 355-372

Serdica Mathematical Journal

Bulgarian Academy of Sciences Institute of Mathematics and Informatics

GENERALIZED BACKSCATTERING AND THE LAX-PHILLIPS TRANSFORM

Richard Melrose, Gunther Uhlmann

Communicated by G. Popov

Dedicated to Vesselin Petkov on the occasion of his 65th birthday

ABSTRACT. Using the free-space translation representation (modified Radon transform) of Lax and Phillips in odd dimensions, it is shown that the generalized backscattering transform (so outgoing angle $\omega = S\theta$ in terms of the incoming angle with S orthogonal and Id -S invertible) may be further restricted to give an entire, globally Fredholm, operator on appropriate Sobolev spaces of potentials with compact support. As a corollary we show that the modified backscattering map is a local isomorphism near elements of a generic set of potentials.

Introduction. The inverse scattering problem in the two body case consists of determining a potential V by measuring the scattering amplitude

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 35P25, 35R30, 58J50.

Key words: Backscattering, Radon transform, Fredholm family, holomorphy, potential scattering, inversion.

 $a_V(\lambda, \omega, \theta)$ where λ denotes the frequency of an incoming plane wave with direction ω and θ denotes the outgoing direction. This is an overdetermined problem except in dimension one. In this note we consider determined problems where the set of possible angles θ and ω is restricted to an n-1 dimensional subset of the complement of the diagonal in the product of the sphere with itself. We use the time dependent approach to scattering of Lax-Phillips [10], [17]. This is a based on the classical wave equation rather than the time dependent or stationary Schrödinger equation and therefore allows the properties of the wave equation, especially the finite speed of propagation of the solutions and the precise description on the propagation of singularities, to be effectively exploited. In particular the Lax-Phillips modified Radon transform (their free-space translationrepresentation), reduces the n-dimensional problem to a one dimensional problem with lower order term arising from the potential.

If S is an n-dimensional orthogonal transformation such that $\operatorname{Id} -S$ is invertible, then the modified backscattering transform determined by S, for a potential V, is obtained by composing the restriction of the scattering kernel $\kappa_V(s,\omega,\theta)$ (the inverse Fourier-Laplace transform in λ of the scattering amplitude) to $\omega = S\theta$ with a linear map L_S (the generalized inverse of the linearization of the map at V = 0). In the Main Theorem in Section 3, it is shown that if $\dot{H} \frac{n+1}{2}(B(\rho))$ is the Sobolev space of functions with support in the closed ball of radius ρ then

(1)
$$\dot{H}^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(B(\rho)) \ni V \longmapsto L_S(\kappa_V(s, S\theta, \theta)) \in \dot{H}^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(B(\rho))$$

is an entire and globally Fredholm non-linear map of index zero. Indeed this map is a local isomorphism near potentials forming an open set with complement of codimension at least two (see Proposition 2 in Section 5).

Related results, in a slightly different setting for true backscattering, S = - Id but including two dimensions and non-compact supports, have been obtained by Eskin and Ralston [4, 5, 6]. A different method to prove generic uniqueness was given in [20] in dimension 3 for compactly supported potentials. The use of hyperbolic equations for the inverse backscattering problem has also been considered in several papers; see for instance [2], [7], [15], [21]. The lecture notes [14] contain most of what we do here. In [13], [23], we gave a sketch of the proof of the main Theorem here for the case S = - Id. The case of even dimensions n > 2, also for S = - Id, using similar methods to [14], [13] and [23], was considered in [24]. Melin has developed an alternative approach to the inverse backscattering problem using the ultrahyperbolic equation [11], [12].

We leave open the question of whether a map such as (1) is a global isomorphism, or a local isomorphism near each potential. The problem of de-

357

termining partial information of the potential, especially its singularities, from backscattering or other (formally) determined information has been considered in the papers [7], [9], [16], [22], [18], [19] and in the recent preprint [3].

The authors thank the anonymous referee and Jeff Lagarias for comments on the manuscript.

1. Lax Phillips transform. We briefly recall the approach of Lax and Phillips to scattering theory in odd-dimensional Euclidean space. Since it suffices for the present problem we give a simplified formulation of their theory.

The Lax Phillips theory is founded on the Radon transform:

(2)
$$Rf(s,\omega) = \int_{\mathrm{HS}(s,\omega)} f(x)dH_x,$$
$$R: \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$$

where H_x is surface measure on $HS(s, \omega) = \{x \cdot \omega = s\}$. The formal transpose, R^t , of R is given by

(3)
$$R^{t}: \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n}),$$
$$R^{t}g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} g(x \cdot \omega, \omega) d\omega.$$

Of particular importance here is the fact that the Radon transform intertwines the *n*-dimensional and the one-dimensional Laplacians (for any $n \ge 2$)

(4)
$$R\Delta f = D_s^2 R f \quad \forall \ f \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

where Δ is the positive Laplacian and $D_s = \frac{1}{i}\partial_s$. Moreover there is an inversion and a Plancherel formula; for any $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$f = \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^{n-1}} R^t (|D_s|^{n-1} Rf),$$
(5) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (|D_s|^{\frac{n-1}{2}} Rf)(s, \omega) \overline{(|D_s|^{\frac{n-1}{2}} Rg)(s, \omega)} ds d\omega.$$

The range of R on $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ was characterized by Helgason in [8]. Its closure in an appropriate topology is simpler. Thus if, $n \geq 3$ is odd the operator

 $D_s^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \cdot R$ extends by continuity to an isometric isomorphism

(6)
$$R_n = D_s^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \cdot R : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow \{k \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}); g(-s, -\omega) = (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} g(s, \omega)\}$$

and $R^t \cdot D_s^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ extends by continuity to be its inverse. The modified Radon transform of Lax and Phillips is defined to be

The modified Radon transform of Lax and Phillips is defined to be

(7)
$$LP \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \end{pmatrix} = 2^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \left\{ D_s^{\frac{n+1}{2}} (Ru_0)(s,\omega) - D_s^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (Ru_1)(s,\omega) \right\}.$$

For $n \geq 3$ odd it is an injective map

(8)
$$\operatorname{LP}: \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \times \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$$

which intertwines the free wave group and the translation group:

(9)
$$LP \cdot U_0(t) = T_t \cdot LP, \ T_t v(s, \omega) = v(s - t, \omega),$$
$$U_0(t) \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u(t) \\ D_t u(t) \end{pmatrix}, \ (D_t^2 - \Delta)u(t) = 0, \ u(0) = u_0, \ D_t u(0) = u_1$$

In particular, if u is the solution of the Cauchy problem for the wave equation as in (9) and

(10)
$$k(t,s,\omega) = LP \cdot U_0(t) \binom{u_0}{u_1} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$$

then $k(t, s, \omega) = k_0(s - t, \omega)$ is a solution of the first order differential equation

(11)
$$(D_t + D_s)k(t, s, \omega) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

This is in essence the free-space translation representation of Lax and Phillips. Rather than adopting their approach of constructing a perturbed translation representation for the wave equation with potential we use the same 'free' Lax Phillips transform and observe its effect on the solution to the perturbed Cauchy problem

(12)
$$U_V(t) \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u(t) \\ D_t u(t) \end{pmatrix}, \\ (D_t^2 - \Delta - V(x))u(t) = 0, \ u(0) = u_0, \ D_t u(0) = u_1, \end{cases}$$

where $V \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$. Namely if

(13)
$$k_V(s,t,\omega) = LP \cdot U_V(t) \binom{u_0}{u_1} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}),$$

then

(14)
$$(D_t + D_s)k_V(t, s, \omega) = 2^{\frac{1}{2}}(2\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \left\{ -D_s^{\frac{n-1}{2}} R D_t^2 u(t, \cdot) + D_s^{\frac{n+3}{2}} R u(t, \cdot) \right\} = -2^{\frac{1}{2}}(2\pi)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} D_s^{\frac{n-1}{2}} R[V(\cdot)u(t, \cdot)].$$

Using the inversion formula it follows that

(15)
$$(D_t + D_s)k_V(t, s, \omega) + V_{\rm LP}k_V(t, s, \omega) = 0$$

where V_{LP} is an operator on $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$:

(16)
$$V_{\rm LP} = \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^{n-1}} D_s^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \cdot R \cdot V \cdot R^t D_s^{\frac{n-3}{2}}.$$

Thus if $\operatorname{supp}(V) \subset \{|x| \leq \rho\}$ the operator V_{LP} defined by (16) has Schwartz kernel $V_{\text{LP}}(s, \omega, s', \omega')$ supported in the region

(17)
$$\operatorname{supp}(V_{\mathrm{LP}}) \subset \left\{ (s, \omega', s', \omega) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}; |s|, |s'| \le \rho \right\}.$$

There is a unique fundamental solution, which is to say a distribution satisfying

(18)
$$(D_t + D_s + V_{\rm LP})E_{\rm LP}(t, s, \omega; s', \theta) = 0 E_{\rm LP}(0, s, \omega; s', \theta) = \delta(s - s')\delta_{\theta}(\omega).$$

Standard properties of the wave equation imply that

(19)
$$\operatorname{singsupp}(E_{\mathrm{LP}}) \subset \{s'-s+t=0, \theta=\omega\} \cup \{s'+s+t=0, \theta=-\omega, |s| \le \rho, |s'| \le \rho\}.$$

From this it follows that the continuation problem can also be solved, so for each $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ there is a unique distribution

(20)
$$\alpha(t, s, \omega, \theta) \in \mathcal{C}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}),$$

satisfying

(21)
$$(D_t + D_s)\alpha + V_{LP}\alpha = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \text{ and} \alpha(t, s, \omega; \theta) = \delta(s-t)\delta_{\theta}(\omega) \text{ in } t < -\rho$$

where $\rho = \sup\{|x|; x \in \operatorname{supp}(V)\}.$

It follows that

(22)
$$\alpha(t, s, \omega; \theta) = \kappa_V(t - s, \omega; \theta) \text{ in } s > \rho$$

where $\kappa_V \in \mathcal{C}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ is the *scattering kernel*. Here one can think of α as the free wave

(23)
$$\alpha_0(t, s, \omega; \theta) = \delta(s - t)\delta_\theta(\omega)$$

propagating in from the left and striking the 'potential' which is confined to the region $|s| \leq \rho$. Once it has passed through the potential it again freely propagates to the right. Thus the kernel $\kappa_V(t,\omega;\theta)$ represents the end result of the interaction.

The scattering amplitude in the ordinary sense is the Fourier-Laplace transform of κ_V continued to the real axis. We define the generalized backscattering transform below directly from κ_V .

2. Sobolev bounds. We will consider potentials V with fixed support and finite Sobolev regularity. So, for $\rho \in (0, \infty)$, set

(24)
$$\dot{H}^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(B(\rho)) = \{ V \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n); V(x) = 0 \text{ in } |x| > \rho, \\ D^{\alpha}V \in L^2 \ \forall \ |\alpha| \le \frac{n+1}{2} \}.$$

The choice of Sobolev order here is not critical; it is convenient that $\frac{n+1}{2}$ is an integer and rather more important that $\frac{n+1}{2} > \frac{n}{2}$. The latter condition means that $\dot{H}^{\frac{n+1}{2}}B(\rho)$ is an *algebra*. In fact the usual Sobolev spaces are then modules over these for an appropriate range of orders.

Lemma 1 (Gagliardo-Nirenberg, see [1]). For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with k > n/2and any $s \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $-k \leq s \leq k$

(25)
$$H^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \cdot H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset H^s(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

In particular, if $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $-\frac{n+1}{2} \leq s \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$, then

(26)
$$\dot{H}^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(B(\rho)) \cdot H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \subset \dot{H}^{s}(B(\rho)).$$

Lemma 2. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ for simplicity) the normalized Radon transform in (6) gives a bounded map

(27)
$$R_n: \dot{H}^k(B(\rho)) \longrightarrow \dot{H}^k([-\rho, \rho] \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}) = \{ u \in H^k(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}); u(s, \theta) = 0 \text{ in } |s| > \rho \}.$$

Proof. For k = 0, this is (6) which is a consequence of the L^2 boundedness of the Fourier transform. Consider the case k > 0. We know that R (and hence R_n) intertwines Δ with D_s^2 . Thus if $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then

$$(28) D_s^2 R_n f = R_n \Delta f.$$

Since R_n is a partial isometry on L^2 ,

(29)
$$\langle R_n f, D_s^2 R_n f \rangle_{L^2} = \langle \Delta f, f \rangle.$$

By continuity then, $f \in \dot{H}^1(B(\rho)) \Longrightarrow D_s R_n f \in L^2$. Repeating this argument a finite number of times shows that

(30)
$$f \in \dot{H}^k(B(\rho)) \Longrightarrow D_s^j R_n f \in L^2([-\rho, \rho] \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \quad 0 \le j \le k.$$

To get tangential regularity, suppose that W is a \mathcal{C}^∞ vector field on the sphere. Then

(31)

$$WR_n f(s,\theta) = c_n D_s^{\frac{n-1}{2}} W \int \delta(s-x\cdot\theta) f(x) dx$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^n q_j(\theta) D_s R_n(x_j f), \quad W(x\cdot\theta) = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j q_j(\theta).$$

Thus $WR_n f \in L^2$. Repeating this argument we conclude that (27) holds for $k \ge 0$.

The same type of argument applies to R_n^t . Thus

(32)
$$R_n^t u(x) = c_n \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \delta(s - x \cdot \omega) D_s^{\frac{n-1}{2}} u(s, \omega) ds$$

is bounded from $L^2([-\rho,\rho] \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ into $L^2(B(\rho))$. Direct differentiation therefore shows that it is bounded from $H^k([-\rho,\rho] \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ into $H^k(B(\rho))$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By duality it follows that (27) holds for $k \in -\mathbb{N}$, and hence for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ as claimed. \Box

Note that, from the proof above,

(33)
$$R^{t}: \{ u \in \mathcal{C}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}); D_{s}^{j}u \in L^{2}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}), \ 0 \le j \le k \} \\ \longrightarrow H^{k}(B(\rho)) \text{ if } k \ge 0, \text{ and}$$

(34)
$$R^{t}: \{ u \in \mathcal{C}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}); u \in L^{2}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}) + D^{-k}_{s}L^{2}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \} \longrightarrow H^{k}(B(\rho)) \text{ if } k \leq 0.$$

That is, one does not need tangential regularity to ensure the regularity of $R_n^t f$ in a compact set.

Lemma 3. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $\frac{n-1}{2} \ge k \ge -\frac{n+3}{2}$, and any potential $V \in \dot{H}^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(B(\rho))$, V_{LP} gives a bounded map

(35)
$$V_{\text{LP}}: H^k(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \longrightarrow H^{k+1}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}).$$

Proof. Recall that
$$V_{\rm LP} = c_n^2 D_s^{\frac{n-1}{2}} R \cdot V \cdot R^t D_s^{\frac{n-3}{2}}$$
. From (33),

(36)
$$R^{t}D_{s}^{\frac{n-3}{2}}:H^{k}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^{n-1})\longrightarrow H^{k+1}(B(\rho)).$$

Then, from Lemma 1, multiplication by V maps into the space $\dot{H}^{k+1}(B(\rho))$ and from Lemma 2, $D_s^{\frac{n-1}{2}}R$ maps into $\dot{H}^{k+1}([-\rho,\rho]\times\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$. \Box

3. Generalized backscattering transform. We shall apply these regularity estimates to show that a 'modified backscattering transform,' in which 'excess' information has been discarded, extends by continuity to $\dot{H}^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(B(\rho))$.

Let $\pi_{S,\rho}$ be the orthogonal projection, in $H^2([-2\rho, 2\rho] \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$, onto the closure of the range of $D_s^{\frac{n-3}{2}}R_n$ applied to $(\mathrm{Id}-S)^*\dot{H}^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(B(\rho)) = \dot{H}^{\frac{n+1}{2}}((\mathrm{Id}-S)B(\rho))$ using Lemma 2; let $P_{S,\rho}$ be the range of $\pi_{S,\rho}$. For $V \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we know that the scattering kernel κ_V , has support in $\{s \geq -2\rho\}$. We will 'cut off the tail' where $s > 2\rho$ and project the rest using $\pi_{S,\rho}$. Thus, consider the combined restriction, differentiation and projection map

(37)
$$\chi_{\rho}: \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \xrightarrow{D_{s}^{\frac{n-3}{2}}} \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([-2\rho, 2\rho] \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$$
$$\xrightarrow{\pi_{S,\rho}} \overline{D_{s}^{\frac{n-3}{2}} R_{n}(\dot{H}^{\frac{n+1}{2}}((\mathrm{Id}-S)B(\rho))} \subset \dot{H}^{2}([-2\rho, 2\rho] \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}).$$

Now, for $V \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ we know that

(38) singsupp
$$\kappa_V \subseteq \{s = 0, \theta = \omega\}.$$

Thus the generalized backscattering kernel, $\kappa_V(s, S\theta, \theta) \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$. We can therefore apply (37) to define the *modified (and generalized) backscattering transform*

(39)
$$\beta_S : \dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\infty}(B(\rho)) \ni V \longmapsto \chi_{\rho}[\kappa_V(s, S\theta, \theta)] \in P_{S,\rho} \subset \dot{H}^2([-2\rho, 2\rho] \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}).$$

Theorem 1 (Main Result). For any orthogonal transformation S, such that $\operatorname{Id} -S$ is invertible, the modified backscattering transform (39) extends, by continuity, to

(40)
$$\beta_S : \dot{H}^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(B(\rho)) \longrightarrow P_{S,\rho} \subset \dot{H}^2([-2\rho, 2\rho] \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$$

which is entire analytic. More precisely, it can be written

(41)
$$\beta_S(V) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_S^j(V, \dots V)$$

where

(42)
$$\beta_S^1 : \dot{H}^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(B(\rho)) \longrightarrow P_{S,\rho} \subset \dot{H}^2([-2\rho, 2\rho] \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$$

is a linear isomorphism and for each $j \geq 2$

(43)
$$\beta_S^j : [\dot{H}^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(B(\rho))]^j \longrightarrow P_{S,\rho} \cap \dot{H}^{\frac{5}{2}}([-2\rho, 2\rho] \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$$

is symmetric and satisfies, for each $0 \le \epsilon \le \frac{1}{2}$,

(44)
$$\|\beta_S^j(V,\ldots,V)\|_{\frac{5}{2}-\epsilon} \le \frac{C^{j+1}\|V\|^j}{(j!)^{2\epsilon}}.$$

As we shall describe below, this implies that β_S is almost everywhere a local isomorphism. It is not known, at least to the authors, whether β_S is a global isomorphism (for any admissible S, in particular S = - Id). Nor indeed is it known whether the differential of β_S , at $V \in \dot{H}^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(B(\rho))$ is always invertible – although it *is* Fredholm. Nor is there a conjectural characterization of the singular points.

The Taylor expansion (41) for the modified backscattering transform is closely related to the Born approximation. This in turn is just the Neumann (or perhaps better to say Volterra) series for the solution of the (Radon-transformed) wave equation.

Formally at least, the solution to (21) can be expanded as a series

(45)
$$\alpha = \delta(s-t)\delta_{\theta}(\omega) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{j} \alpha_{j}, \ \alpha_{j} = [(D_{t}+D_{s})^{-1}V_{\rm LP}]^{j} \alpha_{0}, \ j \ge 1$$
$$\alpha_{0} = \delta(s-t)\delta_{\theta}(\omega).$$

Here $(D_t + D_s)^{-1}$ is the inverse of the free forcing problem

(46)
$$(D_t + D_s)u = f$$
, $f = 0$ in $s < -\rho$, $u = 0$ in $s < -\rho \Longrightarrow u = (D_t + D_s)^{-1}f$.

We proceed to show that, for any $V \in \dot{H}^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(B(\rho))$, the series (45) converges.

Proposition 1. For any $V \in \dot{H}^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(B(\rho))$, $T < \infty$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, with $-\frac{n+3}{2} \leq k \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$, $(D_t + D_s)^{-1}V_{\text{LP}}$ is bounded as an operator on

(47)
$$\dot{H}_{T,\rho}^{k} = \left\{ f \in \dot{H}^{k}([-\infty, T]_{t} \times [-\rho, \rho]_{s} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}); f = 0 \text{ in } t < -\rho \right\}$$

and for some C = C(T)

(48)
$$\| [(D_t + D_s)^{-1} V_{\rm LP}]^j \|_{H^k} \le \frac{C^{j+1} \|V\|^j}{j!}$$

where ||V|| is the norm in $\dot{H}^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(B(\rho))$.

Proof. Since t is a parameter in the action of $V_{\rm LP}$ and $(D_t + D_s)^{-1}$ is bounded on any Sobolev space the boundedness is clear from Lemma 3. Only the Volterra-type estimate (48) needs to be shown. To carry out this estimation it is convenient to introduce $D_t + D_s$ and D_s as coordinate vector fields, i.e. change coordinates to

(49)
$$t' = t, \ s' = s - t.$$

The operators are transformed as follows

(50)
$$D_t + D_s \longmapsto D_{t'}, \ V_{\text{LP}} \longmapsto V'_{\text{LP}}(t', s', D_{s'})$$

where V'_{LP} is still a non-local operator in s', but now depending on t' as a parameter, i.e.

(51)
$$V'_{LP}u(t',s')$$
 depends only on $u(t',\cdot)$.

The iterated operator is therefore

(52)
$$\left(D_{t'}^{-1}V_{\rm LP}'\right)^j.$$

Applying this |k| + 1 times to H^k gives a bounded map into the space

$$C^0([-\rho,T]; H^k(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_{s'})).$$

Then, integration in t' and continuity of V'_{LP} shows that

(53)
$$\| (D_{t'}^{-1}V_{\mathrm{LP}}')^{j+|k|+1} u \|_{H^k(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R}_{s'})}(t') \le \frac{C(t'+\rho)^j}{j!}.$$

This gives (48). \Box

Of course from Lemma 3 we know that, if $-\frac{n+3}{2} \le k \le \frac{n-1}{2}$,

(54)
$$(D_t + D_s)^{-1} V_{\rm LP} : \dot{H}^k_{T,\rho} \longrightarrow \dot{H}^{k+1}_{T,\rho}$$

Since

(55)
$$\delta(t-s)\delta_{\theta}(\omega) \in H_{\text{loc}}^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$$

it follows that

(56)
$$\alpha_j \in H^{-\frac{n+1}{2} + \min(j, n+1)}_{\text{loc}} (\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}).$$

Consider the successive terms, α_j , in (45). Since V_{LP} always restricts supports to $[-\rho, \rho]$ in s,

(57)
$$\operatorname{supp}(\alpha_j) \subseteq \{t \ge -\rho\} \cap \{s \ge -\rho\} \cap \{t - s \ge -2\rho\} \cap \{t - s \le 2j\rho\}.$$

To get the expansion (41) we need to use (45) and then project each term with χ_{ρ} , after restricting to $s = \rho$, $\omega = S\theta$ (and shifting in t) to get the scattering kernel. Thus if

(58)
$$\kappa_j(s,\omega,\theta) = \alpha_j(s-\rho,\rho,\theta,\omega)$$

then

(59)
$$\beta_S^j(V) = \chi_\rho[\kappa_j(s, S\theta, \theta)].$$

Since, as a function of $t - s, s, \omega$ and θ , α_j is independent of s in $s > -\rho$ it follows from (56) that

(60)
$$\kappa_j \in H^{-\frac{n+1}{2} + \min(j, n+1)}([-2\rho, T] \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \text{ for any } \mathbf{T}.$$

Restricting to $\omega = S\theta$, a submanifold of codimension n-1 shows that

(61)
$$\kappa_j(s, S\theta, \theta) \in H^1([-2\rho, T] \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \text{ if } j \ge n+1.$$

Moreover, to get (61) we only use the regularity property (54) for the first n + 1 factors in (52). Thus we conclude that the map

(62)
$$\dot{H}^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(B(\rho)) \longrightarrow \sum_{j \ge n+1} \kappa_j(s, S\theta, \theta) \in H^1([-2\rho, T] \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \text{ is entire}$$

for each ρ . This is a good deal weaker than we need to prove the Theorem. Obviously we need to examine the first n + 1 terms in the Taylor series of β at V = 0 to show that this polynomial in V is defined and in any case we have to show that the whole map β_S takes values in H^2 rather than H^1 . Nevertheless we shall use (62) because it allows us to prove that β is entire, with values in the good space (essentially because of Pettit's theorem).

4. Proof of the main result. First we examine

(63)
$$\kappa_S^1(s, S\theta, \theta) = \alpha_1(s - \rho, \rho, S\theta, \theta).$$

This already has support in $[-2\rho, 2\rho]$. We wish to show that this, the linear, term is as claimed in (42). We proceed to compute κ_1 explicitly. It is convenient to take the Fourier transform in s:

(64)
$$\widehat{k_1}(\lambda,\omega,\theta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\lambda t} \kappa_1(t,\omega,\theta) dt = \widehat{\alpha_1}(\lambda,\rho,\omega,\theta) e^{i\lambda\rho}.$$

¿From the definition of α_1 , this gives

(65)
$$\widehat{\kappa_{1}}(\lambda,\omega,\theta) = e^{i\lambda\rho} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int e^{-i\lambda(\rho-s')} \left[V_{\rm LP} e^{-i\lambda s} \delta_{\theta}(\omega) \right] ds'.$$
$$= c_{n}^{2} \int e^{i\lambda s} D_{s}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \int_{x\cdot\omega=s} V(x) \lambda^{\frac{n-3}{2}} e^{-i\lambda x\cdot\theta} dx ds.$$

Integrating by parts we get

(66)
$$\widehat{\kappa_1}(\lambda,\omega,\theta) = c_n^2 \lambda^{n-2} \int e^{i\lambda x \cdot (\omega-\theta)} V(x) dx.$$

Setting $\omega = S\theta$ we find

(67)
$$\widehat{\kappa_S^1}(\lambda, S\theta, \theta) = c_n^2 \lambda^{n-2} \hat{V}(\lambda(\operatorname{Id} - S)\theta).$$

Thus $\widehat{\beta}_{S}^{1}(V)$ is the (*n*-dimensional) Fourier transform of $2^{-n}V((\mathrm{Id}-S)^{-1}x) = \tilde{V}_{S}$. Hence,

$$(68)\qquad\qquad \beta_S^1 = c_n D_s^{\frac{n-3}{2}} R_n \tilde{V}_S$$

shows that β_S^1 maps into $\dot{H}^2([-2\rho, 2\rho] \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$. It is obviously an isomorphism onto $D_s^{\frac{n-3}{2}} R_n \dot{H}^{\frac{n+1}{2}}((\mathrm{Id}-S)B(\rho))$ (which is closed) as claimed.

Next we proceed to find a formula generalizing (66) to the higher derivatives at zero. From (57) we see that, for s bounded above, the support of each α_j is compact in t. After taking the Fourier transform in t, the iterative definition (45) becomes

(69)
$$\widehat{\alpha_j}(\lambda, s, \omega, \theta) = (D_s + \lambda)^{-1} R_n [V \cdot Q_\lambda]^{j-1} V R^t D_s^{(n-3)/2} e^{-is\lambda} \delta_\theta(\omega),$$

where

(70)
$$Q_{\lambda} = R_n^t D_s^{-1} (D_s + \lambda)^{-1} R_n.$$

Here D_s^{-1} , and $(D_s + \lambda)^{-1}$ mean integration from $s = -\infty$, i.e. the inverse preserving vanishing to the left.

Lemma 4. Acting from $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $Q_{\lambda} = (\Delta - \lambda^2)^{-1}$ is the analytic extension of the 'free resolvent' defined as a bounded operator on L^2 for $\Im \lambda < 0$.

Proof. This formula can be deduced from the modified Radon transform of Lax and Phillips. We know that this intertwines the wave group $U_0(t)$ with the translation group, so conjugates the infinitesimal generator of one to that of the other

(71)
$$c_n(D_s^{\frac{n-1}{2}}R, D_s^{\frac{n+1}{2}}R)\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1\\ \Delta & 0 \end{pmatrix} = D_s(D_s^{\frac{n-1}{2}}R, D_s^{\frac{n+1}{2}}R).$$

For $\Im \lambda < 0$, so in the resolvent set, it follows that

(72)
$$c_n^2 R^t D_s^{\frac{n-3}{2}} (D_s + \lambda)^{-1} D_s^{\frac{n-1}{2}} = (\Delta - \lambda^2)^{-1}.$$

This proves the lemma. \Box

Inserting the integral expression for $(D_s + \lambda)^{-1}$ into (69) gives

(73)
$$\widehat{\alpha_{j}}(\lambda, s, \omega, \theta) = c_{n}^{2} \int_{-\infty}^{s} e^{-i\lambda(s-s')} D_{s'}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \int_{x \cdot \omega = s'} V \cdot Q_{\lambda} \cdot V \cdots$$
$$Q_{\lambda} \cdot [V(\bullet)(-\lambda)^{\frac{n-3}{2}} e^{-i\lambda \bullet \cdot \theta}] dH_{x} ds'.$$

From (58), by setting $s = \rho$ and integrating by parts we find

(74)
$$\widehat{\kappa_j}(\lambda,\omega,\theta) = c_n^2(-1)^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \lambda^{n-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\lambda\omega\cdot x} V(x) [Q_\lambda\cdots Q_\lambda\cdot V(\bullet)e^{-i\lambda\theta\cdot \bullet}](x) dx.$$

Restricting to backscattering, $\omega = S\theta$, this gives $\widehat{\kappa_S^j}$ in a form similar to (67). Since κ_j has support in $[-2\rho, 2j\rho]$ its regularity can be deduced from its Fourier-Laplace transform with $\Im \lambda = -1$. Thus we need to examine the growth in λ of

(75)
$$\widehat{\kappa_j}(\lambda, S\theta, \theta) = c_n^2 \lambda^{n-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{j_n}} e^{i\lambda\theta \cdot (S^t x^{(1)} - x^{(j)})} V(x^{(1)}) Q_\lambda(x^{(1)} - x^{(2)}) V(x^{(2)}) \dots \dots \\ \dots Q_\lambda(x^{(j-1)} - x^{(j)}) V(x^{(j)})(x) dx^{(1)} \dots dx^{(j)}$$

where there are j - 1 factors of the free resolvent, Q_{λ} , and j factors of V. As a convolution operator Q_{λ} has kernel

(76)
$$Q_{\lambda}(y) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int e^{iy \cdot \eta} (|\eta|^2 - \lambda^2)^{-1} d\eta$$

Inserting this into (75) gives

$$(77) \quad \widehat{\kappa_{j}}(\lambda, S\theta, \theta) = \\ c_{n}^{2} \int V(x^{(1)}) V(x^{(2)}) \dots V(x^{(j)}) \prod_{\ell=1}^{j-1} (|\eta^{(\ell)}|^{2} - \lambda^{2})^{-1} \\ \times \exp[i(S^{t}x^{(1)} - x^{(j)}) \cdot \xi + i(x^{(1)} - x^{(2)}) \cdot \eta^{(1)} + \dots + i(x^{(j-1)} - x^{(j)}) \cdot \eta^{(j-1)}] \\ dx^{(1)} \dots dx^{(j-1)} d\eta^{(1)} \dots d\eta^{(j-1)}$$

where $\xi = \lambda \theta$.

Carrying out the x-integrals in (77) gives

(78)

$$\widehat{\kappa_j}(\lambda, S\theta, \theta) = c_n^2 \lambda^{n-2} \int \hat{V}(-S^t \xi - \eta^{(1)}) \hat{V}(\eta^{(1)} - \eta^{(2)}) \dots \hat{V}(\eta^{(j-2)} - \eta^{(j-1)}) \hat{V}(\eta^{(j-1)} + \xi)$$
$$\prod_{\ell=1}^{j-1} (|\eta^{(\ell)}|^2 - \lambda^2)^{-1} d\eta^{(1)} \dots d\eta^{(j-1)}.$$

Apart from the factors arising from the resolvent this is an iterated convolution. Since $\Im \lambda = -1$, the resolvent factors are non-singular. Using the obvious estimates

(79)
$$|(|\eta|^2 - \lambda^2)^{-1}| \le c(1 + |\eta| + |\lambda|)^{-1}.$$

and

(80)
$$(1+|\eta'|+|\lambda|)^{-1}(1+|\eta|+|\lambda|)^{-1} \le (1+|\eta-\eta'|)^{-1}$$

the right side of (78) can be estimated to give

(81)
$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{\kappa}_{j}(\lambda, S\theta, \theta)| &\leq C^{j+1} |\lambda|^{n-2} \times \\ &\int \widehat{\Phi}(-S^{t}\xi - \eta^{(1)}) \widehat{\Phi}(\eta^{(1)} - \eta^{(2)}) \\ &\dots \widehat{\Phi}(\eta^{(j-2)} - \eta^{(j-1)}) \widehat{\Phi}(\eta^{(j-1)} + \xi) d\eta^{(1)} \dots d\eta^{(j-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

(82)
$$\hat{\Phi}(\eta) = |\hat{V}(\eta)|(1+|\eta|)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thus

(83)
$$\|\Phi\|_{H^{(n+2)/2}} \le \|V\|_{H^{(n+1)/2}}.$$

First translating the variables of integration to $\eta^{(\ell)} + \xi$ we find that the right side of (81) is the Fourier transform of a product of functions, so using Lemma 1 repeatedly (and taking into account the factor of λ^{n-2} and the invertibility of $S^t - \text{Id}$)

(84)
$$\|\kappa_j(s, S\theta, \theta)\|_{H^{\frac{5}{2}}([-2\rho, 2\rho] \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \le C^{1+j} \|V\|_{H^{(n+1)/2}}.$$

This gives the desired continuity (43) and estimates (44) for $\epsilon = 0$. Moreover the estimates (66) give (44) for $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ and large (hence all) j. The estimates for all $\epsilon \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ then follow by interpolation between Sobolev spaces, i.e.

(85)
$$||u||_{\frac{5}{2}-\epsilon} \le C ||u||_{2}^{2\epsilon} ||u||_{\frac{5}{2}}^{1-2\epsilon} \quad \forall \ \epsilon \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right].$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

It may be that the estimates centered on (79) can be improved to give the exponential type estimates (44) directly and with values in $H^{\frac{5}{2}}$. If the original regularity (n+1)/2 for V is increased by p then the regularity of the derivatives β_S^j in (44) can also be increased by p.

Note that the map β_S in (59) is defined by projection onto the range of the linearization of $V \mapsto \kappa_V(s, S\theta, \theta)$ at V = 0. The linearization has been shown to be an injective Fredholm map, i.e. is an isomorphism onto its (closed) range, so its generalized inverse is a bounded map

(86)
$$L_S: \dot{H}^2([-2\rho, 2\rho] \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \longrightarrow \dot{H}^2([-2\rho, 2\rho] \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \longrightarrow \dot{H}^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(B(\rho)).$$

The map in (1) is then

(87)
$$L_S\beta_S(V) = L_S(\kappa_V(s, S\theta, \theta)) \text{ on } \dot{H}^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(B(\rho))$$

which is therefore an entire map with linearization the identity at 0 and derivative at all other points a compact perturbation of the identity.

5. Fredholm property.

Proposition 2. There is a closed subset $G(\rho) \subset \dot{H}^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(B(\rho))$ which is of codimension at least two (i.e. locally orthogonal projection from $G(\rho)$ onto some subspace of codimension two is at most p-to-1 for some fixed $p \in \mathbb{N}$) such that for each $V' \in [\dot{H}^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(B(\rho)) \setminus G(\rho)]$ there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that the map

(88)
$$\beta_S : \left\{ V \in \dot{H}^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(B(\rho)); \|V - V'\| < \epsilon \right\} \longrightarrow \dot{H}^2([-2\rho, 2\rho] \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$$

is an isomorphism onto its image.

Proof. The set $G(\rho)$ is defined to consist of those $V \in \dot{H}^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(B(\rho))$ such that the derivative of β_S with respect to V is not an isomorphism. Certainly (88) holds for points in the complement of $G(\rho)$ by the implicit function theorem, applied in the Sobolev space. Thus we need to show that $G(\rho)$ so defined has

codimension at least 2, since the density of the complement certainly follows from this. The derivative of β_S with respect to V is a linear map

(89)
$$\beta_1 + \gamma(V) : \dot{H}^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(B(\rho)) \longrightarrow \dot{H}^2([-2\rho, 2\rho] \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$$

where β_1 is an isomorphism and $\gamma(V)$ depends analytically on V and maps continuously into $\dot{H}^{\frac{5}{2}-\epsilon}([-2\rho, 2\rho] \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$. If we consider simply the complex multiples of V, i.e. just look at $\gamma(zV)$, we have analyticity in z. The invertibility of this operator reduces to a finite dimensional problem. Since the map is known to be invertible at z = 0, invertibility can only fail at isolated values of z. This proves the result. \Box

Corollary. For each $\rho > 0$ there is a dense subset of $\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\infty}(B(\rho))$ near each point of which the backscattering transform (88) is injective from $\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\infty}(B(\rho))$.

REFERENCES

- R. ADAMS.Sobolev spaces. Pure and Applied Mathematics Vol. 65, Academic Press, 1975.
- [2] A. BAYALISS, Y. LI, C. S. MORAWETZ. Scattering by a potential using hyperbolic methods. *Math. Comp.* 52 (1989), 321–338.
- [3] I. BELTITA, A. MELIN. Local smoothing for the backscattering transform. arXiv:0712:3865v1.
- [4] G. ESKIN, J. RALSTON. The inverse backscattering problem in three dimensions. Comm. Math. Phys., 124 (1989), 169–215.
- [5] G. ESKIN, J. RALSTON. The inverse backscattering problem in two dimensions. Comm. Math. Phys., 138 (1991), 451–486.
- [6] G. ESKIN, J. RALSTON. Inverse Backscattering. J. Anal. Math., 58 (1992), 177–190.
- [7] A. GREENLEAF, G. UHLMANN. Recovering singularities of a potential from singularities of scattering data. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **157** (1993), 549–572.
- [8] S. HELGASON. The Radon transform on Euclidean spaces, compact twopoint homogeneous spaces and Grassmann manifolds. *Acta Math.*, **113** (1965), 153–180.
- [9] M. JOSHI. Recovering the total singularity of a conormal potential from backscattering data. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 48 (1998), 1513–1532.
- [10] P. LAX, R. PHILLIPS. Scattering Theory. Revised edition, Academic Press, 1989.

- [11] A. MELIN. Back-scattering and nonlinear Radon transformation. Séminaire Équations aux Dérivées Partielles, École Polytechnique, Palaiseau (1998– 1999).
- [12] A. MELIN. Some transforms in potential scattering. Contemp. Math. 348 (2004), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 103–134.
- [13] R. B. MELROSE. Geometric Scattering Theory. Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [14] R. MELROSE, G. UHLMANN. Introduction to microlocal analysis, lecture notes available.
- [15] C. S. MORAWETZ. A formulation for higher-dimensional inverse problems for the wave equation. *Comput. Math. Appl.* 7, 4 (1981), 319–331.
- [16] P. OLA, L. PÄIVÄRINTA, V. SEROV. Recovering singularities from backscattering in two dimensions. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 26, 3–4 (2001), 697–715.
- [17] V. PETKOV. Scattering Theory for Hyperbolic Operators. North-Holland, 1989.
- [18] A. RUIZ. Recovery of the singularities of a potential from fixed angle scattering data. Comm. Partial Differential Equations 26, 9–10 (2001), 1721–1738.
- [19] A. RUIZ, A. VARGAS. Partial recovery of a potential from backscattering data. Comm. Partial Differential Equations 30, 1–3 (2005), 67–96.
- [20] P. STEFANOV. Generic uniqueness for two inverse problems in potential scattering. Comm. Partial Differential Equations 17, 1–2 (1992), 55–68.
- [21] P. STEFANOV, G. UHLMANN. Inverse backscattering for the acoustic equation. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 28 (1997), 1191–1204.
- [22] Z. SUN, G. UHLMANN. Recovery of singularities for formally determined inverse problems. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 153 (1993), 431–445.
- [23] G. UHLMANN. A time dependent approach to the inverse backscattering problem. *Inverse Problems* 17 (2001), 703–716.
- [24] J.-N. WANG. Inverse backscattering in even dimensions. Math. Z. 239, 2 (2002), 365–379.

Richard Melrose	Gunther Uhlmann
Department of Mathematics	Department of Mathematics
Massachusetts Institute of Technology	University of Washington
e-mail: rbm@math.mit.edu	e-mail: gunther@math.washington.edu

Received December 12, 2007