

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Serdica

Mathematical Journal

Сердика

Математическо списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.
Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Serdica Mathematical Journal
which is the new series of
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica>
or contact: Editorial Office
Serdica Mathematical Journal
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

GENERALIZED D-SYMMETRIC OPERATORS I

S. Bouali, M. Ech-chad

Communicated by L. Tzafriri

ABSTRACT. Let H be an infinite-dimensional complex Hilbert space and let $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, where $\mathcal{L}(H)$ is the algebra of operators on H into itself. Let $\delta_{AB}: \mathcal{L}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$ denote the generalized derivation $\delta_{AB}(X) = AX - XB$. This note will initiate a study on the class of pairs (A, B) such that $\overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB})} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_{B^*A^*})}$; i.e. $\overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB})}$ is self-adjoint.

Introduction. Let $\mathcal{L}(H)$ the algebra of all bounded operators on an infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space H . The generalized derivation operator δ_{AB} associated with (A, B) , defined on $\mathcal{L}(H)$ by $\delta_{AB}(X) = AX - XB$ was systematically studied for the first time in [6]. The properties of such operators have been studied extensively (see for example [2, 5, 8, 9, 10]).

The D-symmetric operators (A is D-symmetric if $\overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_A)}$ is self-adjoint, where $\overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_A)}$ is the closure of the range $\mathcal{R}(\delta_A)$ of δ_A in the norm topology) were studied by J. H. Anderson, J. W. Bunce, J. A. Deddens and J. P. Williams [1], S. Bouali and J. Charles [3, 4] and J. G. Stampfli [8].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary: 47B47, 47B10; secondary 47A30.

Key words: Generalized derivation, self-adjoint derivation ranges, D-symmetric operators.

We consider the class of pairs (A, B) such that $\overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB})}$ is self-adjoint, we call such pairs D-symmetric. In this work we extend the results of the D-symmetric operators to D-symmetric pairs.

In the first part we give some properties and characterizations which concern the D-symmetric pairs. The second part contains a description of the sets:

$$\mathcal{C}(A, B) = \{C \in \mathcal{L}(H), C\mathcal{L}(H) + \mathcal{L}(H)C \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB})}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{I}(A, B) = \{Z \in \mathcal{L}(H), Z\mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB}) + \mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB})Z \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB})}\}$$

which generalize those introduced by J. P. Williams in [10].

Notations.

1. Let $\mathcal{K}(H)$ be the ideal of all compact operators. For $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, let $[A]$ denote the coset of A in the Calkin algebra $\mathcal{C}(H) = \mathcal{L}(H)/\mathcal{K}(H)$.
2. $\mathcal{C}_1(H)$ is the ideal of trace class operators.
3. For $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, $\overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB})}^U$ denotes the ultraweak closure of $\mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB})$, and $\mathcal{L}(H)^{U}$ denotes the bounded linear forms in ultraweak topology.
4. Let M be a subspace of $\mathcal{L}(H)$. We denote the orthogonal of M in the duality $\mathcal{L}(H), \mathcal{L}(H)'$ by M° .
5. For g and ω two vectors in H , we define $g \otimes \omega \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ as follows:

$$g \otimes \omega(x) = \langle x, \omega \rangle g \text{ for all } x \in H.$$

1. Properties of D-symmetric Pairs.

Definition 1.1. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(H)$.

(1) If $\overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB})}$ is self-adjoint i.e. $\overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB})} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_{B^*A^*})}$, we say that (A, B) is D-symmetric pair of operators. We denote the set of such pairs by $\mathcal{GD}(H)$.

(2) Let $\delta_{[A][B]}$ the generalized derivation operator defined on $\mathcal{C}(H)$ by $\delta_{[A][B]}([X]) = [\delta_{AB}(X)]$. If $\overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_{[A][B]})}$ is self-adjoint i.e. $\overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_{[A][B]})} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_{[B^*][A^*])}$, we say that $([A], [B])$ is D-symmetric in $\mathcal{C}(H)$.

Lemma 1.1. If $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, then

$$\mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB})^0 \simeq \mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB})^0 \cap \mathcal{K}(H)^0 \oplus \ker(\delta_{BA}) \cap \mathcal{C}_1(H).$$

The proof of Lemma 1.1 is the same as the proof of Theorem 3 in [11].

Theorem 1.1. For $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ the following are equivalent:

- (1). (A, B) is D -symmetric;
- (2). a. $([A], [B])$ is D -symmetric in $\mathcal{C}(H)$, and
 b. $BT = TA$ implies $BT^* = T^*A$ for all $T \in \mathcal{C}_1(H)$;
- (3). c. $([A], [B])$ is D -symmetric in $\mathcal{C}(H)$, and
 d. $\overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB})}^U = \overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_{B^*A^*})}^U$.

Proof. Note that $\overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB})}^U$ is self-adjoint if and only if $\mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB})^0 \cap \mathcal{L}(H)^U$ is self-adjoint. Using Lemma 1.1 we have

$$\mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB})^0 \cap \mathcal{L}(H)^U \simeq \ker(\delta_{BA}) \cap \mathcal{C}_1(H).$$

Consequently we obtain: $\overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB})}^U$ is self-adjoint if and only if $\ker(\delta_{BA}) \cap \mathcal{C}_1(H)$ is self-adjoint. Thus (2) \Leftrightarrow (3).

The equivalence of (1) and (2) is a consequence of Lemma 1.1. \square

Theorem 1.2. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(H)$. If there exists $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $(B - \lambda)(A - \lambda) = (A - \lambda)^2 = 0$, $A - \lambda \neq 0$ and $B - \lambda \neq 0$, then (A, B) is not D -symmetric.

Proof. Since for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB}) = \mathcal{R}(\delta_{(A-\lambda)(B-\lambda)})$, we may assume without loss of generality that $\lambda = 0$. The condition $A^*A \neq 0$ ($A \neq 0$) implies that there exists an vector $f = Ah \neq 0$, such that $A^*f \neq 0$. Then $Bf = 0$. Since $A^*B^* = 0$, we choose $g \neq 0$ such that $A^*g = 0$. We put $A^*f = \omega$;

$$\langle \omega, f \rangle = \langle A^*f, f \rangle = \langle f, Af \rangle = \langle f, A^2h \rangle = 0$$

i.e. ω and f are orthogonal. If $X = \|\omega\|^{-2}(g \otimes \omega)$ and $Y \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, then it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (B^*X - XA^*)f, g \rangle &= \langle B^*Xf, g \rangle - \langle XA^*f, g \rangle \\ &= \langle 0, g \rangle - \langle X\omega, g \rangle \\ &= -\langle g, g \rangle \\ &= -\|g\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\langle (AY - YB)f, g \rangle = \langle Yf, A^*g \rangle - \langle 0, g \rangle = 0.$$

Suppose that $B^*X - XA^* \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB})}^U$. Then there exists a net $(Y_\alpha)_\alpha \subset \mathcal{L}(H)$ such that, for all x and y in H , we have:

$$\langle (AY_\alpha - Y_\alpha B)x, y \rangle \longrightarrow \langle (B^*X - XA^*)x, y \rangle.$$

So that,

$$0 = \langle (AY_\alpha - Y_\alpha B)f, g \rangle \longrightarrow \langle (B^*X - XA^*)f, g \rangle = -\|g\|^2.$$

It follows that $g = 0$; this proves that $B^*X - XA^* \notin \overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB})}^U$. Consequently we obtain that (A, B) is not D-symmetric by Theorem 1.1. \square

Theorem 1.3. *If H is separable, then $\mathcal{GD}(H)$ is not norm-closed in $(\mathcal{L}(H))^2$.*

Proof. Let $\{e_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be an orthonormal basis for H . Define a sequence of operators $(S_n)_{n \geq 1}$ as follows:

$$S_n(e_k) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} e_2, & \text{if } k = 1; \\ e_{k+1}, & \text{if } k \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 3 in [7] asserts that for every $n \geq 1$ $\mathcal{K}(H) \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_{S_n})}$. It follows from [11, Corollary 1, p. 277] that $\{S_n\}' \cap \mathcal{C}_1(H) = \{0\}$, then Theorem 1.1 implies that $(S_n, S_n) \in \mathcal{GD}(H)$ for all $n \geq 1$. Let

$$S(e_k) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } k = 1; \\ e_{k+1}, & \text{if } k \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $\|(S_n, S_n) - (S, S)\| \longrightarrow 0$. Let $f = e_1 + e_2$, $\omega = e_3$ and $g = e_1$. Since $S^*f = 0$, $Sf = \omega$ and $Sg = 0$, It follows from the proof of Theorem 1.2 that (S^*, S^*) is not D-symmetric. Thus $(S, S) \notin \mathcal{GD}(H)$, which completes the proof. \square

2. Properties and Descriptions of $\mathcal{C}(A, B)$ and $\mathcal{I}(A, B)$. Consider the natural closed subalgebras of $\mathcal{L}(H)$ associated with (A, B) :

$$\mathcal{C}(A, B) = \{C \in \mathcal{L}(H), C\mathcal{L}(H) + \mathcal{L}(H)C \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB})}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{I}(A, B) = \{Z \in \mathcal{L}(H), Z\mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB}) + \mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB})Z \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB})}\}$$

It is clear that; if $\mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB})$ is norm-dense in $\mathcal{L}(H)$, $\mathcal{I}(A, B) = \mathcal{C}(A, B) = \mathcal{L}(H)$ (for example $A = 2B = 2I$). Thus $\mathcal{C}(A, B) \neq \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{I}(A, B)$ contains non-scalar operators in general.

Theorem 2.1. *If (A, B) is D-symmetric, then:*

- ι . $\mathcal{C}(A, B)$ and $\mathcal{I}(A, B)$ are norm closed C^* -algebras in $\mathcal{L}(H)$;
- υ . $\mathcal{C}(A, B)$ is a two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{I}(A, B)$.

Proof. ι . It is clear that $\mathcal{C}(A, B)$ and $\mathcal{I}(A, B)$ are norm closed algebras in $\mathcal{L}(H)$. Since $\overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB})}$ is self-adjoint, $\mathcal{C}(A, B)$ and $\mathcal{I}(A, B)$ are C^* -algebras.
 υ . If $Z \in \mathcal{I}(A, B)$ and $C \in \mathcal{C}(A, B)$, then for all $X \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ we have:

$$X(CZ) = (XC)Z \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB})}Z \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB})},$$

and $(CZ)X = C(ZX) \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB})}$. Thus $\mathcal{C}(A, B)$ is a right ideal of $\mathcal{I}(A, B)$. Since $\mathcal{C}(A, B)$ and $\mathcal{I}(A, B)$ are C^* -algebras, $\mathcal{C}(A, B)$ is a two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{I}(A, B)$. \square

Lemma 2.1. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, then;*

$$\mathcal{I}(A, B) = \{Z \in \mathcal{L}(H), \delta_Z(A)\mathcal{L}(H) + \mathcal{L}(H)\delta_Z(B) \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB})}\}.$$

Proof. If $Z \in \mathcal{I}(A, B)$ and $X \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, then

$$\delta_Z(A)X = Z\delta_{AB}(X) - \delta_{AB}(ZX), \quad \text{and} \quad X\delta_Z(B) = \delta_{AB}(X)Z - \delta_{AB}(XZ).$$

This implies that $\delta_Z(A)X \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB})}$ and $X\delta_Z(B) \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB})}$. Thus

$$\delta_Z(A)\mathcal{L}(H) + \mathcal{L}(H)\delta_Z(B) \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB})}.$$

The reverse inclusion follows from the identities:

$$Z\delta_{AB}(X) = \delta_Z(A)X + \delta_{AB}(ZX), \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_{AB}(X)Z = X\delta_Z(B) + \delta_{AB}(XZ).$$

\square

Theorem 2.2. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(H)$. If $\overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB})}$ does not contain any nonzero positive operator, then $\mathcal{C}(A, B) = \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{I}(A, B) = \{A\}' \cap \{B\}'$.*

Proof. If $C \in \mathcal{C}(A, B)$ then $CC^* \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB})}$; consequently we have $C = 0$. Thus $\mathcal{C}(A, B) = \{0\}$.

Let $Z \in \mathcal{I}(A, B)$, $\delta_Z(A)\mathcal{L}(H) \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB})}$ and $\mathcal{L}(H)\delta_Z(B) \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}(\delta_{AB})}$ by Lemma 2.1.

Consequently we obtain $\delta_Z(A)(\delta_Z(A))^* = (\delta_Z(B))^*\delta_Z(B) = 0$. Thus $Z \in \{A\}' \cap \{B\}'$.

Conversely; if $Z \in \{A\}' \cap \{B\}'$, then $\delta_Z(A) = \delta_Z(B) = 0$. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that $Z \in \mathcal{I}(A, B)$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. H. ANDERSON, J. W. BUNCE, J. A. DEDDENS, J. P. WILLIAMS. C^* -algebras and derivation ranges. *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, **40** (1978), 211–227.
- [2] J. H. ANDERSON, C. FOIAS. Properties which normal operators share with normal derivation and related operators. *Pacific J. Math.*, **61** (1976), 313–325.
- [3] S. BOUALI, J. CHARLES. Extension de la notion d'opérateurs d-symétriques I. *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **58** (1993), 517–525.
- [4] S. BOUALI, J. CHARLES, Extension de la notion d'opérateurs d-symétriques II. *Linear Algebra And Its Applications* **225** (1995), 175–185.
- [5] D. A. HERRERO. Approximation of Hilbert space operators, I. Pitman, Advanced publishing program, Boston – Melbourne, 1982.
- [6] M. A. ROSENBLUM. On the operator equation $BX - XA = Q$. *Duke Math. J.* **23** (1956), 263–269.
- [7] C. ROSENTRATER. Compact operators and derivations induced by weighted shifts. *Pacific J. Math.* **104** (1983), 465–470.
- [8] J. G. STAMPFLI. On self-adjoint derivation ranges. *Pacific J. Math.* **82** (1979), 257–277.
- [9] J. G. STAMPFLI. The norm of a derivation. *Pacific J. Math.* **33** (1970), 737–747.
- [10] J. P. WILLIAMS. Derivation ranges: Open problems. Topics in Modern Operator Theory, Birkhauser-Verlag, 1981, 319–328.
- [11] J. P. WILLIAMS. On the range of a derivation. *Pacific J. Math.* **38** (1971), 273–279.

Department of Mathematics and Informatics

Faculty of Sciences

Kénitra, B.P. 133 Kénitra

Morocco.

e-mail: said.bouali@yahoo.fr

m.echchad@yahoo.fr

Received April 20, 2007

Revised July 9, 2007