Provided for non-commercial research and educational use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Serdica Mathematical Journal Сердика

Математическо списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints. Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

> For further information on Serdica Mathematical Journal which is the new series of Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes visit the website of the journal http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica or contact: Editorial Office Serdica Mathematical Journal Institute of Mathematics and Informatics Bulgarian Academy of Sciences Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49 e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

Serdica Math. J. 36 (2010), 211-222

Serdica Mathematical Journal

Bulgarian Academy of Sciences Institute of Mathematics and Informatics

NEW COEFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR FUNCTIONS STARLIKE WITH RESPECT TO SYMMETRIC POINTS

R. Aghalary, A. Ebadian

Communicated by O. Mushkarov

ABSTRACT. We consider some familiar subclasses of functions starlike with respect to symmetric points and obtain sufficient conditions for these classes in terms of their Taylor coefficient. This leads to obtain several new examples of these subclasses.

1. Introduction and preliminaries. Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions

(1.1)
$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \ a_1 = 1,$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. Let S denote the univalent subclass of \mathcal{A} , and S^* denote the subclass of S for which f(U) is starlike with respect to the origin. It is well known that $f \in S^*$ if and only if

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30C45, secondary 30C80.

 $Key \ words:$ starlike functions with symmetric points, hypergeometric functions, close to convex function, odd functions.

Re(zf'(z)/f(z)) > 0 for $z \in U$. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is starlike with respect to symmetric points in U if for every r close 1, r < 1 and every z_0 on |z| = 1the angular velocity of f(z) about $f(z_0)$ is positive at $z = z_0$ as z traverses the circle |z| = r in the positive direction. This class was introduced and studied by Sakaguchi [9]. He proved that the condition is equivalent to

$$Re\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z) - f(-z)} > 0.$$

Recall the prominent subclasses studied in the theory of univalent functions, for $-1 \le B < A \le 1, 0 \le \beta < 1$:

$$ST(A,B) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}; \quad z \in U \right\}$$
$$S_s^*(\beta) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : Re\frac{2zf'(z)}{f(z) - f(-z)} > \beta; \quad z \in U \right\}$$
$$S_s^*(A,B) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{2zf'(z)}{f(z) - f(-z)} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}; \quad z \in U \right\}$$
$$S_{s\beta} = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \left| \arg \frac{2zf'(z)}{f(z) - f(-z)} \right| < \frac{\beta\pi}{2}; \quad z \in U \right\},$$

where ' \prec ' stands for the subordinate of two functions in \mathcal{A} . Set $S_s^* \equiv S_s^*(0)$.

Recently Wang et all [11], Elashwa and Thomas [1], Sudharasan et al. [10], Reddy et al. [7], and Parvatham and Premabai [6] have obtained various results concerning functions in $S_s^*(0), S_s^*(A, B), S_{s\beta}$.

Moreover Nezhmetdinov and Ponnusamy [2] has shown that any of the following inequalities

$$2 \le 3a_2 \le 4a_3 \le \dots \le (n+1)a_n \le \dots; \quad na_n \le 2 \text{ for } n \ge 2,$$

or

$$2/3 \ge a_2 \ge 2a_3 \ge 3a_4 \ge \dots \ge (n-1)a_n \ge \dots \ge 0; \quad na_n \ge a_2 \text{ for } n \ge 3$$

implies that $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ is starlike.

Hence from the above inequalities it is easy to see that the function $f(z) = z + \frac{2}{3}z^2 + \frac{1}{3}z^3$ is starlike, but a simple calculation shows that this function is not starlike with respect to symmetric points. Indeed, for $z = e^{i\theta}$ we have

$$Re\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z) - f(-z)} = \frac{8\cos\theta\left(\cos\theta + \frac{2}{3}\right)}{3\left|1 + \frac{1}{3}e^{2i\theta}\right|^2},$$

which is negative for $\theta = \frac{2\pi}{3}$, so that f is not starlike with respect to symmetric points.

Also Ozaki [4], Ponnusamy [5] and Obradovic and Ponnusamy [3] have obtained coefficient conditions for close-to-convex functions and starlike and convex functions.

Our main result is motivated by this problem. Find conditions on the Maclaurin coefficients of f which guarantee the corresponding f belongs to $S_s^*(0)$, $S_s^*(A, B)$, $S_{s\beta}$. We use the duality technique developed by Ruscheweyh [8] to obtain our results.

2. Main results.

Theorem 2.1. If a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ defined by (1.1) satisfies the condition

(2.1)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(n+1)a_{2n+1} - na_{2n-1}| + |na_{2n+1} - (n-1)a_{2n-1}| + 2|na_{2n} - (n-1)a_{2(n-1)}| \le 1$$

with $a_0 = 0$, then $f \in S_s^*$.

Proof. It is well known that the function $\frac{1+\omega}{1-\omega}$ maps $G = \{\omega : |\omega| = 1\}$ onto the imaginary axis. At z = 0, $\frac{2zf'(z)}{f(z) - f(-z)} = 1$, so that $f \in S_s^*$ if and only if

$$\frac{2zf'(z)}{f(z) - f(-z)} \neq \frac{1+x}{1-x} \quad \text{for all} \quad |x| = 1, z \in U,$$

or equivalently if and only if

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} * \left[\frac{1-x}{(1-z)^2} - \frac{1+x}{(1-z^2)} \right] \neq 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad |x| = 1, z \in U,$$

where * stands for the Hadamard product of the two functions. Equivalently, this can be written as follows

$$-2x + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{2n+1} [2n(1-x) - 2x] z^{2n} + (1-x) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2na_{2n} z^{2n-1} \neq 0.$$

After dividing the above inequality by -x and multiplying by a non vanishing factor $1 - z^2$, it can be easily seen that $f \in S_s^*$ if only

$$2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{2n+1} [2n(t+1)+2] z^{2n} + (t+1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2na_{2n} z^{2n-1} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{2n-1} [2(n-1)(t+1)+2] z^{2n} - (t+1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2(n-1)a_{2(n-1)} z^{2n-1} \neq 0$$

Clearly, for all |t| = 1, from (2.1) we find that the above inequality holds true and we complete the proof. \Box

Corollary 2.1. Let the coefficients of $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ satisfy either of the two conditions

1)
$$1 \le 2a_3 \le 3a_5 \le 4a_7 \le 5a_9 \le \dots \le (n+1)a_{2n+1} \le \dots$$
 and
2) $0 \le a_2 \le 2a_4 \le 3a_6 \le 4a_8 \le \dots \le na_{2n} \le \dots$ and
3) $(2n+1)a_{2n+1} + 2na_{2n} \le 2, n \ge 1,$

or

1)
$$\frac{1}{2} \ge a_3 \ge 2a_5 \ge 3a_7 \ge 4a_9 \ge 5a_{11} \ge \dots \ge (n-1)a_{2n-1} \ge \dots \ge 0$$
 and
2) $0 \le a_2 \le 2a_4 \le 3a_6 \le 4a_8 \le \dots \le na_{2n} \le \dots$ and
3) $(2n+1)a_{2n+1} \ge 2na_{2n} + 2a_3, n \ge 1,$

then $f \in S_s^*$.

It is interesting to state a counterpart of Corollary 2.1 for odd functions f(z).

Corollary 2.2. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ defined by (1.1) is an odd function satisfying either of the following conditions:

$$1 \le 2a_3 \le 3a_5 \le 4a_7 \le 5a_9 \le \dots \le (n+1)a_{2n+1} \le \dots ; \ (2n+1)a_{2n+1} \le 2, \ n \ge 1,$$

or

 $\frac{1}{2} \ge a_3 \ge 2a_5 \ge 3a_7 \ge \dots \ge (n-1)a_{2n-1} \ge \dots \ge 0; \ (2n+1)a_{2n+1} \ge 2a_3, \ n \ge 1,$ then $f \in S_s^*$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $a_0 = 0, a_1 = 1$ and $-1 \leq B < 0 < A \leq 1$. If a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ defined by (1.1) satisfies the condition

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |[A - B(n+1)]a_{2n+1} - [A - B(2n-1)]a_{2n-1}| + |2na_{2n+1} - 2(n-1)a_{2n-1}| + 2|2na_{2n} - 2(n-1)a_{2(n-1)}| \le A - B,$$

then $f \in S_s^*(A, B)$.

Proof. We note that $f \in S_s^*(A, B)$ if and only if

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} * \left[\frac{1+Bx}{(1-z)^2} - \frac{1+Ax}{(1-z^2)} \right] \neq 0 \quad for \ all \quad |x| = 1, z \in U.$$

Now by proceeding the same line of the proof Theorem 2.1 we get our result and we omit the details. \Box

Corollary 2.3. Suppose the coefficients of $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ satisfies either of the two conditions

 $\begin{array}{l} 1) \ (A-B) \leq (A-3B)a_{3} \leq (A-5B)a_{5} \leq \cdots \leq [A-B(2n+1)]a_{2n+1} \leq \cdots \ and \\ 2) \ 0 \leq a_{2} \leq 2a_{4} \leq 3a_{6} \leq 4a_{8} \leq \cdots \leq na_{2n} \leq \cdots \ and \\ 3) \ [2n(1-B)+(A-B)]a_{2n+1}+4na_{2n} \leq 2(A-B), \ n \geq 1, \\ or \\ 1) \ \frac{A-B}{A-3B} \geq a_{3} \geq 2a_{5} \geq 3a_{7} \geq 4a_{9} \geq 5a_{11} \geq \cdots \geq na_{2n-1} \geq \cdots \geq 0 \ and \\ 2) \ 0 \leq a_{2} \leq 2a_{4} \leq 3a_{6} \leq 4a_{8} \leq \cdots \leq na_{2n} \leq \cdots \ and \\ 3) \ [2n(1-B)+A-B]a_{2n+1} \geq 4na_{2n}+4a_{3}, \ n \geq 1, \\ then \ f \in S_{s}^{*}(A,B). \end{array}$

R. Aghalary, A. Ebadian

Proof. Under the first assumption we have

$$a_{2n+1} \ge \frac{A - B(2n-1)}{A - B(2n+1)} a_{2n-1} \ge \frac{n-1}{n} a_{2n-1}, \quad n \ge 1$$

and from the second assumption we have

$$na_{2n} \ge (n-1)a_{2(n-1)}, \quad n \ge 1.$$

Thus for any $N \ge 1$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} |[A - B(2n-1)](a_{2n+1} - a_{2n-1}) - 2Ba_{2n+1}| + |2n(a_{2n+1} - a_{2n-1}) + 2a_{2n+1}| + 2|2n(a_{2n} - a_{2(n-1)}) + 2a_{2n}| = [2N(1-B) + (A-B)]a_{2n+1} + 4Na_{2n} \le 2(A-B),$$

and Theorem 2.1 implies that $f \in S_s^*(A, B)$. The second assertion is verified in a similar way. \Box

The proof of the following corollary is similar to Corollary 2.3 and we omit the details.

Corollary 2.4. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ defined by (1.1) satisfies either of the two conditions

$$\begin{array}{l} 1) \ (A-B) \leq (A-3B)a_{3} \leq (A-5B)a_{5} \leq \cdots \leq [A-B(2n+1)]a_{2n+1} \leq \cdots \ and \\ 2) \ a_{2} \geq 2a_{4} \geq 3a_{6} \geq 4a_{8} \geq \cdots \geq na_{2n} \geq \cdots \geq 0 \ and \\ 3) \ [2n(1-B)+(A-B)]a_{2n+1}-4na_{2n}+8a_{2} \leq 2(A-B), \ n \geq 1, \\ or \\ 1) \ \frac{A-B}{A-3B} \geq a_{3} \geq 2a_{5} \geq 3a_{7} \geq 4a_{9} \geq 5a_{11} \geq \cdots \geq na_{2n-1} \geq \cdots \geq 0 \ and \\ 2) \ a_{2} \geq 2a_{4} \geq 3a_{6} \geq 4a_{8} \geq \cdots \geq na_{2n} \geq \cdots \geq 0 \ and \\ 3) \ [2n(1-B)+A-B]a_{2n+1}+4na_{2n} \geq 8a_{2}+4a_{3}, \ n \geq 1, \\ then \ f \in S_{s}^{*}(A,B). \end{array}$$

In the following corollary we generalize the results obtained in [2] for odd functions.

Corollary 2.5. Suppose that $f \in A$ defined by (1.1) is an odd function satisfying either of the two conditions

216

1)
$$(A - B) \leq (A - 3B)a_3 \leq (A - 5B)a_5 \leq \cdots \leq [A - B(2n + 1)]a_{2n+1} \leq \cdots$$
 and
 $[2n(1 - B) + (A - B)]a_{2n+1} \leq 2(A - B), \ n \geq 1$
or
1) $\frac{A - B}{A - 3B} \geq a_3 \geq 2a_5 \geq 3a_7 \geq 4a_9 \geq 5a_{11} \geq \cdots \geq na_{2n-1} \geq \cdots \geq 0$ and
 $[2n(1 - B) + (A - B)]a_{2n+1} \geq 4a_3, \ n \geq 1$
then $f \in ST(A, B)$.

By putting B = -1 and $a_{2n+1} = \frac{A+2n+1}{A+2n-1}a_{2n-1}$, (n = 1, 2, 3, ...) with $a_1 = 1$ in the first part of Corollary 2.5 we obtain

Example 2.1. The function $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+A}{2n+1+A} z^{2n+1}$ belongs to ST(A, -1).

Also by taking B = -1 and $a_3 = \frac{A+1}{A+3}$ and $a_{2n+1} = \frac{n-1}{n}a_{2n-1}$, (n = 2, 3, ...) in the second part of Corollary 2.5 we obtain

Example 2.2. The function $f(z) = z + \frac{A+1}{A+3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} z^{2n+1}$ belongs to ST(A, -1).

Moreover by choosing $a_{2n+1} = \frac{A+1}{n(A+3)}$ and $a_{2n} = \frac{(A+1)^2}{4nN(A+3)}$, $(N \ge 1)$ it is easy to see that the conditions of the second part of Corollary 2.4 is satisfied, so we have

Example 2.3. The function

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{A+1}{n(A+3)} z^{2n+1} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{(A+1)^2}{4nN(A+3)} z^{2n}$$

belongs to $S_s^*(A, -1)$.

Theorem 2.3. Let $a_0 = 0, a_1 = 1$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. If a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$

defined by (1.1) satisfies the condition

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(2n - e^{-i\pi\alpha})(a_{2n+1} - a_{2n-1}) + (a_{2n+1} - a_{2n-1})| + |2na_{2n+1} - 2(n-1)a_{2n-1}| + 2|2na_{2n} - 2(n-1)a_{2(n-1)}| \le 2\sin\frac{\pi}{2}\alpha,$$

then $f \in S_{s\alpha}$.

Proof. It is well known that $f \in S_{s\alpha}$ if and only if

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} * \frac{1}{1 - te^{\pm (i\alpha\pi/2)}} \left[\frac{(1+z) - (1-z)te^{\pm (i\alpha\pi/2)}}{(1-z)^2(1+z)} \right] \neq 0 \quad (z \in U, t \ge 0)$$

Equivalently, this can be written as follows

$$(2.2) \quad 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{2n+1} \left[\frac{2n+1 - te^{\pm (i\alpha\pi/2)}}{1 - te^{\pm (i\alpha\pi/2)}} \right] z^{2n} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{2n} \left[\frac{2n}{1 - te^{\pm (i\alpha\pi/2)}} \right] z^{2n-1} \neq 0.$$

Then after multiplying (2.2) by $1 - z^2$, we need to maximize the modulus of

$$H_n(\omega) = \frac{A_n - B_n \omega}{1 - \omega} \quad and \quad G_n(\omega) = \frac{C_n}{1 - \omega}$$

where $A_n = [(2n+1)a_{2n+1} - (2n-1)a_{2n-1}], B_n = (a_{2n+1} - a_{2n-1}), C_n = 2na_{2n} - 2(n-1)a_{2(n-1)}$ and $\omega = te^{\pm (i\alpha\pi/2)}, t \ge 0.$

Note that the functions H_n and G_n maps the two rays $\omega = te^{\pm (i\alpha\pi/2)}$ onto two circles with radii

$$R'_{n} = \frac{1}{2}\csc\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)|A_{n} - B_{n}|, \quad R''_{n} = \frac{1}{2}\csc\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)|C_{n}|,$$

whereas their centers are at the points

$$P_n^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \left[(A_n + B_n) \pm i(A_n - B_n) \cot\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right) \right]$$

and

$$T_n^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \left[C_n \pm i C_n \cot\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right) \right],$$

respectively. Since, by our assumption, A_n, B_n and C_n are real, and so the required maxima are $|P_n^{\pm}| + R'_n, |T_n^{\pm}| + R''_n$, and the rest of the proof readily follows. \Box

3. Applications.

Theorem 3.1. Let $0 < A \le 1$. Suppose that a > 0 and, in addition,

(3.1)
$$b > \max\left\{1 + a, a \frac{11 + 2A - A^2}{(A+1)^2}\right\}.$$

Then the function

(3.2)
$$\Phi(z) = z + \frac{4a}{(a+b)(1+A)}z^3 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a,n)}{(a+b,n)}z^{2n}$$

belongs to $S_s^*(A, -1)$.

Proof. Consider

$$\Phi(z) = z + A_3 z^3 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_{2n} z^{2n}.$$

Then we find that

$$(n-1)A_{2n-2} - nA_{2n} = \frac{(a,n-1)}{(a+b,n)}[(n-1)(b-1) - a]$$

which is nonnegative for all $n \ge 2$ if b > 1 + a.

Now we let
$$T_1 = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |2nA_{2n} - 2(n-1)A_{2(n-1)}|$$
, and
 $T = |A_3(A+3) - (A+1)| + |2A_3| + |2A_2| + T_1$

Next, we evaluate T_1 . We obtain

$$T_{1} = 2\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a, n-1)}{(a+b, n)} [(n-1)(b-1) - a]$$

= $2(b-1)\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a, n)}{(a+b, n)} - 2a(b-1)\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a, n-1)}{(a+b, n)} - 2a\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a, n-1)}{(a+b, n)}$
= $2(b-1)\frac{a}{a+b} \left[\frac{\Gamma(a+b+1)\Gamma(b-1)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(a+b)} - 1 \right] - \frac{2ab}{a+b} \left[\frac{\Gamma(a+b+1)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(b+1)\Gamma(a+b)} - 1 \right]$
= $\frac{2a}{a+b}.$

We note that, under the condition (3.2), we have

$$T = (A+1) - A_3(A+3) + 2A_3 + \frac{4a}{a+b} = A+1$$

and by Theorem 2.2 we get our result. \Box

Theorem 3.2. Let $0 < A \le 1$. Suppose that a, b > 0 and, in addition,

(3.3)
$$\frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} < \frac{(A+1)^2}{2(A+3)}.$$

Then the function

(3.4)
$$\Psi(z) = z + \frac{2\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)(1+A)} z^3 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a,n)(b,n)}{(a+b,n)(1,n)} z^{2n}$$

belongs to $S_s^*(A, -1)$.

Proof. Write the function $\Psi(z)$ as

$$\Psi(z) = z + B_3 z^3 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{2n} z^{2n}.$$

First we observe that, if c = a + b, then

$$nB_{2n} - (n-1)B_{2n-2} = n\frac{(a,n)(b,n)}{(c,n)(1,n)} - (n-1)\frac{(a,n-1)(b,n-1)}{(c,n-1)(1,n-1)}$$
$$= \frac{(a,n-1)(b,n-1)}{(a+b,n)(1,n-1)}ab,$$

and, therefore we get

$$M_1 := \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |2nB_{2n} - 2(n-1)B_{2(n-1)}|$$
$$= 2ab \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a,n-1)(b,n-1)}{(a+b,n)(1,n-1)}$$
$$= \frac{2\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} - \frac{2ab}{a+b}.$$

220

Now if we let

$$M = |B_3(A+3) - (A+1)| + |2B_3| + |2B_2| + M_1,$$

then, by (3.4) and the definition of B_3 we find that

$$M = (A+1) - \frac{2\Gamma(a+b)(A+3)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)(1+A)} + \frac{4\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)(1+A)} + \frac{2ab}{a+b} + \frac{2\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} - \frac{2ab}{a+b} = 1+A,$$

and by Theorem 2.2 our proof is complete. \Box

By putting $A = 1, a = b = \frac{1}{2}$ in the Theorem 3.2 we have

Example 3.1. The function

$$\Psi(z) = z + \frac{1}{\pi}z^3 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[(2n)!]^2}{2^{4n}(n!)^4} z^{2n}$$

belongs to $S_s^*(1, -1)$.

REFERENCES

- R. M. EL-ASHWA, D. K. THOMAS. Some subclasses of close-to-convex functions. J. Ramanujan. Math. Soc. 2 (1987), 86–100.
- [2] I. R. NEZHMETDINOV, S. PONNUSAMY. New coefficient conditions for the starlikeness of analytic functions and their applications., *Houston J. Math.* 31 (2005), 581–604.
- [3] M. OBRADOVIC, S. PONNUSAMY. New criteria and distortion theorems for univalent functions. *Complex variables. Theory. Appl.* 44, 3 (2001), 173–191.
- [4] S. OZAKI. On the theory of multivalent functions. Sci. Rep. Tokyo. Bunrika Daigaku. sect. A 2 (1935), 167–188.
- [5] S. PONNUSAMY. Univalence of Alexander transform under new mapping properties. *Complex variables. Theory. Appl.* **30**, 1 (1996), 55–68.

- [6] R. PARVATHAM, M. PREMABAI. Neighbourhoods of uniformly starlike functions with respect to symmetric points. Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. 88 (1996), 155–160.
- [7] T. R. REDDY, P. T. REDDY. Neighbourhoods of a certain subclass of SP(β). J. Analysis 15 (2007), 239–245.
- [8] ST. RUSCHEWEYH. Convolutions in Geometric Function Theory, Les Presses de l'Université de Montrál, Montrál, 1982.
- [9] K. SAKAGUCHI. On certain univalent mapping. J. Math. Soc. Japan. 11 (1959), 72–75.
- [10] T. V. SUDHARSAN, P. BALASUBRAHMANYAM, K. G. SUBRMANIAN. On functions starlike with respect to symmetric and conjugate points. *Tiwanese*. *J. Math.* 2 (1998), 57–68.
- [11] Z.-G. WANG, C.-Y. GAO, S.-M. YUAN. On certain new subclass of closeto-convex functions. *Mat. Bech.* 58 (2006), 119–124.

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science Urmia University Urmia, Iran e-mail: raghalary@yahoo.com a.ebadian@mail.urmia.ac.ir

Received February 16, 2010