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# OUTER ENDOMORPHISMS OF FREE METABELIAN LIE ALGEBRAS 

Şehmus Findık

Communicated by V. Drensky


#### Abstract

Let $F_{m}$ be the free metabelian Lie algebra of rank $m$ over a field $K$ of characteristic 0 . We consider the semigroup $\operatorname{IE}\left(F_{m}\right)$ of the endomorphisms of $F_{m}$ which are identical modulo the commutator ideal of $F_{m}$. We describe the factor semigroup of $\operatorname{IE}\left(F_{m}\right)$ modulo the congruence induced by the group of inner automorphisms.


Introduction. Let $L_{m}$ be the free Lie algebra of rank $m \geq 2$ over a field $K$ of characteristic 0 and let $F_{m}=L_{m} / L_{m}^{\prime \prime}$ be the free metabelian Lie algebra. This is the relatively free algebra of rank $m$ in the metabelian (solvable of class 2) variety of Lie algebras $\mathfrak{A}^{2}$ defined by the identity

$$
\left[\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right],\left[x_{3}, x_{4}\right]\right]=0
$$

[^0]The description of the group of automorphisms of free algebras of finite rank is one of the most interesting problems of modern algebra. In 1964 Cohn [7] proved that automorphisms of finitely generated free Lie algebras are tame, i.e., the group of automorphisms is generated by the so called elementary automorphisms. A description of the defining relations between elementary automorphisms was given in 2006 by Umirbaev [19].

Free metabelian algebras form another interesting class of Lie algebras. There are many papers devoted to the study of automorphisms of free metabelian algebras, although many crucial questions are still open. Quite often earlier results on automorphisms of free metabelian groups serve as a model of the investigations in the Lie case. In 1965 Bachmuth [1] proved that automorphisms of free metabelian groups of rank 2 are tame and the IA-automorphisms which induce the identity automorphism in the abelianized group (i.e., modulo the commutator subgroup) are inner. In the 1980's Bachmuth and Mochizuki established that the group of automorphisms of the free metabelian group of rank 3 is not finitely generated and hence contains a lot of wild automorphisms [2]. On the other hand, all automorphisms of free metabelian groups of rank $\geq 4$ are tame [3]. There are analogues of these results for metabelian Lie algebras. Shmel'kin [18] proved that all IA-automorphisms (i.e., the automorphisms which induce the identity map modulo the commutator ideal of $F_{m}$ ), are inner when $m=2$. Since the by the theorem of Cohn [7] the only automorphisms of the free Lie algebra $L_{2}$ are the linear ones, this immediately implies that $F_{2}$ has wild automorphisms. Bahturin and Nabiyev [5] proved that the inner automorphisms of $F_{m}$ are wild for all $m \geq 2$. Although wild, inner automorphisms form a very natural class. Roman'kov [17] established that the algebra $F_{3}$ has automorphisms which do not belong to the group generated by the tame and inner automorphisms. He announced in [16] that for $m \geq 4$ all automorphisms of $F_{m}$ are products of tame and inner automorphisms. Let us mention also the recent papers by Daniyarova, Kazatchkov and Remeslennikov [8, 9, 10] on algebraic geometry of free metabelian Lie algebra, by Drensky and the author [11, 12] on automorphisms of free metabelian nilpotent Lie algebras, and Gerritzen [13] and Kurlin [15] on the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula for free metabelian Lie algebras.

The automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{m}\right)$ is a semidirect product of the normal subgroup IA $\left(F_{m}\right)$ of IA-automorphisms and the general linear group $\mathrm{GL}_{m}(K)$. Since the group of inner automorphisms $\operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{m}\right)$ is a subgroup of $\operatorname{IA}\left(F_{m}\right)$, for the description of the factor group of the outer automorphisms $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{m}\right)=$ $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{m}\right) / \operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{m}\right)$ it is sufficient to know only $\operatorname{IA}\left(F_{m}\right) / \operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{m}\right)$. In the present
paper we study the semigroup $\operatorname{IE}\left(F_{m}\right)$ of IA-endomorphisms of $F_{m}$, i.e., the endomorphisms which induce the identity automorphisms modulo the commutator ideal of $F_{m}$. Our main result describes the factor semigroup of $\operatorname{IE}\left(F_{m}\right)$ modulo the congruence induced by the group of inner automorphisms $\operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{m}\right)$ of the Lie algebra $F_{m}$. Since $\operatorname{IOut}\left(F_{m}\right)=\operatorname{IA}\left(F_{m}\right) / \operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{m}\right)$ is canonically embedded into $\operatorname{IE}\left(F_{m}\right) / \operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{m}\right)$, it is natural to work in the semigroup of outer IA-endomorphisms and then to recognize the automorphisms in Out $\left(F_{m}\right)$.

A result of Shmel'kin [18] states that the free metabelian Lie algebra $F_{m}$ can be embedded into the abelian wreath product $A_{m}$ wr $B_{m}$, where $A_{m}$ and $B_{m}$ are $m$-dimensional abelian Lie algebras with bases $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}$ and $\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}\right\}$, respectively. The elements of $A_{m} \mathrm{wr} B_{m}$ are of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} f_{i}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)+$ $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_{i} b_{i}$, where $f_{i}$ are polynomials in $K\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right]$ and $\beta_{i} \in K$. This allows to introduce partial derivatives in $F_{m}$ with values in $K\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right]$ and the Jacobian matrix $J(\phi)$ of an endomorphism $\phi$ of $F_{m}$. Restricted on the semigroup $\operatorname{IE}\left(F_{m}\right)$, the map $J: \phi \rightarrow J(\phi)$ is a semigroup monomorphism of $\operatorname{IE}\left(F_{m}\right)$ into the multiplicative semigroup of the algebra $M_{m}\left(K\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right]\right)$ of $m \times m$ matrices with entries from $K\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right]$. We use the explicit form of the Jacobian matrices of inner automorphisms of $F_{m}$ and give the coset representatives of the outer IA-endomorphisms in $\operatorname{IE}\left(F_{m}\right) / \operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{m}\right)$.

1. Preliminaries. Let $L_{m}$ be the free Lie algebra of rank $m \geq 2$ over a field $K$ of characteristic 0 with free generators $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}$ and let $F_{m}=L_{m} / L_{m}^{\prime \prime}$ be the free metabelian Lie algebra, where $L_{m}^{\prime}=\left[L_{m}, L_{m}\right]$ and $L_{m}^{\prime \prime}=\left[L_{m}^{\prime}, L_{m}^{\prime}\right]$. It is freely generated by the set $X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\}$, where $x_{i}=y_{i}+L_{m}^{\prime \prime}, i=1, \ldots, m$. We use the commutator notation for the Lie multiplication. Our commutators are left normed:

$$
\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n-1}, u_{n}\right]=\left[\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n-1}\right], u_{n}\right], \quad n=3,4, \ldots
$$

It is well known, see e.g. [4], that

$$
\left[x_{i_{1}}, x_{i_{2}}, x_{i_{\sigma\left(i_{3}\right)}}, \ldots, x_{i_{\sigma(k)}}\right]=\left[x_{i_{1}}, x_{i_{2}}, x_{i_{3}}, \ldots, x_{i_{k}}\right]
$$

where $\sigma$ is an arbitrary permutation of $3, \ldots, k$ and that $F_{m}^{\prime}$ has a basis consisting of all

$$
\left[x_{i_{1}}, x_{i_{2}}, x_{i_{3}}, \ldots, x_{i_{k}}\right], \quad 1 \leq i_{j} \leq m, \quad i_{1}>i_{2} \leq i_{3} \leq \cdots \leq i_{k}
$$

For each $v \in F_{m}^{\prime}$, the linear operator ad $v: F_{m} \rightarrow F_{m}$ defined by

$$
\operatorname{ad} v(u)=[u, v], \quad u \in F_{m}
$$

is a derivation of $F_{m}$ which is nilpotent and $\operatorname{ad}^{2} v=0$ because $F_{m}^{\prime \prime}=0$. Hence the linear operator

$$
\exp (\operatorname{ad} v)=1+\frac{\operatorname{ad} v}{1!}+\frac{\operatorname{ad}^{2} v}{2!}+\cdots=1+\operatorname{ad} v
$$

is well defined and is an automorphism of $F_{m}$. The set of all such automorphisms forms a normal subgroup of the group of all automorphisms $\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{m}\right)$ of $F_{m}$. This group is called the inner automorphism group of $F_{m}$ and is denoted by $\operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{m}\right)$. It is abelian because

$$
\exp (\operatorname{ad} u) \exp (\operatorname{ad} v)=\exp (\operatorname{ad}(u+v)), \quad u, v \in F_{m}^{\prime}
$$

The set of all endomorphisms $\operatorname{End}\left(F_{m}\right)$ of $F_{m}$ forms a semigroup. Let $\operatorname{IE}\left(F_{m}\right)$ be the subsemigroup of all endomorphisms of $F_{m}$ which are identical modulo the commutator ideal $F_{m}^{\prime}$.

Lemma 1. The set $\left\{\operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{m}\right) \theta \mid \theta \in \operatorname{IE}\left(F_{m}\right)\right\}$ is a semigroup with the multiplication

$$
\left(\operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{m}\right) \theta_{1}\right) \cdot\left(\operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{m}\right) \theta_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{m}\right)\left(\theta_{1} \theta_{2}\right)
$$

Proof. Since $\operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{m}\right)$ is a subgroup of the semigroup $\operatorname{IE}\left(F_{m}\right)$, the relation

$$
\sigma=\left\{\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right) \in\left(\operatorname{IE}\left(F_{m}\right), \operatorname{IE}\left(F_{m}\right)\right) \mid \operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{m}\right) \theta_{1}=\operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{m}\right) \theta_{2}\right\}
$$

is an equivalence and $\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right) \in \sigma$ if and only if $\theta_{1}=\exp (\operatorname{ad} u) \theta_{2}$ for some $u \in F_{m}^{\prime}$.
Now let $\theta, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2} \in \operatorname{IE}\left(F_{m}\right)$ be such that $\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right) \in \sigma$ and $\theta_{1}=\exp (\operatorname{ad} u) \theta_{2}$, $u \in F_{m}^{\prime}$. Hence

$$
\theta_{1} \theta=\exp (\operatorname{ad} u)\left(\theta_{2} \theta\right)
$$

we have that $\left(\theta_{1} \theta, \theta_{2} \theta\right) \in \sigma$ and $\sigma$ is right compatible. It remains to check that $\sigma$ is left compatible. One can easily show that $\theta \exp (\operatorname{ad} u)=\exp (\operatorname{ad}(\theta(u))) \theta$ and $\theta(u) \in F_{m}^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\theta \theta_{1}=\theta \exp (\operatorname{ad} u) \theta_{2}=\exp (\operatorname{ad}(\theta(u)))\left(\theta \theta_{2}\right)
$$

which gives that $\left(\theta \theta_{1}, \theta \theta_{2}\right) \in \sigma$. Hence $\sigma$ is left compatible and $\sigma$ is a congruence. For details see e.g. [14]. Consequently the multiplication

$$
\left(\operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{m}\right) \theta_{1}\right) \cdot\left(\operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{m}\right) \theta_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{m}\right)\left(\theta_{1} \theta_{2}\right)
$$

is well defined.
We denote the semigroup defined in Lemma 1 as $\operatorname{IE}\left(F_{m}\right) / \operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{m}\right)$. As we mentioned in the introduction, the IA-component of the outer automorphism group of $F_{m}$ is canonically embedded into the semigroup $\operatorname{IE}\left(F_{m}\right) / \operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{m}\right)$. Hence, it is natural to work in the semigroup $\operatorname{IE}\left(F_{m}\right) / \operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{m}\right)$ of outer IAendomorphisms of $F_{m}$ in order to derive information for the automorphisms in $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{m}\right)$.

Now we collect the necessary information about wreath products and Jacobian matrices. For details and references see e.g. [6]. Let $K\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right.$ ] be the (commutative) polynomial algebra over $K$ freely generated by the variables $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}$ and let $A_{m}$ and $B_{m}$ be abelian Lie algebras with bases $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}$ and $\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}\right\}$, respectively. Let $C_{m}$ be the free right $K\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right]$-module with free generators $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$. We give it the structure of a Lie algebra with trivial multiplication. The abelian wreath product $A_{m}$ wr $B_{m}$ is equal to the semidirect sum $C_{m} \lambda B_{m}$. The elements of $A_{m}$ wr $B_{m}$ are of the form

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} f_{i}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_{i} b_{i}
$$

where $f_{i}$ are polynomials in $K\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right]$ and $\beta_{i} \in K$. The multiplication in $A_{m}$ wr $B_{m}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[C_{m}, C_{m}\right]=\left[B_{m}, B_{m}\right]=0} \\
{\left[a_{i} f_{i}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right), b_{j}\right]=a_{i} f_{i}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right) t_{j}, \quad i, j=1, \ldots, m}
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence $A_{m}$ wr $B_{m}$ is a metabelian Lie algebra and every mapping $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\} \rightarrow$ $A_{m} \mathrm{wr} B_{m}$ can be extended to a homomorphism $F_{m} \rightarrow A_{m}$ wr $B_{m}$. As a special case of the embedding theorem of Shmel'kin [18], the homomorphism $\varepsilon: F_{m} \rightarrow$ $A_{m}$ wr $B_{m}$ defined by $\varepsilon\left(x_{i}\right)=a_{i}+b_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m$, is a monomorphism. If

$$
f=\sum\left[x_{i}, x_{j}\right] f_{i j}\left(\operatorname{ad} x_{1}, \ldots, \operatorname{ad} x_{m}\right), \quad f_{i j}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right) \in K\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right]
$$

then

$$
\varepsilon(f)=\sum\left(a_{i} t_{j}-a_{j} t_{i}\right) f_{i j}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)
$$

Let us define $T_{j}=\left\{t_{j}, \ldots, t_{m}\right\}$ for each $j=1, \ldots, m$. In the sequel we shall need the following obvious property. If $f_{j}\left(T_{j}\right) \in K\left[T_{j}\right], 1 \leq j \leq m$, and $f_{j}\left(T_{j}\right) \neq 0$ for some $j$, then $t_{j} f_{j}\left(T_{j}\right)+\cdots+t_{m} f_{m}\left(T_{m}\right) \neq 0$, because $t_{j} f_{j}\left(T_{j}\right)$ is the only summand which depends on $x_{j}$.

The next lemma follows from [18], see also [6].
Lemma 2. The element $\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} f_{i}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)$ of $C_{m}$ belongs to $\varepsilon\left(F_{m}^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^{m} t_{i} f_{i}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)=0$.

The embedding of $F_{m}$ into $A_{m}$ wr $B_{m}$ allows to introduce partial derivatives in $F_{m}$ with values in $K\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right]$. If $f \in F_{m}$ and

$$
\varepsilon(f)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_{i} b_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} f_{i}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right), \quad \beta_{i} \in K, f_{i} \in K\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right]
$$

then

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}=f_{i}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)
$$

The Jacobian matrix $J(\phi)$ of an endomorphism $\phi$ of $F_{m}$ is defined as

$$
J(\phi)=\left(\frac{\partial \phi\left(x_{j}\right)}{\partial x_{i}}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial \phi\left(x_{1}\right)}{\partial x_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \phi\left(x_{m}\right)}{\partial x_{1}} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial \phi\left(x_{1}\right)}{\partial x_{m}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \phi\left(x_{m}\right)}{\partial x_{m}}
\end{array}\right) \in M_{m}\left(K\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right]\right)
$$

where $M_{m}\left(K\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right]\right)$ is the associative algebra of $m \times m$ matrices with entries from $K\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right]$. Let $I_{m}$ be the identity $m \times m$ matrix and let $S$ be the subspace of $M_{m}\left(K\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right]\right)$ defined by

$$
S=\left\{\left(f_{i j}\right) \in M_{m}\left(K\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right]\right) \mid \sum_{i=1}^{m} t_{i} f_{i j}=0, j=1, \ldots, m\right\}
$$

Clearly $I_{m}+S$ is a subsemigroup of the multiplicative group of $M_{m}\left(K\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right]\right)$. If $\phi \in \operatorname{IE}\left(F_{m}\right)$, then $J(\phi)=I_{m}+\left(s_{i j}\right)$, where $\left(s_{i j}\right) \in S$. It is easy to check that if $\phi, \psi \in \operatorname{IE}\left(F_{m}\right)$ then $J(\phi \psi)=J(\phi) J(\psi)$. The following proposition is well known, see e.g. [6].

Proposition 3. The map $J: \operatorname{IE}\left(F_{m}\right) \rightarrow I_{m}+S$ defined by $\phi \rightarrow J(\phi)$ is an isomorphism of the semigroups $\operatorname{IE}\left(F_{m}\right)$ and $I_{m}+S$.

The following well known lemma gives the Jacobian matrix of the inner automorphisms of $F_{m}$. We include the proof for completeness of the exposition.

Lemma 4. Let $u \in F_{m}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
u=\sum_{p>q}\left[x_{p}, x_{q}\right] h_{p q}\left(\operatorname{ad} x_{q}, \ldots, \operatorname{ad} x_{m}\right)
$$

where $h_{p q}\left(t_{q}, \ldots, t_{m}\right) \in K\left[t_{q}, \ldots, t_{m}\right]$. Then

$$
J(\exp (\operatorname{ad} u))=I_{m}+D, \quad D=\left(\frac{\partial\left[x_{j}, u\right]}{\partial x_{i}}\right)
$$

More precisely

$$
D=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-t_{1} f_{1} & -t_{2} f_{1} & \cdots & -t_{m} f_{1} \\
-t_{1} f_{2} & -t_{2} f_{2} & \cdots & -t_{m} f_{2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
-t_{1} f_{m} & -t_{2} f_{m} & \cdots & -t_{m} f_{m}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{i}=\sum_{p>q} \frac{\partial\left(\left[x_{p}, x_{q}\right] h_{p q}\left(\operatorname{ad} x_{q}, \ldots, \operatorname{ad} x_{m}\right)\right)}{\partial x_{i}} \\
= & \sum_{q=1}^{i-1} t_{q} h_{i q}\left(t_{q}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)-\sum_{p=i+1}^{m} t_{p} h_{p i}\left(t_{i}, \ldots, t_{m}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By definition,

$$
\exp (\operatorname{ad} u)\left(x_{j}\right)=x_{j}+\left[x_{j}, u\right], \quad j=1, \ldots, m
$$

By direct calculations we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
u=\sum_{p>q}\left[x_{p}, x_{q}\right] h_{p q}\left(\operatorname{ad} x_{q}, \ldots, \operatorname{ad} x_{m}\right), \\
{\left[x_{j}, u\right]=-\left(\sum_{p>q}\left[y_{p}, y_{q}\right] h_{p q}\left(\operatorname{ad} x_{q}, \ldots, \operatorname{ad} x_{m}\right)\right) \operatorname{ad} x_{j},}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial\left[x_{j}, u\right]}{\partial x_{i}}=-t_{j} \sum_{p>q} \frac{\partial\left[x_{p}, x_{q}\right]}{\partial x_{i}} h_{p q}\left(t_{q}, \ldots, t_{m}\right), \\
\frac{\partial\left[x_{p}, x_{q}\right]}{\partial x_{i}}= \begin{cases}t_{q} & p=i, \\
-t_{p} & q=i, \\
0 & p, q \neq i,\end{cases} \\
\frac{\partial\left[x_{j}, u\right]}{\partial x_{i}}=-t_{j} f_{i}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right), \\
f_{i}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)=\sum_{q=1}^{i-1} t_{q} h_{i q}\left(t_{q}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)-\sum_{p=i+1}^{m} t_{p} h_{p i}\left(t_{i}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and in this way we obtain the explicit form of the matrix $D$.
2. Main results. In this section we give the explicit form of the Jacobian matrix of the coset representatives of the outer endomorphisms in $\operatorname{IE}\left(F_{m}\right) / \operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{m}\right)$, i.e., we shall find a set of IA-endomorphisms $\theta$ of $F_{m}$ such that the factor semigroup $\operatorname{IE}\left(F_{m}\right) / \operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{m}\right)$ of the outer IA-endomorphisms of $F_{m}$ is presented as the disjoint union of the cosets $\operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{m}\right) \theta$.

Recall that the augmentation ideal of the polynomial algebra $K\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right]$ consists of the polynomials without constant terms. We denote this ideal as $\omega$.

Theorem 5. Let $\Theta$ be the set of endomorphisms $\theta$ of $F_{m}$ with Jacobian matrix of the form

$$
J(\theta)=I_{m}+\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
s\left(t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right) & f_{12} & \cdots & f_{1 m} \\
t_{1} q_{2}\left(t_{2}, t_{3}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)+r_{2}\left(t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right) & f_{22} & \cdots & f_{2 m} \\
t_{1} q_{3}\left(t_{3}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)+r_{3}\left(t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right) & f_{32} & \cdots & f_{3 m} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
t_{1} q_{m}\left(t_{m}\right)+r_{m}\left(t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right) & f_{m 2} & \cdots & f_{m m}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $s, r_{i}, f_{i j} \in \omega^{2}, q_{i} \in \omega$ are polynomials satisfying the conditions

$$
s+\sum_{i=2}^{m} t_{i} q_{i}=0, \quad \sum_{i=2}^{m} t_{i} r_{i}=0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m} t_{i} f_{i j}=0, \quad j=2, \ldots, m
$$

$r_{i}=r_{i}\left(t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right), i=2, \ldots, m$, does not depend on $t_{1}, q_{i}\left(t_{i}, \ldots, t_{m}\right), i=$ $2, \ldots, m$, does not depend on $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{i-1}$. Then $\Theta$ consists of coset representatives of the subgroup $\operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{m}\right)$ of the semigroup $\operatorname{IE}\left(F_{m}\right)$ and $\operatorname{IE}\left(F_{m}\right) / \operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{m}\right)$ is a disjoint union of the cosets $\operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{m}\right) \theta, \theta \in \Theta$.

Proof. Let $A=I_{m}+\left(f_{i j}\right) \in I_{m}+S$,

$$
f_{11}=s, \quad f_{i 1}=t_{1} q_{i}+r_{i}, \quad i=2, \ldots, m
$$

be an $m \times m$ matrix satisfying the conditions of the theorem. The equation

$$
s+\sum_{i=2}^{m} t_{i} q_{i}=0
$$

implies that

$$
t_{1} s+\sum_{i=2}^{m} t_{i}\left(t_{1} q_{i}\right)=0
$$

Hence Lemma 2 gives that there exists an $f_{1}$ in the commutator ideal of $F_{m}$ such that

$$
\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}=s, \quad \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{i}}=t_{1} q_{i}, \quad i=2, \ldots, m
$$

Similarly, the conditions

$$
\sum_{i=2}^{m} t_{i} r_{i}=0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m} t_{i} f_{i j}=0, \quad j=2, \ldots, m
$$

imply that there exist $f_{1}^{\prime}, f_{j}, j=2, \ldots, m$, in $F_{m}$ with

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial f_{1}^{\prime}}{\partial x_{1}}=0, \quad \frac{\partial f_{1}^{\prime}}{\partial x_{i}}=r_{i}, \quad i=2, \ldots, m \\
\frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}=f_{i j}, \quad i=1, \ldots, j, \quad j=2, \ldots, m
\end{gathered}
$$

This means that $A$ is the Jacobian matrix of a certain IA-endomorphism of $F_{m}$.
Now we shall show that for any $\psi \in \operatorname{IE}\left(F_{m}\right)$ there exists an inner automorphism $\phi=\exp (\operatorname{ad} u) \in \operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{m}\right)$ and an endomorphism $\theta$ in $\Theta$ such that $\psi=\exp (\operatorname{ad} u) \cdot \theta$. Let $\psi$ be an arbitrary element of $\operatorname{IE}\left(F_{m}\right)$ and let

$$
\psi\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}+\sum_{k>l}\left[x_{k}, x_{l}\right] f_{k l}\left(\operatorname{ad} x_{l}, \ldots, \operatorname{ad} x_{m}\right)
$$

$$
\psi\left(x_{2}\right)=x_{2}+\sum_{k>l}\left[x_{k}, x_{l}\right] g_{k l}\left(\operatorname{ad} x_{l}, \ldots, \operatorname{ad} x_{m}\right)
$$

where $f_{k l}=f_{k l}\left(t_{l}, \ldots, t_{m}\right), g_{k l}=g_{k l}\left(t_{l}, \ldots, t_{m}\right) \in K\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right]$.
Let us denote the $m \times 2$ matrix consisting of the first two columns of $J(\psi)$ by $J(\psi)_{2}$. Then $J(\psi)_{2}$ is of the form

$$
J(\psi)_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1-t_{2} f_{21}-t_{3} f_{31}-\cdots-t_{m} f_{m 1} & -t_{2} g_{21}-t_{3} g_{31}-\cdots-t_{m} g_{m 1} \\
t_{1} f_{21}-t_{3} f_{32}-\cdots-t_{m} f_{m 2} & 1+t_{1} g_{21}-t_{3} g_{32}-\cdots-t_{m} g_{m 2} \\
t_{1} f_{31}+t_{2} f_{32}-\cdots-t_{m} f_{m 3} & * \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
t_{1} f_{m 1}+\cdots+t_{(m-1)} f_{m(m-1)} & *
\end{array}\right)
$$

where we have denoted by $*$ the corresponding entries of the second column of the Jacobian matrix of $\psi$. We can rewrite $J(\psi)_{2}$ as

$$
J(\psi)_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+t_{1} s_{1}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)+s_{2}\left(t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right) & * \\
t_{1}^{2} p_{2}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)+t_{1} q_{2}\left(t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)+r_{2}\left(t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right) & * \\
t_{1}^{2} p_{3}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)+t_{1} q_{3}\left(t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)+r_{3}\left(t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right) & * \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
t_{1}^{2} p_{m}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)+t_{1} q_{m}\left(t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)+r_{m}\left(t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right) & *
\end{array}\right)
$$

where we have collected the components $t_{1}^{2} p_{i}$ divisible by $t_{1}^{2}$, the components $t_{1} q_{i}$ divisible by $t_{1}$ only (but not by $t_{1}^{2}$ ) and finally the components $r_{i}$ which do not depend on $t_{1}, i=2, \ldots, m$. By Lemma 2 we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
t_{1}^{2}\left(s_{1}+t_{2} p_{2}+\cdots+t_{m} p_{m}\right)=0 \\
t_{1}\left(s_{2}+t_{2} q_{2}+\cdots+t_{m} q_{m}\right)=0 \\
t_{2} r_{2}+\cdots+t_{m} r_{m}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Recalling the fact that $T_{s}=\left\{t_{s}, \ldots, t_{m}\right\}$, we can rewrite $J(\psi)_{2}$ as

$$
J(\psi)_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1-t_{1} t_{2} p_{2}-\cdots-t_{1} t_{m} p_{m}-t_{2} q_{2}-\cdots-t_{m} q_{m} & * \\
t_{1}^{2} p_{2}+t_{1} q_{2}\left(T_{2}\right)+r_{2}\left(T_{2}\right) & * \\
t_{1}^{2} p_{3}+t_{1} q_{3}\left(T_{2}\right)+r_{3}\left(T_{2}\right) & * \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
t_{1}^{2} p_{m}+t_{1} q_{m}\left(T_{2}\right)+r_{m}\left(T_{2}\right) & *
\end{array}\right)
$$

Now we define

$$
\phi_{1}=\exp \left(\operatorname{ad} u_{1}\right), \quad u_{1}=\sum_{i=2}^{m}\left[x_{i}, x_{1}\right] p_{i}\left(\operatorname{ad} x_{1}, \ldots, \operatorname{ad} x_{m}\right)
$$

The Jacobian matrix of $\phi_{1}$ has the form

$$
J\left(\phi_{1}\right)=I_{m}+\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
t_{1} \sum_{i \neq 1} t_{i} p_{i} & t_{2} \sum_{i \neq 1} t_{i} p_{i} & \cdots & t_{m} \sum_{i \neq 1} t_{i} p_{i} \\
-t_{1}^{2} p_{2} & -t_{1} t_{2} p_{2} & \cdots & -t_{1} t_{m} p_{2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
-t_{1}^{2} p_{m} & -t_{1} t_{2} p_{m} & \cdots & -t_{1} t_{m} p_{m}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The element $u_{1}$ belongs to the commutator ideal of $F_{m}$ and the linear operator $\operatorname{ad} u_{1}$ acts trivially on $F_{m}^{\prime}$. Hence $\exp \left(\operatorname{ad} u_{1}\right)$ is the identity map restricted on $F_{m}^{\prime}$. Since the endomorphism $\psi$ is IA, we obtain that

$$
\psi\left(x_{j}\right) \equiv x_{j} \quad\left(\bmod F_{m}^{\prime}\right), \quad \phi_{1} \psi\left(x_{j}\right)=\psi\left(x_{j}\right)+x_{j} \text { ad } u_{1}
$$

Easy calculations give that

$$
J\left(\phi_{1} \psi\right)_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1-t_{2} p_{2}-\cdots-t_{m} p_{m} & * \\
t_{1} q_{2}\left(T_{2}\right)+r_{2}\left(T_{2}\right) & * \\
t_{1} q_{3}\left(T_{2}\right)+r_{3}\left(T_{2}\right) & * \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
t_{1} q_{m}\left(T_{2}\right)+r_{m}\left(T_{2}\right) & *
\end{array}\right)
$$

Now we write $q_{i}\left(T_{2}\right)$ in the form

$$
q_{i}\left(T_{2}\right)=t_{2} q_{i}^{\prime}\left(T_{2}\right)+q_{i}^{\prime \prime}\left(T_{3}\right), \quad i=3, \ldots, m
$$

and define

$$
\phi_{2}=\exp \left(\operatorname{ad} u_{2}\right), \quad u_{2}=\sum_{i=3}^{m}\left[x_{i}, x_{2}\right] q_{i}^{\prime}\left(\operatorname{ad} x_{2}, \ldots, \operatorname{ad} x_{m}\right)
$$

Then we obtain that

$$
\begin{gathered}
J\left(\phi_{2} \phi_{1} \psi\right)_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1-t_{2} p_{2}-\cdots-t_{m} p_{m} & * \\
t_{1} Q_{2}\left(T_{2}\right)+r_{2}\left(T_{2}\right) & * \\
t_{1} q_{3}^{\prime \prime}\left(T_{3}\right)+r_{3}\left(T_{2}\right) & * \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
t_{1} q_{m}^{\prime \prime}\left(T_{3}\right)+r_{m}\left(T_{2}\right) & *
\end{array}\right) \\
Q_{2}\left(T_{2}\right)=q_{2}\left(T_{2}\right)-\sum_{i=3}^{m} t_{i} q_{i}^{\prime}\left(T_{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Repeating this process we construct inner automorphisms $\phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{m-1}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\theta=\phi_{m-1} \cdots \phi_{2} \phi_{1} \phi_{0} \psi \\
J\left(\phi_{m-1} \cdots \phi_{2} \phi_{1} \psi\right)_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+s\left(T_{2}\right) & * \\
t_{1} Q_{2}\left(T_{2}\right)+r_{2}\left(T_{2}\right) & * \\
t_{1} Q_{3}\left(T_{3}\right)+r_{3}\left(T_{2}\right) & * \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
t_{1} Q_{m}\left(T_{m}\right)+r_{m}\left(T_{2}\right) & *
\end{array}\right), \\
s\left(T_{2}\right)=-t_{2} p_{2}\left(T_{2}\right)-\cdots-t_{m} p_{m}\left(T_{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, starting from an arbitrary coset of IA-endomorphisms $\operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{m}\right) \psi$, we have found that it contains an endomorphism $\theta \in \Theta$ with Jacobian matrix prescribed in the theorem. Now, let $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ be two different endomorphisms in $\Theta$ with $\operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{m}\right) \theta_{1}=\operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{m}\right) \theta_{2}$. Hence, there exists a nonzero element $u \in F_{m}^{\prime}$ such that $\theta_{1}=\exp (\operatorname{ad} u) \theta_{2}$. Since $\theta_{2}\left(x_{1}\right) \equiv x_{1}$ modulo $F_{m}^{\prime}$, as above we obtain

$$
\theta_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=\exp (\operatorname{ad} u) \theta_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)=\theta_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)+x_{1} \operatorname{ad} u
$$

Hence

$$
J(\operatorname{ad} u)_{2}=J\left(\theta_{1}\right)_{2}-J\left(\theta_{2}\right)_{2}
$$

If $u$ is of the form

$$
u=\sum_{p>q}\left[x_{p}, x_{q}\right] h_{p q}\left(\operatorname{ad} x_{q}, \ldots, \operatorname{ad} x_{m}\right)
$$

then, by Lemma $4, J(\operatorname{ad} u)_{2}$ is of the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
J(\operatorname{ad} u)_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
+t_{1} t_{2} h_{21}+t_{1} t_{3} h_{31}+\cdots+t_{1} t_{m} h_{m 1} & * \\
-t_{1}^{2} h_{21}+t_{1} t_{3} h_{32}+\cdots+t_{1} t_{m} h_{m 2} & * \\
-t_{1}^{2} h_{31}-t_{1} t_{2} h_{32}+\cdots+t_{1} t_{m} h_{m 3} & * \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
-t_{1}^{2} h_{m 1}-t_{1} t_{2} h_{m 2}-\cdots-t_{1} t_{m-1} h_{m, m-1} & *
\end{array}\right) \\
h_{p q}=h_{p q}\left(T_{q}\right) \in K\left[T_{q}\right]=K\left[t_{q}, \ldots, t_{m}\right], \quad p>q
\end{gathered}
$$

On the other hand, $J\left(\theta_{1}\right)_{2}-J\left(\theta_{2}\right)_{2}$ is of the form

$$
J\left(\theta_{1}\right)_{2}-J\left(\theta_{2}\right)_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{lc}
s\left(t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right) & * \\
t_{1} q_{2}\left(t_{2}, t_{3}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)+r_{2}\left(t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right) & * \\
t_{1} q_{3}\left(t_{3}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)+r_{3}\left(t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right) & * \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
t_{1} q_{m}\left(t_{m}\right)+r_{m}\left(t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right) & *
\end{array}\right)
$$

where the polynomials $s, r_{i}, f_{i j}, q_{i}$ satisfy the conditions in the statement of the theorem. Comparing the degrees of $t_{1}$ in the monomials of the entries of the first columns of both matrices we derive that

$$
s=0, \quad h_{p 1}=0, \quad r_{p}=0, \quad p=2, \ldots, m
$$

By similar arguments we conclude that $h_{p q}=0$ for all $p>q$ which implies that $u=0$ and $\theta_{1}=\theta_{2}$.

Example 6. When $m=2$ the results of Lemma 4 and Theorem 5 have the following simple form. If

$$
u=\left[x_{2}, x_{1}\right] h\left(\operatorname{ad} x_{1}, \operatorname{ad} x_{2}\right), \quad h=h\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \in K\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]
$$

then the Jacobian matrix of the inner automorphism $\exp (\operatorname{ad} u)$ is

$$
J(\exp (\operatorname{ad} u))=I_{2}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t_{1} t_{2} h & t_{2}^{2} h \\
-t_{1}^{2} h & -t_{1} t_{2} h
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The Jacobian matrix of the outer IA-endomorphism $\theta \in \Theta$ is

$$
J(\theta)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+t_{2} f_{1}\left(t_{2}\right) & t_{2} f_{2}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \\
-t_{1} f_{1}\left(t_{2}\right) & 1-t_{1} f_{2}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right), \quad f_{1}(0,0)=f_{2}(0,0)=0
$$

This allows to show easily the result of Shmel'kin [18] that $\operatorname{IA}\left(F_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{2}\right)$. If $\theta \in \Theta$ is an IA-automorphism, then its Jacobian matrix

$$
J(\theta)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+t_{2} f_{1}\left(t_{2}\right) & t_{2} f_{2}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \\
-t_{1} f_{1}\left(t_{2}\right) & 1-t_{1} f_{2}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

is invertible and

$$
\operatorname{det}(J(\theta))=\left(1+t_{2} f_{1}\left(t_{2}\right)\right)\left(1-t_{1} f_{2}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right)+\left(t_{1} f_{1}\left(t_{2}\right)\right)\left(t_{2} f_{2}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right)=1
$$

which gives $t_{2} f_{1}\left(t_{2}\right)=t_{1} f_{2}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ and hence $f_{1}\left(t_{2}\right)=f_{2}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=0$. Therefore $\theta$ is the identity automorphism which means that all IA-automorphisms of $F_{2}$ are inner.

Finally, we want to raise the following natural problem.
Problem 7. Describe the group $\operatorname{IOut}\left(F_{m}\right)=\operatorname{IA}\left(F_{m}\right) / \operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{m}\right), m \geq 3$, of outer IA-automorphisms of $F_{m}$. This would give immediately the description of the group $\operatorname{Out}\left(F_{m}\right)=\operatorname{Aut}\left(F_{m}\right) / \operatorname{Inn}\left(F_{m}\right)$ of outer automorphisms of $F_{m}$.
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