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# COMPARISON AND OSCILLATION THEOREMS FOR SECOND ORDER NONLINEAR NEUTRAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS OF MIXED TYPE 

Ethiraju Thandapani, Renu Rama<br>Communicated by T. Gramchev

Abstract. In this paper we establish some comparison theorems for the oscillation of second order neutral differential equations of mixed type

$$
\left(a(t)\left(\left[x(t)+b(t) x\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+c(t) x\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)\right]^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+q(t) x^{\beta}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+p(t) x^{\gamma}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)=0
$$

where $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ are ratios of odd positive integers, $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ are non negative integers. Our results are new even if $p(t)=0$ or $q(t)=0$. Examples are provided to illustrate the main results.

1. Introduction. In this paper, we shall study the oscillatory behavior of the second order nonlinear neutral differential equation of mixed type

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(a ( t ) \left(\left[x(t)+b(t) x\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+c(t) x\right.\right.\right. & \left.\left.\left.\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)\right]^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}  \tag{1.1}\\
& +q(t) x^{\beta}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+p(t) x^{\gamma}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

where $t \geq t_{0}$. Throughout this paper, we assume without further mention that the following hypotheses hold:
$\left(H_{1}\right) a(t)$ is a positive and differentiable function for $t \geq t_{0}$ with $\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)} d t=\infty ;$
$\left(H_{2}\right) b(t)$ and $c(t) \in C^{2}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),[0, \infty)\right)$, and there exist $b$ and $c$ such that $b(t) \leq b$, and $c(t) \leq c ;$
$\left(H_{3}\right) p(t)$ and $q(t)$ are nonnegative continuous real valued functions for all $t \geq t_{0}$;
$\left(H_{4}\right) \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ are nonnegative integers, and $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ are the ratios of odd positive integers with $\alpha \in(0, \infty)$.

Set $z(t)=\left[x(t)+b(t) x\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+c(t) x\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)\right]^{\alpha}$. By a solution of equation (1.1), we mean a function $x(t) \in C^{1}\left(\left[T_{x}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$ defined for all $t \geq t_{0}-\max \left(\sigma_{1}, \tau_{1}\right)$, which has the property $a(t) z^{\prime}(t) \in C^{1}\left(\left[T_{x}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$, and satisfying equation (1.1) for all $t \geq T_{x} \geq t_{0}$. A solution of equation (1.1) is called oscillatory if it has infinitely many zeros on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$, otherwise, it is called nonoscillatory. Equation (1.1) is said to be oscillatory if all its solutions are oscillatory.

Neutral functional differential equations have numerous applications in electric networks. For instance, they are frequently used for the study of distribution networks containing lossless transmission lines which arise in high speed computers where the lossless transmission lines are used to interconnect switching circuits; see [13, 15].

In recent years, many results have been obtained on the oscillation of different classes of functional differential equations, we refer the reader to the papers $[2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,14,16,17,18,19,20,22]$ and the references cited therein. However, there are few results regarding the oscillatory properties of neutral differential equations with mixed arguments. In [5, 14, 20], the authors established some oscillation criteria for the following mixed neutral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x(t)+p_{1} x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+p_{2} x\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)\right)^{\prime \prime}=q_{1}(t) x\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+q_{2}(t) x\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right), t \geq t_{0} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ are nonnegative real valued functions. Grace [11] obtained some oscillation theorems for the odd order neutral differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x(t)+p_{1} x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+p_{2} x\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)\right)^{(n)}=q_{1} x\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+q_{2} x\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right), t \geq t_{0} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n \geq 1$ is odd. In $[1,12,23]$ the authors obtained several sufficient conditions for the oscillation of solutions of higher-order neutral functional differential equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x(t)+c x(t-h)+C x(t+H))^{(n)}+q x(t-g)+Q x(t+G)=0, t \geq t_{0} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q$ and $Q$ are nonnegative real constants.
Clearly, equations(1.2), (1.3) and (1.4) with $n=2$, and $\alpha=\beta=\gamma=1$ are special cases of equation (1.1). Motivated by this observation in this paper we study the oscillatory behavior of equation (1.1) for different values of $\alpha, \beta$, and $\gamma$.In section 2, we establish sufficient condition for the oscillation of all solution of equation (1.1) and in Section 3 we provide some examples to illustrate the main result.
In the sequel, when we write a functional inequality without specifying its domain of validity and we assume that it holds for all sufficiently large $t$.
2. Oscillation results. In this section, we shall establish some new oscillation criteria for the equation (1.1). Throughout this paper, we shall use the following notations, without further mention:

$$
Q_{1}(t)=\min \left\{q(t), q\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right), q\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)\right\}, \quad P_{1}(t)=\min \left\{p(t), p\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right), p\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)\right\}
$$

$Q^{*}(t)=Q_{1}(t)+P_{1}(t)$, and $\quad R(t)=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \frac{1}{a(s)} d s$.
To prove our main results we need the following lemmas.
Lemma 2.1. Let $A \geq 0, B \geq 0$ and $\delta \geq 1$. Then

$$
(A+B)^{\delta} \leq 2^{\delta-1}\left(A^{\delta}+B^{\delta}\right)
$$

Lemma 2.2. Assume that $A \geq 0, B \geq 0$ and $0<\delta \leq 1$. Then

$$
(A+B)^{\delta} \leq A^{\delta}+B^{\delta}
$$

The proofs of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 may be found in [14, 20].
Theorem 2.3. Assume that $\gamma=\beta \geq 1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(t)+\frac{Q^{*}(t) R^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)}{4^{\beta-1}\left(1+b^{\beta}+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}}\right)^{\beta / \alpha}} u^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right) \leq 0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has no positive solution for all sufficiently large $t \geq t_{0}$. Then every solution of equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Let $x(t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of equation (1.1). Without loss of generality, we may assume that there exists $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t)>$ $0, x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)>0$ and $x\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{1}$. Then $z(t)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{1}$.

In view of equation (1.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}=-q(t) x^{\beta}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)-p(t) x^{\beta}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) \leq 0, t \geq t_{1} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $a(t) z^{\prime}(t)$ is nonincreasing. Then it is easy to conclude that either $z^{\prime}(t)>0$ or $z^{\prime}(t)<0$ for all $t \geq t_{1}$. If there exists a $t_{2} \geq t_{1}$ such that $z^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)<0$, then from (2.2), we see that

$$
a(t) z^{\prime}(t) \leq a\left(t_{2}\right) z^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)<0, \quad t \geq t_{2}
$$

Integrating the last inequality from $t_{2}$ to $t$, we obtain

$$
z(t) \leq z\left(t_{2}\right)+a\left(t_{2}\right) z^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right) \int_{t_{2}}^{t} \frac{1}{a(s)} d s
$$

Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $z(t) \rightarrow-\infty$ due to $\left(H_{1}\right)$, which is a contradiction. Thus, there exists $t_{2} \geq t_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime}(t)>0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \geq t_{2}$. From the equation (1.1), for sufficiently large $t$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(a(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+q(t) x^{\beta}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+p(t) x^{\beta}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)+b^{\beta}\left(a\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right) z^{\prime}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)\right)^{\prime}+ \\
& b^{\beta} q\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right) x^{\beta}\left(t-\tau_{1}-\sigma_{1}\right)+b^{\beta} p\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right) x^{\beta}\left(t+\tau_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right)+ \\
& \frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}}\left(a\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right) z^{\prime}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)\right)^{\prime}+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}} q\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right) x^{\beta}\left(t-\tau_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)+  \tag{2.4}\\
& \frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}} p\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right) x^{\beta}\left(t+\tau_{2}+\sigma_{2}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

By using Lemma 2.1, the equation (2.4) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(a(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+b^{\beta}\left(a\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right) z^{\prime}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)\right)^{\prime}+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}}\left(a\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right) z^{\prime}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)\right)^{\prime}  \tag{2.5}\\
&+\frac{Q_{1}(t)}{4^{\beta-1}} z^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+\frac{P_{1}(t)}{4^{\beta-1}} z^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) \leq 0
\end{align*}
$$

Since $z(t)$ is increasing, we have $z\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) \geq z\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)$, and therefore from (2.5), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(a(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+b^{\beta}\left(a\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right) z^{\prime}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)\right)^{\prime}  \tag{2.6}\\
& \quad+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}}\left(a\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right) z^{\prime}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)\right)^{\prime}+\frac{Q^{*}(t)}{4^{\beta-1}} z^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) \leq 0
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (2.2) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(t)=z\left(t_{2}\right)+\int_{t_{2}}^{t} \frac{a(s) z^{\prime}(s)}{a(s)} d s \geq a(t) z^{\prime}(t) R(t) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $y(t)=a(t) z^{\prime}(t)$. Then $y(t)>0$ and nonincreasing. From (2.6) and (2.7), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y(t)+b^{\beta} y\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}} y\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)\right)^{\prime}+\frac{Q^{*}(t)}{4^{\beta-1}} R^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) y^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) \leq 0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $u(t)$ by

$$
u(t)=y(t)+b^{\beta} y\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}} y\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)
$$

Then $u(t)>0$. Since $y(t)$ is nonincreasing, we have

$$
u(t) \leq\left(1+b^{\beta}+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}}\right) y\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)
$$

Substituting the above inequality in (2.8), we see that $u(t)$ is a positive solution of the inequality (2.1), which is a contradiction. This completes the proof.

Corollary 2.4. Assume that $\alpha=\beta=\gamma$ and $\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}<0$ hold. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t+\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}}^{t} Q^{*}(s) R\left(s-\tau_{1}\right) d s>\frac{4^{\beta-1}}{e}\left(1+b^{\beta}+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then every solution of equation (1.1) is oscillatory.
Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.3and [13, Theorem 2.3.1].
Corollary 2.5. Assume that $\gamma=\beta<\alpha$ and $\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}<0$ hold. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} Q^{*}(s) R^{\beta / \alpha}\left(s-\tau_{1}\right) d s=\infty \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then every solution of equation (1.1) is oscillatory.
Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.3 and [21].

Corollary 2.6. Assume that $\beta=\gamma>\alpha$ and $\tau_{1}-\sigma_{1}>0$ hold. If there exists $\lambda>\frac{1}{\tau_{1}-\sigma_{1}} \log (\beta / \alpha)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left[Q^{*}(t) R^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) \exp \left(-e^{\lambda t}\right)\right]>0 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

then every solution of equation (1.1) is oscillatory.
Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.3 and [21].
Theorem 2.7. Assume that $\gamma=\beta \geq 1$ and $\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}>0$ hold. If
(2.12) $w^{\prime}(t)-\frac{Q^{*}\left(t+\sigma_{1}\right)}{4^{\beta-1}\left(1+b^{\beta}+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}}\right)}\left(\int_{t_{1}}^{t+\sigma_{1}} \frac{1}{a\left(s-\sigma_{1}\right)} d s\right) w^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right) \geq 0$
has no positive solution for sufficiently large $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$, then every solution of equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.3, we obtain (2.2)-(2.6) for all $t \geq t_{2} \geq t_{1}$. Integrating (2.6) from $t$ to $\infty$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
a(t) z^{\prime}(t)+b^{\beta} a\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right) z^{\prime}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}} a(t & \left.+\sigma_{2}\right) z^{\prime}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)  \tag{2.13}\\
& \geq \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{Q^{*}(s)}{4^{\beta-1}} z^{\beta / \alpha}\left(s-\tau_{1}\right) d s
\end{align*}
$$

Since $a(t) z^{\prime}(t)>0$ and nonincreasing, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
a(t) z^{\prime}(t)+b^{\beta} a\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right) z^{\prime}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right) & +\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}} a\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right) z^{\prime}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)  \tag{2.14}\\
& \leq\left(1+b^{\beta}+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}}\right) a\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right) z^{\prime}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

In view of (2.13) and (2.14), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right) \geq \frac{1}{\left(1+b^{\beta}+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}}\right) a\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)} \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{Q^{*}(s)}{4^{\beta-1}} z^{\beta / \alpha}\left(s-\tau_{1}\right) d s \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (2.15) from $t_{2}$ to $t$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
z\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right) & \geq \int_{t_{2}}^{t} \frac{1}{\left(1+b^{\beta}+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}}\right) a\left(s-\sigma_{1}\right)}\left(\int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{Q^{*}(v)}{4^{\beta-1}} z^{\beta / \alpha}\left(v-\tau_{1}\right) d v\right) d s \\
& \geq \frac{1}{4^{\beta-1}\left(1+b^{\beta}+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}}\right)} \int_{t_{2}}^{t} Q^{*}(s) z^{\beta / \alpha}\left(s-\tau_{1}\right)\left(\int_{t_{2}}^{s} \frac{1}{a\left(v-\sigma_{1}\right)} d v\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
z(t) \geq \frac{1}{4^{\beta-1}\left(1+b^{\beta}+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}}\right)} \int_{t_{2}}^{t+\sigma_{1}} Q^{*}(s) z^{\beta / \alpha}\left(s-\tau_{1}\right)\left(\int_{t_{2}}^{s} \frac{1}{a\left(v-\sigma_{1}\right)} d v\right) d s
$$

Let

$$
w(t)=\frac{1}{4^{\beta-1}\left(1+b^{\beta}+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}}\right)} \int_{t_{2}}^{t+\sigma_{1}} Q^{*}(s) z^{\beta / \alpha}\left(s-\tau_{1}\right)\left(\int_{t_{2}}^{s} \frac{1}{a\left(v-\sigma_{1}\right)} d v\right) d s>0
$$

Then

$$
w^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{4^{\beta-1}\left(1+b^{\beta}+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}}\right)} Q^{*}\left(t+\sigma_{1}\right) z^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right) \int_{t_{2}}^{t+\sigma_{1}} \frac{1}{a\left(v-\sigma_{1}\right)} d v
$$

Thus $z(t)>w(t)$, and

$$
w^{\prime}(t) \geq \frac{Q^{*}\left(t+\sigma_{1}\right)}{4^{\beta-1}\left(1+b^{\beta}+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}}\right)}\left(\int_{t_{2}}^{t+\sigma_{1}} \frac{1}{a\left(v-\sigma_{1}\right)} d v\right) w^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)
$$

Hence we find $w(t)$ is a positive solution of (2.12). This contradiction completes the proof.

From Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 2.3.4 of [13], we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.8. Assume that $\gamma=\beta=\alpha$ and $\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}>0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t}^{t+\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}} Q^{*}\left(s+\sigma_{1}\right)\left(\int_{t_{1}}^{s+\sigma_{1}} \frac{1}{a\left(v-\sigma_{1}\right)} d v\right) d s \\
& >\frac{4^{\beta-1}}{e}\left(1+b^{\beta}+\frac{c^{\beta}}{2^{\beta-1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all sufficiently large $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$. Then every solution of equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

Next we present oscillation criteria for equation (1.1) when $0<\beta<1$.
Theorem 2.9. Assume that $0<\gamma=\beta<1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(t)+Q^{*}(t) R^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) u^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right) \leq 0 \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

has no positive solution for all sufficiently large $t \geq t_{0}$. Then every solution of equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.3, except we use Lemma 2.2 instead of Lemma 2.1, and hence the details are omitted.

Similar to Corollaries 2.4 to 2.6, we have the following Corollaries 2.10 to 2.12.

Corollary 2.10. Assume that $\alpha=\beta=\gamma$ and $\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}<0$ hold. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t+\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}}^{t} Q^{*}(s) R\left(s-\tau_{1}\right) d s>\frac{1}{e} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

then every solution of equation (1.1) is oscillatory.
Corollary 2.11. Assume that $1>\gamma=\beta>\alpha$, and $\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}<0$ hold. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} Q^{*}(s) R^{\beta / \alpha}\left(s-\tau_{1}\right) d s=\infty \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

then every solution of equation (1.1) is oscillatory.
Corollary 2.12. Assume that $1>\gamma=\beta>\alpha$ and $\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}<0$ holds. If there exists a $\lambda>\frac{1}{\tau_{1}-\sigma_{1}} \log (\beta / \alpha)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left[Q^{*}(t) R^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) \exp \left(-e^{\lambda t}\right)\right]>0 \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparison and oscillation theorems for second order differential equations 9 then every solution of equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

Theorem 2.13. Assume that $0<\gamma=\beta<1$ and $\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}>0$ hold. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime}(t)-\frac{Q^{*}\left(t+\sigma_{1}\right)}{\left(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}\right)}\left(\int_{t_{1}}^{t+\sigma_{1}} \frac{1}{a\left(s-\sigma_{1}\right)} d s\right) w^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t+\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right) \geq 0 \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

has no positive solution for sufficiently large $t \geq t_{0}$, then every solution of equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.7 and hence the details are omitted.

Similar to Corollary 2.8, we obtain the following corollary.
Corollary 2.14. Assume that $0<\beta=\gamma=\alpha<1$ and $\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}>0$ hold. If

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t}^{t+\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}} Q^{*}\left(s+\sigma_{1}\right)\left(\int_{t_{1}}^{s+\sigma_{1}} \frac{1}{a\left(v-\sigma_{1}\right)} d v\right) d s>\frac{\left(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}\right)}{e}
$$

for all sufficiently large $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$, then every solution of equation (1.1) is oscillatory.
Next we discuss the oscillation of equation (1.1) when $a(t) \equiv 1$.
Theorem 2.15. Assume that $a(t) \equiv 1,0<\beta<1, \gamma>1$ with $\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}>1>$ $\frac{\beta}{\alpha}, b \leq 1, c \leq 1$ and $\tau_{i}>\sigma_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. If the differential inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(t)+\frac{\eta_{1}^{-\eta_{1}} \eta_{2}^{-\eta_{2}}}{1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}}\left(\frac{P_{2}(t)}{4^{\gamma-1}}\right)^{\eta_{1}} Q_{2}^{\eta_{2}}(t) y\left(t-\tau-\sigma_{2}\right) \leq 0 \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta_{1}=\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\gamma-\beta}, \eta_{2}=\frac{\gamma-\alpha}{\gamma-\beta}$, and $\tau=\max \left\{\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right\}$ has no positive increasing solution, then every solution of equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Let $x(t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of equation (1.1). Without loss of generality, we assume that there exists $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t)>0, x(t-$ $\left.\tau_{1}\right)>0$, and $x\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{1}$. From equation (1.1)

$$
z^{\prime \prime}(t)=-q(t) x^{\beta}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)-p(t) x^{\gamma}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) \leq 0
$$

for $t \geq t_{0}$. Then as in the proof of Theorem 2.3, we have $z^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. Define

$$
y(t)=z(t)+b^{\beta} z\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+c^{\beta} z\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)
$$

Since $z(t)>0$ and $z^{\prime}(t)>0$, we have $y(t)>0, y^{\prime}(t)>0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{\prime \prime}(t) & =z^{\prime \prime}(t)+b^{\beta} z^{\prime \prime}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+c^{\beta} z^{\prime \prime}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right) \\
y^{\prime \prime}(t) & +Q_{1}(t)\left[x^{\beta}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+b^{\beta} x^{\beta}\left(t-\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)+c^{\beta} x^{\beta}\left(t+\sigma_{2}-\tau_{1}\right)\right] \\
& +P_{1}(t)\left[x^{\gamma}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)+b^{\beta} x^{\gamma}\left(t-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{2}\right)+c^{\beta} x^{\gamma}\left(t+\sigma_{2}+\tau_{2}\right)\right] \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Lemma 2.2, and $0<\beta<1<\gamma$ and $c \leq 1$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{\prime \prime}(t) & +Q_{1}(t)\left[x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+b x\left(t-\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)+c x\left(t+\sigma_{2}-\tau_{1}\right)\right]^{\beta} \\
& +P_{1}(t)\left[x^{\gamma}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)+b^{\gamma} x^{\gamma}\left(t-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{2}\right)+c^{\gamma} x^{\gamma}\left(t+\sigma_{2}+\tau_{2}\right)\right] \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Now using Lemma 2.1 and $c \leq 1, \gamma>1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{\prime \prime}(t)+Q_{1}(t) z^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) \\
& \quad+P_{1}(t)\left[\frac{1}{2^{\gamma-1}}\left(x\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)+b x\left(t+\tau_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right)\right)^{\gamma}+\frac{c^{\gamma}}{2^{\gamma-1}} x^{\gamma}\left(t+\tau_{2}+\sigma_{2}\right)\right] \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Again using Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{\prime \prime}(t)+Q_{1}(t) z^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) \\
& \quad+\frac{P_{1}(t)}{4^{\gamma-1}}\left[x\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)+b x\left(t+\tau_{2}-\sigma_{1}\right)+c x\left(t+\tau_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right)\right]^{\gamma} \leq 0 . \\
& y^{\prime \prime}(t)+Q_{1}(t) z^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+\frac{P_{1}(t)}{4^{\gamma-1}} z^{\gamma / \alpha}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(t)+Q_{1}(t) z^{\beta / \alpha}(t-\tau)+\frac{P_{1}(t)}{4^{\gamma-1}} z^{\gamma / \alpha}(t-\tau) \leq 0 \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $u_{1}=\eta_{1}^{-1} \frac{P_{1}(t)}{4^{\gamma-1}} z^{\gamma / \alpha}(t-\tau)$ and $u_{2}=\eta_{2}^{-1} Q_{1}(t) z^{\beta / \alpha}(t-\tau)$. Using arithmetic-geometric mean inequality $u_{1} \eta_{1}+u_{2} \eta_{2} \geq u_{1}^{\eta_{1}} u_{2}^{\eta_{2}}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{\prime \prime}(t) & \geq\left(\frac{P_{1}(t)}{\eta_{1} 4^{\gamma-1}} z^{\gamma / \alpha}(t-\tau)\right)^{\eta_{1}}\left(\frac{Q_{1}(t) z^{\beta / \alpha}(t-\tau)}{\eta_{2}}\right)^{\eta_{2}} \\
& =\eta_{1}^{-\eta_{1}} \eta_{2}^{-\eta_{2}}\left(\frac{P_{1}(t)}{4^{\gamma-1}}\right)^{\eta_{1}} Q_{1}^{\eta_{2}}(t) z(t-\tau) \tag{2.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $z^{\prime}(t)>0$, we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
y(t-\tau) & =z(t-\tau)+b^{\beta} z\left(t-\tau-\sigma_{1}\right)+c^{\beta} z\left(t-\tau+\sigma_{2}\right) \\
& \leq\left(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}\right) z\left(t-\tau+\sigma_{2}\right) \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the inequality (2.25) in (2.24), we obtain

$$
y^{\prime \prime}(t)+\frac{\eta_{1}^{-\eta_{1}} \eta_{2}^{-\eta_{2}}}{1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}}\left(\frac{P_{1}(t)}{4^{\gamma-1}}\right)^{\eta_{1}} Q_{1}^{\eta_{2}}(t) y\left(t-\tau-\sigma_{2}\right) \leq 0
$$

Therefore $y(t)$ is a positive increasing solution of the inequality (2.22), which is a contradiction. This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.16. Assume that $a(t) \equiv 1, \beta>1,0<\gamma<1$ with $\frac{\beta}{\alpha}>1>$ $\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}, b \geq 1, c \geq 1$ and $\tau_{i}>\sigma_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. If the differential inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(t)+\frac{\eta_{1}^{-\eta_{1}} \eta_{2}^{-\eta_{2}}}{1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}}\left(\frac{Q_{1}(t)}{4^{\beta-1}}\right)^{\eta_{1}} P_{1}^{\eta_{2}}(t) y\left(t-\tau-\sigma_{2}\right) \leq 0 \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta_{1}=\frac{\alpha-\gamma}{\beta-\gamma}, \eta_{2}=\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\beta-\gamma}$, and $\tau=\max \left\{\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right\}$ has no positive increasing solution, then every solution of equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Let $x(t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of equation (1.1). Without loss of generality, we assume that there exists $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t)>0, x(t-$ $\left.\tau_{1}\right)>0$, and $x\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)>0$ for all $t \geq t_{1}$. Then as in the proof of Theorem 2.3 we have $z^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$. Define

$$
y(t)=z(t)+b^{\beta} z\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+c^{\beta} z\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)
$$

Since $z(t)>0$ and $z^{\prime}(t)>0$, we have $y(t)>0, y^{\prime}(t)>0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{\prime \prime}(t)=z^{\prime \prime}(t)+b^{\beta} z^{\prime \prime}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+c^{\beta} z^{\prime \prime}\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right) \\
& y^{\prime \prime}(t)+\frac{Q_{1}(t)}{4^{\beta-1}} z^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+P_{1}(t) z^{\gamma / \alpha}\left(t+\tau_{2}\right) \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(t)+\frac{Q_{1}(t)}{4^{\beta-1}} z^{\beta / \alpha}(t-\tau)+P_{1}(t) z^{\gamma / \alpha}(t-\tau) \leq 0 \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $u_{1}=\eta_{1}^{-1} \frac{Q_{1}(t)}{4^{\beta-1}} z^{\beta / \alpha}(t-\tau)$ and $u_{2}=\eta_{2}^{-1} P_{1}(t) z^{\gamma / \alpha}(t-\tau)$. Using arithmeticgeometric mean inequality $u_{1} \eta_{1}+u_{2} \eta_{2} \geq u_{1}^{\eta_{1}} u_{2}^{\eta_{2}}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& y^{\prime \prime}(t)+\left(\frac{Q_{1}(t)}{\eta_{1} 4^{\beta-1}} z^{\beta / \alpha}(t-\tau)\right)^{\eta_{1}}\left(\frac{P_{1}(t) z^{\gamma / \alpha}(t-\tau)}{\eta_{2}}\right)^{\eta_{2}} \leq 0 \\
& y^{\prime \prime}(t)+\eta_{1}^{-\eta_{1}} \eta_{2}^{-\eta_{2}}\left(\frac{Q_{1}(t)}{4^{\beta-1}}\right)^{\eta_{1}} P_{1}^{\eta_{2}}(t) z(t-\tau) \leq 0 \tag{2.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $z^{\prime}(t)>0$, we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
y(t-\tau) & =z(t-\tau)+b^{\beta} z\left(t-\tau-\sigma_{1}\right)+c^{\beta} z\left(t-\tau+\sigma_{2}\right) \\
& \leq\left(1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}\right) z\left(t-\tau+\sigma_{2}\right) \tag{2.29}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the inequality (2.29) in (2.28), we have

$$
y^{\prime \prime}(t)+\frac{\eta_{1}^{-\eta_{1}} \eta_{2}^{-\eta_{2}}}{1+b^{\beta}+c^{\beta}}\left(\frac{Q_{1}(t)}{4^{\beta-1}}\right)^{\eta_{1}} P_{1}^{\eta_{2}}(t) y\left(t-\tau-\sigma_{2}\right) \leq 0
$$

Therefore $y(t)$ is a positive increasing solution of the inequality (2.26), which is a contradiction. This completes the proof of the theorem.
3. Examples. In this section we present some examples to illustrate the main results.

Example 3.1. Consider the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x(t)+b x\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+c x\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)\right)^{\prime \prime}+\frac{q}{t} x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+\frac{p}{t} x\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)=0, \quad t \geq 1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b, c, q$ and $p$ are positive constants and $\tau_{1}-\sigma_{1}>0$.

$$
a(t)=1, b(t)=b, c(t)=c, q(t)=\frac{q}{t}, p(t)=\frac{p}{t} \text { and } \alpha=\beta=\gamma=1
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{1}(t) & =\min \left\{\frac{q}{t}, \frac{q}{t-\sigma_{1}}, \frac{q}{t+\sigma_{2}}\right\}=\frac{q}{t+\sigma_{2}} \\
P_{1}(t) & =\min \left\{\frac{p}{t}, \frac{p}{t-\sigma_{1}}, \frac{p}{t+\sigma_{2}}\right\}=\frac{p}{t+\sigma_{2}} \\
Q^{*}(t) & =Q(t)+P(t)=\frac{p+q}{t+\sigma_{2}} \\
R(t) & =\int_{1}^{t} d t=t-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparison and oscillation theorems for second order differential equations
and
(i) Let $\tau_{1}>\sigma_{1}$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{\inf _{t \rightarrow \infty}} \int_{t+\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}}^{t} Q^{*}(s) R\left(s-\tau_{1}\right) d s & =\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t+\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}}^{t} \frac{p+q}{s+\sigma_{2}}\left(s-\tau_{1}-1\right) d s \\
& =\left(\tau_{1}-\sigma_{1}\right)(p+q)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, if

$$
(p+q)\left(\tau_{1}-\sigma_{1}\right)>\frac{(1+b+c)}{e}
$$

then equation (3.1) is oscillatory due to Corollary 2.4.
(ii) Let $\tau<\sigma_{1}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} & \int_{t}^{t+\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}} Q^{*}\left(s+\sigma_{1}\right)\left(\int_{t_{1}}^{s+\sigma_{1}} \frac{1}{a\left(v-\tau_{1}\right)} d v\right) d s= \\
& \liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty}^{t+\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}} \int_{t} \frac{(p+q)\left(s+\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)}{s+\sigma_{2}+\sigma_{1}} d s=(p+q)\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, if

$$
(p+q)\left(\sigma_{1}-\tau_{1}\right)>\frac{(1+b+c)}{e}
$$

then equation (3.1) is oscillatory due to Corollary 2.8.

## Example 3.2.

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{1}{t}\left(\left(x(t)+b x\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)+c x\left(t+\sigma_{2}\right)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{3}\right)^{\prime} &  \tag{3.2}\\
& +\frac{q}{t} x\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)+\frac{p}{t} x\left(t+\tau_{2}\right)=0, t \geq 1
\end{align*}
$$

where $b, c, q$ and $p$ are positive constants and $\tau_{1}-\sigma_{1}>0$. Here $a(t)=\frac{1}{t}, \alpha=3$, $\gamma=\beta=1$. Then

$$
Q^{*}(t)=\frac{p+q}{t+\sigma_{2}} \text { and } R(t)=\int_{1}^{t} s d s=\frac{t^{2}-1}{2}
$$

Since $\int_{1}^{\infty} Q^{*}(s) R^{\beta / \alpha}\left(s-\tau_{1}\right) d s=\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{p+q}{s+\sigma_{2}}\left(\frac{\left(s-\tau_{1}\right)^{2}-1}{2}\right)^{1 / 3} d s=\infty$, every solution of equation (3.2) is oscillatory due to Corollary 2.5.

Example 3.3. Consider the differential equation

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{1}{t}(x(t)+b x(t-1)+c x(t\right. & \left.+2))^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}  \tag{3.3}\\
& +q \exp \left(e^{2(t+1)}\right) x^{3}(t-2)+p x^{3}(t+3)=0
\end{align*}
$$

where $t \geq 1, b, c, q$ and $p$ are positive constants. Here $\alpha=1, \beta=\gamma=3, a(t)=\frac{1}{t}$, $q(t)=q \exp \left(e^{2(t+1)}\right), p(t)=p, \sigma_{1}=1, \sigma_{2}=2, \tau_{1}=2, \tau_{2}=3$. Choose $\lambda=2$. Then $\lambda>\frac{1}{\tau_{1}-\sigma_{1}} \log (\beta / \alpha)$. Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left[Q^{*}(t) R^{\beta / \alpha}\left(t-\tau_{1}\right) \exp \right. & \left.\left(e^{-\lambda t}\right)\right] \\
& =\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left[\left(q e^{e^{2 t}}+p\right) \frac{(t-2)^{3}(t-1)^{3}}{2^{3}} e^{-e^{2 t}}\right]>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence equation (3.3) is oscillatory due to Corollary 2.6.
We conclude this paper with the following remark.
Remark 3.4. It would be interesting to study the oscillatory behavior of equation(1.1) when $\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)} d t<\infty$;
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