

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.  
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

# Serdica

## Mathematical Journal

### Сердика

### Математическо списание

---

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.  
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.  
Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on  
Serdica Mathematical Journal  
which is the new series of  
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes  
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica>  
or contact: Editorial Office  
Serdica Mathematical Journal  
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics  
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences  
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49  
e-mail: [serdica@math.bas.bg](mailto:serdica@math.bas.bg)

## DIFFERENTIAL GEOMETRY OF CONCIRCULAR SUBMANIFOLDS OF EUCLIDEAN SPACES

Bang-Yen Chen, Shihshu Walter Wei\*

*Communicated by O. Mushkarov*

ABSTRACT. A Euclidean submanifold is called a rectifying submanifold if its position vector field  $\mathbf{x}$  always lies in its rectifying subspace [7]. It was proved in [7] that a Euclidean submanifold  $M$  is rectifying if and only if the tangential component  $\mathbf{x}^T$  of its position vector field is a concurrent vector field.

Since concircular vector fields are natural extension of concurrent vector fields, it is natural and fundamental to study a Euclidean submanifold  $M$  such that the tangential component  $\mathbf{x}^T$  of the position vector field  $\mathbf{x}$  of  $M$  is a concircular vector field. We simply call such a submanifold a *concircular submanifold*. The main purpose of this paper is to study concircular submanifolds in a Euclidean space. Our main result completely classifies concircular submanifolds in an arbitrary Euclidean space.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\mathbb{E}^3$  denote the Euclidean 3-space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Consider a unit speed space curve  $x : I \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^3$ , where  $I = (\alpha, \beta)$  is an open interval. Let  $\mathbf{x}$  denote the position vector field of  $x$  and its derivative  $\mathbf{x}'$  be denoted by  $\mathbf{t}$ . Denote by  $\{\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{b}, \kappa, \tau\}$  the Frenet-Serret apparatus of  $x$  with curvature  $\kappa$ , torsion  $\tau$ , unit tangent vector field  $\mathbf{t}$ , the principal normal vector

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 53A07, 53C40, 53C42.

*Key words*: Euclidean submanifold, position vector field, concurrent vector field, concircular vector field, rectifying submanifold.

\*Research supported in part by NSF (DMS-1447008).

field  $\mathbf{n}$  and the binormal vector field  $\mathbf{b}$ . Then the famous Frenet-Serret equations are given by

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \mathbf{t}' = & \kappa \mathbf{n}, \\ \mathbf{n}' = -\kappa \mathbf{t} & + \tau \mathbf{b}, \\ \mathbf{b}' = & -\tau \mathbf{n}. \end{cases}$$

At each point of the curve, the planes spanned by  $\{\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{n}\}$ ,  $\{\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{b}\}$ , and  $\{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{b}\}$  are well-known as the *osculating plane*, the *rectifying plane*, and the *normal plane* of the curve, respectively.

The fundamental theorem of curves states that for two given smooth functions  $\kappa(s) > 0$  and  $\tau(s)$ ,  $s \in I$ , there exists a curve  $x : I \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^3$  such that  $s$  is the arc length,  $\kappa(s)$  is the curvature function, and  $\tau(s)$  is the torsion function of  $x$ ; moreover, any other curve satisfying the same conditions differs from  $x$  by a rigid motion.

From elementary differential geometry, it is well known that a curve in  $\mathbb{E}^3$  lies in a plane if its position vector lies in its osculating plane at each point, and it lies on a sphere if its position vector always lies in its normal plane. In view of these basic facts, the first author called a space curve a *rectifying curve* in [3] if its position vector field always lies in its rectifying plane.

The first author extended the notion of rectifying plane to the notion of *rectifying subspace* in [7]. Furthermore, he introduced the notion of *rectifying submanifolds*, by defining a Euclidean submanifold to be a rectifying submanifold if its position vector field always lies in its rectifying subspace. The first author also investigated and classified rectifying submanifolds in [7, 9]. In particular, he showed that a Euclidean submanifold is rectifying if and only if the tangential component  $\mathbf{x}^T$  of its position vector field  $\mathbf{x}$  is a concurrent vector field.

Since concircular vector fields are natural extension of concurrent vector fields, it is natural and fundamental to study a Euclidean submanifold  $M$  such that the tangential component  $\mathbf{x}^T$  of the position vector field  $\mathbf{x}$  of  $M$  is a concircular vector field. We simply call such a submanifold a *concircular submanifold*.

In this paper, we study some fundamental properties of concircular submanifolds. Our main result completely classifies concircular submanifolds of Euclidean spaces.

**2. Preliminaries.** Let  $x : M \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^m$  be an isometric immersion of a Riemannian manifold  $M$  into a Euclidean  $m$ -space  $\mathbb{E}^m$ . For each point  $p \in M$ , we denote by  $T_p M$  and  $T_p^\perp M$  the tangent and the normal spaces of  $M$  at  $p$ , respectively.

Let  $\nabla$  and  $\tilde{\nabla}$  denote the Levi-Civita connections of  $M$  and  $\mathbb{E}^m$ , respectively. Then the formulas of Gauss and Weingarten are then given respectively by (cf. [5, 10])

$$(2.1) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y),$$

$$(2.2) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X \xi = -A_\xi X + D_X \xi,$$

for vector fields  $X, Y$  tangent to  $M$  and  $\xi$  normal to  $M$ , where  $h$  is the second fundamental form,  $D$  the normal connection, and  $A$  the shape operator of  $M$ .

At a given point  $p \in M$ , the *first normal space* of  $M$  in  $\mathbb{E}^m$ , denoted by  $\text{Im } h_p$ , is the subspace given by

$$(2.3) \quad \text{Im } h_p = \text{Span}\{h(X, Y) : X, Y \in T_p M\}.$$

For each normal vector  $\xi$  at  $p$ , the shape operator  $A_\xi$  is a self-adjoint endomorphism of  $T_p M$ . The second fundamental form  $h$  and the shape operator  $A$  are related by

$$(2.4) \quad \langle A_\xi X, Y \rangle = \langle h(X, Y), \xi \rangle,$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the inner product on  $M$  as well as on the ambient Euclidean space.

The *equation of Gauss* of  $M$  in  $\mathbb{E}^m$  is given by

$$(2.5) \quad R(X, Y; Z, W) = \langle h(X, W), h(Y, Z) \rangle - \langle h(X, Z), h(Y, W) \rangle$$

for  $X, Y, Z, W$  tangent to  $M$ , where  $R$  is the Riemann curvature tensor of  $M$  defined by

$$R(X, Y; Z, W) = \langle \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z, W \rangle - \langle \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z, W \rangle - \langle \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z, W \rangle.$$

The mean curvature vector  $H$  of a submanifold  $M$  is defined by

$$(2.6) \quad H = \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \text{trace } h, \quad n = \dim M.$$

A Riemannian manifold is called a *flat space* if its curvature tensor  $R$  vanishes identically. Further, a submanifold  $M$  is called *totally umbilical* (respectively, *totally geodesic*) if its second fundamental form  $h$  satisfies  $h(X, Y) = \langle X, Y \rangle H$  identically (respectively,  $h = 0$  identically).

Let  $B$  and  $Q$  be two Riemannian manifolds with metric tensors  $g_B$  and  $g_Q$ , respectively, and  $f$  be a positive smooth function on  $B$ . Then the *warped product*  $B \times_f Q$  is the product manifold  $B \times Q$  equipped with the metric tensor

$$g = g_B + f^2 g_Q,$$

where  $f$  is called the *warping function* (cf. [1, 10, 13]).

**3. Basic results on  $\mathbf{x}$ ,  $\mathbf{x}^T$  and  $\mathbf{x}^N$ .** It follows from the definition of a rectifying curve  $x : I \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^3$  that the position vector field  $\mathbf{x}$  of a rectifying curve satisfies

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbf{x}(s) = \lambda(s)\mathbf{t}(s) + \eta(s)\mathbf{b}(s)$$

for some functions  $\lambda$  and  $\eta$ .

For a curve  $x : I \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^3$  with  $\kappa(s_0) \neq 0$  at  $s_0 \in I$ , the first normal space at  $s_0$  is the line spanned by the principal normal vector  $\mathbf{n}(s_0)$ . Thus the rectifying plane at  $s_0$  is nothing but the plane orthogonal to the first normal space. For an arbitrary submanifold  $M$  of  $\mathbb{E}^m$ , we simply call the orthogonal complement subspace to the first normal space  $\text{Im } h_p$  at  $p \in M$  the *rectifying space of  $M$  at  $p$*  (cf. [7]).

Analogous to rectifying curves in [3], the first author introduced the notion of rectifying submanifolds in [7] defined as follows.

**Definition 3.1.** *A submanifold  $M$  of a Euclidean  $m$ -space  $\mathbb{E}^m$  is called a rectifying submanifold if its position vector field  $\mathbf{x}$  always lies in its rectifying space. In other words,  $M$  is called a rectifying submanifold if and only if*

$$(3.2) \quad \langle \mathbf{x}(p), \text{Im } h_p \rangle = 0$$

*holds at every point  $p \in M$ .*

**Definition 3.2.** *A non-trivial vector field  $V$  on a Riemannian manifold  $M$  is called a concurrent vector field if it satisfies (cf. e.g. [10, 16])*

$$(3.3) \quad \nabla_X V = X$$

*for any  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ , where  $\nabla$  is the Levi-Civita connection of  $M$  and  $\Gamma(TM)$  is the space of smooth cross sections in the tangent bundle  $TM$  of  $M$ .*

**Definition 3.3.** *A non-trivial vector field  $Z$  on a Riemannian manifold  $M$  is called a concircular vector field if it satisfies (cf. e.g. [6, 10, 15])*

$$(3.4) \quad \nabla_X Z = \varphi X, \quad X \in TM,$$

*where  $\varphi$  is a smooth function on  $M$ , called the concircular function.*

By a *cone* in  $\mathbb{E}^m$  with vertex at the origin  $o$  we mean a ruled submanifold generated by a family of half lines through  $o$ . Obviously, a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{E}^m$  containing the origin  $o$  is a special case of cone in this sense. A submanifold of  $\mathbb{E}^m$  is called a *conic submanifold* with vertex at  $o$  if it is an open part of a cone with vertex at  $o$ .

For a Euclidean submanifold  $M$ , there exists a natural orthogonal decom-

position of the position vector field  $\mathbf{x}$  of  $M$ ; namely,

$$(3.5) \quad \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^T + \mathbf{x}^N,$$

where  $\mathbf{x}^T$  and  $\mathbf{x}^N$  are the tangential and normal components of  $\mathbf{x}$ , respectively. Let  $|\mathbf{x}^T|$  and  $|\mathbf{x}^N|$  denote the length of  $\mathbf{x}^T$  and  $\mathbf{x}^N$ , respectively.

The following results can be found in [7].

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $x : M \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^m$  be an isometric immersion of a Riemannian  $n$ -manifold into a Euclidean  $m$ -space  $\mathbb{E}^m$ . Then  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^T$  holds identically if and only if  $M$  is a conic submanifold with the vertex at the origin.*

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $x : M \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^m$  be an isometric immersion of a Riemannian  $n$ -manifold into  $\mathbb{E}^m$ . Then  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^N$  holds identically if and only if  $M$  lies in a hypersphere centered at the origin.*

In view of Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we make the following.

**Definition 3.4.** *A submanifold  $M$  of  $\mathbb{E}^m$  is called proper if its position vector field  $\mathbf{x}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{x}^T$  and  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{x}^N$  everywhere on  $M$  except a measure zero subset.*

We have the following characterization of rectifying submanifolds from [7].

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $M$  be a proper submanifold of a Euclidean  $m$ -space  $\mathbb{E}^m$ . Then  $M$  is a rectifying submanifold if and only if  $\mathbf{x}^T$  is a concurrent vector field on  $M$ .*

Further basic results on  $\mathbf{x}^T$  and  $\mathbf{x}^N$  can be found in [2, 4, 8, 9] among others.

Obviously, concircular vector fields are natural extension of concurrent vector fields. Hence, in view of Theorem 3.1, we ask the following basic question.

*Question 3.1.* Which submanifolds of a Euclidean  $m$ -space  $\mathbb{E}^m$  have concircular vector field  $\mathbf{x}^T$ ?

For simplicity, we make the following.

**Definition 3.5.** *A proper submanifold  $M$  of a Euclidean space with  $\dim M \geq 2$  is called a concircular submanifold if the tangential component  $\mathbf{x}^T$  of its position vector field  $\mathbf{x}$  is a concircular vector field on  $M$ .*

The *concircular function* of a concircular submanifold  $M$  is defined to be the concircular function  $\varphi$  of the concircular vector field  $\mathbf{x}^T$  on  $M$  given in (3.4).

**4. Some lemmas.** Now, we provide five lemmas for the proof of our main result.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $M$  a submanifold of a Euclidean  $m$ -space  $\mathbb{E}^m$ . Then the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$  and the normal connection  $D$  of  $M$  satisfy*

$$(4.1) \quad \nabla_Z \mathbf{x}^T = Z + A_{\mathbf{x}^N} Z,$$

$$(4.2) \quad D_Z \mathbf{x}^N = -h(\mathbf{x}^T, Z),$$

for any  $Z \in \Gamma(TM)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be a submanifold of  $\mathbb{E}^m$ . Then, by using the fact that the position vector field is a concurrent vector, we find from Gauss' and Weingarten's formulas that

$$Z = \tilde{\nabla}_Z \mathbf{x} = \nabla_Z \mathbf{x}^T + h(\mathbf{x}^T, Z) - A_{\mathbf{x}^N} Z + D_Z \mathbf{x}^N$$

for any  $Z \in \Gamma(TM)$ , where  $\tilde{\nabla}$  is the Levi-Civita connection of  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ . Hence, by comparing the tangential and normal components of the last equation, we obtain formulas (4.1) and (4.2).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *A proper hypersurface  $M$  of  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) is a concircular hypersurface if and only if either*

- (1)  *$M$  is an open portion of a hyperplane  $L^n$  of  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$  such that  $o \notin L^n$ , where  $o$  is the origin of  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ , or*
- (2)  *$M$  is an open portion of a hypersphere  $S^n$  such that the origin  $o$  of  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$  is not the center of  $S^n$ .*

*Further,  $M$  has constant concircular function  $\varphi = 1$  in case (1); and  $M$  has non-constant concircular function  $\varphi = 1 + \langle H, \mathbf{x} \rangle$  in case (2).*

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be a concircular hypersurface of  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ . Then we have  $\nabla_Z \mathbf{x}^T = \varphi Z$  with a concircular function  $\varphi$ . Combining this with (4.1) gives

$$(4.3) \quad A_{\mathbf{x}^N} Z = (\varphi - 1)Z, \quad Z \in \Gamma(TM),$$

which shows that  $M$  is totally umbilical in  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ .

Consequently,  $M$  is either an open portion of a hyperplane  $L^n$  or an open portion of a hypersphere  $S^n$  depending on  $M$  is totally geodesic or not totally geodesic.

From (2.4) and (4.3) we have

$$(4.4) \quad \nabla_Z \mathbf{x}^T = (1 + \langle H, \mathbf{x}^N \rangle)Z, \quad Z \in \Gamma(TM),$$

where  $H$  is the mean curvature vector of  $M$ .

Suppose that  $M$  is an open portion of a hyperplane  $L^n$ . Then  $o \notin L^n$  since we have  $\mathbf{x} \neq x^T$  according to Definition 3.5. Also, in this case it follows from (4.4) that  $\nabla_Z \mathbf{x}^T = Z$ . Thus  $M$  has constant concircular function  $\varphi = 1$ .

If  $M$  is an open portion of a hypersphere  $S^n$ . Then we know that the center of  $S^{n-1}$  is not the origin of  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$  due to  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{x}^N$ . Thus, in this case, it is easy to show that the concircular function  $\varphi = 1 + \langle H, \mathbf{x} \rangle$  of  $M$  is non-constant.

Conversely, if  $M$  is a hypersurface given either by case (1) or case (2), then it follows easily from (4.4) that  $M$  is a concircular hypersurface.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $M$  be a concircular submanifold of a Euclidean  $m$ -space  $\mathbb{E}^m$  with codimension  $\geq 2$ . Then there exists a local coordinate system  $\{s, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$  of  $M$  such that*

$$(a) \quad e_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \text{ and } \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j} \right\rangle = 0 \text{ for } j = 2, \dots, n;$$

$$(b) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j} \langle \mathbf{x}^N, \mathbf{x}^N \rangle = 0 \text{ for } j = 2, \dots, n;$$

$$(c) \quad \mu = \mu(s) \text{ and } \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \langle \mathbf{x}^N, \mathbf{x}^N \rangle = 2\mu(s)(1 - \mu'(s));$$

$$(d) \quad A_{\mathbf{x}^N} = (\mu'(s) - 1)I, \text{ where } I \text{ denotes the identity map.}$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $M$  is a concircular submanifold of  $\mathbb{E}^m$  with codimension  $\geq 2$ . Let us define the unit vector field  $e_1$  and the function  $\mu$  on  $M$  by

$$(4.5) \quad \mathbf{x}^T = \mu e_1, \quad \mu = |\mathbf{x}^T|.$$

We may extend  $e_1$  to a local orthonormal frame  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  on  $M$ . Since  $\mathbf{x}^T$  is a concircular vector field on  $M$ , we derive from (3.4) and (4.5) that

$$(4.6) \quad \varphi Z = \nabla_Z \mathbf{x}^T = (Z\mu)e_1 + \mu \nabla_Z e_1, \quad Z \in \Gamma(TM),$$

where  $\varphi$  is the concircular function of  $\mathbf{x}^T$ . From (4.6) we find

$$(4.7) \quad e_1 \mu = \varphi, \quad \nabla_{e_1} e_1 = 0,$$

$$(4.8) \quad e_j \mu = 0, \quad \nabla_{e_j} e_1 = \frac{\varphi}{\mu} e_j, \quad j = 2, \dots, n.$$

If we define the connection forms  $\omega_k^i$ ,  $i, k = 1, \dots, n$ , by

$$(4.9) \quad \nabla_Z e_k = \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_k^i(Z) e_i, \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

then (4.8) and (4.9) yield

$$(4.10) \quad \omega_1^j(e_j) = \frac{\varphi}{\mu} \delta_{jk}, \quad j, k = 2, \dots, n,$$

where  $\delta_{jk}$  denote the Kronecker deltas.

Let us put

$$\mathcal{D} = \text{Span}\{e_1\}, \quad \mathcal{D}^\perp = \text{Span}\{e_2, \dots, e_n\}.$$

Then it follows from (4.10) that  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$  is an integrable distribution. Moreover, we know from the second equation in (4.7) that  $\mathcal{D}$  is an integrable distribution whose integral curves are geodesics of  $M$  and hence  $\mathcal{D}$  is a totally geodesic distribution. Therefore there exists a local coordinate system  $\{s, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$  on  $M$  such that

$$(4.11) \quad e_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}^\perp = \text{Span} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial u_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial u_n} \right\}.$$

Hence we have statement (a) of the lemma.

From (4.7) and (4.8) we find

$$(4.12) \quad \mu = \mu(s), \quad \varphi = \mu'(s), \quad \mu = \langle \mathbf{x}, e_1 \rangle.$$

Thus, by applying (4.3) and (4.12), we get

$$(4.13) \quad A_{\mathbf{x}^N} Z = (\mu'(s) - 1)Z,$$

which gives statement (d).

After applying (2.4) and (4.13), we find

$$(4.14) \quad \langle h(Z, \mathbf{x}^T), \mathbf{x}^N \rangle = \langle A_{\mathbf{x}^N} Z, \mathbf{x}^T \rangle = (\mu'(s) - 1) \langle Z, \mathbf{x}^T \rangle.$$

On the other hand, it follows from (4.2) and (4.14) that

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} Z \langle \mathbf{x}^N, \mathbf{x}^N \rangle &= 2 \langle D_Z \mathbf{x}^N, \mathbf{x}^N \rangle \\ &= -2 \langle h(\mathbf{x}^T, Z), \mathbf{x}^N \rangle = 2(1 - \mu'(s)) \langle Z, \mathbf{x}^T \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which implies statement (b).

Finally, we see from (4.15) that  $\langle \mathbf{x}^N, \mathbf{x}^N \rangle$  is a function depending only on  $s$ . This if we choose  $Z = \frac{\partial}{\partial s}$ , then we obtain statement (c) from (4.12) and (4.15).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *If  $M$  is a concircular submanifold of a Euclidean  $m$ -space with codimension  $\geq 2$ , then  $M$  is locally a warped product  $I \times_{\mu(s)} Q$  with warping function  $\mu$ , where  $Q$  is a Riemannian manifold,  $\mu = |\mathbf{x}^T|$  and  $\mathbf{x}^T = \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial s}$ .*

**Proof.** If  $M$  is a concircular submanifold of a Euclidean space, then it follows from [6, Theorem 3.1] that  $M$  is locally a warped product  $I \times_{f(s)} N$  with warping function  $f(s)$  for some Riemannian manifold  $N$  such that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}$  is parallel to  $\mathbf{x}^T$ .

Since the metric tensor of  $I \times_{f(s)} N$  is

$$(4.16) \quad g = ds^2 + f^2(s)g_N,$$

the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$  of  $M$  satisfies

$$(4.17) \quad \nabla_V \frac{\partial}{\partial s} = \frac{d(\ln f)}{ds} V$$

for any tangent vector  $V$  of  $N$  (see, e.g., [10, 13]).

On the other hand, (4.6) and (4.12) imply that the Levi-Civita connection of  $M$  also satisfies

$$(4.18) \quad \nabla_V \frac{\partial}{\partial s} = \frac{d(\ln \mu)}{ds} V.$$

Hence, after comparing (4.17) and (4.18), we obtain  $(\ln f)' = (\ln \mu)'$ , which implies  $f(s) = \lambda \mu(s)$  for some nonzero constant  $\lambda$ . Consequently,  $M$  is locally a warped product  $I \times_{\mu(s)} Q$  such that the metric tensor of  $Q$  is given by  $g_Q = \lambda^2 g_N$ .  $\square$

The next lemma is an easy consequence of Nash's embedding theorem [12].

**Lemma 4.5.** *For sufficiently large integer  $m$ , every Riemannian manifold  $M$  can be isometrically immersed in the unit hypersphere  $S_o^{m-1}(1)$  of  $\mathbb{E}^m$  centered at the origin  $o \in \mathbb{E}^m$ .*

**Proof.** Nash's embedding theorem states that every Riemannian manifold can be isometrically embedding in a Euclidean  $k$ -space  $\mathbb{E}^k$  for some large  $k$ . Clearly,  $\mathbb{E}^k$  can be isometrically mapped into a flat  $k$ -torus  $T^k$  in  $S_o^{2k-1}(1) \subset \mathbb{E}^{2k}$ . Therefore, for sufficiently large  $m$ , every Riemannian manifold can be isometrically immersed into the unit hypersphere  $S_o^{m-1}(1)$  of  $\mathbb{E}^m$  centered at the origin.  $\square$

**5. Main results.** The following main result completely classifies concircular submanifolds.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $M$  be a proper submanifold of a Euclidean  $m$ -space  $\mathbb{E}^m$  with origin  $o$ . If  $n = \dim M \geq 2$ , then  $M$  is a concircular submanifold if and only if one of the following three cases occurs:*

- (i)  $M$  is an open portion of a linear  $n$ -subspace  $L^n$  of  $\mathbb{E}^m$  such that  $o \notin L$ .

- (ii)  $M$  is an open portion of a hypersphere  $S^n$  of a linear  $(n+1)$ -subspace  $L^{n+1}$  of  $\mathbb{E}^m$  such that the origin of  $\mathbb{E}^m$  is not the center of  $S^n$ .
- (iii)  $m \geq n+2$ . Moreover, with respect to some suitable local coordinate systems  $\{s, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$  on  $M$  the immersion  $x$  of  $M$  in  $\mathbb{E}^m$  takes the following form:

$$(5.1) \quad x(s, u_2, \dots, u_n) = \sqrt{2\rho}Y(s, u_2, \dots, u_n), \quad \langle Y, Y \rangle = 1,$$

where  $Y : M \rightarrow S_o^{m-1}(1) \subset \mathbb{E}^m$  is an immersion of  $M$  into the unit hypersphere  $S_o^{m-1}(1)$  such that the induced metric  $g_Y$  via  $Y$  is given by

$$(5.2) \quad g_Y = \frac{2\rho - \rho'^2}{4\rho^2} ds^2 + \frac{\rho'^2}{2\rho} \sum_{i,j=2}^n g_{ij}(u_2, \dots, u_n) du_i du_j.$$

where  $\rho = \rho(s)$  satisfies  $2\rho > \rho'^2 > 0$  on an open interval  $I$ .

**Proof.** Assume that  $M$  is a concircular submanifold of  $\mathbb{E}^m$  with  $n = \dim M \geq 2$ . If  $M$  lies in a totally geodesic  $\mathbb{E}^{n+1}$  of  $\mathbb{E}^m$ , then we obtain (i) or (ii) according to Lemma 4.2. Hence from now on we may assume that  $m \geq n+2$ .

Since  $M$  is a concircular submanifold, Lemma 4.4 implies that  $M$  is locally a warped product  $I \times_{\mu(s)} Q$  such that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}$  is parallel to  $\mathbf{x}^T$ , where  $\mu = |\mathbf{x}^T|$  and  $Q$  is a Riemannian  $(n-1)$ -manifold. Thus the metric tensor of  $M$  is

$$(5.3) \quad g = ds^2 + \mu^2(s)g_Q,$$

where

$$(5.4) \quad g_Q = \sum_{i,j=2}^n g_{ij}(u_2, \dots, u_n) du_i du_j$$

is the metric tensor of  $Q$ . Moreover, we also know that

$$(5.5) \quad \mathbf{x}^T = \mu(s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s}.$$

It follows from (4.5) or (5.5) and Lemma 4.3(3) that

$$|\mathbf{x}|^2 = |\mathbf{x}^T|^2 + |\mathbf{x}^N|^2 = \mu^2 + 2 \int_{s_0}^s \mu(t)(1 - \mu'(t)) dt.$$

Hence we have

$$(5.6) \quad |\mathbf{x}|^2 = 2\rho \geq 0,$$

where  $\rho(s)$  is an anti-derivative of  $\mu(s)$ , i.e.,  $\mu(s) = \rho'(s)$ . If we put

$$(5.7) \quad F(s) = \sqrt{2\rho},$$

then, according to (5.6), the position vector field of  $M$  takes the form:

$$(5.8) \quad \mathbf{x}(s, u_2, \dots, u_n) = F(s)Y(s, u_2, \dots, u_n),$$

where  $Y : M \rightarrow S_o^{m-1}(1) \subset \mathbb{E}^m$  is a map of  $M$  into the unit hypersphere  $S_o^{m-1}(1)$  centered at the origin  $o$ . Clearly, from (5.7) and (5.8) we have

$$(5.9) \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial s} = \frac{\rho'}{\sqrt{2\rho}}Y + \sqrt{2\rho}Y_s, \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial u_j} = \sqrt{2\rho}Y_{u_j}, \quad j = 2, \dots, n.$$

Also, we find from (5.3), (5.9),  $\langle Y, Y \rangle = 1$  and  $\rho' = \mu$  that

$$(5.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle Y_s, Y_s \rangle &= \frac{2\rho - \rho'^2}{4\rho^2}, \quad \langle Y_s, Y_{u_j} \rangle = 0, \\ \langle Y_{u_i}, Y_{u_j} \rangle &= \frac{1}{2\rho} \langle \mathbf{x}_{u_i}, \mathbf{x}_{u_j} \rangle, \quad i, j = 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

So, we conclude from (5.3) and (5.10) that the induced metric tensor  $g_Y$  of the spherical submanifold defined by  $Y$  is given by

$$(5.11) \quad g_Y = \frac{2\rho - \rho'^2}{4\rho^2} ds^2 + \frac{\rho'^2}{2\rho} \sum_{i,j=2}^n g_{ij}(u_2, \dots, u_n) du_i du_j.$$

Clearly, in order that  $g_Y$  to be well-defined, it requires that  $2\rho > \rho'^2 > 0$ .

Conversely, we know from Lemma 4.2 that submanifolds given by (i) and (ii) are concircular submanifolds.

Next, we would like to prove that a submanifold defined by (5.1) and (5.2) in (iii) gives rise to a concircular submanifold. In order to do so, let us assume that  $\rho = \rho(s)$  is a function satisfying  $2\rho > \rho'^2 > 0$  on an open interval  $I$ . We also assume that  $Q$  is a Riemannian  $(n-1)$ -manifold with metric tensor  $g_Q$ .

Let us consider the warped product  $P = I \times Q$  with the warped product metric:

$$(5.12) \quad g_P = \frac{2\rho - \rho'^2}{4\rho^2} ds^2 + \frac{\rho'^2}{2\rho} g_Q.$$

According to Lemma 4.5, for a sufficient large integer  $m$ , the warped product  $(P, g_P)$  admits an isometric immersion:

$$(5.13) \quad Y : (P, g_P) \rightarrow S_o^{m-1}(1) \subset \mathbb{E}^m$$

into the unit hypersphere  $S_o^{m-1}(1)$  of  $\mathbb{E}^m$  centered at the origin  $o$ .

Let us define the map  $x : I \times Q \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^m$  by

$$(5.14) \quad x(s, u_2, \dots, u_n) = \sqrt{2\rho(s)} Y(s, u_2, \dots, u_n),$$

where  $\{u_2, \dots, u_n\}$  is a local coordinate system of  $Q$ . It is easy to verify from (5.12) and (5.14) that the induced metric tensor on  $I \times Q$  via  $x$  is given by

$$(5.15) \quad g = ds^2 + \rho'(s)^2 g_Q.$$

A direct computation shows that the Levi-Civita connection of  $(I \times Q, g)$  satisfies

$$(5.16) \quad \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} = 0, \quad \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u_j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} = \frac{\rho''(s)}{\rho'(s)} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j}, \quad j = 2, \dots, n.$$

Also, it follows from (5.14) that the position vector field  $\mathbf{x}$  of  $x$  satisfies

$$(5.17) \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial s} = \frac{\rho'}{\sqrt{2\rho}} Y + \sqrt{2\rho} Y_s, \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial u_j} = \sqrt{2\rho} Y_{u_j}, \quad j = 2, \dots, n.$$

Therefore we obtain

$$(5.18) \quad \mathbf{x}^T = \rho'(s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s}.$$

By using (5.16), (5.17) and (5.18), it is easy to verify that  $\nabla_Z \mathbf{x}^T = \rho''(s)Z$  holds for every  $Z \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Hence the immersion of  $I \times Q$  into  $\mathbb{E}^m$  via (5.14) is a concircular immersion whose concircular function is given by  $\varphi = \rho''(s)$ . Consequently, (5.1) together with (5.2) gives rise to a concircular submanifold in  $\mathbb{E}^m$ .  $\square$

**6. An explicit example of concircular surfaces in  $\mathbb{E}^4$ .** Theorem 5.1 shows that there exist ample examples of concircular submanifolds in Euclidean spaces.

The following provides one explicit example of concircular surface in  $\mathbb{E}^4$ .

**Example 6.1.** If we choose  $n = 2$  and  $\rho(s) = \frac{3}{8}s^2$ , then the function defined by (5.7) becomes  $F = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}s$ . Thus (5.11) reduces to

$$(6.1) \quad g_Y = \frac{1}{3s^2} ds^2 + \frac{3}{4} du^2.$$

Let us define  $Y : I_1 \times I_2 \rightarrow S_o^3(1) \subset \mathbb{E}^4$  to be the map of  $I_1 \times I_2$  into  $S_o^3(1)$  given by

$$(6.2) \quad Y(s, u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \cos \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} \ln s \right), \sin \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} \ln s \right), \cos \left( \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} u \right), \sin \left( \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} u \right) \right).$$

Then the induced metric tensor of  $I_1 \times I_2$  via the map  $Y$  is given by (6.1). Therefore  $P^2 = (I_1 \times I_2, g_Y)$  with the induced metric tensor  $g_Y$  is a flat surface.

Consider  $x(s, u) : I_1 \times I_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^4$  given by  $x(s, u) = F(s)Y(s, u)$ , i.e.,

$$(6.3) \quad x(s, u) = \frac{\sqrt{3}s}{2\sqrt{2}} \left( \cos \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} \ln s \right), \sin \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} \ln s \right), \cos \left( \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} u \right), \sin \left( \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} u \right) \right).$$

Then it is easy to verify that the induced metric via  $x$  is

$$(6.4) \quad g = ds^2 + \frac{9}{16} s^2 du^2.$$

Hence the Levi-Civita connection of  $M = (I_1 \times I_2, g)$  satisfies

$$(6.5) \quad \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} = 0, \quad \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} = \frac{1}{s} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}.$$

Using (6.3) and (6.4), it is easy to verify that the tangential component  $\mathbf{x}^T = \frac{3}{4} s \frac{\partial}{\partial s}$  of the position vector field  $\mathbf{x}$  is a concircular vector field satisfying  $\nabla_Z \mathbf{x}^T = \frac{3}{4} Z$  for  $Z \in TM$ . Consequently,  $M$  is a concircular surface in  $\mathbb{E}^4$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. L. BISHOP, B. O'NEILL. Manifolds of negative curvature. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **145** (1969), 1–49.
- [2] B.-Y. CHEN. Geometry of position functions of Riemannian submanifolds in pseudo-Euclidean space. *J. Geom.* **74**, 1–2 (2002), 61–77.
- [3] B.-Y. CHEN. When does the position vector of a space curve always lie in its rectifying plane?. *Amer. Math. Monthly* **110**, 2 (2003), 147–152.
- [4] B.-Y. CHEN. Constant-ratio space-like submanifolds in pseudo-Euclidean space. *Houston J. Math.* **29**, 2 (2003), 281–294.
- [5] B.-Y. CHEN. Pseudo-Riemannian geometry,  $\delta$ -invariants and applications. Hackensack, NJ, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., 2011.
- [6] B.-Y. CHEN. Some results on concircular vector fields and their applications to Ricci solitons. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **52**, 5 (2015), 1535–1547.
- [7] B.-Y. CHEN. Differential geometry of rectifying submanifolds. *Int. Electron. J. Geom.* **9**, 2 (2016), 1–8.
- [8] B.-Y. CHEN. Topics in differential geometry associated with position vector fields on Euclidean submanifolds. *Arab J. Math. Sci.* **23**, 1 (2017), 1–17 (in: Special Issue on Geometry and Global Analysis).

- [9] B.-Y. CHEN. Addendum to: Differential geometry of rectifying submanifolds. *Int. Electron. J. Geom.* **10**, 1 (2017), 81–82.
- [10] B.-Y. CHEN. Differential geometry of warped product manifolds and submanifolds. Hackensack, NJ, World Scientific, 2017.
- [11] S. HIEPKO. Eine innere Kennzeichnung der verzerrten Produkte. *Math. Ann.* **241**, 3 (1979), 209–215.
- [12] J. F. NASH. The imbedding problem for Riemannian manifolds. *Ann. of Math.(2)* **63** (1956), 20–63.
- [13] B. O’NEILL. Semi-Riemannian geometry with applications to relativity. New York, Academic Press, 1983.
- [14] J. A. SCHOUTEN. Ricci-calculus. An introduction to tensor analysis and its geometrical applications. 2nd ed. Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, Springer-Verlag, 1954.
- [15] K. YANO. On the torse forming direction in a Riemannian space. *Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo* **20** (1944), 340–345.
- [16] K. Yano and B.-Y. Chen, On the concurrent vector fields of immersed manifolds. *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* **23** (1971), 343–350.

*Bang-Yen Chen*  
*Department of Mathematics*  
*Michigan State University*  
*East Lansing, Michigan 48824–1027, U.S.A.*  
*e-mail: bychen@math.msu.edu*

*Shihshu Walter Wei*  
*Department of Mathematics*  
*University of Oklahoma*  
*Norman, Oklahoma 73019-0315, U.S.A.*  
*e-mail: wwei@ou.edu*

*Received February 8, 2017*