

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.  
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

# Serdica

## Mathematical Journal

### Сердика

### Математическо списание

---

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.  
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.  
Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on  
Serdica Mathematical Journal  
which is the new series of  
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes  
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica>  
or contact: Editorial Office  
Serdica Mathematical Journal  
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics  
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences  
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49  
e-mail: [serdica@math.bas.bg](mailto:serdica@math.bas.bg)

## MORE ON THE CARDINALITY OF $S(n)$ -SPACES\*

Ivan S. Gotchev, Ljubiša D. R. Kočinac

*Communicated by V. Valov*

*Dedicated to the memory of Stoyan Nedev*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, for a topological space  $X$  and any positive integer  $n$ , we define the cardinal functions  $d_n(X)$ ,  $t_n(X)$  and  $bt_n(X)$ , called respectively  $S(n)$ -density,  $S(n)$ -tightness and  $S(n)$ -bitightness, and using them and recently introduced in [10] cardinal functions  $\chi_n(X)$ ,  $\psi_n(X)$ , and  $s_n(X)$ , called respectively  $S(n)$ -character,  $S(n)$ -pseudocharacter, and  $S(n)$ -spread, we prove some cardinal inequalities for  $S(n)$ -spaces, which extend to the class of  $S(n)$ -spaces some results of Pospíšil, Arhangel'skiĭ, Hajnal and Juhász, Shapirovskiĭ and Kočinac. Two representative results are: If  $X$  is an  $S(n)$ -space, then  $|X| \leq 2^{2^{d_n(X)}}$  and  $|X| \leq [d_n(X)]^{bt_n(X)}$ .

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 54A25, 54D10.

*Key words*: Cardinal function,  $S(n)$ -space,  $S(n)$ -density,  $S(n)$ -discrete,  $S(n)$ -pseudocharacter,  $S(n)$ -tightness,  $S(n)$ -bitightness.

\*Some of the results in this paper were announced at the *First Congress of Macedonian Mathematicians and Computer Scientists*, October 3–5, 1996, Ohrid, Macedonia and at the *Spring Topology and Dynamical Systems Conference*, Berry College, Mount Berry, GA, March 17–19, 2005.

**1. Introduction.** The following two results of Pospišil [17], which are valid for every Hausdorff space  $X$ , are well known:  $|X| \leq 2^{2^{d(X)}}$  and  $|X| \leq [d(X)]^{\chi(X)}$ . Kočinac in [15], for Urysohn spaces  $X$ , sharpened the first inequality to  $|X| \leq 2^{2^{d_\theta(X)}}$ . As it was shown by Arhangel'skiĭ in [2] for Hausdorff spaces and by Cammaroto and Kočinac in [4] (see also [15]) for Urysohn spaces, the second inequality can be sharpened respectively to  $|X| \leq [d(X)]^{bt(X)}$  and  $|X| \leq [d_\theta(X)]^{bt_\theta(X)}$ .

In this paper, for a topological space  $X$  and any positive integer  $n$ , we define the cardinal functions  $S(n)$ -density (denoted by  $d_n(X)$ ),  $S(n)$ -tightness (denoted by  $t_n(X)$ ), and  $S(n)$ -bitightness (denoted by  $bt_n(X)$ ), and using them and recently introduced in [10] cardinal functions  $S(n)$ -character,  $S(n)$ -pseudo-character, and  $S(n)$ -spread, denoted respectively by  $\chi_n(X)$ ,  $\psi_n(X)$ , and  $s_n(X)$ , we prove some cardinal inequalities for  $S(n)$ -spaces.

In particular, we extend the above-mentioned inequalities for the class of  $S(n)$ -spaces, where  $n$  is a positive integer, by showing that for every  $S(n)$ -space  $X$  we have  $|X| \leq 2^{2^{d_n(X)}}$  (Theorem 3.1) and  $|X| \leq [d_n(X)]^{bt_n(X)}$  (Theorem 3.5). Since  $bt_n(X) \leq t_n(X)\psi_{2n}(X)$ , whenever  $X$  is an  $S(n)$ -space (Theorem 3.3), as a corollary we obtain Theorem 3.7: If  $X$  is an  $S(n)$ -space, then  $|X| \leq [d_n(X)]^{t_n(X)\psi_{2n}(X)}$ . Extending in Theorems 3.13, 3.15, and 3.17 to  $S(n)$ -spaces a fundamental result about spread due to Shapirovskiĭ (see [19] or [12, Theorem 5.1]), in Theorems 3.19, 3.21 and 3.23 we obtain upper bounds for the  $S(n)$ -density of  $S(n)$ -spaces using the cardinal function  $s_n(X)$ . In the proofs of these theorems we use substantially Lemmas 3.10, 3.11 and 3.12 proved in [10]. As corollaries, in Theorem 3.20, 3.22 and 3.24 we find upper bounds of the cardinality of  $S(n)$ -spaces as functions of  $s_n(X)$  and  $bt_n(X)$ .

**2. Preliminaries.** All spaces considered here are assumed to be at least  $T_1$  and infinite.  $\mathbb{N}^+$  denotes the set of all positive integers and  $\mathbb{N} = \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}^+$ .  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  are ordinal numbers, while  $\lambda$  and  $\kappa$  denote infinite cardinals;  $\kappa^+$  is the successor cardinal of  $\kappa$ . As usual, cardinals are assumed to be initial ordinals. If  $X$  is a set, then  $\mathfrak{P}(X)$  and  $[X]^{\leq \kappa}$  denote the power set of  $X$  and the collection of all subsets of  $X$  having cardinality  $\leq \kappa$ , respectively.

We begin with recalling some definitions that we need. (For additional topological definitions not given here see [9], [13], or [12].)

**Definition 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $A \subset X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . A point  $x \in X$  is  $S(n)$ -separated from  $A$  if there exist open sets  $U_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  such that  $x \in U_1$ ,  $\overline{U}_i \subset U_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  and  $\overline{U}_n \cap A = \emptyset$ ;  $x$  is  $S(0)$ -*

separated from  $A$  if  $x \notin \overline{A}$ .  $X$  is an  $S(n)$ -space [21] if every two distinct points in  $X$  are  $S(n)$ -separated.

Now, let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The set  $\text{cl}_{\theta^n} A = \{x \in X : x \text{ is not } S(n)\text{-separated from } A\}$  is called  $\theta^n$ -closure of  $A$  [6].  $A$  is  $\theta^n$ -closed [16] if  $\text{cl}_{\theta^n}(A) = A$ ;  $U \subset X$  is  $\theta^n$ -open if  $X \setminus U$  is  $\theta^n$ -closed; and  $A$  is  $\theta^n$ -dense in  $X$  if  $\text{cl}_{\theta^n}(A) = X$ .

It is a direct corollary of Definition 2.1 that  $S(1)$  is the class of Hausdorff spaces and  $S(2)$  is the class of Urysohn spaces. Since we are going to consider here only  $T_1$ -spaces, for us the  $S(0)$ -spaces will be exactly the  $T_1$ -spaces. Also,  $\text{cl}_{\theta^0}(A) = \overline{A}$  and  $\text{cl}_{\theta^1}(A) = \text{cl}_{\theta}(A)$  is the so called  $\theta$ -closure of  $A$  [20].

It will be more convenient for us to consider the  $S(n)$ -spaces in more 'symmetric' way similar to the way how  $S(n)$ -spaces are defined in [7], [8] or [16] but we are going to use the terminology and notation introduced in [10].

**Definition 2.2** ([10]). *Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $U \subseteq X$ ,  $x \in U$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . We will say that  $U$  is an  $S(2k - 1)$ -neighborhood of  $x$  if there exist open sets  $U_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , such that  $x \in U_1, \overline{U}_i \subset U_{i+1}$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$ , and  $U_k \subseteq U$ . We will say that  $U$  is an  $S(2k)$ -neighborhood of  $x$  if there exist open sets  $U_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , such that  $x \in U_1, \overline{U}_i \subset U_{i+1}$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$ , and  $\overline{U}_k \subseteq U$ .*

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . When a set  $U$  is an  $S(n)$ -neighborhood of a point  $x$  and it is an open (closed) set in  $X$ , we will refer to it as open (closed)  $S(n)$ -neighborhood of  $x$ . A set  $U$  will be called  $S(n)$ -open ( $S(n)$ -closed) if  $U$  is open (closed) and there exists at least one point  $x$  such that  $U$  is an open (closed)  $S(n)$ -neighborhood of  $x$ .

**Remark 2.3** ([10]). We note that in what follows every  $S(2k - 1)$ -open set  $U$  in a space  $X$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , will be considered as a fixed chain of  $k$  nonempty sets  $U_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , such that  $\overline{U}_i \subset U_{i+1}$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$ , and  $U_k \subseteq U$ . (In fact, most of the time we will assume that  $U_k = U$ ).

Now, using the terminology and notation introduced in Definition 2.2 it is easy to see that the following propositions are true.

**Proposition 2.4** ([10]). *Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $x \in X$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ .*

(a) *Every closed  $S(2k - 1)$ -neighborhood of  $x$  is a closed  $S(2k)$ -neighborhood of  $x$ .*

(b) *Every  $S(2k)$ -neighborhood of  $x$  contains a closed  $S(2k)$ -neighborhood of  $x$ ; hence it contains a closed (and therefore an open)  $S(2k - 1)$ -neighborhood of  $x$ . Thus, every  $S(2k)$ -neighborhood of  $x$  is an  $S(2k - 1)$ -neighborhood of  $x$ .*

(c) *Every  $S(2k + 1)$ -neighborhood of  $x$  contains an open  $S(2k + 1)$ -neighbor-*

hood of  $x$ ; hence it contains an open (and therefore a closed)  $S(2k)$ -neighborhood of  $x$ . Thus, every  $S(2k + 1)$ -neighborhood of  $x$  is an  $S(2k)$ -neighborhood of  $x$ .

**Proposition 2.5** ([10]). *Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ .*

(a)  *$X$  is an  $S(2k - 1)$ -space if and only if every two distinct points of  $X$  can be separated by disjoint (open)  $S(2k - 1)$ -neighborhoods.*

(b)  *$X$  is an  $S(2k)$ -space if and only if every two distinct points of  $X$  can be separated by disjoint closed  $S(2k - 1)$ -neighborhoods.*

(c)  *$X$  is an  $S(2k)$ -space if and only if every two distinct points of  $X$  can be separated by disjoint (closed)  $S(2k)$ -neighborhoods.*

(d)  *$X$  is an  $S(2k + 1)$ -space if and only if every two distinct points of  $X$  can be separated by disjoint open  $S(2k)$ -neighborhoods.*

**Definition 2.6** ([10]). *Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $A \subseteq X$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . We will say that a point  $x$  is in the  $S(2k - 1)$ -closure of  $A$  if and only if every (open)  $S(2k - 1)$ -neighborhood of  $x$  intersects  $A$  and we will say that a point  $x$  is in the  $S(2k)$ -closure of  $A$  if and only if every (closed)  $S(2k)$ -neighborhood (or equivalently, every closed  $S(2k - 1)$ -neighborhood) of  $x$  intersects  $A$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , the  $S(n)$ -closure of  $A$  will be denoted by  $\theta_n(A)$ .  $A$  is  $\theta_n$ -closed if  $\theta_n(A) = A$  and  $U \subset X$  is  $\theta_n$ -open if  $X \setminus U$  is  $\theta_n$ -closed, or equivalently,  $U \subset X$  is  $\theta_n$ -open if  $U$  is an  $S(n)$ -neighborhood of every  $x \in U$ . Finally,  $A$  is  $\theta_n$ -dense in  $X$  if  $\theta_n(A) = X$ .*

It is immediate that, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , every  $\theta_n$ -open set is open and every set of the form  $\theta_n(A)$ , where  $A \subseteq X$ , is a closed set in  $X$ . Also,  $\theta_1(A) = \text{cl}(A) = \overline{A}$  is the usual closure operator in  $X$  and  $\theta_2(A) = \text{cl}_\theta(A)$  is the  $\theta$ -closure operator introduced by Veličko [20]. We also note that, for any integer  $n > 1$ , the  $\theta_n$ -closure operator, in general, is not idempotent.

**Definition 2.7** ([10]). *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and  $X$  be a topological space.*

(a) *A family  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$  of open  $S(2k - 1)$ -neighborhoods of a point  $x \in X$  will be called an open  $S(2k - 1)$ -neighborhood base at the point  $x$  if for every open  $S(2k - 1)$ -neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  there is  $\alpha < \kappa$  such that  $U_\alpha \subseteq U$ .*

(b) *An  $S(2k - 1)$ -space  $X$  is of  $S(2k - 1)$ -character  $\kappa$ , denoted by  $\chi_{2k-1}(X) = \kappa$ , if  $\kappa$  is the smallest infinite cardinal such that for each point  $x \in X$  there exists an open  $S(2k - 1)$ -neighborhood base at  $x$  with cardinality at most  $\kappa$ . In the case  $k = 1$  the  $S(1)$ -character  $\chi_1(X)$  coincides with the usual character  $\chi(X)$ .*

(c) *An  $S(2k)$ -space  $X$  is of  $S(2k)$ -character  $\kappa$ , denoted by  $\chi_{2k}(X) = \kappa$ , if  $\kappa$  is the smallest infinite cardinal such that for each point  $x \in X$  there exists a family  $\mathcal{V}_x$  of closed  $S(2k - 1)$ -neighborhoods of  $x$  such that  $|\mathcal{V}_x| \leq \kappa$  and if  $W$  is an open  $S(2k - 1)$ -neighborhood of  $x$ , then  $\overline{W}$  contains a member of  $\mathcal{V}_x$ . In the*

case  $k = 1$  the  $S(2)$ -character  $\chi_2(X)$  coincides with the cardinal function  $k(X)$  defined in [1].

(d) An  $S(k - 1)$ -space  $X$  is of  $S(2k - 1)$ -pseudocharacter  $\kappa$ , denoted by  $\psi_{2k-1}(X) = \kappa$ , if  $\kappa$  is the smallest infinite cardinal such that for each point  $x \in X$  there exists a family  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$  of  $S(2k - 1)$ -open neighborhoods of  $x$  such that  $\{x\} = \bigcap \{U_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ . In the case  $k = 1$  the pseudocharacter  $\psi_1(X)$  coincides with the usual pseudocharacter  $\psi(X)$ .

(e) An  $S(k)$ -space  $X$  is of  $S(2k)$ -pseudocharacter  $\kappa$ , denoted by  $\psi_{2k}(X) = \kappa$ , if  $\kappa$  is the smallest infinite cardinal such that for each point  $x \in X$  there exists a family  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$  of  $S(2k - 1)$ -open neighborhoods of  $x$  such that  $\{x\} = \bigcap \{\overline{U}_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ . In the case  $k = 1$  the pseudocharacter  $\psi_2(X)$  coincides with the closed pseudocharacter  $\psi_c(X)$ .

It follows immediately from the previous definition that if  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , then  $\chi_{2k}(X) \leq \chi_{2k-1}(X)$  and  $\psi_{2k-1}(X) \leq \psi_{2k}(X) \leq \psi_{2k+1}(X) \leq \psi_{2k+2}(X)$ , whenever they are defined (see [10]).

In relation to Definition 2.7(c) we recall that for a topological space  $X$ ,  $k(X)$  is the smallest infinite cardinal  $\kappa$  such that for each point  $x \in X$ , there is a collection  $\mathcal{V}_x$  of closed neighborhoods of  $x$  such that  $|\mathcal{V}_x| \leq \kappa$  and if  $W$  is a neighborhood of  $x$ , then  $\overline{W}$  contains a member of  $\mathcal{V}_x$  [1]. As it was noted in [1],  $k(X) \leq \chi(X)$  and that  $k(X)$  is equal to the character of the semiregularization of  $X$ .

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We define the  $\theta_n$ -density and hereditary  $\theta_n$ -density of a space  $X$  (denoted, respectively, by  $d_{\theta_n}(X)$  and  $hd_{\theta_n}(X)$ ) by

$$d_n(X) = \min\{|A| : A \text{ is a } \theta_n\text{-dense subset of } X\} + \aleph_0, \text{ and}$$

$$hd_n(X) = \sup\{d_{\theta_n}(Y) : Y \subset X\}.$$

Clearly, if  $n = 1$ , then  $d_1(X) = d(X)$  and  $hd_1(X) = hd(X)$  are the usual density and hereditary density functions. If  $n = 2$ , then  $d_2(X) = d_\theta(X)$  and  $hd_2(X) = hd_\theta(X)$  are the  $\theta$ -density and hereditary  $\theta$ -density functions defined in [15].

It is not difficult to see that for every space  $X$  and every  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$  we have

$$d_n(X) \leq d_{n-1}(X) \leq \dots \leq d_2(X) = d_\theta(X) \leq d_1(X) = d(X), \text{ and}$$

$$hd_n(X) \leq hd_{n-1}(X) \leq \dots \leq hd_2(X) = hd_\theta(X) \leq hd_1(X) = hd(X).$$

**Definition 2.9** ([10]). Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and  $X$  be a topological space.

(a) We shall call a subset  $D$  of  $X$   $S(2k - 1)$ -discrete if for every  $x \in D$ , there is an open  $S(2k - 1)$ -neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that  $U \cap D = \{x\}$ , and

we define the  $S(2k - 1)$ -spread of  $X$ , denoted by  $s_{2k-1}(X)$ , to be  $\sup\{|D| : D \text{ is } S(2k - 1)\text{-discrete subset of } X\} + \aleph_0$ .

(b) We shall call a subset  $D$  of  $X$   $S(2k)$ -discrete if for every  $x \in D$ , there is an open  $S(2k - 1)$ -neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that  $\overline{U} \cap D = \{x\}$ , and we define the  $S(2k)$ -spread of  $X$ , denoted by  $s_{2k}(X)$ , to be  $\sup\{|D| : D \text{ is } S(2k)\text{-discrete subset of } X\} + \aleph_0$ .

It is easily seen that a set  $D$  in a topological space  $X$  is discrete if and only if  $D$  is  $S(1)$ -discrete and a set  $D$  is Urysohn-discrete if and only if  $D$  is  $S(2)$ -discrete. Hence,  $s_1(X)$  is the usual spread  $s(X)$  and  $s_2(X)$  is the Urysohn spread  $Us(X)$  defined in [18].

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and  $X$  be a topological space.

(a) The  $S(n)$ -tightness of a space  $X$ , denoted by  $t_n(X)$ , is the smallest cardinal  $\tau$  such that for every  $A \subset X$  and every  $x \in \theta_n(A)$  there exists a set  $B \subset A$  such that  $|B| \leq \tau$  and  $x \in \theta_n(B)$ .

(b) The  $S(n)$ -bitightness of a space  $X$ , denoted by  $bt_n(X)$ , is the smallest cardinal  $\tau$  such that for each non- $\theta_n$ -closed set  $A \subset X$  there exists a point  $x \in X \setminus A$  and a collection  $\mathcal{S} \in [[A]^{\leq \tau}]^{\leq \tau}$  such that  $\{x\} = \bigcap \{\theta_n(S) : S \in \mathcal{S}\}$ .

If  $n = 1$ , then  $t_1(X) = t(X)$  and  $bt_1(X) = bt(X)$  are the usual tightness and bitightness functions (see [2]) and if  $n = 2$ , then  $t_2(X) = t_\theta(X)$  and  $bt_2(X) = bt_\theta(X)$  are the  $\theta$ -tightness and  $\theta$ -bitightness functions defined in [5].

**3. Cardinal inequalities for  $S(n)$ -spaces.** We begin with extending for the class of  $S(n)$ -spaces, where  $n$  is any positive integer, the following two Pospíšil's inequalities:  $|X| \leq 2^{2^{d(X)}}$  and  $|X| \leq [d(X)]^{X(X)}$  [17].

We note that the case  $n = 1$  of the following theorem is exactly the first Pospíšil's inequality mentioned above and the case  $n = 2$  is [15, Theorem 2.1].

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . If  $X$  is an  $S(n)$ -space, then  $|X| \leq 2^{2^{d_n(X)}}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $d_n(X) \leq \kappa$  and let  $A$  be a  $\theta_n$ -dense subset of  $X$  such that  $|A| \leq \kappa$ . We need to consider two cases: (a)  $n = 2k - 1$  and (b)  $n = 2k$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . Since  $X$  is an  $S(n)$ -space, for every two distinct points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$ , there exist open  $S(2k - 1)$ -neighborhoods  $U$  and  $V$  of  $x$  and  $y$ , respectively, such that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$  in case (a) and  $\overline{U} \cap \overline{V} = \emptyset$  in case (b). Hence, there exists a set  $B_x \subset A$  such that  $x \in \theta_{2k-1}(B_x)$  and  $y \notin \theta_{2k-1}(B_x)$  in case (a) and  $x \in \theta_{2k}(B_x)$  and  $y \notin \theta_{2k}(B_x)$  in case (b). Therefore  $x \rightarrow \{B_x \subset A : x \in \theta_{2k-1}(B_x)\}$  in case (a) and  $x \rightarrow \{B_x \subset A : x \in \theta_{2k}(B_x)\}$  in case (b) is an one-to-one correspondence between  $X$  and a subset of the set  $\mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{P}(A))$ , so  $|X| \leq 2^{2^\kappa}$ .  $\square$

The case  $k = 1$  of the following theorem can be found in [2] and for  $k = 2$  it was observed in [11]. We note that in [5, Proposition 2.2] it was shown that  $bt_\theta(X) \leq \chi(X)$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . If  $X$  is an  $S(n)$ -space, then  $bt_n(X) \leq \chi_n(X)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\chi_n(X) = \kappa$  and let  $A$  be a non- $\theta_n$ -closed subset of  $X$ . Then there exists a point  $x \in \theta_n(A) \setminus A$ . We need to consider two cases: (a)  $n = 2k - 1$  and (b)  $n = 2k$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . In both cases let  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$  be an open  $S(2k - 1)$ -neighborhood base for  $x$ . Then for each  $\alpha < \kappa$  we have  $U_\alpha \cap A \neq \emptyset$  in case (a) and  $\overline{U}_\alpha \cap A \neq \emptyset$  in case (b). In both cases we choose a point  $x_\alpha$  in these nonempty intersections. Let  $B = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ . Then  $x \in \theta_{2k-1}(B \cap U_\alpha)$  in case (a) and  $x \in \theta_{2k}(B \cap \overline{U}_\alpha)$  in case (b). Since  $X$  is an  $S(2k - 1)$ -space in case (a) and  $S(2k)$ -space in case (b) we have

$$\bigcap \{\theta_{2k-1}(B \cap U_\alpha) : \alpha < \kappa\} \subset \bigcap \{\theta_{2k-1}(U_\alpha) : \alpha < \kappa\} = \{x\}$$

in case (a), and

$$\bigcap \{\theta_{2k}(B \cap \overline{U}_\alpha) : \alpha < \kappa\} \subset \bigcap \{\theta_{2k}(\overline{U}_\alpha) : \alpha < \kappa\} = \{x\}$$

in case (b). Therefore the collection  $\{B \cap U_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$  in case (a) and  $\{B \cap \overline{U}_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$  in case (b) witness that  $bt_n(X) \leq \kappa$ .  $\square$

Another estimation of the  $S(n)$ -bitightness is contained in our next theorem. In [2, Proposition 1] it was observed that  $t(X) \leq bt(X) \leq \chi(X)$ , whenever  $X$  is a Hausdorff space. The case  $n = 1$  of Theorem 3.3 gives the following better estimation:  $t(X) \leq bt(X) \leq t(X)\psi_c(X) \leq \chi(X)$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . If  $X$  is an  $S(n)$ -space, then  $bt_n(X) \leq t_n(X)\psi_{2n}(X)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $t_n(X)\psi_{2n}(X) = \kappa$  and let  $A$  be a non- $\theta_n$ -closed subset of  $X$ . Then there is a point  $x \in \theta_n(A) \setminus A$ . Since  $t_n(X) \leq \kappa$ , we can fix a set  $B \subset A$  such that  $|B| \leq \kappa$  and  $x \in \theta_n(B)$ . We need to consider two cases: (a)  $n = 2k - 1$  and (b)  $n = 2k$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . Let  $\{V^\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$  be a collection of open  $S(4k - 3)$ -neighborhoods of  $x$  in case (a) and a collection of open  $S(4k - 1)$ -neighborhoods of  $x$  in case (b) such that  $\bigcap \{\overline{V}^\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} = \{x\}$ . Since for each  $\alpha < \kappa$ ,  $V^\alpha$  is an open  $S(4k - 3)$ -neighborhood of  $x$  in case (a) and an open  $S(4k - 1)$ -neighborhood of  $x$  in case (b), there exist open neighborhoods of  $x$  such that

$$x \in V_1^\alpha \subset \overline{V}_1^\alpha \subset \dots \subset V_k^\alpha \subset \overline{V}_k^\alpha \subset \dots \subset V_{2k-1}^\alpha$$

in case (a) and

$$x \in V_1^\alpha \subset \overline{V}_1^\alpha \subset \dots \subset V_k^\alpha \subset \overline{V}_k^\alpha \subset \dots \subset V_{2k}^\alpha$$

in case (b).

Since  $x \in \theta_n(B)$ , for each  $\alpha < \kappa$ ,  $V_k^\alpha \cap B \neq \emptyset$  in case (a) and  $\overline{V}_k^\alpha \cap B \neq \emptyset$  in case (b). Thus, for every  $\alpha < \kappa$  we have  $x \in \theta_{2k-1}(B \cap V_k^\alpha)$  in case (a) and  $x \in \theta_{2k}(B \cap \overline{V}_k^\alpha)$  in case (b).

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} x \in \bigcap \{ \theta_{2k-1}(B \cap V_k^\alpha) : \alpha < \kappa \} &\subset \bigcap \{ \theta_{2k-1}(V_k^\alpha) : \alpha < \kappa \} \\ &\subset \bigcap \{ \overline{V}_{2k-1}^\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \} = \{x\} \end{aligned}$$

in case (a) and

$$x \in \bigcap \{ \theta_{2k}(B \cap \overline{V}_k^\alpha) : \alpha < \kappa \} \subset \bigcap \{ \theta_{2k}(\overline{V}_k^\alpha) : \alpha < \kappa \} \subset \bigcap \{ \overline{V}_{2k}^\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \} = \{x\}$$

in case (b).

This shows that  $\bigcap \{ \theta_{2k-1}(B \cap V_k^\alpha) : \alpha < \kappa \} = \{x\}$  in case (a) and  $\bigcap \{ \theta_{2k}(B \cap \overline{V}_k^\alpha) : \alpha < \kappa \} = \{x\}$  in case (b). The existence of the collections  $\{B \cap V_k^\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$  in case (a) and  $\{B \cap \overline{V}_k^\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$  in case (b) proves that  $bt_n(X) \leq \kappa$ .  $\square$

The case  $n = 1$  of our next theorem is Lemma 1 in [2]. In [3] the authors proved that if  $X$  is a Urysohn space and  $A \subset X$ , then  $|\text{cl}_\theta(A)| \leq |A|^{\chi(X)}$  and it was sharpened in [4] to  $|\text{cl}_\theta(A)| \leq |A|^{bt_\theta(X)}$ , which is the case  $n = 2$  of the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . If  $A$  is a subset of an  $S(n)$ -space  $X$ , then  $|\theta_n(A)| \leq |A|^{bt_n(X)}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $|A| = \kappa$  and  $bt_n(X) = \lambda$ . Using transfinite recursion we define a family  $\{A_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa^+\}$  of subsets of  $X$  such that:

- (i)  $A_\alpha \subset A_\beta$  for  $\alpha < \beta < \lambda^+$ ; and
- (ii)  $|A_\alpha| \leq \lambda^\kappa$  for each  $\alpha < \lambda^+$ .

Let  $A_0 = A$ . Suppose we have already defined the sets  $A_\beta$  for all  $\beta < \alpha$ . We shall define  $A_\alpha$ :

- (1) If  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal, then  $A_\alpha = \bigcup \{A_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ ;

- (2) If  $\alpha = \gamma + 1$ , for some  $\gamma$ , then  $A_\alpha = \{x \in X : \text{there exists } \mathcal{S} \in [[A_\gamma]^{\leq \lambda}]^{\leq \lambda} \text{ such that } \{x\} = \bigcap \{\theta_n(S) : S \in \mathcal{S}\}\}$ .

The construction of the sets  $A_\alpha$  is completed. The condition (i) is obviously satisfied since for every  $x \in X$ ,  $\{x\} = \theta_n(\{x\})$  for  $X$  is an  $S(n)$ -space. We are going to check (ii). Suppose that (ii) is not true and let  $\beta$  be the first ordinal for which  $|A_\beta| > \kappa^\lambda$ . Note that  $\beta > 0$  and  $\beta$  is not a limit ordinal (otherwise  $|A_\beta| \leq \sum \{|A_\delta| : \delta < \beta\} \leq \kappa^\lambda$ ). Hence,  $\beta = \gamma + 1$  for some  $\gamma < \lambda^+$ . For each  $x \in A_\beta$  there exists a collection  $\mathcal{S}_x \in [[A_\gamma]^{\leq \lambda}]^{\leq \lambda}$  such that  $\{x\} = \bigcap \{\theta_n(S) : S \in \mathcal{S}_x\}$ . The correspondence  $x \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_x$  is one-to-one. Therefore, we have  $|A_\beta| \leq \left| [[A_\gamma]^{\leq \lambda}]^{\leq \lambda} \right| \leq ((\kappa^\lambda)^\lambda)^\lambda = \kappa^\lambda$ . This contradiction proves (ii).

Let  $F = \bigcup \{A_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda^+\}$ . We shall show that  $F$  is  $\theta_n$ -closed. Assume, to the contrary, that  $F$  is not  $\theta_n$ -closed. Since  $bt_n(X) = \lambda$ , there is a point  $x \in X \setminus F$  and a family  $\mathcal{C} \in [[F]^{\leq \lambda}]^{\leq \lambda}$  such that  $\{x\} = \bigcap \{\theta_n(C) : C \in \mathcal{C}\}$ . Since  $\lambda^+$  is regular, there is some  $\alpha < \lambda^+$  such that  $\bigcup \{C : C \in \mathcal{C}\} \subset \bigcup \{A_\beta : \beta < \alpha\} \subset A_\alpha$ . Then, it follows from the definition of  $A_{\alpha+1}$  that  $x \in A_{\alpha+1}$  and we have a contradiction. Therefore  $A$  is  $\theta_n$ -closed and the theorem is proved.  $\square$

The following result is a direct corollary of Theorem 3.4.

**Theorem 3.5.** *If  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , then  $|X| \leq [d_n(X)]^{bt_n(X)}$ , whenever  $X$  is an  $S(n)$ -space.*

Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.3 imply immediately the following two results:

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . If  $A$  is a subset of an  $S(n)$ -space  $X$ , then  $|\theta_n(A)| \leq |A|^{t_n(X)\psi_{2n}(X)}$ .*

**Theorem 3.7.** *If  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , then  $|X| \leq [d_n(X)]^{t_n(X)\psi_{2n}(X)}$ , whenever  $X$  is an  $S(n)$ -space.*

We note that if  $n = 1$  in Theorem 3.6, then we obtain Bella and Cammaroto's result that if  $X$  is a Hausdorff space and  $A$  is a subset of  $X$ , then  $|\overline{A}| \leq |A|^{t(X)\psi_c(X)}$  [3]. The case  $n = 2$  of Theorem 3.6 states that if  $X$  is a Urysohn space and  $A$  is a subset of  $X$ , then  $|\text{cl}_\theta(A)| \leq |A|^{t_\theta(X)\psi_4(X)}$ . Under the same assumptions it was shown in [11] that  $|\text{cl}_\theta(A)| \leq |A|^{t_\theta(X)\psi_{\theta^2}(X)}$ . Since  $\psi_{\theta^2}(X) \leq \psi_4(X)$ , for every Urysohn space  $X$ , the latter estimation is better. (For the definition of  $\psi_{\theta^2}(X)$  see [11]).

**Definition 3.8.** Denote by  $C_n(X)$  the family of all  $\theta_n$ -closed subsets of a space  $X$ .

The case  $n = 2$  of our next result is [15, Theorem 2.4].

**Theorem 3.9.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . If  $X$  is an  $S(n)$ -space, then  $|C_n(X)| \leq 2^{hd_n(X)bt_n(X)}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $hd_n(X)bt_n(X) = \kappa$  and let  $F$  be a  $\theta_n$ -closed subset of  $X$ . Take a set  $D_F \subset F$  such that  $\theta_n(D_F) = F$  and  $|D_F| \leq \kappa$ . So the set  $C_n(X)$  of all  $\theta_n$ -closed subsets of  $X$  is contained in the set  $\{\theta_n(D) : D \subset X, |D| \leq \kappa\}$ , which means  $|C_n(X)| \leq |X|^\kappa$ . By Theorem 3.5 and the fact that  $d_n(X) \leq \kappa$  we have  $|C_n(X)| \leq (\kappa^\kappa)^\kappa = 2^\kappa$ . The theorem is proved.  $\square$

Before we continue we recall some results from [10], which we will use later.

**Lemma 3.10** ([10]). Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ ,  $X$  be a topological space,  $\kappa = s_{2k-1}(X)$  and  $C \subseteq X$ . For each  $x \in C$  let  $U^x$  be an open  $S(2k - 1)$ -neighborhood of  $x$  and let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U^x : x \in C\}$ . Then there exist an  $S(2k - 1)$ -discrete subset  $A$  of  $C$  such that  $|A| \leq \kappa$  and  $C \subseteq \theta_{2k-1}(A) \cup \bigcup \{U^x : x \in A\}$ .

**Lemma 3.11** ([10]). Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ ,  $X$  be a topological space,  $\kappa = s_{2k}(X)$  and  $C \subseteq X$ . For each  $x \in C$  let  $U^x$  be an open  $S(2k - 1)$ -neighborhood of  $x$  and let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U^x : x \in C\}$ . Then there exist an  $S(2k)$ -discrete subset  $A$  of  $C$  such that  $|A| \leq \kappa$  and  $C \subseteq \theta_{2k}(A) \cup \bigcup \{\overline{U^x} : x \in A\}$ .

**Lemma 3.12** ([10]). Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ .

- (a) For every  $S(3k)$ -space  $X$ ,  $\psi_{2k}(X) \leq 2^{s_{2k}(X)}$ ;
- (b) For every  $S(3k - 2)$ -space  $X$ ,  $\psi_{2k-1}(X) \leq 2^{s_{2k-1}(X)}$ ;
- (c) For every  $S(3k - 1)$ -space  $X$ ,  $\psi_{2k-1}(X) \leq 2^{s_{2k}(X)}$ ;
- (d) For every  $S(3k - 1)$ -space  $X$ ,  $\psi_{2k}(X) \leq 2^{s_{2k-1}(X)}$ .

Our next three theorems are versions of the fundamental result on spread due to Shapirovskii (see [19] or [12, Theorem 5.1]). We note that the case  $k = 1$  of Theorem 3.13 was stated in [15, Proposition 3.3] for Urysohn spaces  $X$  and hereditary spread  $hs_\theta(X)$  but its proof was based on [18, Lemma 11], which proof has a gap (see also [10]). Here we state and prove Proposition 3.3 from [15] for  $S(3)$ -spaces and we use the spread  $s_\theta(X)$ , instead (see Corollary 3.14).

**Theorem 3.13.** Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and  $X$  be an  $S(3k)$ -space with  $s_{2k}(X) \leq \kappa$ . Then there exists a subset  $A$  of  $X$  such that  $|A| \leq 2^\kappa$  and

$$\bigcup \left\{ \theta_{2k}(B) : B \in [A]^{\leq \kappa} \right\} = X.$$

**Proof.** Since  $X$  is an  $S(3k)$ -space, according to Lemma 3.12(a)  $\psi_{2k}(X) \leq 2^{s_{2k}(X)}$  and therefore for every  $x \in X$  one can choose a collection  $\mathcal{U}_x$  of  $S(2k)$ -neighborhoods of  $x$  such that  $|\mathcal{U}_x| \leq 2^\kappa$  and  $\bigcap \{\bar{U} : U \in \mathcal{U}_x\} = \{x\}$ . Using transfinite recursion we will construct a sequence  $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa^+\}$  of subsets of  $X$  and a sequence  $\{\mathcal{U}_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa^+\}$  of families of open  $S(2k)$ -subsets of  $X$  satisfying the following conditions:

(a)  $|A_\alpha| \leq 2^\kappa$ ,  $\alpha < \kappa^+$ ;

(b)  $|\mathcal{U}_\alpha| \leq 2^\kappa$ ,  $\alpha < \kappa^+$ ; and

(c) If  $\mathcal{S} \in \left[ \bigcup \{A_\beta : \beta < \alpha\} \right]^{\leq \kappa}$ ,  $\mathcal{V} \in [\mathcal{U}_\alpha]^{\leq \kappa}$ , and  $\theta_{2k}(\mathcal{S}) \cup \bigcup \{\bar{V} : V \in \mathcal{V}\} \neq X$ , then  $A_\alpha \setminus \left( \theta_{2k}(\mathcal{S}) \cup \bigcup \{\bar{V} : V \in \mathcal{V}\} \right) \neq \emptyset$ .

Suppose we have already defined all  $A_\beta$  and  $\mathcal{U}_\beta$  for  $\beta < \alpha$ . Let us define  $A_\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$ . For every  $\mathcal{S} \in \left[ \bigcup \{A_\beta : \beta < \alpha\} \right]^{\leq \kappa}$  and every  $\mathcal{V} \in \left[ \bigcup \{\mathcal{U}_\beta : \beta < \alpha\} \right]^{\leq \kappa}$  choose a point  $x(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V}) \in X \setminus \left( \theta_{2k}(\mathcal{S}) \cup \bigcup \{\bar{V} : V \in \mathcal{V}\} \right)$  whenever the last set is not empty (otherwise the construction has been finished). Let

$$A_\alpha = \left\{ x(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V}) : \mathcal{S} \in \left[ \bigcup \{A_\beta : \beta < \alpha\} \right]^{\leq \kappa} \text{ and } \mathcal{V} \in \left[ \bigcup \{\mathcal{U}_\beta : \beta < \alpha\} \right]^{\leq \kappa} \right\}, \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{U}_\alpha = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{U}_x : x \in A_\alpha \}.$$

It is easy to check that  $A_\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$  satisfy (a), (b), and (c). Now, let  $A = \bigcup \{A_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa^+\}$ . We shall prove that  $A$  is as it is required. Take a point  $p \in X \setminus A$ . We shall show that  $p \in \theta_{2k}(B)$  for some  $B \in [A]^{\leq \kappa}$ . For every  $x \in A$  pick  $U_x \in \mathcal{U}_x$  such that  $p \notin \bar{U}_x$ . Applying now Lemma 3.11 (to the set  $A$  and the collection  $\{U_x : x \in A\}$ ) we find a set  $B$  in  $[A]^{\leq \tau}$  such that

$$(*) \quad A \subset \theta_{2k}(B) \cup \bigcup \{ \bar{U}_y : y \in B \}.$$

Let us show that  $p \in \theta_{2k}(B)$ . Suppose not. Then one can choose  $\alpha < \kappa^+$  for which  $B \subset \bigcup \{A_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ . By (c), then  $A_\alpha \setminus \left( \theta_{2k}(B) \cup \bigcup \{ \bar{U}_y : y \in Y \} \right) \neq \emptyset$  which contradicts (\*). The theorem is proved.  $\square$

The case  $k = 1$  of the previous theorem gives us the following:

**Corollary 3.14.** *Let  $X$  be an  $S(3)$ -space with  $s_\theta(X) \leq \kappa$ . Then there exists a subset  $A$  of  $X$  such that  $|A| \leq 2^\kappa$  and  $\bigcup \{ \text{cl}_\theta(B) : B \in [A]^{\leq \kappa} \} = X$ .*

**Theorem 3.15.** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and  $X$  be an  $S(3k-2)$ -space with  $s_{2k-1}(X) \leq \kappa$ . Then there exists a subset  $A$  of  $X$  such that  $|A| \leq 2^\kappa$  and*

$$\bigcup \left\{ \theta_{2k-1}(B) : B \in [A]^{\leq \kappa} \right\} = X.$$

**Proof.** Since  $X$  is an  $S(3k-2)$ -space, according to Lemma 3.12(b),  $\psi_{2k-1}(X) \leq 2^{s_{2k-1}(X)}$  and therefore for every  $x \in X$  one can choose a collection  $\mathcal{U}_x$  of  $S(2k-1)$ -neighborhoods of  $x$  such that  $|\mathcal{U}_x| \leq 2^\kappa$  and  $\bigcap \{U : U \in \mathcal{U}_x\} = \{x\}$ . Using transfinite recursion we will construct a sequence  $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa^+\}$  of subsets of  $X$  and a sequence  $\{\mathcal{U}_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa^+\}$  of families of open  $S(2k-1)$ -subsets of  $X$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (a)  $|A_\alpha| \leq 2^\kappa$ ,  $\alpha < \kappa^+$ ;
- (b)  $|\mathcal{U}_\alpha| \leq 2^\kappa$ ,  $\alpha < \kappa^+$ ;
- (c) If  $\mathcal{S} \in \left[ \bigcup \{A_\beta : \beta < \alpha\} \right]^{\leq \kappa}$ ,  $\mathcal{V} \in [\mathcal{U}_\alpha]^{\leq \kappa}$ , and

$\theta_{2k-1}(\mathcal{S}) \cup \bigcup \{V : V \in \mathcal{V}\} \neq X$ , then  $A_\alpha \setminus \left( \theta_{2k-1}(\mathcal{S}) \cup \bigcup \{V : V \in \mathcal{V}\} \right) \neq \emptyset$ .

Suppose we have already defined all  $A_\beta$  and  $\mathcal{U}_\beta$  for  $\beta < \alpha$ . Let us define  $A_\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$ . For every  $\mathcal{S} \in \left[ \bigcup \{A_\beta : \beta < \alpha\} \right]^{\leq \kappa}$  and every  $\mathcal{V} \in \left[ \bigcup \{\mathcal{U}_\beta : \beta < \alpha\} \right]^{\leq \kappa}$  choose a point  $x(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V}) \in X \setminus \left( \theta_{2k-1}(\mathcal{S}) \cup \bigcup \{V : V \in \mathcal{V}\} \right)$  whenever the last set is not empty (otherwise the construction has been finished). Let

$$A_\alpha = \left\{ x(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V}) : \mathcal{S} \in \left[ \bigcup \{A_\beta : \beta < \alpha\} \right]^{\leq \kappa} \text{ and } \mathcal{V} \in \left[ \bigcup \{\mathcal{U}_\beta : \beta < \alpha\} \right]^{\leq \kappa} \right\}, \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{U}_\alpha = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{U}_x : x \in A_\alpha \}.$$

It is easy to check that  $A_\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$  satisfy (a), (b), and (c). Now, let  $A = \bigcup \{A_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa^+\}$ . We shall prove that  $A$  is as it is required. Take a point  $p \in X \setminus A$ . We shall show that  $p \in \theta_{2k-1}(B)$  for some  $B \in [A]^{\leq \kappa}$ . For every  $x \in A$  pick  $U_x \in \mathcal{U}_x$  such that  $p \notin U_x$ . Applying now Lemma 3.10 (to the set  $A$  and the collection  $\{U_x : x \in A\}$ ) we find a set  $B$  in  $[A]^{\leq \tau}$  such that

$$(*) \quad A \subset \theta_{2k-1}(B) \cup \bigcup \{U_y : y \in B\}.$$

Let us show that  $p \in \theta_{2k-1}(B)$ . Suppose not. Then one can choose  $\alpha < \kappa^+$  for which  $B \subset \bigcup \{A_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ . By (c), then

$$A_\alpha \setminus \left( \theta_{2k-1}(B) \cup \bigcup \{U_y : y \in Y\} \right) \neq \emptyset$$

which contradicts (\*). The theorem is proved.  $\square$

The case  $k = 1$  of the previous theorem is the well-known Shapirovskii's result on spread (see [19]).

**Corollary 3.16.** *Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff space with  $s(X) \leq \kappa$ . Then there exists a subset  $A$  of  $X$  such that  $|A| \leq 2^\kappa$  and  $\bigcup \{\overline{B} : B \in [A]^{\leq \kappa}\} = X$ .*

**Theorem 3.17.** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and  $X$  be an  $S(3k-1)$ -space with  $s_{2k-1}(X) \leq \kappa$ . Then there exists a subset  $A$  of  $X$  such that  $|A| \leq 2^\kappa$  and*

$$\bigcup \{\theta_{2k}(B) : B \in [A]^{\leq \kappa}\} = X.$$

*Proof.* Since  $X$  is an  $S(3k - 1)$ -space, according to Lemma 3.12(d),  $\psi_{2k}(X) \leq 2^{s_{2k-1}(X)}$  and therefore for every  $x \in X$  one can choose a collection  $\mathcal{U}_x$  of  $S(2k - 1)$ -neighborhoods of  $x$  such that  $|\mathcal{U}_x| \leq 2^\kappa$  and  $\bigcap \{\overline{U} : U \in \mathcal{U}_x\} = \{x\}$ . Using transfinite recursion we will construct a sequence  $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa^+\}$  of subsets of  $X$  and a sequence  $\{\mathcal{U}_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa^+\}$  of families of open  $S(2k - 1)$ -subsets of  $X$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (a)  $|A_\alpha| \leq 2^\kappa$ ,  $\alpha < \kappa^+$ ;
- (b)  $|\mathcal{U}_\alpha| \leq 2^\kappa$ ,  $\alpha < \kappa^+$ ; and
- (c) If  $\mathcal{S} \in \left[ \bigcup \{A_\beta : \beta < \alpha\} \right]^{\leq \kappa}$ ,  $\mathcal{V} \in [\mathcal{U}_\alpha]^{\leq \kappa}$ , and

$$\theta_{2k}(\mathcal{S}) \cup \bigcup \{\overline{V} : V \in \mathcal{V}\} \neq X, \text{ then } A_\alpha \setminus \left( \theta_{2k}(\mathcal{S}) \cup \bigcup \{\overline{V} : V \in \mathcal{V}\} \right) \neq \emptyset.$$

Suppose we have already defined all  $A_\beta$  and  $\mathcal{U}_\beta$  for  $\beta < \alpha$ . Let us define  $A_\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$ . For every  $\mathcal{S} \in \left[ \bigcup \{A_\beta : \beta < \alpha\} \right]^{\leq \kappa}$  and every  $\mathcal{V} \in \left[ \bigcup \{\mathcal{U}_\beta : \beta < \alpha\} \right]^{\leq \kappa}$  choose a point  $x(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V}) \in X \setminus \left( \theta_{2k}(\mathcal{S}) \cup \bigcup \{\overline{V} : V \in \mathcal{V}\} \right)$  whenever the last set is not empty (otherwise the construction has been finished). Let

$$A_\alpha = \left\{ x(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V}) : \mathcal{S} \in \left[ \bigcup \{A_\beta : \beta < \alpha\} \right]^{\leq \kappa} \text{ and } \mathcal{V} \in \left[ \bigcup \{\mathcal{U}_\beta : \beta < \alpha\} \right]^{\leq \kappa} \right\}, \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{U}_\alpha = \bigcup \{\mathcal{U}_x : x \in A_\alpha\}.$$

It is easy to check that  $A_\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$  satisfy (a), (b), and (c). Now, let  $A = \bigcup \{A_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa^+\}$ . We shall prove that  $A$  is as it is required. Take a point  $p \in X \setminus A$ . We shall show that  $p \in \theta_{2k}(B)$  for some  $B \in [A]^{\leq \kappa}$ . For every  $x \in A$  pick  $U_x \in \mathcal{U}_x$  such that  $p \notin \overline{U}_x$ . Applying now Lemma 3.11 (to the set  $A$  and the collection  $\{U_x : x \in A\}$ ) we find a set  $B$  in  $[A]^{\leq \tau}$  such that

$$(**) \quad A \subset \theta_{2k}(B) \cup \bigcup \{\overline{U}_y : y \in B\}.$$

Let us show that  $p \in \theta_{2k}(B)$ . Suppose not. Then one can choose  $\alpha < \kappa^+$  for which  $B \subset \bigcup \{A_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ . By (c), then  $A_\alpha \setminus \left( \theta_{2k}(B) \cup \bigcup \{\overline{U}_y : y \in Y\} \right) \neq \emptyset$  which contradicts (\*\*). The theorem is proved.  $\square$

The case  $k = 1$  of the previous theorem could be restated as follows:

**Corollary 3.18.** *Let  $X$  be a Urysohn space with  $s(X) \leq \kappa$ . Then there exists a subset  $A$  of  $X$  such that  $|A| \leq 2^\kappa$  and  $\bigcup \left\{ \text{cl}_\theta(B) : B \in [A]^{\leq \kappa} \right\} = X$ .*

Our next result follows from Theorem 3.13:

**Theorem 3.19.** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . If  $X$  is an  $S(3k)$ -space, then  $d_{2k}(X) \leq 2^{s_{2k}(X)}$ .*

Using the last theorem and Theorem 3.5 we get:

**Theorem 3.20.** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . If  $X$  is an  $S(3k)$ -space, then  $|X| \leq 2^{s_{2k}(X)bt_{2k}(X)}$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.19 we have

$$|X| \leq (d_{2k}(X))^{bt_{2k}(X)} \leq \left( 2^{s_{2k}(X)} \right)^{bt_{2k}(X)} = 2^{s_{2k}(X)bt_{2k}(X)}. \quad \square$$

As a corollary of Theorem 3.15 we obtain:

**Theorem 3.21.** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . If  $X$  is an  $S(3k-2)$ -space, then  $d_{2k-1}(X) \leq 2^{s_{2k-1}(X)}$ .*

Using the previous theorem and Theorem 3.5 we get:

**Theorem 3.22.** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . If  $X$  is an  $S(3k-2)$ -space, then  $|X| \leq 2^{s_{2k-1}(X)bt_{2k-1}(X)}$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.21 we have

$$|X| \leq (d_{2k-1}(X))^{bt_{2k-1}(X)} \leq \left( 2^{s_{2k-1}(X)} \right)^{bt_{2k-1}(X)} = 2^{s_{2k-1}(X)bt_{2k-1}(X)}. \quad \square$$

As a consequence of Theorem 3.17 we have:

**Theorem 3.23.** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . If  $X$  is an  $S(3k-1)$ -space, then  $d_{2k}(X) \leq 2^{s_{2k-1}(X)}$ .*

Using the last theorem and Theorem 3.5 we get:

**Theorem 3.24.** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . If  $X$  is an  $S(3k-1)$ -space, then  $|X| \leq 2^{s_{2k-1}(X)bt_{2k}(X)}$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.23 we have

$$|X| \leq (d_{2k}(X))^{bt_{2k}(X)} \leq \left( 2^{s_{2k-1}(X)} \right)^{bt_{2k}(X)} = 2^{s_{2k-1}(X)bt_{2k}(X)}. \quad \square$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] O. T. ALAS, LJ. D. KOČINAC. More cardinal inequalities on Urysohn spaces. *Math. Balkanica (N.S.)* **14**, 3–4 (2000), 247–251.
- [2] A. V. ARHANGEL'SKIĬ. Suslin number and power. Characters of points in sequential bicomacta. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **192** (1970), 255–258 (in Russian); English translation in: *Sov. Math., Dokl.* **11** (1970), 597–601.
- [3] A. BELLA, F. CAMMAROTO. On the cardinality of Urysohn spaces. *CANAD. MATH. BULL.* **31**, 2 (1988), 153–158.
- [4] F. CAMMAROTO, LJ. KOČINAC. A note on  $\theta$ -tightness. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2)* **42**, 1 (1993), 129–134.
- [5] F. CAMMAROTO, LJ. KOČINAC. On  $\theta$ -tightness. *Facta Univ. Ser. Math. Inform.* **8** (1993), 77–85.
- [6] D. DIKRANJAN, E. GIULI.  $S(n)$ - $\theta$ -closed spaces. *Topology Appl.* **28**, 1 (1988), 59–74.
- [7] D. DIKRANJAN, W. THOLEN. *Categorical Structure of Closure Operators. With applications to topology, algebra and discrete mathematics. Mathematics and its Applications*, vol. **346**. Dordrecht, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, 1995.
- [8] D. DIKRANJAN, S. WATSON. The category of  $S(\alpha)$ -spaces is not cowellpowered. *Topology Appl.* **61**, 2 (1995), 137–150.
- [9] R. ENGELKING. *General Topology*, second ed., Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics, vol. **6**. Berlin, Heldermann Verlag, 1989 (Translated from the Polish by the author).
- [10] I. S. GOTCHEV. Cardinal inequalities for  $S(n)$ -spaces. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.12998> (submitted to *Acta Math. Hungar.*).
- [11] I. S. GOTCHEV. Cardinal inequalities for Urysohn spaces involving variations of the almost Lindelöf degree. *Serdica Math. J.* **44**, 1–2 (2018), 195–212.
- [12] R. E. HODEL. *Cardinal Functions. I. Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology*. Amsterdam, North-Holland, 1984, 1–61.

- [13] I. JUHÁSZ. Cardinal Functions in Topology—Ten Years Later, second ed. Mathematical Centre Tracts vol. **123**. Amsterdam, Mathematisch Centrum, 1980.
- [14] I. JUHÁSZ. Cardinal Functions. II. Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology. Amsterdam, North-Holland, 1984, 63–109.
- [15] L. D. KOČINAC. On the cardinality of Urysohn spaces. *Questions Answers Gen. Topology* **13**, 2 (1995), 211–216.
- [16] J. R. PORTER, C. VOTAW.  $S(\alpha)$  spaces and regular Hausdorff extensions. *Pacific J. Math.* **45** (1973), 327–345.
- [17] B. POSPÍŠIL. Sur la puissance d'un espace contenant une partie dense de puissance donnée. *Časopis Mat. Fys., Praha* **67** (1938), 89–96 (in Czech, French summary).
- [18] J. SCHRÖDER. Urysohn cellularity and Urysohn spread. *Math. Japon.* **38**, 6 (1993), 1129–1133.
- [19] B. SHAPIROVSKIĬ. On discrete subspaces of topological spaces; weight, tightness and the Souslin number. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **202** (1972), 779–782 (in Russian); english translation in: *Sov. Math., Dokl.* **13** (1972), 215–219.
- [20] N. V. VELIČKO.  $H$ -closed topological spaces. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* **70 (112)**, 1 (1966), 98–112 (in Russian); English translation in: *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2)*, **78** (1969), 103–118.
- [21] G. VIGLINO.  $\bar{T}_n$ -spaces. *Kyungpook Math. J.* **11** (1971), 33–35.

Ivan S. Gotchev  
Central Connecticut State University  
New Britain, CT 06050, USA  
e-mail: gotchevi@ccsu.edu

Ljubiša D. R. Kočinac  
University of Niš  
Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics  
18000 Niš, Serbia  
e-mail: lkocinac@gmail.com

Received October 9, 2018