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#### Abstract

In this paper we consider a nonautonomous periodic system which models the interaction between two species of Predator-Prey type. We give conditions under which the model has a positive periodic solution.


Introduction. Let us consider the system

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{\prime}=\alpha x-p(x) y  \tag{1}\\
& y^{\prime}=-\delta y+\gamma p(x) y
\end{align*}
$$

which models the interaction between two species of Predator-Prey type. We assume that system (1) reflects $\omega$-periodic influence of the environment. More precisely, we will assume that the coefficients $\alpha, \delta, \gamma$ are continuous $\omega$-periodic functions of time $t$. When $p(x) \equiv x$, system (1) is the well-known Lotka-Volterra model.

System (1) was suggested by G. F. Gauss in 1934 and was investigated for limit cycles by Koij and Zegeling in [3]. In present paper we modify (1), assuming that the coefficients $\alpha, \delta, \gamma$ are continuous $\omega$-periodic functions of time $t$ and we are interesting in the conditions under which (1) has at least one positive periodic solution.

The main result. For continuous $\omega$-periodic functions $g$ we put

$$
[g]=\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} g(s) d s,\{g\}=g-[g], g_{L}=\min _{t} g(t), g_{M}=\max _{t} g(t)
$$

Our main result is
Theorem 1. Let the coefficients $\alpha, \delta$ be continuous $\omega$-periodic functions with $[\alpha]>0$, $[\delta]>0$ and $\gamma$ is continuous positive $\omega$-periodic function. Let the function $p \in C^{1}[0, \infty)$ satisfies the conditions $p(0)=0, p^{\prime}(u)>0$ for every $u \geq 0$, and let also there exist constants $B>0, k_{\infty}>0$ such that $p(u) \geq k_{\infty} u$ for $u \geq B$. Then the system (1) has at least one positive $\omega$ - periodic solution.

Numerical example. Consider the system

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{\prime}=\cos ^{2}(t) x+x(x+1) y \\
& y^{\prime}=-\sin ^{2}(t) y+\left(1+\cos ^{2}(t)\right) x(x+1) y \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

which satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 1. A $\pi$-periodic solution is found near the initial data

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(0)=0.2792278841, y(0)=0.3487068214 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The calculation show that

$$
|x(0)-x(\pi)|+|y(0)-y(\pi)|<0.000005 .
$$

Its phase form is shown in fig. 1 below. In fig. 2 the phase curve that begins at the point $(0.3,0.3)$ is traced for $t \in[0,25 \pi]$. This solution seems to be stable.
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Proof of Theorem 1. The proof is based on the theory of completely continuous vector fields presented by Krasnosels'kii and Zabrejko in [4]. The next theorem is extracted from [4].

Theorem 2. Let $Y$ be a real Banach space with a cone $Q$ and $L: Y \rightarrow Y$ be $a$ completely continuous and positive $(L: Q \rightarrow Q)$ with respect to $Q$ operator. Then the following assertions are valid:
i) Let $L(0)=0$. If for every sufficiently small $r>0$ there is no $y \in Q$ for which $y \leq^{0} L(y)$ and $\|y\|_{Y}=r$, then ind $(0, L ; Q)=1$.
ii) Let for every sufficiently large $R>0$ there is no $y \in Q$ for which $\|y\|_{Y}=R$ and $L(y) \leq^{0} y$. Then ind $(\infty, L ; Q)=0$.
iii) Let $L(0)=0$ and ind $(\infty, L ; Q) \neq \operatorname{ind}(0, L ; Q)$. Then $L$ has nontrivial fixed points in $Q$.

Here $\operatorname{ind}(., L ; Q)$ denotes the index of a point with respect to $L$ and $Q$. The sign $\leq 0$ denotes the semiordering generated by $Q$.

We introduce the following notations

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
D_{x}^{-}=\min _{0 \leq t, s \leq \omega} e^{\int_{t+s}^{t}\{\alpha\}(\tau) d \tau}, & D_{x}^{+}=\max _{0 \leq t, s \leq \omega} e^{\int_{t+s}^{t}\{\alpha\}(\tau) d \tau}, \\
D_{y}^{-} & =\min _{0 \leq t, s \leq \omega} e^{-\int_{t-s}^{t}\{\delta\}(\tau) d \tau}, & D_{y}^{+}=\max _{0 \leq t, s \leq \omega} e^{-\int_{t-s}^{t}\{\delta\}(\tau) d \tau}, \\
C_{x} & =\frac{D_{x}^{-}}{D_{x}^{+}} e^{-[\alpha] \omega}, & C_{y}=\frac{D_{y}^{-}}{D_{y}^{+}} e^{-[\delta] \omega} .
\end{array}
$$

One can easy verify the validity of
Lemma 1. Let $\delta$ and $g$ be continuous $\omega$-periodic functions and $[\delta]>0$. Then the equation

$$
x^{\prime}=-\delta(t) x+g(t)
$$

has a unique $\omega$-periodic solution for which it holds the representation

$$
x(t)=\int_{0}^{\omega} \frac{e^{-[\delta] s}}{1-e^{-[\delta] \omega}} e^{-\int_{t-s}^{t}\{\delta\}(\tau) d \tau} g(t-s) d s
$$

Furthermore, there exists a unique $\omega$-periodic solution to the equation

$$
x^{\prime}=\delta(t) x-g(t),
$$

for which it holds the representation

$$
x(t)=\int_{0}^{\omega} \frac{e^{-[\delta] s}}{1-e^{-[\delta] \omega}} e^{\int_{t+s}^{t}\{\delta\}(\tau) d \tau} g(t+s) d s .
$$

Put

$$
G_{x}(t, s)=\frac{e^{-[\alpha] s}}{1-e^{-[\alpha] \omega} e^{\int_{t+s}^{t}\{\alpha\}(\tau) d \tau}}, \quad G_{y}(t, s)=\frac{e^{-[\delta] s}}{1-e^{-[\delta] \omega}} e^{-\int_{t-s}^{t}\{\delta\}(\tau) d \tau} .
$$

Using Lemma 1 , the problem for $\omega$-periodic solutions of (1) is reduced to the problem for $\omega$-periodic solutions of the following operator system

$$
\left\lvert\, \begin{align*}
& x(t)=\int_{0}^{\omega} G_{x}(t, s) p(x(t+s)) y(t+s) d s \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} X(x, y)  \tag{4}\\
& y(t)=\int_{0}^{\omega} G_{y}(t, s) p(x(t-s)) \gamma(t-s) y(t-s) d s \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} Y(x, y)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Put $P(x, y)=(X(x, y), Y(x, y))$ and let $C(\omega)$ be the space of the continuous $\omega$ periodic functions and let $H$ be the Banach space $H=C(\omega) \otimes C(\omega)$, provided with the usual norm

$$
\|(x, y)\|=\max _{t}|x(t)|+\max _{t}|y(t)| .
$$

Let $C_{+}(\omega) \subseteq H$ be the cone

$$
C_{+}(\omega)=\left\{(x, y) \in H: x_{L} \geq C_{x} x_{M}, y_{L} \geq C_{y} y_{M}\right\}
$$

As in [2], it is easy to verify that the completely continuous operator $P$ is positive with respect to $C_{+}(\omega)$, i.e. $P: C_{+}(\omega) \rightarrow C_{+}(\omega)$. Furthermore, the derivate of the operator $P$ in zero is zero and from Theorem 2i) follows ind $\left(0, P ; C_{+}(\omega)\right)=1$.

Let us find $\operatorname{ind}\left(\infty, P ; C_{+}(\omega)\right)$. Let $B_{*}=B / C_{x}$ and $N=\inf _{0 \leq u \leq B_{*}} p^{\prime}(u)$. We have $N>0$. It is easy to see that $x_{L} \geq B$ whenever $x_{M} \geq B_{*}$. Let $R$ be sufficiently large and $R>\max \left(\frac{B}{C_{x}}, \frac{[\delta]}{\gamma_{L} k_{\infty} D_{y}^{-} C_{x}}, \frac{\overline{[\alpha]}}{N D_{x}^{-} C_{y}}, \frac{[\alpha]}{k_{\infty} D_{x}^{-} C_{y}}\right)$.

We define

$$
P_{*}(x, y)=\left(\frac{D_{x}^{-}}{\omega[\alpha]} \int_{0}^{\omega} p(x(t)) y(t) d t+1, \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} y(t) d t+1\right)
$$

At first we will show that the completely continuous and positive vector fields $I-P$ and $I-P_{*}$ are linear homotopic at $x_{M}+y_{M}=2 R$. By a contradiction argument we 96
assume that there exists $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in C_{+}(\omega)$ and $\theta \in[0,1]$ for which

$$
\begin{gather*}
\theta X(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})+(1-\theta) \frac{D_{x}^{-}}{[\alpha]} \int_{0}^{\omega} p(\tilde{x}(s)) \tilde{y}(s) d s+(1-\theta)=\tilde{x}(t)  \tag{5}\\
\theta Y(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})+(1-\theta) \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} \tilde{y}(s) d s+(1-\theta)=\tilde{y}(t)
\end{gather*}
$$

Consider two cases.

1) Let $\tilde{x}_{M} \geq R$. Then $\tilde{x}_{L} \geq B$ and $\tilde{x}_{L} \geq R C_{x}$ and from (6) we obtain the following inequality

$$
\theta \gamma_{L} k_{\infty} R C_{x} \int_{0}^{\omega} G_{y}(t, s) \tilde{y}(t-s) d s+(1-\theta) \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} \tilde{y}(s) d s+(1-\theta) \leq \tilde{y}(t),
$$

which after integrating at $[0, \omega]$ yields

$$
\theta \gamma_{L} k_{\infty} R C_{x} \frac{D_{y}^{-}}{[\delta]}[\tilde{y}]+(1-\theta)[\tilde{y}]+(1-\theta) \leq[\tilde{y}] .
$$

In view of the choice of $R$, the last inequality is valid iff $\tilde{y} \equiv 0$ and $\tilde{\theta} \equiv 1$. Then substituting the values found for $\tilde{y} \equiv 0$ and $\theta \equiv 1$ in (5), we get $\tilde{x} \equiv 0$ which is a contradiction.
2) Let $\tilde{y}_{M} \geq R$. Then $\tilde{y}_{L} \geq C_{y} R$. We will prove that $\tilde{x}_{M} \leq B_{*}$ is not valid. Let $\tilde{x}_{M} \leq B_{*}$. Then from the mean value theorem, it follows $p(\tilde{x}(t)) \geq N \tilde{x}(t)$ and from (5) we have

$$
\theta C_{y} R N \int_{0}^{\omega} G_{x}(t, s) \tilde{x}(t+s) d s+(1-\theta) C_{y} R N \frac{D_{x}^{-}}{[\alpha]} \int_{0}^{\omega} \tilde{x}(s) d s \leq \tilde{x}(t)
$$

Integrating the last inequality at $[0, \omega]$, we get

$$
\theta C_{y} R N \frac{D_{x}^{-}}{[\alpha]}[\tilde{x}]+(1-\theta) C_{y} R N \frac{D_{x}^{-}}{[\alpha]}[\tilde{x}] \leq[\tilde{x}]
$$

which is a contradiction. Consequently $\tilde{x}_{M} \geq B_{*}$ and $\tilde{x}_{L} \geq B$. Now from (5) follows

$$
\theta C_{y} R k_{\infty} \int_{0}^{\omega} G_{x}(t, s) \tilde{x}(t+s) d s+(1-\theta) C_{y} R k_{\infty} \frac{D_{x}^{-}}{[\alpha]} \int_{0}^{\omega} \tilde{x}(s) d s \leq \tilde{x}(t)
$$

Hence, after integrating at $[0, \omega]$ we get the impossible inequality

$$
R C_{y} k_{\infty} \frac{D_{x}^{-}}{[\alpha]}[\tilde{x}] \leq[\tilde{x}]
$$

In this way we prove that the completely continuous positive vector fields $I-P$ and $I-$ $P_{*}$ are linear positive homotopic at $x_{M}+y_{M}=2 R$. Let us compute ind $\left(\infty, P_{*} ; C_{+}(\omega)\right)$. For this purpose, assume that there is $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in C_{+}(\omega)$ for which $P_{*}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \leq(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$. Then

$$
\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{\omega} \tilde{y}(s) d s+1 \leq \tilde{y}(s)
$$

which, after integrating at $[0, \omega]$, yields to the impossible inequality

$$
[\tilde{y}]+1 \leq[\tilde{y}] .
$$

From the last conclusion and from Theorem $2 i i$ ), it follows $\operatorname{ind}\left(\infty, P_{*} ; C_{+}(\omega)\right)=0$. Since the vector fields $I-P$ and $I-P_{*}$ are linear positive homotopic we have

$$
\operatorname{ind}\left(\infty, P ; C_{+}(\omega)\right)=\operatorname{ind}\left(\infty, P_{*} ; C_{+}(\omega)\right)=0
$$

therefore

$$
0=\operatorname{ind}\left(\infty, P ; C_{+}(\omega)\right) \neq \operatorname{ind}\left(0, P ; C_{+}(\omega)\right)=1
$$

Now from Theorem 2iii) follows that the operator $P$ has a nontrivial fixed point in $C_{+}(\omega)$. In particular, system (1) has at least one positive $\omega$-periodic solution.

Using similar arguments, as above in the proof of Theorem 1 one can see that the following theorem is valid

Theorem 3. Let the coefficients $\alpha, \delta$ be continuous $\omega$-periodic functions with $[\alpha]>0$, $[\delta]>0$ and $\gamma$ is continuous positive $\omega$-periodic function. Let the function $p \in C^{1}[0, \infty)$ satisfies the conditions $p(0)=0, p^{\prime}(u)>0$ for every $u \geq 0$ and let also there exist constants $B>0, K$ such that $p(u) \geq K$ for $u \geq B$ and

$$
K>\frac{[\delta]}{\gamma_{L} D_{y}^{-}}
$$

Then system (1) has at least one positive $\omega$-periodic solution.
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## ВЪРХУ НЕАВТОНОМНАТА СИСТЕМА НА ГАУС

## Светлин Георгиев Георгиев, Димитър Иванов Петров

В тази работа разглеждане неавтономна периодична система, която моделира взаимодействието между два вида от тип „хищник-жертва". Даваме условия при които разглежданата система има положителни периодични решения.

