

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.  
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

# Mathematica Balkanica

Mathematical Society of South-Eastern Europe  
A quarterly published by  
the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences – National Committee for Mathematics

---

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on Mathematica Balkanica visit the website of the journal  
<http://www.mathbalkanica.info>

or contact:

Mathematica Balkanica - Editorial Office;  
Acad. G. Bonchev str., Bl. 25A, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria  
Phone: +359-2-979-6311, Fax: +359-2-870-7273,  
E-mail: [balmat@bas.bg](mailto:balmat@bas.bg)

## Inverse Systems of $R$ -closed Spaces

Ivan Lončar

Presented by D. Kurepa

In the present paper it is proved that a limit of an inverse system of non-empty  $R$ -closed spaces and closed irreducible bonding mappings is a non-empty space.

If  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  is an inverse system of  $R$ -closed spaces  $X_\alpha$  with open-closed mappings  $f_{\alpha\beta}$ , then  $X = \lim \underline{X}$  is  $R$ -closed.

### 1. Introduction

Our main purpose is to prove non-emptiness and  $R$ -closedness of the limit of an inverse system of  $R$ -closed spaces.

For the basic definitions and properties on inverse systems and their limits the reader is referred to R. Engelking [7].

We say that an inverse system  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  is well-ordered if the set  $A$  is well-ordered.

In the sequel we use the next theorem from [3].

**1.1. Theorem.** Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be an inverse system of non-empty spaces  $X_\alpha$  such that for each  $\alpha \in A$  there exists a family  $\mathcal{I}_\alpha$  of the subsets of  $x_\alpha$  with the following properties :

(I) If  $\mathcal{P}_\alpha$  is centred subfamily of  $\mathcal{I}_\alpha$ , then  $\bigcap \{P : P \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha\} \neq \emptyset$ ,

(II) The intersection of a members of  $\mathcal{I}_\alpha$  is a member of  $\mathcal{I}_\alpha$ ,

(III) If  $S_\beta \in \mathcal{I}_\beta, \beta \geq \alpha$ , then  $f_{\alpha\beta}(S_\beta) \in \mathcal{I}_\alpha$ ,

(IV)  $f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_\alpha) \in \mathcal{I}_\beta$  for each  $x_\alpha \in X_\alpha$  and each  $\beta \geq \alpha$ .

Then  $X = \lim \underline{X}$  is non-empty and for each  $\alpha \in A$  the relation  $f_\alpha(X) = \bigcap \{f_{\alpha\beta}(X_\beta) : \beta \geq \alpha\}$  holds.

A subset  $A$  of a space  $X$  is regularly closed (open) if  $A = \overline{\text{int } A}$  ( $A = \text{int } \overline{A}$ ).

A mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  means a continuous function.

A mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is regularly closed if  $f(A)$  is closed for every regularly closed  $A \subseteq X$ .

## 2. Inverse systems of $R$ -closed spaces

A regular space  $X$  is  $R$ -closed [6] if  $X$  is closed in every regular space containing  $X$  as subspace.

An open filter  $\mathcal{F}$  is a filter [7:76] such that if  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $F$  is open.

An open filter  $\mathcal{F}$  is regular if for each  $U \in \mathcal{F}$  there is a  $V \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\overline{V} \subseteq U$ .

A regular space  $X$  is  $R$ -closed [6] iff for each regular filter  $\mathcal{F}$  its adherence  $\cap \{\overline{U} : U \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is non-empty.

A mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is an irreducible mapping if the set  $f^\#(U) = \{y \in Y : f^{-1}(y) \subseteq U\}$  is a non-empty set for each open non-empty set  $U \subseteq X$ . If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a closed irreducible mapping, then  $f^\#(U)$  is open and non-empty [7:52].

We shall now show the following theorem.

**2.1. Theorem.** *Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be an inverse system with the surjective closed irreducible mappings  $f_{\alpha\beta}$ . If the spaces  $X_\alpha$  are non-empty  $R$ -closed, then  $X = \lim \underline{X}$  is non-empty. Moreover, the projections  $f_\alpha: X \rightarrow X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , are surjective.*

**Proof.** We shall show that for each point  $x_\alpha \in X_\alpha$  there exists a point  $x \in X$  such that  $f_\alpha(x) = x_\alpha$ , where  $f_\alpha: X \rightarrow X_\alpha$  is the natural projection. Let  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha = \{U_{\mu_\alpha} : \mu_\alpha \in M_\alpha\}$  be a regular ultrafilter of open sets  $U_{\mu_\alpha} \subseteq X_\alpha$  such that  $\cap \{\overline{U}_{\mu_\alpha} : U_{\mu_\alpha} \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha\} = \{x_\alpha\}$ . The existence of  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$  follows from regularity of  $X_\alpha$ . For every  $\beta > \alpha$  we consider the family  $\mathcal{W}'_\beta = \{f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(U_{\mu_\alpha}) : U_{\mu_\alpha} \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha\}$ . The family  $\mathcal{W}'_\beta$  is a filter-base since  $f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(U_{\mu_{\alpha_1}} \cap U_{\mu_{\alpha_2}}) = f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(U_{\mu_{\alpha_2}}) \cap f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(U_{\mu_{\alpha_1}})$ .

The regularity of  $\mathcal{W}'_\beta$  follows from the regularity of  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$  and from the implication  $\overline{V} \subseteq U \rightarrow f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(\overline{V}) \subseteq \overline{f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(V)} \subseteq f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(\overline{V}) \subseteq f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(U)$ . Now, we prove that there exists a single ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}_\beta$  which contains  $\mathcal{W}'_\beta$ . Let  $U_\beta$  be an open subset of  $X_\beta$  such that every intersection  $U_\beta \cap f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(U_{\mu_\alpha}) = U_{\beta, \mu_\alpha}$  is non-empty. Then the set  $f_{\alpha\beta}^\#(U_{\beta, \mu_\alpha})$  is non-empty and open because  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  is a closed irreducible mapping. Hence, all the sets  $f_{\alpha\beta}^\#(U_\beta) \cap U_{\mu_\alpha}$ ,  $U_{\mu_\alpha} \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ , are non-empty. By maximality of  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$  it follows that  $f_{\alpha\beta}^\#(U_\beta) \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ . This means that  $f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}f_{\alpha\beta}^\#(U_\beta) \in \mathcal{W}'_\beta$ . Moreover, we have the inclusion  $f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}f_{\alpha\beta}^\#(U_\beta) \subseteq U_\beta$ . This fact implies that there exists a single ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}_\beta$  containing  $\mathcal{W}'_\beta$  since from  $U_\beta \cap V_\beta = \emptyset$  it follows  $f_{\alpha\beta}^\#(U_\beta) \cap f_{\alpha\beta}^\#(V_\beta) = \emptyset$ . Now, it follows that for each  $\beta > \alpha$  there is a single point  $x_\beta \in X_\beta$  such that  $x_\beta \in \{\overline{U}_{\mu_\beta} : U_{\mu_\beta} \in \mathcal{U}_\beta\}$ . Moreover, from the fact that for  $\gamma \geq \beta \geq \alpha$   $f_{\alpha\gamma}^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_\alpha) = f_{\beta\gamma}^{-1}(\mathcal{U}'_\beta)$ , it follows that  $f_{\beta\gamma}(x_\gamma) = x_\beta$ . This means that the obtained points  $x_\beta \in X_\beta$  determine a point  $x$  of  $X = \lim \underline{X}$ . The proof is complete.

**2.2. Problem.** Is it true that the limit  $X$  in Theorem 2.1. is  $R$ -closed?

**2.3. Remark.** If the space  $X$  in Theorem 2.1. are  $H$ -closed, then  $X$  is  $H$ -closed [13].

In the sequel we use the following

**2.4. Lemma.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a closed mapping into regular  $Y$ . If  $X$  is  $R$ -closed, then  $f^{-1}(y)$  is  $R$ -closed for each  $y \in Y$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{U}'$  be a regular filter on  $f^{-1}(y)$ . For each open  $U' \in \mathcal{U}'$  there is an open  $U$  such that  $U' = U \cap f^{-1}(y)$ . Let  $V' \in \mathcal{U}'$  such that closure  $[V']_{f^{-1}(y)} \subset U'$ . Let  $V$  be an open set in  $X$  such that  $V' = V \cap f^{-1}(y)$ . Suppose that  $\bar{V} \not\subset U$ . Then  $X \setminus (\bar{V} \setminus U)$  is the neighborhood of  $f^{-1}(y)$ . From the closedness of  $f$  it follows that there is an open set  $V_y \ni y$  such that  $f^{-1}(V_y) \subseteq X \setminus (\bar{V} \setminus U)$ . From the regularity of  $Y$  it follows that there exists an open  $W_y \ni y$  such that  $\bar{W}_y \subseteq V_y$ . Then  $f^{-1}(\bar{W}_y) \subseteq f^{-1}(V_y)$ . Consider the sets  $U_1 = U \cap f^{-1}(V_y)$  and  $V_1 = V \cap f^{-1}(W_y)$ . Clearly,  $U_1 \cap f^{-1}(y) = U \cap f^{-1}(y) = U'$  and  $V_1 \cap f^{-1}(y) = V'$ . Moreover,  $\bar{V}_1 \subseteq \bar{V} \cap f^{-1}(\bar{W}_y) \subseteq \bar{V} \cap f^{-1}(W_y) \subseteq \bar{V} \cap f^{-1}(V_y) \subseteq U \cap f^{-1}(V_y) = U_1$  since  $f^{-1}(V_y) \subseteq X \setminus (\bar{V} \setminus U)$ . We infer that each regular filter  $\mathcal{U}'$  on  $f^{-1}(y)$  can be extended to a regular filter  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $X$  such that for each  $U' \in \mathcal{U}'$  there is  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  with  $U' = U \cap f^{-1}(y)$ . Moreover, each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  is the intersection  $U'' \cap f^{-1}(V_y)$  for some open  $U'' \subseteq X$  and some open  $V_y \ni y$ . Clearly we may assume that  $\mathcal{U}$  contains all the sets  $f^{-1}(V_y)$ , where  $V_y$  is a neighborhood of  $y$ . Now, by  $R$ -closedness of  $X$  there exists a point  $x \in X$  such that  $x \in \overline{\{U : U \in \mathcal{U}\}}$ . From the hypothesis that  $\mathcal{U}$  contains the family  $\{f^{-1}(V_y) : V_y \text{ is a neighborhood of } y\}$  it follows that  $f(x) = y$ , i.e.  $x \in f^{-1}(y)$ . Clearly,  $x$  is an adherence point of  $\mathcal{U}'$  in  $f^{-1}(y)$ . The proof is complete.

**2.5. Question :** Is it true that closedness of  $f$  in Theorem 2.4. can be omitted?

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be the regular spaces. We say that a mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is  $R$ -perfect if  $f$  is closed and  $f^{-1}(y)$  is  $R$ -closed for each  $y \in Y$ .

**2.6. Lemma.** *If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is an  $R$ -perfect open mapping of a regular space  $X$  into an  $R$ -closed space  $Y$ , then  $X$  is  $R$ -closed.*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a regular filter on  $X$ . Then  $f(\mathcal{U}) = \{f(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is the regular filterbase on  $Y$  since  $f$  is open and closed. By  $R$ -closedness of  $Y$  there exists a  $y \in Y$  such that  $y \in \overline{\{f(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}}$ . We now prove that for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  the intersection  $f^{-1}(y) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . Namely, if  $f^{-1}(y) \cap U = \emptyset$  for some  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , then by regularity of  $\mathcal{U}$  it follows that there exists a  $V \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $\bar{V} \subseteq U$  and  $f^{-1}(y) \cap \bar{V} = \emptyset$ .

By closedness of  $f$  it follows that there is an open set  $V_y \ni y$  such that  $f^{-1}(V_y) \cap \bar{V} = \emptyset$ . This means that  $V_y \cap \overline{f(\bar{V})} = \emptyset$ . This is in contradiction with  $y \in \overline{\{f(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}}$ . Now, the family  $\mathcal{U}' = \{U \cap f^{-1}(y) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is a regular filterbase on  $f^{-1}(y)$ . Since  $f^{-1}(y)$  is  $R$ -closed, there exists an  $x \in f^{-1}(y)$  such that  $x \in \overline{\{U \cap f^{-1}(y) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}}$ . Clearly,  $x \in \overline{\{U \cap f^{-1}(y) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}}$ . This means that  $\overline{\{U : U \in \mathcal{U}\}} \neq \emptyset$ . The proof is complete.

For an inverse system  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  with open and regularly closed projections  $f_\alpha: X \rightarrow X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , we prove

**2.7. Theorem.** Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be an inverse system of R-closed spaces  $X_\alpha$  such that the projections  $f_\alpha : \lim \underline{X} \rightarrow X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , are open and regularly closed, then the limit  $X = \lim \underline{X}$  is R-closed.

**Proof.** Firstly,  $X$  is regular since  $X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , are regular. Secondly, let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\mu : \mu \in M\}$  be a regular ultrafilter of open sets in  $X$ . For each  $\alpha \in A$  let  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$  be a family of open sets  $U_{\mu_\alpha}$  in  $X_\alpha$ ,  $\mu_\alpha \in M$ , such that  $U_{\mu_\alpha} \ni f_\alpha(U_\mu)$  for some  $U_\mu \in \mathcal{U}$ . This family is a regular filter since  $\bar{V} \subseteq U \subseteq X$  implies  $f_\alpha(\bar{V}) \subseteq f_\alpha(U)$  ( $f_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , are open and regularly closed). By virtue of R-closedness of  $X_\alpha$  it follows that  $Y_\alpha = \bigcap \{\bar{U}_{\mu_\alpha} : U_{\mu_\alpha} \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha\} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $x_\alpha$  be a point of  $Y_\alpha$ , and let  $V$  be an open set about  $x_\alpha$ . We prove that  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$  converges to  $x_\alpha$ . Namely, if there is an  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $f_\alpha^{-1}(V) \cap U = \emptyset$ , then  $f_\alpha^{-1}(\bar{V}) \cap U = \emptyset$ . Since for open  $f_\alpha$  equality  $f_\alpha^{-1}(\bar{V}) = f_\alpha^{-1}(V)$  [7:57] holds, we have  $f_\alpha^{-1}(\bar{V}) \cap U = \emptyset$ . This means that  $f_\alpha(U) \cap \bar{V} = \emptyset$ , i.e.  $X_\alpha - \bar{V} \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ . This is in a contradiction with  $x_\alpha \in \bigcap \bar{U}_{\mu_\alpha}$ . This means that  $f_\alpha^{-1}(V) \cap U \neq \emptyset$  and  $f_\alpha^{-1}(V) \in \mathcal{U}$ , i.e.  $V \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ . Now, for every  $\alpha \in A$  we have the point  $x_\alpha$  such that  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$  converges to  $x_\alpha$ . It is readily seen that  $f_{\alpha\beta}(x_\beta) = x_\alpha$  for  $\beta \geq \alpha$ . This means that  $x = (x_\alpha)$  is a point of  $X = \lim \underline{X}$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{U}$  converges to  $x$ . The proof is complete. The next theorem is closely related to the corresponding theorem for inverse system of H-closed spaces [20].

**2.8. Theorem.** Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be an inverse system of R-closed spaces  $X_\alpha$  such that  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  are open and closed surjective mappings. Then the limit  $X = \lim \underline{X}$  is non-empty iff all spaces  $X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , are non-empty.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , be the family of all regular filter-base of open subsets of  $X_\alpha$ . For each  $F_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$  the set  $\text{ad } F_\alpha = \text{ad } \{\bar{U} : U \in F_\alpha\}$  is a non-empty closed set since  $X_\alpha$  is R-closed. Moreover,  $\text{ad } F_\alpha = \bigcap \{U : U \in F_\alpha\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}_\alpha$  be a family  $\{\emptyset\} \cup \{\text{ad } F_\alpha : F_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha\}$ . We prove that  $\mathcal{S}_\alpha$  satisfies the conditions (I)–(IV) of Theorem 1.1. If  $\{\text{ad } F_\mu : \mu \in M\}$  is centred family, then  $\mathcal{U} = \{U : U \in F_\mu, \mu \in M\}$  is regular filter-base. By virtue of R-closedness of  $X_\alpha$  it follows that  $\text{ad}$  is non-empty and  $\text{ad } \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$  since  $\text{ad } \mathcal{U} = \bigcap \{U : U \in \mathcal{U}\} = \bigcap \{\text{ad } F_\mu : \mu \in M\}$ . The family  $\mathcal{S}_\alpha$  satisfies property (I). We prove property (II) as follows. Let  $\{\text{ad } F_{\alpha_\mu} : F_{\alpha_\mu} \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha\}$  be a subfamily of  $\mathcal{S}_\alpha$ . If  $\bigcap \{\text{ad } F_{\alpha_\mu} : F_{\alpha_\mu} \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha\} = \emptyset$ , then property (II) is proved since  $\{\emptyset\} \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha$ . If  $\bigcap \{\text{ad } F_{\alpha_\mu} : F_{\alpha_\mu} \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha\} = Y \neq \emptyset$ , then from  $y \in Y$  it follows that  $y \in U$  for each  $U \in F_{\alpha_\mu}$ ,  $F_{\alpha_\mu} \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ , since  $\text{ad } F_\alpha = \bigcap \{\bar{U} : U \in F_\alpha\} = \bigcap \{U : U \in F_\alpha\}$ . This means that  $\{\text{ad } F_{\alpha_\mu} : F_{\alpha_\mu} \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha\}$  is centred family. Arguing as in the proof of property (I) prove property (II). In order to prove that property (III) is satisfied we recall that  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  are closed. Let  $S_\beta \in \mathcal{S}_\beta$  and let  $F_\beta$  be a regular filter-base such that  $S_\beta = \bigcap \{U : U \in F_\beta\} = \{\bar{U} : U \in F_\beta\}$ . We prove that  $f_{\alpha\beta}(S_\beta) = \bigcap \{f_{\alpha\beta}(U) : U \in F_\beta\} = \bigcap \{\overline{f_{\alpha\beta}(U)} : U \in F_\beta\}$ . Clearly,  $f_{\alpha\beta}(S_\beta) \subseteq \{f_{\alpha\beta}(U) : U \in F_\beta\}$ . Let  $x_\alpha \in \bigcap \{f_{\alpha\beta}(U) : U \in F_\beta\}$  and let  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha$  be a family of all neighborhoods of  $x_\alpha$ . Then  $\mathcal{W} = F_\beta \cap f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_\alpha)$  is regular filter-base. Since  $X_\beta$  is R-closed, we have  $\text{ad } \mathcal{W} \neq \emptyset$

and  $\text{ad } \mathcal{W} \subseteq S_\beta, f_{\alpha\beta}(\text{ad } \mathcal{W}) = x_\alpha$ . This means that  $x_\alpha \in f_{\alpha\beta}(S_\beta)$ . It follows that  $f_{\alpha\beta}(S_\beta) = \cap \{f_{\alpha\beta}(U) : U \in F_\beta\} \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{S}_\alpha$  satisfies the property (III) of Theorem 1.1. Property (IV) follows from the relations  $f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_\alpha) = \cap \{f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(U) : U \in \mathcal{V}_\alpha\}$ . From Theorem 1.1. it follows that  $X = \lim \underline{X} \neq \emptyset$ . The proof is complete.

Let us recall that it is proved in [9] that  $\lim \underline{X} \neq \emptyset$  if  $\underline{X}$  is a well-ordered inverse system of non-empty  $R$ -closed spaces and surjective bonding mappings.

If the mappings  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  are open, then  $Y = \cap \{f_{\alpha\beta}(X_\beta) : \beta \geq \alpha\}$  is non-empty since  $X_\alpha$  is  $R$ -closed. Moreover,  $f_{\alpha\beta}(Y_\beta) = Y_\alpha, \beta \geq \alpha$ . The proof is similar to the proof of the property (IV) in Theorem 2.8. From this it follows

**2.9. Theorem.** *If  $\underline{X}$  is an inverse system of non-empty  $R$ -closed spaces and open perfect bonding mappings, then  $X = \lim \underline{X} \neq \emptyset$ .*

**2.10. Corollary.** *Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\mu : \mu \in M\}$  be a centred family of closed and open  $R$ -closed sets in  $X$ . If  $Y = \{U_\mu : \mu \in M\}$  is open, then  $Y$  is  $R$ -closed. In particular, each closed and open set of an  $R$ -closed space  $X$  is  $R$ -closed.*

By virtue of transfinite induction we have

**2.11. Theorem.** *Let  $\underline{X}$  be a well-ordered inverse system of non-empty  $R$ -closed spaces and open bonding mappings, then  $X = \lim \underline{X} \neq \emptyset$ .*

We shall show some theorems concerning the connectedness of the space  $X = \lim \underline{X}$ .

Let us begin with the following simple

**2.12. Lemma.** *Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be an inverse system with open and regularly closed projections. If the spaces  $X_\alpha, \alpha \in A$ , are connected, then  $X = \lim \underline{X}$  is connected.*

*Proof.* If  $X$  is not connected, then there exists closed and open set  $F$  such that  $\emptyset \neq F \neq X$ . For each  $\alpha \in A, f_\alpha(F)$  is closed and open. From the connectedness of  $X_\alpha$  it follows that  $f_\alpha(F) = X_\alpha$ . It follows that  $F = X$  since  $F = \lim \{f_\alpha(F), f_{\alpha\beta}/f_\beta(F), A\}$  [7 : 137]. The contradiction  $F \neq X \wedge F = X$  completes the proof.

**2.13. Theorem.** *Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be an inverse system of regular connected spaces  $X_\alpha$ . If the projections  $f_\alpha X \rightarrow X_\alpha, \alpha \in A$ , are surjective monotone mappings and if  $X$  is  $R$ -closed, then  $X$  is connected.*

*Proof.* If  $X$  is not connected, then there exist two non-empty closed sets  $F_1, F_2$  such that  $F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset, F_1 \cup F_2 = X$ . For each  $\alpha \in A$  we have  $f_\alpha(F_1) \cap f_\alpha(F_2) = X_\alpha$  and  $f_\alpha(F_1) \cup f_\alpha(F_2) = X_\alpha$ . Suppose that  $x_\alpha \in \overline{f_\alpha(F_1)} \cap \overline{f_\alpha(F_2)}$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$  be a filter of all open neighborhoods of  $x_\alpha$ . Then the traces of  $f_\alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_\alpha)$  on  $F_1, F_2$  are the regular filterbase. Since  $F_1, F_2$  are  $R$ -closed (2.10. Corollary), there exist points  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  which are in the adherences of these filter-trace. Moreover,  $y_1 \in F_1$  and  $y_2 \in F_2$ . On the other hand, we have  $f_\alpha(y_1) = x_\alpha, f_\alpha(y_2) = x_\alpha$ . This means that  $f_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha) \cap F_1 \neq \emptyset$ , and  $f_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha) \cap F_2 \neq \emptyset$ . This is impossible since  $f_\alpha^{-1}(x_\alpha)$  is connected. The proof is complete.

From the proof it follows that, in fact, the following theorem is proved.

**2.14. Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be R-closed and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  a monotone mapping onto regular  $Y$ . The space  $X$  is connected iff  $Y$  is connected.*

Theorem 2.14. can be proved by virtue of Lemma 2.12. and the next

**2.15. Lemma.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a monotone regularly closed mapping of space  $X$  onto a regular connected space  $Y$ . Then  $X$  is connected.*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $X$  is not connected. Then there exist two disjoint closed sets  $F_1, F_2 \subseteq X$  such that  $F_1 \cup F_2 = X$ . Clearly,  $f(F_1) \cup f(F_2) = Y$ . We now prove that  $f(F_1) \cap f(F_2) = \emptyset$ . Namely, if  $y \in f(F_1) \cap f(F_2)$ , then  $f^{-1}(y) \cap F_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $f^{-1}(y) \cap F_2 \neq \emptyset$ . This is impossible since  $f^{-1}(y)$  is connected. Hence,  $f(F_1) \cap f(F_2) = \emptyset$  and  $f(F_1) \cup f(F_2) = Y$ . The last two relations are in contradiction with connectedness of  $Y$ . The proof is complete.

We say that a space  $X$  is strongly minimal regular (shortly, SMR) [6] iff there is a base  $\mathcal{B}$  for  $X$  such that each member of  $\mathcal{B}$  has an R-closed complement.

**2.16. Theorem.** *Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be an inverse system of SMR spaces  $X_\alpha$  such that the projections are open and closed. Then  $X = \lim \underline{X}$  is SMR.*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{B}_\alpha, \alpha \in A$ , be a base for  $X_\alpha$  such that  $X_\alpha - V_\alpha$  is R-closed for each  $V_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the standard base for  $X = \lim \underline{X}$ . This means that for each  $V \in \mathcal{B}$  there is an open  $V_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha$  such that  $f_\alpha^{-1}(V_\alpha) = V$ . Clearly,  $X - V = \lim \{X_\beta - f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(V_\alpha), f'_{\beta\gamma}, \alpha \leq \beta \leq \gamma\}$ , where  $f'_{\alpha\gamma}$  is the restriction of  $f_{\alpha\gamma}$  onto  $X_\gamma - f_{\alpha\gamma}^{-1}(V_\alpha)$ .

The mappings  $f'_{\alpha\gamma}$  are open and closed [7 : 95]. From Lemmas 2.4. and 2.6. it follows that  $X_\beta - f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(V_\alpha)$  is R-closed,  $\beta \geq \alpha$ . Finally, from 2.7. Theorem it follows that  $X - V = \lim \{X_\beta - f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(V_\alpha), f'_{\alpha\gamma}, \alpha \leq \beta \leq \gamma\}$  is R-closed. This completes the proof.

**2.17. Theorem.** *Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be an inverse system of MR spaces  $X_\alpha$  such that the projections  $f_\alpha: X \rightarrow X_\alpha, \alpha \in A$ , are open and regularly closed. Then  $X = \lim \underline{X}$  is MR.*

**Proof.** Since  $X$  is R-closed (2.7. Theorem), it suffices to prove that each regular filter-base on  $X$  which has a unique adherent point is convergent. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a regular filter-base of open sets of  $X$  which has a unique adherent point  $x \in X$ . For each  $\alpha \in A$  let  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha = \{f_\alpha(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  be a filter-base of open sets of  $X_\alpha$ . The filter-base  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$  is regular since  $f_\alpha, \alpha \in A$ , are open and regularly closed. This means that  $f_\alpha(x)$  is an adherent point of  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$ . We now prove that  $f_\alpha(x)$  is the unique adherent point of  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$ . Let  $x_\alpha \neq f_\alpha(x)$  be an adherent point of  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$ . We consider a filter-base  $\mathcal{U} \cup f_\alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha)$ , where  $\mathcal{N}_\alpha$  is the filter-base of all neighborhoods of  $x_\alpha$ . Clearly,  $x$  is the unique adherent point of  $\mathcal{U} \cup f_\alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha)$  since  $X$  is R-closed. This means that  $x$  is an adherent point of  $f_\alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha)$  and, consequently,  $f_\alpha(x) = x_\alpha$ . This is in contradiction with  $x_\alpha \neq f_\alpha(x)$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$  is convergent. Thus, each open  $U_\alpha \ni f_\alpha(x)$  is a member of  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$ , i.e., there is an open  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $f_\alpha(U) \subseteq U_\alpha$ . We infer that  $f_\alpha^{-1}(U_\alpha) \supseteq U$ . Since each neighborhood of  $x$  at least contains a set of the form  $f_\alpha^{-1}(U_\alpha)$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{U}$  converges to  $x$ . The proof is complete.

A regular  $T_1$ -space  $X$  is said to be  $R$ -functionally compact [9 : 446] if for each  $T_1$  regular space  $Y$  and each mapping  $f$  from  $X$  onto  $Y$ ,  $f$  is closed. It is known that a regular  $T_1$  space  $X$  is  $R$  functionally compact iff for each closed set  $F$  in  $X$  and each regular filterbase  $\mathcal{U}$  for which  $F = \bigcap \mathcal{U} = \bigcap \overline{\mathcal{U}}$ ,  $\mathcal{U}$  is a neighborhood filterbase for  $F$ .

**2.18. Theorem.** *Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be an inverse system of  $R$ -functionally compact  $X_\alpha$  such that the projections are open and closed. Then  $X = \lim \underline{X}$  is  $R$ -functionally compact iff the following condition (S) is satisfied: (S) For each pair of disjoint closed sets  $F_1, F_2 \subseteq X$  such that  $F_1 = \bigcap \mathcal{U} = \bigcap \overline{\mathcal{U}}$ , for some regular filterbase  $\mathcal{U}$ , there exists an  $\alpha \in A$  such that  $f_\alpha(F_1) \cap f_\alpha(F_2) = \emptyset$ .*

**Proof.** If (S) is satisfied, then for every regular filterbase  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $F = \bigcap \mathcal{U} = \bigcap \overline{\mathcal{U}}$  and an open  $U \supset F$  there is an  $\alpha \in A$  such that  $f_\alpha(F) \cap f_\alpha(X - U) = \emptyset$ . This means that  $Y_\alpha = X_\alpha - f_\alpha(X - U)$  is a neighborhood of  $f_\alpha(F)$ . Let  $f_\alpha(\mathcal{U}) = \{f_\alpha(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  be a regular filterbase ( $f_\alpha$  is open and closed). We now prove that  $f_\alpha(F) = \bigcap f_\alpha(\mathcal{U}) = \bigcap \overline{f_\alpha(\mathcal{U})}$ . Clearly,  $f_\alpha(F) \subseteq \bigcap f_\alpha(\mathcal{U})$ . For each  $x_\alpha \in \bigcap f_\alpha(\mathcal{U})$  we consider the filterbase  $\mathcal{N}_\alpha$  of all neighborhoods of  $x_\alpha$ . The family  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{U} \cup f_\alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha)$  is regular filterbase. Since  $X$  is  $R$ -closed (Theorem 2.7), it follows that there exists an  $x \in X$  such that  $x \in \bigcap \mathcal{V}$ . This means that  $x \in F$  and  $f_\alpha(x) = x_\alpha$ . Now we have that  $f_\alpha(F) = \bigcap f_\alpha(\mathcal{U}) = \bigcap \overline{f_\alpha(\mathcal{U})}$ . Since  $X_\alpha$  is  $R$ -functionally compact, there is a  $U_\alpha \in f_\alpha(\mathcal{U})$  such that  $U_\alpha \subseteq Y_\alpha$ . This means that  $f_\alpha^{-1}(U_\alpha) \subseteq U$ . Since  $U_\alpha \in f_\alpha(\mathcal{U})$ , there is  $V \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $f_\alpha(V) = U_\alpha$ . Finally, we have  $V \subseteq f_\alpha^{-1}(U_\alpha) \subseteq U$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{U}$  is a neighborhood filterbase for  $F$ .

Conversely, let  $X$  be  $R$ -functionally compact. Let  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  be as in (S). We consider the family  $\mathcal{V} = \{f_\alpha^{-1} f_\alpha(\mathcal{U}) : \alpha \in A\}$ .  $\mathcal{V}$  is a regular filter-base such that  $F_1 = \bigcap \mathcal{V} = \bigcap \overline{\mathcal{V}}$ . Since  $X$  is  $R$ -functionally compact, there is an  $\alpha \in A$  and an  $U_\alpha \in f_\alpha^{-1} f_\alpha(\mathcal{U})$  such that  $U_\alpha \subset X \setminus F$ . There exists a  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $U_\alpha = f_\alpha^{-1} f_\alpha(U)$ . From  $f_\alpha^{-1} f_\alpha(U) \subseteq X \setminus F_2$  it follows  $f_\alpha(U) \cap f_\alpha(F_2) = \emptyset$ . Since  $U \supseteq F_1$ , we have  $f_\alpha(F_1) \cap f_\alpha(F_2) = \emptyset$ . The proof is complete.

A topological space  $X$  is called a lightly compact space if every countable centred family  $\{U_n : U_n \text{ is open in } X, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  has non-empty intersection  $\{\overline{U}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

Every  $R$ -closed space is lightly compact [15].

We say that a mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is semi-open if  $f$  is continuous and  $\text{Int } f(U) \neq \emptyset$  for every non-empty open subset  $U$  of  $X$ .

The proof of the following Lemma is routine.

**2.19. Lemma.** *Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be an inverse system with surjective projections. The projections  $f_\alpha : X = \lim \underline{X} \rightarrow X_\alpha$  are semi-open iff the bonding mappings are semi-open.*

Now we prove



**2.20. Theorem.** *Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be an inverse system of R-closed spaces  $X_\alpha$  with perfect semi-open mappings  $f_{\alpha\beta}$ . Then  $X = \lim \underline{X}$  is lightly compact.*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_n : n \in N\}$  be a centred family of non-empty open subsets  $U_n$  of  $X$ . By virtue of Lemma 2.19, it follows that  $\{\text{Int } f_\alpha(U_n) : n \in N\}$  is a centred family of non-empty open subsets of  $X_\alpha$ . Since  $X_\alpha$  is lightly compact, it follows that the set

$$(1) \quad Y_\alpha = \bigcap \{ \overline{\text{Int } f_\alpha(U_n)} : n \in N \}$$

is non-empty for every  $\alpha \in A$ . Let us prove that

$$(2) \quad f_{\alpha\beta}(Y_\beta) = Y_\alpha, \beta \geq \alpha.$$

For every point  $y_\alpha \in Y_\alpha$  the sets  $Z_{\beta,n} = f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(y_\alpha) \cap \overline{\text{Int } f_\beta(U_n)}$  are non-empty sets since from the closedness and semi-openness of  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  it follows that  $f_{\alpha\beta}(\overline{\text{Int } f_\beta(U_n)}) = \overline{\text{Int } f_\alpha(U_n)}$ ,  $n \in N$ . The family  $\{Z_{\beta,n} : n \in N\}$  is a centred family of closed sets in the compact space  $f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(y_\alpha)$ . It follows that there exists some point  $y_\beta \in f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(y_\alpha) \cap (\bigcap \{Z_{\beta,n} : n \in N\})$ . This means that  $y_\beta \in Y_\beta = \bigcap \{ \overline{\text{Int } f_\beta(U_n)} : n \in N \}$  and that  $f_{\alpha\beta}(y_\beta) = y_\alpha$  i.e. (2) is proved. The inverse system

$$(3) \quad \underline{Y} = \{Y_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}/Y_\beta, A\}$$

has non-empty limit  $Y$  since  $f_{\alpha\beta}/Y_\beta$  are perfect surjective mappings. It is easy to prove that  $Y \subseteq \{\bar{U}_n : n \in N\}$ , i.e.  $\bigcap \{\bar{U}_n : n \in N\} \neq \emptyset$ . Hence,  $X = \lim \underline{X}$  is lightly compact space. The proof is complete. ←

**2.21. Theorem.** *Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be an inverse system with perfect irreducible mappings  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  and R-closed spaces  $X_\alpha$ . Then  $X = \lim \underline{X}$  is lightly compact.*

**Proof.** The closed irreducible mappings are semi-open mappings. Hence, Theorem 2.21, follows from Theorem 2.20. Let us observe that (2) holds under the weaker assumption that  $f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(y_\alpha)$  is  $m$ -compact,  $m \geq \aleph_0$ , for every  $y_\alpha \in X_\alpha$ .

From [11] and [14] follows that  $\lim \underline{Y}$ , is non-empty, where  $\underline{Y}$  is the inverse system (3), if  $f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(y_\alpha)$  is countably compact and  $A = N$ , or  $A = W_0 =$  the set of all countable ordinals.

Let us recall that a mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is pseudo-perfect if  $f$  is closed and  $f^{-1}(y)$  is countably compact for each  $y \in Y$ .

From the above observations we shall deduce the following theorems.

**2.22. Theorem.** *Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_n, f_{nm}, N\}$  be an inverse sequence with pseudo-perfect semi-open (irreducible) mappings  $f_{nm}$ . The limit space  $X = \lim \underline{X}$  is lightly compact if the spaces  $X_n$ ,  $n \in N$ , are R-closed.*

**2.23. Theorem.** If  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, W_0\}$  is an inverse system with pseudo-perfect semi-open (irreducible) mappings  $f_{\alpha\beta}$ , then  $X = \lim \underline{X}$  is lightly compact if the spaces  $X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , are  $R$ -closed.

**2.24. Theorem.** Let  $\underline{X}$  be an inverse system  $\{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, \omega_\tau\}$  or  $\{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, \omega_{\tau+1}\}$  with closed semi-open (irreducible) mappings  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  such that the fibers  $f_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(x_\alpha)$ ,  $x_\alpha \in X_\alpha$ ,  $\beta > \alpha$ , are  $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ -compact. The limit space  $X = \lim \underline{X}$  is lightly compact if the spaces  $X_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , are  $R$ -closed.

A mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  has the inverse property if  $f^{-1}(\overline{V}) = \overline{f^{-1}(V)}$  for each open set  $V \subseteq Y$ .

A set  $A$  is  $\sigma$ -directed if for every countable family  $\{\alpha_i : i \in N, \alpha_i \in A\}$  there exists an  $\alpha \in A$  with the property  $\alpha \geq \alpha_i, i \in N$ . We close this section with the following theorem.

**2.25. Theorem.** Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta}, A\}$  be a  $\sigma$ -directed inverse system of  $R$ -closed spaces  $X_\alpha$ . If  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  are mappings with the inverse property, then  $X = \lim \underline{X}$  is lightly compact.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_n : n \in N\}$  be a centred family of non-empty open subsets of  $X$ . For each  $U_n$  there exists a maximal non-empty open subset  $U_{\alpha,n} \subseteq X_{\alpha(n)}$  with the property  $f_{\alpha(n)}^{-1}(U_{\alpha,n}) \subseteq U_n$ . Since  $A$  is  $\sigma$ -directed, there exists an  $\alpha \in A$  such that  $\alpha \geq \alpha(n), n \in N$ . It follows that we can assume that the sets  $U_{\alpha,n}$  are the subsets of some  $X_\alpha$ . Since  $X_\alpha$  is lightly compact (as an  $R$ -closed space), it follows that  $\bigcap \{\overline{U}_{\alpha,n} : n \in N\} \neq \emptyset$ . Applying the inverse property of  $f_\alpha$ , we have  $\bigcap \{\overline{f_\alpha^{-1}(U_{\alpha,n})} : n \in N\} = \bigcap \{f_\alpha^{-1}(\overline{U}_{\alpha,n}) : n \in N\} = f_\alpha^{-1}(\bigcap \{\overline{U}_{\alpha,n} : n \in N\}) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $\bigcap \{\overline{U}_n : n \in N\} \supseteq \bigcap \{f_\alpha^{-1}(\overline{U}_{\alpha,n}) : n \in N\}$ , it follows that  $\bigcap \{\overline{U}_n : n \in N\} \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $X$  is a lightly compact space. The proof is complete.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.** The author is very grateful to Professor Duro Kurepa for his help and valuable suggestions.

## References

1. P. S. Aleksandrov, P. S. Uryson. *Memuar o kompaktnyh topologičeskikh prostranstvah*. Moskva, 1971.
2. A. V. Arhangel'skij, V. I. Ponomarev. *Osnovy obščej topologii v zadačah i upražnjenijah*. Moskva, 1974.
3. N. Burbaki. *Obščaja topologija (osnovnye struktury)*. Moskva, 1968.
4. D. E. Cameron. Some maximal topologies which are QHC. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **75**, 1979, 149-155.
5. A. Dow, J. Porter. Embedding in  $R$ -closed spaces. *Topol. and Appl.*, **15**, 1982, 45-58.
6. A. Dow, J. Porter. Cardinalities of first countable  $R$ -closed spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **89**, 1983, 527-532.
7. R. Engelking. *General Topology*, Warszawa, 1977.
8. L. M. Friedler. Resolutions of  $H$ -closed spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **71**, 1978, 309-312.

9. L. M. Friedler, D. H. Petthey. Inverse limits and mappings of minimal topological spaces. *Pac. J. Math.*, **71**, 1977, 429-448.
10. L. L. Herrington. Properties of nearly-compact spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **45**, 1974, 431-436.
11. I. Lončar. Inverse limits for spaces which generalize compact spaces. *Glasnik matematički*, **17** (37), 1982, 155-173.
12. I. Lončar. Lindelöfov broj i inverzni sistemi. *Zbornik radova Fakulteta organizacije i informatike Varaždin*, **7**, 1983, 245-252.
13. I. Lončar. A note on inverse systems of  $H$ -closed spaces *Glasnik matematički*, **19** (39), 1984, 169-175.
14. I. Lončar. Some results on inverse limit spaces. *Mat. Vesnik*, **37**, 1985, 282-292.
15. H. Petthey Dix. Products of regular-closed spaces. *Topol. and Appl.* **14** 1982, 189-199.
16. M. K. Singal, Asha Mathur. On minimal almost regular spaces. *Glasnik matematički*, **6** (26), 1971, 179-185.
17. A. E. Singal, Shashi Prabha Ary. On pairwise almost regular spaces. *Glasnik matematički* **6** (26), 1971, 335-343.
18. R. M. Stephenson R. M. Minimal first countable topologies. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **179** 1973, 115-127.
19. N. G. Tkačenko. Capi i kardinaly. *DAN SSSR*, **239** 1978, 546-549.
20. T. O. Vinson, Jr., R. F. Dickman, Jr. Inverse limits and absolutes of  $H$ -closed space. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **66** 1977, 351-358.

Fakultet organizacije i informatike  
Varaždin  
JUGOSLAVIA

Received 23.08.1987