

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Mathematica Balkanica

Mathematical Society of South-Eastern Europe
A quarterly published by
the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences – National Committee for Mathematics

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on Mathematica Balkanica visit the website of the journal
<http://www.mathbalkanica.info>

or contact:

Mathematica Balkanica - Editorial Office;
Acad. G. Bonchev str., Bl. 25A, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria
Phone: +359-2-979-6311, Fax: +359-2-870-7273,
E-mail: balmat@bas.bg

Quadratic Equation in Unital C^* – Algebra

Mirko Dobovišek

Presented by P. Kenderov

The structure of the set of Hermitian solutions of the quadratic equation $xcx + b^*x + xb + a = 0$ is studied. Under certain conditions the set of Hermitian solutions is in one-to-one correspondence with a certain set of projectors.

The purpose of this paper is to extend the classification of all the Hermitian solutions of the quadratic equation in the C^* -algebra $C(K)$ of complex functions over a compact subset K of the complex field \mathbb{C} , to all C^* -algebras with unit.

In $C(K)$ it is known that

$$x_+(z) = b(z) + \sqrt{b(z)^2 + a(z)} \quad \text{and} \quad x_-(z) = b(z) - \sqrt{b(z)^2 + a(z)}$$

are Hermitian solutions of the quadratic equation

$$(1) \quad -x^2 + 2bx + a = 0$$

for $b(z)$ real, and $b(z)^2 + a(z) > 0$, for $z \in K$. If K is not connected, then there exist nontrivial nonzero idempotents in $C(K)$. Since only values 0 and 1 are allowed for such functions, such a function is a characteristic function of a certain subset of K . Equation (1) has also solutions of the form

$$(2) \quad x(z) = x_+(z)\chi_E(z) + x_-(z)(1 - \chi_E(z)).$$

Let x be a solution of the equation (1). Since x_+ and x are solutions of (1), we get

$$2b(z)(x_+(z) - x(z)) - (x_+(z) - x(z))(x_+(z) + x(z)) = 0.$$

Denoting the closed set $\{z : x_+(z) = x(z)\}$ by E , it follows that

$$\text{or} \quad 2b(z) - (x_+(z) + x(z)) = 0, \quad \text{for } z \in E^c$$

$$x(z) = 2b(z) - x_+(z) = x_-(z), \quad \text{for } z \in E^c.$$

Thus all the solutions of the equation (1) can be written in the form (2). The sets E and E^c have to be closed since $x(z)$ is continuous and $b(z)^2 + a(z) > 0$.

Let A be a C^* -subalgebra of $B(H)$, the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space H . We will assume that A has a unit element e . We use [4] as a standard reference concerning C^* -algebras.

The spectrum of an element $c \in A$ will be denoted by $\sigma(c)$. It is known that the spectrum of an element of C^* -subalgebra of $B(H)$ is the same set as the spectrum of this element as an element of $B(H)$. A Hermitian element $c \in A$ will be called nonnegative (respectively nonpositive) and denoted by $c \geq 0$ (respectively $c \leq 0$) if $\sigma(c) \subset [0, \infty)$ ($(-\infty, 0]$, respectively). The open left half plane $\{z : \operatorname{Re} z < 0\}$ will be denoted by π_- . The C^* -algebra of 2×2 matrices with entries from A will be denoted by $M_2(A)$ and it can be regarded as a C^* -subalgebra of $B(H^{(2)})$, where $H^{(2)}$ denotes the Hilbert space of pairs with entries from H . We will begin with two definitions.

Definition: For b, c in A , we say that the pair (b, c) is stabilizable in $B(H)$ if and only if there exists x_0 in $B(H)$ such that $\sigma(b + cx_0) \subset \pi_-$. Further, we say that the pair (b, c) is completely stabilizable in $B(H)$ if for each $\alpha < 0$ there exists an $x_\alpha \in B(H)$ such that $\sigma(b + cx_\alpha) \subset \{z : \operatorname{Re} z < \alpha\}$.

The notions of stabilizability and complete stabilizability are well-known in the theory of mathematical systems, and they have been studied in detail. The reader is referred to R. W. Brockëtt [1], J. W. Bunce [2], O. Hijab [6], M. Megan [7], W. M. Wohnam [10], etc.

Remark: If c is invertible then the pair (b, c) is completely stabilizable for any $b \in A$.

We are interested in the Riccati algebraic equation

$$(3) \quad xcx + b^*x + xb + a = 0$$

with coefficients in A . We will consider only the case $c \leq 0$ and $a \geq 0$.

In the algebra $B(H)$ it is known that the stabilizability of the pair (b, c) implies the existence of a maximal solution of the equation (3). The proof for an invertible a can be found in [2], and for $a \geq 0$ in [5]. If the pair (b, c) is completely stabilizable then there exist a maximal and a minimal solution, x_+ and x_- ; that is, every Hermitian solution x of the equation (3) satisfies the relation $x_- \leq x \leq x_+$. The operator $\Delta = x_+ - x_-$ is an invertible element of $B(H)$ if and only if $\sigma(b + cx_+) \subset \pi_-$. If a is invertible so is Δ . The proofs of the above statements and Theorem 1 can be found in [5].

Theorem 1 is a generalization of J. C. Wille m's [9] and W. A. Coppel's [3] result on matrix algebraic Riccati equation.

Theorem 1. [5, Th. 4.] Let A, B , and C be elements of $B(H)$, with $A = A^*$, $C \leq 0$, and let the pair (B, C) be completely stabilizable. Let $\Delta = X_+ - X_-$ be invertible and let V_1 be an arbitrary subspace of H invariant under $B + CX_+$. If $V_2 = \Delta^{-1}(V_1)$, then $H = V_1 \oplus V_2$. If P is the corresponding projection of V onto V_1 then

$$X = X_+P + X_-(I - P)$$

is a Hermitian solution of the equation (3). Moreover, all Hermitian solutions of the equation (3) are obtained in this way, and the correspondence between V_1 and X is one-to-one.

The next theorem is an extension of Theorem 1 to unital C^* -algebras.

Theorem 2. Let A be a unital C^* -subalgebra of $B(H)$ and let $a, b, c \in A$ with $c \leq 0$, $a \geq 0$, a invertible, and let the pair (b, c) be completely stabilizable in $B(H)$. Then

- i) There exist $x_+ \in A$, a maximal, and $x_- \in A$, a minimal solution of the equation (3); that is, every Hermitian solution x satisfies the relation $x_- \leq x \leq x_+$.
- ii) The set of Hermitian solutions of the equation (3) is in one-to-one correspondence with the set of projectors $h \in A$ satisfying $hrh = rh$, where

$$r = (x_+ - x_-)^{1/2}(b + cx_+)(x_+ - x_-)^{-1/2}.$$

Proof: From [5, Th. 1] we know that there exist a maximal solution, x_+ , and a minimal solution, x_- , of the equation (3) in $B(H)$. Hence to prove (i) it suffices to show that $x_+ \in A$ and $x_- \in A$. To prove that $x_+ \in A$ we modify the proofs in [2] where the same result is obtained for $a = e$.

One can check that

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} b, & c \\ -a, & -b^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e, & 0 \\ x, & e \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b + cx, & c \\ 0, & -b^* - xc \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e, & 0 \\ -x, & e \end{bmatrix} \text{ on } B(H^{(2)}),$$

for any Hermitian solution x of (3).

Since a is invertible, $\sigma(b + cx_+) \subset \pi_-$, as discussed before. Thus the spectrum of $M \in M_2(A)$ splits into two parts, one on each side of the imaginary axis. Let K be a Cauchy domain with boundary k such that $\sigma(M) \cap \pi_- \subset K \subset \pi_-$.

Since $M_2(A)$ is a C^* -algebra, it is norm-closed and E defined by

$$E = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_k (zI - M)^{-1} dz$$

is an element of $M_2(A)$. It is well-known that E is idempotent and that E commutes with M (E is the so-called spectral projection for M).

Since

$$(zI - M)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} e, & 0 \\ x_+, & e \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (ze - (b + cx_+))^{-1}, & d \\ 0 & (ze + (b + cx_+)^*)^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e, & 0 \\ -x_+, & e \end{bmatrix},$$

E can be written as

$$(4) \quad E = \begin{bmatrix} e, & 0 \\ x_+, & e \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p, & f \\ 0, & q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e, & 0 \\ -x_+, & e \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$p = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_k (ze - (b + cx_+))^{-1} dz$$

and

$$q = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_k (ze + (b + cx_+)^*)^{-1} dz.$$

But $p=e$ and $q=0$, since $\sigma(b+cx_+) \subset K$ and $\sigma(-(b+cx_+)^*)$ do not intersect with K .

Since E commutes with M , the operator matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} b+cx_+, & c \\ 0, & -(b+cx_+)^* \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} e, f \\ 0, 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

commute. We get

$$(b+cx_+)f+f(b+cx_+)^*=c.$$

The above equation has exactly one solution [8] given by

$$f = - \int_0^{\infty} e^{(b+cx_+)t} c e^{(b+cx_+)^*t} dt,$$

because $\sigma(b+cx_+) \subset \pi_-$. Note that f is nonnegative because $c \leq 0$. By (4) we have

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} e-fx_+, & f \\ x_+ - x_+fx_+, & x_+f \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $E \in M_2(A)$, all entries, f , x_+f , fx_+ and $x_+ - x_+fx_+$ are in A . It is known that $f^{1/2}$, which exists since f is nonnegative, can be written as a limit of polynomials in f without a constant term. Hence $x_+f^{1/2}$ is in A . Since $x_+ - x_+fx_+$ is in A , and $x_+fx_+ = (x_+f^{1/2})(x_+f^{1/2})^*$ is in A , so is x_+ .

The difference $x_+ - x_-$ satisfies the equation

$$(x_+ - x_-)(b+cx_+) + (b+cx_+)^*(x_+ - x_-) = (x_+ - x_-)c(x_+ - x_-).$$

Hence $(x_+ - x_-)^{-1}$, which exists by the invertibility of a [5, Th. 3], is a solution of the equation

$$(b+cx_+)(x_+ - x_-)^{-1} + (x_+ - x_-)^{-1}(b+cx_+)^* = c.$$

But the equation has a unique solution f , that we already met. It follows that

$$x_- = x_+ - f^{-1}.$$

Hence x_- is in A . This completes the proof of (i).

(ii) Let $x \in A$ be a Hermitian solution of the equation (3). Since the same equation can be considered also in $B(H)$, x can be written, by Theorem 1, as

$$x = x_+p + x_-(e-p),$$

where p is a corresponding idempotent in $B(H)$. Set $\Delta = x_+ - x_-$. Since x_+ and x are Hermitian, it follows from

$$x_+ - x = \Delta(e-p)$$

that

$$(5) \quad \Delta p = p^* \Delta.$$

We can also write

$$p = e - \Delta^{-1}(x_+ - x),$$

which shows that p is in A . If we define h by

$$h = \Delta^{1/2} p \Delta^{-1/2}$$

it follows from (5) that $h^* = h$.

By Theorem 1, p is a projection (not necessary orthogonal) onto the invariant subspace of the operator $b + cx_+$. Thus

$$p(b + cx_+)p = (b + cx_+)p.$$

Combining this with the definition of r in (ii) we obtain

$$hrh = rh.$$

Let $h \in A$ be a projector satisfying $hrh = rh$. If we define

$$(6) \quad p = \Delta^{-1/2} h \Delta^{1/2},$$

we shall show that

$$(7) \quad x = x_+ p + x_- (e - p)$$

is a Hermitian solution of the equation (3).

It is a consequence of the definition of p that

$$(8) \quad p^* \Delta = \Delta p.$$

Let $d = x_+ - x = \Delta(e - p)$. By (8), we get $d^* = d$, hence $x^* = x$. The identity

$$(9) \quad p(b + cx_+)p = (b + cx_+)p$$

follows from $hrh = rh$.

Since x_+ and x_- are Hermitian solutions of the equation (3) it can easily be verified that

$$(10) \quad -\Delta(b + cx_-) = (b + cx_+)^* \Delta.$$

From (8), (9) and (10), we conclude that

$$p(b + cx_-)p = p(b + cx_-).$$

Combining this with (9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(e-p)(b+cx_+) &= (e-p)(b+cx_+)(e-p) = (e-p)((b+cx_-) + c\Delta)(e-p) = \\ &= (b+cx_-)(e-p) + (e-p)c\Delta(e-p).\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the above equality by Δ on left, and using (10) again, we get

$$\Delta(e-p)(b+cx_+) + (b+cx_+)^*\Delta(e-p) = \Delta(e-p)c\Delta(e-p),$$

from which we see that

$$(11) \quad x = x_+ - \Delta(e-p)$$

is a solution of the equation

$$xcx + b^*x + xb + a = 0.$$

Since Δ is invertible, (11) tells us that the correspondence between Hermitian solutions and projections h satisfying $hrh=rh$ is one-to-one. The proof is complete.

Proposition. *Let x_1 and x_2 be two Hermitian solutions of the equation (3), and denote the corresponding projections by h_1 and h_2 . Then $x_1 \geq x_2$ if and only if $h_1 \geq h_2$.*

Proof. From (6) and (7) we have

$$x_1 - x_2 = \Delta^{1/2}(h_1 - h_2)\Delta^{1/2}.$$

The proposition is obvious.

References

1. R. W. Brockett. Finite Dimensional Linear Systems, N. Y., 1970.
2. J. W. Bunce. Stabilizability of Linear Systems Defined over C^* Algebras, *Math. Systems Theory*, **18**, 1985, 237-250.
3. W. A. Coppel. Matrix Quadratic Equations, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **10**, 1974, 377-401.
4. J. Dixmier. Les C^* -algebres et leur representations, P., 1969.
5. Mirko Dobovišek. Operator Quadratic Equations, (to appear in *Glas. Mat.*).
6. O. Hijab. Stabilization of Control Systems, Applications of Mathematics, N. Y., 1987.
7. M. Megan. On the Stabilizability and Controlability of Dissipative Systems in Hilbert space, S. E. F. 32, Timișoara, 1975.
8. M. Rosenblum. On the Operator Equation $BX - XA = Q$, *Duke Math. J.*, **23**, 1956, 263-270.
9. J. C. Willems. Least Squares Stationary Optimal Control and Algebraic Riccati Equation, *IEEE Trans. Automatic Control*, **16**, 1971, 621-634.
10. W. M. Wohnam. On Pole Assignment in Multi-Input Controllable Linear Systems, *IEEE Trans. Automatic Control*, **12**, 1967, 660-665.

Department of Mathematics
Institute of Mathematics, Physics and Mechanics
Jadranska 19
61000 Ljubljana
YUGOSLAVIA

Received 01. 04. 1988