

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.  
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

# Mathematica Balkanica

Mathematical Society of South-Eastern Europe  
A quarterly published by  
the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences – National Committee for Mathematics

---

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on Mathematica Balkanica visit the website of the journal  
<http://www.mathbalkanica.info>

or contact:

Mathematica Balkanica - Editorial Office;  
Acad. G. Bonchev str., Bl. 25A, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria  
Phone: +359-2-979-6311, Fax: +359-2-870-7273,  
E-mail: [balmat@bas.bg](mailto:balmat@bas.bg)

## Uniform Semi-Classical Asymptotics of the Spectral Function for Schrödinger Operators and Periodic Bicharacteristics

Georgi E. Karadzhov

Presented by V. Popov

One considers the spectral function  $e_h(\lambda, x, y)$  of the Schrödinger operator  $A_h = -\frac{h^2}{2}\Delta + V$  with a  $C^\infty$  positive potential  $V$ , under some conditions on the periodic bicharacteristics of the hamiltonian  $p(x, \xi) = \xi^2/2 + V(x)$ . An asymptotics of the function  $e_h(\lambda, x, x)$  as  $h \rightarrow +0$  is obtained, which is locally uniform with respect to the parameters  $(\lambda, x)$ . Near the caustic points  $V(x) = \lambda$  this asymptotics is expressed in terms of the Airy functions.

### 1. Introduction and statement of the results

Let  $A_h = -\frac{h^2}{2}\Delta + V$ ,  $h > 0$  be the Schrödinger operator with a  $C^\infty$  positive potential  $V$ . This operator is essentially self-adjoint in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $A_h = \int \lambda dE_\lambda$ . The kernel  $e_h(\lambda, x, y)$  of the orthogonal projection  $E_\lambda$  is called the spectral function of the operator  $A_h$ .

The purpose of this paper is to find the asymptotics of the function  $e_h(\lambda, x, x)$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$ , which is locally uniform with respect to the parameters  $(\lambda, x)$ . One obtains the main term and an estimate of the rest. This estimate is improved when the periodic bicharacteristics of the hamiltonian  $p(x, \xi) = \xi^2/2 + V(x)$  are not too much. More precisely, we consider two hypotheses —  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_2)$ . Let  $n \geq 2$  and let  $\Phi^t(y, \eta) = (x(t, y, \eta), \xi(t, y, \eta))$  be the hamiltonian flow of  $p$ , lying on the energy level  $p(y, \eta) = \lambda$ .

$(H_1)$  We say that the point  $(\lambda, y)$  satisfies the hypothesis  $(H_1)$  if  $\lambda - V(y) \geq \delta > 0$  and if the measure of the set

$$S(\lambda, y) = \{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n : p(y, \eta) = \lambda, x(t, y, \eta) = y \text{ for some } t \neq 0\}$$

is zero.

$(H_2)$  We say that the point  $(\lambda, y)$  satisfies the hypothesis  $(H_2)$  if  $V(y) = \lambda$  and the bicharacteristic  $\Phi^t(y, 0)$  is not periodic.

It is not hard to see that the set of the points  $\{(\lambda, y)\}$ , satisfying  $(H_2)$  is open, provided that  $\lambda$  is not critical value of  $V$ .

**Example 1.** Let  $V(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j^2 x_j^2$ . If  $\alpha_i/\alpha_j$  is not rational number for some  $i \neq j$ , then the hypothesis  $(H_1)$  is satisfied for every point  $(\lambda, x)$  such that  $\lambda - V(x) \geq \delta > 0$ .

**Example 2.** For the potential  $x_1^2 + 2x_2^2$  the points  $(\lambda, x)$ , where  $x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 = \lambda$ ,  $x_1 \neq 0$ ,  $x_2 \neq 0$ , satisfy the hypothesis  $(H_2)$ .

We prove the following asymptotics and estimates of the spectral function  $e_h(\lambda, x, x)$ , which are locally uniform with respect to the parameters  $(\lambda, x)$ . If the hypotheses  $(H_1)$  or  $(H_2)$  are not satisfied, then the quantity  $o(1)$  in all estimates as  $h \rightarrow 0$  must be replaced by  $O(1)$ .

**Theorem 1.** (The case  $V(x) \leq \lambda - \delta$ ,  $\delta > 0$ .) Let the points  $(\lambda, x)$  satisfy the hypothesis  $(H_1)$ . Then

$$(1) \quad e_h(\lambda, x, x) = (2\pi)^{-n} V_n [2(\lambda - V(x))]^{n/2} h^{-n} + O(h^{-n+1}), \quad h \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $V_n$  is the volume of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Theorem 2.** (The case  $\lambda - \delta \leq V(x) \leq \lambda$ .) Let  $V(x) \neq 0$  and let the point  $(\lambda, x_0)$  satisfies the hypothesis  $(H_2)$ . Then there exist a positive number  $\delta$  and a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x_0$  such that for every  $(\lambda, x)$  with  $\lambda - \delta \leq V(x) \leq \lambda$ ,  $x \in U$  we have

$$(2) \quad e_h(\lambda, x, x) = a_n(h, \lambda, x) h^{-2n/3} + b_n(h, \lambda, x) O(h^{-2n/3+1/3}), \quad h \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$(3) \quad a_n(h, \lambda, x) = (2\pi)^{-n} V_n \left( \frac{2(\lambda - V(x))}{B(\lambda, x)} \right)^{n/2} f_n(-B(\lambda, x) h^{-2/3}),$$

$$(4) \quad b_n(h, \lambda, x) = f_{n-2}(-B(\lambda, x) h^{-2/3}),$$

$$(5) \quad f_n(s) = \int_0^\infty Ai(\sigma + s) \sigma^{n/2} d\sigma, \quad Ai(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i(\sigma s + s^3/3)} ds$$

being the Airy function and

$$(6) \quad B = B(\lambda, x) = \left( \frac{3}{2} \psi(t(\lambda, x), \xi(\lambda, x), \lambda, x) \right)^{2/3},$$

$$(7) \quad \psi(t, \xi, \lambda, x) = \lambda t + \varphi(t, \xi, x) - \xi x,$$

$$(8) \quad \partial_t \varphi + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_x \varphi)^2 + V(x) = 0, \quad \varphi(0, \xi, x) = \xi x.$$

Finally,  $(t(\lambda, x), \xi(\lambda, x))$  is the critical point of  $\psi$  for which

$$(9) \quad \lambda - V(x) - \frac{1}{8} (V'(x))^2 t^2 = O(t^4), \quad \xi = \frac{1}{2} V'(x) t + O(t^3), \quad t \rightarrow 0.$$

**Remark 1.** The coefficient  $B(\lambda, x)$  has the asymptotics

$$(10) \quad B(\lambda, x) = B_0(\lambda, x) + O((\lambda - V(x))^2) \text{ as } \lambda - V(x) \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$(11) \quad B_0 = B_0(\lambda, x) = 2|V'(x)|^{-2/3}(\lambda - V(x)).$$

**Remark 2.** The functions  $f_n$  are positive and satisfy the recurrence relations

$$(12) \quad f_n(s) = -sf_{n-2}(s) + f''_{n-2}(s), \quad n \geq 2; \quad f_0(s) = \int_s^\infty Ai(\sigma) d\sigma;$$

$$(13) \quad f_1(s) = \pi 2^{1/3} \{ -4^{-1/3} s(Ai(4^{-1/3} s))^2 + (Ai'(4^{-1/3} s))^2 \}.$$

Moreover, they decrease if  $n \geq 1$  and

$$(14) \quad f_n(s) = (-s)^{n/2} + R_n(s), \quad s \rightarrow -\infty, \quad n \geq 0,$$

where

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} R_0(s) &= O(|s|^{-3/4}), \quad R_1(s) = O(|s|^{-1}), \\ R_{2k}(s) &= O(|s|^{k-9/4}), \quad R_{2k+1}(s) = O(|s|^{k-5/2}), \quad k \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.** (The case  $\lambda \leq V(x) \leq \lambda + \text{const } h^{2/3}$ .) Under the conditions of Theorem 2

$$(16) \quad e_h(\lambda, x, x) = a_n(h, \lambda, x) h^{-2n/3} + o(h^{-2n/3+1/3}), \quad h \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $x \in U$ ,

$$(17) \quad a_n(h, \lambda, x) = (2\pi)^{-n} V_n |V'(x)|^{n/3} f_n(-B_0 h^{-2/3})$$

and  $B_0$  is given by (11).

**Corollary.** (The case  $|\lambda - V(x)| \leq \text{const } h$ .) If  $V'(x) \neq 0$  then

$$(18) \quad e_h(\lambda, x, x) = (2\pi)^{-n} V_n |V'(x)|^{n/3} f_n(0) h^{-2n/3} + O(h^{-2n/3+1/3}), \quad h \rightarrow 0.$$

**Theorem 4.** (The case  $\lambda + h^{2/3-\varepsilon} \leq V(x)$ .) If  $V'(x) \neq 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  then

$$(19) \quad e_h(\lambda, x, x) = O(h^\infty), \quad h \rightarrow 0.$$

**Theorem 5.** (The case  $V(x) \geq \lambda + h^{1/2-\varepsilon}$ .) In this case the uniform estimate (19) is valid, assuming only the condition  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

## 2. Method of the proofs

Using appropriate tauberian arguments, we reduce the asymptotics of the function  $e_h(\lambda, x, x)$  to its averages

$$e_{h,\rho}(\lambda, x) = \int \rho_h(\lambda - \mu) e_h(\mu, x, x) d\mu, \quad e'_{h,\rho}(\lambda, x) = \int \rho \left( \frac{\lambda - \mu}{h} \right) de_h(\mu, x, x),$$

where  $\rho_h(\lambda) = \frac{1}{h} \rho(\frac{\lambda}{h})$ ,  $\rho$  is smooth, even and rapidly decreasing function on  $\mathbb{R}$ , which Fourier transform  $\hat{\rho}(t) = \int e^{-i\lambda t} \rho(\lambda) d\lambda$  has a compact support and  $\hat{\rho}(0) = 1$ .

**Tauberian theorem.** Let the function  $\lambda \rightarrow E_h(\lambda, x)$ , depending on the parameter  $x$ , satisfy the conditions:

(i)  $|E_h(\lambda, x)| \leq \text{const } h^{-\alpha} (1 + |\lambda|)^\beta$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\beta > 0$ ,  $0 < h < h_0$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , locally uniformly over  $x$ ;

(ii)  $|E_h(\lambda + \sigma Th, x) - E_h(\lambda, x)| \leq \text{const } b(h, \lambda, x) h^{-\gamma} (T + C(T)O(1))$ ,  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $T > 0$ ,  $|\sigma| \leq 1$ ,  $h \rightarrow 0$ , locally uniformly with respect to  $(\lambda, x)$  where

$$(iii) \quad b(h, \lambda, x) \geq C_0 > 0.$$

If there exists a function  $d(\lambda, x)$  with the property

$$(iv) \quad b(h, \lambda + \sigma, x) \leq \text{const } b(h, \lambda, x) \text{ for } |\sigma| \leq d(\lambda, x),$$

then

$$(v) \quad |E_h(\lambda, x) - E_{h, \rho_T}(\lambda, x)| \leq \text{const } b(h, \lambda, x) h^{-\gamma} (T + C(T)O(1)), \quad h \rightarrow 0,$$

locally uniformly in the region  $d(\lambda, x) \geq Ch^\epsilon$ ,  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ ,  $c > 0$ .

Further we use the relation

$$e'_{h, \rho}(\lambda, x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{i\lambda h^{-1}t} \hat{\rho}(t) U_h(t, x, x) dt,$$

where  $U_h(t, x, y)$  is the kernel of the operator  $U_h(t) = e^{-ih^{-1}tA_h}$  and a  $h$ -approximation of this operator in the form

$$(20) \quad Q_h(t)u(x) = (2\pi h)^{-n} \int e^{ih^{-1}\varphi(t, \xi, x)} q(t, \xi, x, h) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi, \quad u \in C_0^\infty,$$

where  $t$  varies on a compact interval.

Such a parametrix can be constructed by the methods from [2], [6], [11], [13], locally relative to the variables  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . In particular, for a fixed compact  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  the phase function  $\varphi$  is a solution of the problem (8) for  $x \in K$  and if  $t$  is near zero. The amplitude function  $q$  has a form  $q(t, \xi, x, h) = \sum_{j=0}^N h^j q_j(t, \xi, x)$ ,  $N$ -large enough, and

$$(21) \quad \partial_t q_0 + \partial_x \varphi \partial_x q_0 + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 \varphi q_0 = 0, \quad q_0(0, \xi, x) = 1.$$

If  $|t| \geq \delta > 0$  then locally over  $(t, x)$  the parametrix  $Q_h(t)$  is of the same form (20) with another phase function  $\varphi$ , satisfying the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$(22) \quad \partial_t \varphi + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_x \varphi)^2 + V(x) = 0.$$

Let  $\varkappa \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  be an even function,  $\varkappa(t) = 1$  near  $t = 0$ . As in [10] we have

$$(23) \quad e'_{h, \rho_T}(\lambda, x) = h^{-n} \int e^{i\lambda h^{-1} \varphi_{r_1}} dt d\xi + J_h(\lambda, x),$$

where  $\psi$  is given by (7) and

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} r_1(t, \xi, x, h) &= (2\pi)^{-n-1} \hat{\rho}_T(t) \kappa(t) q(t, \xi, x, h), \\ J_h(\lambda, x) &\sim h^{-n} \int e^{ih^{-1}\psi} r_2 dt d\xi, \\ r_2(t, \xi, x, h) &= (2\pi)^{-n-1} \hat{\rho}_T(t) (1 - \kappa(t)) q(t, \xi, x, h). \end{aligned}$$

The equivalence " $A_h(\lambda, x) \sim B_h(\lambda, x)$ " means that  $A_h(\lambda, x) - B_h(\lambda, x) = O(h^\infty)$  locally uniformly in  $(\lambda, x)$ . Moreover, the support of the functions  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  can be supposed to be compact over  $(t, \xi)$ .

The hypotheses  $(H_1)$  or  $(H_2)$  allow us to prove that  $J_h(\lambda, x) = o(h^{-n+1})$  or  $O(h^\infty)$  respectively. Thus the problem is to find the asymptotics of the integrals of the form (24) as  $h \rightarrow 0$ , which must be locally uniform with respect to the parameters  $(\lambda, x)$ . In the case  $V(x) \leq \lambda - \delta, \delta > 0$  the critical points of the phase function  $(t, \sigma) \rightarrow \psi(t, \sigma, \lambda, x), |\omega| = 1$  are nondegenerate and the method of the stationary phase is applicable. If  $V(x)$  is close to  $\lambda$ , then the critical points of  $\psi$  may degenerate. In this case we apply the theory of the versal deformations [1], [12] and prove that if  $V'(x) \neq 0$  then the function  $\psi(t, \xi, \lambda, x)$  admits a normal form  $-B(\lambda, x)t + t\xi^2 + t^3/3$ . After we use polar coordinates  $\xi = \sigma\omega$  and the Malgrange preparation theorem.

### 3. Proof of the Tauberian theorem

Since

$$(25) \quad E_{h, \rho_T}(\lambda, x) - E_h(\lambda, x) = \int [E_h(\lambda - \mu Th, x) - E_h(\lambda, x)] \rho(\mu) d\mu,$$

it is sufficient to estimate the difference  $\Delta E_h = E_h(\lambda - \mu Th, x) - E_h(\lambda, x)$  locally uniformly with respect to  $(\lambda, x)$ .

1<sup>st</sup> case:  $|\mu Th| \leq d(\lambda, x)$ . Then the conditions (ii), (iv) imply the bound

$$(26) \quad |\Delta E_h| \leq \text{const } b(h, \lambda, x) h^{-\gamma(T + C(T)o(1))} (1 + |\mu|), \quad h \rightarrow 0.$$

2<sup>nd</sup> case:  $|\mu Th| > d(\lambda, x)$ . Now the conditions (i), (iii) show that

$$(27) \quad |\Delta E_h| \leq \text{const } b(h, \lambda, x) h^{-\gamma} o(1) (1 + |\mu|)^{\alpha + \beta}, \quad h \rightarrow 0$$

in the region  $d(\lambda, x) \geq ch^\epsilon, c > 0, 0 < \epsilon < 1$ .

Evidently the estimate (v) follows from (25) - (27).

**Remark 3.** The Tauberian theorem will be used at the proof of Theorem 2 in the region  $B(\lambda, x) \geq Ch^{2/3}, C > 0$ . In all other cases we shall use the more simple variant of the Tauberian theorem: the conditions (i), (ii) with  $b = 1$  imply (v) with  $b = 1$ .

### 4. Proof of Theorem 1

We start from the formula (23). In view of (22) the critical points of the phase function  $\psi$  satisfy the relations

$$(28) \quad \partial_{\xi} \varphi = x, \quad p(x, \partial_x \varphi) = \lambda$$

are taking into account the property  $\Phi'(\partial_{\xi} \varphi, \xi) = (x, \partial_x \varphi)$  we conclude that

$$(29) \quad \Phi'(x, \xi) = (x, \partial_x \varphi), \quad p(x, \partial_x \varphi) = \lambda, \quad p(x, \xi) = \lambda.$$

Using the expression (10.13) from [2] of the phase function  $\varphi$  it is not hard to see that the range of the Hessian  $\varphi''$  in the critical points is equal to 2. Therefore the method of the stationary phase and the hypothesis  $(H_1)$  lead to the estimate

$$(30) \quad J_h(\lambda, x) = o(h^{-n+1}), \quad h \rightarrow 0.$$

Further, integrating by parts in the integral (23) over each coordinate  $\xi_j$  and summing, we get from (23), (30) the formula

$$(31) \quad e_{h, \rho_T}(\lambda, x) = I_h(\lambda, x) + o(h^{-n+1}), \quad h \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$(32) \quad I_h(\lambda, x) = h^{-n} \int e^{i h^{-1} \psi} r \, dt \, d\xi,$$

$$r(t, \xi, x, h) = \frac{(2\pi)^{-n-1}}{n} \frac{\hat{p}_T(t)}{t} [-h^{-1} \xi \partial_{\xi} \psi q + i \xi \partial_{\xi} q] \kappa(t).$$

It is convenient to use polar coordinates  $\xi = \sigma \omega$  in the integral (32). From (29) it follows that the point  $t=0$ ,  $\sigma^2/2 = \lambda - V(x)$  is critical for the phase function  $(t, \sigma) \rightarrow \psi(t, \sigma \omega, \lambda, x)$ . Since  $\varphi$  satisfies (8), (28) we see that for the other critical points the estimate  $\sigma \leq C|t|$  is fulfilled, hence on the support of the integrand  $r$  there are not other critical points. Using the method of the stationary phase we find the asymptotics of the integral  $I_h(\lambda, x)$ , and taking into account (31), we obtain

$$(33) \quad e_{h, \rho_T}(\lambda, x) = (2\pi)^{-n} V_n [2(\lambda - V(x))]^{n/2} h^{-n} + o(h^{-n+1}), \quad h \rightarrow 0.$$

Analogously,

$$(34) \quad e'_{h, \rho_T}(\lambda, x) = a_n(\lambda, x) h^{-n+1} + O(h^{-n+2}), \quad h \rightarrow 0.$$

On the other hand it is known that [10]

$$(35) \quad e_h(\lambda, x, x) \leq \text{const } h^{-\alpha} (1 + |\lambda|)^{\beta}, \quad 0 < h < h_0, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

locally uniformly over  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , for some  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\beta > 0$ .

Finally, (33) – (35) and remark 3 give the asymptotics (1).

## 5. Proof of Theorem 2

From the hypothesis  $(H_2)$  it follows that there exists a positive number  $\delta$  such that if  $|V(x) - \lambda| \leq \delta$  then the critical points of the phase function  $\psi$  are outside of the support of the function  $(t, \xi) \rightarrow r_2(t, \xi, x, h)$ . Therefore the integral (24) satisfies the estimate  $J_h(\lambda, x) = O(h^{\infty})$ ,  $h \rightarrow 0$ , locally uniformly in  $(\lambda, x)$  if  $|V(x) - \lambda| \leq \delta$ . Thus we obtain analogously to (31),

$$(36) \quad e_{h, \rho_T}(\lambda, x) = I_h(\lambda, x) + O(h^\infty), \quad h \rightarrow 0.$$

To evaluate the integral  $I_h(\lambda, x)$  we note first that the critical points of the phase function  $\psi$  satisfy the equations  $\partial_t \psi = 0, \partial_\xi \psi = 0$  and

$$(37) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t \psi &= \lambda - V(x) - \frac{\xi^2}{2} + V'(x)\xi t - \frac{t^2}{2}((V''(x))^2 + \langle V'''(x)\xi, \xi \rangle) + O(t^3), \\ \partial_\xi \psi &= t \left[ -\xi + \frac{1}{2}V''(x)t - V'''(x)\xi \frac{t^2}{3} \right] + O(t^4), \quad t \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Because of (7), (8) the function  $(t, \xi) \rightarrow \psi(t, \xi, \lambda, x)$  is odd, therefore only the points  $\{(0, \xi) : \xi^2/2 = \lambda - V(x)\}$  and  $\{(\pm t(\lambda, x), \pm \xi(\lambda, x))\}$  are critical, where  $(t(\lambda, x), \xi(\lambda, x))$  satisfies (9) and  $p(x, \xi(\lambda, x)) = \lambda$ . In particular,  $(t, \xi) \rightarrow 0$  if  $V(x) \rightarrow \lambda$ .

Let  $V(x) = \lambda$ . Then

$$\psi(t, \xi, \lambda, x) = -\frac{t}{2} \left[ \left( \xi - \frac{tV'(x)}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{t^2}{3} \left( \frac{(V''(x))^2}{4} + \langle V'''(x)\xi, \xi \rangle \right) \right] + O(t^4),$$

hence there exists an odd change of variables  $t = \tau p_1(\tau, \eta, \lambda, x), \xi = \xi_1(\tau, \eta, \lambda, x)$  such that

$$(38) \quad \psi(t, \xi, \lambda, x) = \tau \eta^2 + \tau^3/3 \quad \text{if } V(x) = \lambda, \quad V'(x) \neq 0.$$

From the theory of the versal deformations [1], [12] it follows that the family  $ct + t\xi^2 + t^3/3$  is a versal deformation of the function  $t\xi^2 + t^3/3$  in the class  $D$  of all smooth functions  $g(t, \xi)$ , defined in a neighborhood of the origin, with the properties:  $g(-t, -\xi) = -g(t, \xi), g(0, \xi) = 0$ , which class is invariant under the local diffeomorphisms  $(\tau, \eta) = v(t, \xi) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ , such that  $v(-t, -\xi) = -v(t, \xi), v(0, \xi) = (0, \eta)$ .

Consequently, since the function  $\psi \in D$  and satisfies (38), there exists an odd change of variables  $(t, \xi) \rightarrow (\tau, \eta)$  such that

$$(39) \quad t = \tau p(\tau, \eta, \lambda, x), \quad \xi = \xi(\tau, \eta, \lambda, x),$$

$$(40) \quad \psi(t, \xi, \lambda, x) = -B(\lambda, x)\tau + \tau \eta^2 + \tau^3/3$$

if  $V'(x) \neq 0$  and  $|V(x) - \lambda| \leq \delta, \delta$  being sufficiently small. In addition, the coefficient  $B(\lambda, x)$  satisfies (6) and the asymptotics (10), (11) if  $V(x) \leq \lambda$ .

Therefore by the principle of the stationary phase we obtain

$$(41) \quad I_h(\lambda, x) \sim \int e^{ih^{-1}(-B\tau + \tau \eta^2 + \tau^3/3)} g(\tau, \eta, x, h) d\tau d\eta,$$

where  $g = h^{-1} \tilde{g}_1 + \tilde{g}_0$ ,

$$(42) \quad \tilde{g}_1(\tau, \eta, x) = -\frac{(2\pi)^{-n-1}}{n} \frac{\hat{\rho}_T(t)}{t} \chi(t) \xi \partial_\xi \psi q_0(t, \xi, x) X(\xi) J(\tau, \eta),$$

$$\tilde{g}_0(\tau, \eta, x, h) = \frac{(2\pi)^{-n-1}}{n} \frac{\hat{\rho}_T(t)}{t} \chi(t) \left[ i \xi \partial_\xi q - \sum_{j=1}^N h^{j-1} q_j \xi \partial_\xi \psi \right] X(\xi) J(\tau, \eta),$$

$$J(\tau, \eta) = \left| \det \frac{D(t, \xi)}{D(\tau, \eta)} \right|$$



and  $X \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a cutoff, even function. Note in view of (21), (8) that the function  $(\tau, \eta) \rightarrow \tilde{g}_1(\tau, \eta, x)$  is even.

In polar coordinates  $\eta = \sigma\omega$  the integral (41) becomes

$$(43) \quad I_h \sim I_{h,1} + I_{h,0},$$

where

$$(44) \quad I_{h,j}(\lambda, x) = h^{-j-n} \int_0^\infty \int e^{ih^{-1}(-B\tau + \tau\sigma^2 + \tau^3/3)} \sigma^{n-1} g_j(\tau, \sigma, h) d\tau d\sigma$$

and

$$(45) \quad g_j(\tau, \sigma, h) = \int_{|\omega|=1} \tilde{g}_j(\tau, \sigma\omega, x, h) d\omega,$$

in particular  $g_1(\tau, \sigma) = G_1(\tau, \sigma^2)$ ,  $g_0(\tau, \sigma, h) = G_0(\tau, \sigma^2, h)$ ,  $G_j \in C^\infty$ . Finally, the function  $\tau \rightarrow G_j(\tau, \sigma, h)$  is even.

Using the Malgrange preparation theorem, we can write

$$G_1(\tau, \sigma) = a_0 + a_2\sigma + (\tau^2 + \sigma - B)F_1 + \tau\sigma F_2, \quad F_j \in C^\infty,$$

whence

$$(46) \quad g_1(\tau, \sigma) = a_0 + a_2\sigma^2 + (\tau^2 + \sigma^2 - B)f_1 + \tau\sigma^2 f_2, \quad f_j \in C^\infty$$

and  $f_j(\tau, \sigma) = F_j(\tau, \sigma^2)$ . In addition, the coefficients  $a_0, a_2$  satisfy the formulas:  $a_0 = g_1(\sqrt{B}, 0)$ ,  $a_2 = \frac{1}{B}(g_1(0, \sqrt{B}) - a_0)$ . Since the critical point  $(t(\lambda, x), \zeta(\lambda, x))$  is image of the point  $(\sqrt{B}, 0)$ , it follows from (42) that

$$(47) \quad a_0 = 0, \quad a_2 = \frac{1}{B}g_1(0, \sqrt{B}).$$

Integrating by parts in the integral  $I_{h,1}(\lambda, x)$  with the help of (46), (47), we get

$$(48) \quad I_{h,1}(\lambda, x) = a_2 h^{-1-n} \int_0^\infty \int e^{ih^{-1}(-B\tau + \tau\sigma^2 + \tau^3/3)} \sigma^{n+1} d\tau d\sigma + J_{h,1}(\lambda, x),$$

where

$$(49) \quad J_{h,1}(\lambda, x) \sim ih^{-n} \int_0^\infty \int e^{ih^{-1}(-B\tau + \tau\sigma^2 + \tau^3/3)} \sigma^{n-1} u(\tau, \sigma) d\tau d\sigma + R_1,$$

$$(50) \quad u(\tau, \sigma) = \partial_\tau f_1 + \frac{n}{2} f_2 + \frac{\sigma}{2} \partial_\sigma f_2$$

and  $R_1 = R_1(h, \lambda, x)$  is an integral of the same form, but of lower order with respect to  $h$ .

Since the function  $\tau \rightarrow u(\tau, \sigma)$  is odd we can write

$$(51) \quad u(\tau, \sigma) = a_1\tau + (\tau^2 + \sigma^2 - B)u_1 + \tau\sigma^2 u_2,$$

therefore (48) – (51), (5) give

$$(52) \quad I_{h,1}(\lambda, x) = \pi h^{-2n/3} [a_2 f_n(-Bh^{-2/3}) + a_1 f'_{n-2}(-Bh^{-2/3})h^{2/3}] + R_1.$$

Iterating the previous considerations, we obtain

$$(53) \quad R_1 = (\tilde{b}_n(h, \lambda, x) + O(1))O(h^{-2n/3+4/3}),$$

where

$$(54) \quad \tilde{b}_n(h, \lambda, x) = f_{n-2}(-Bh^{-2/3}) + h^{1/3} |f'_{n-2}(-Bh^{-2/3})| + h^{2/3} f_n(-Bh^{-2/3}).$$

Later on we shall prove that

$$(55) \quad 0 < c \leq \tilde{b}_n(h, \lambda, x) \leq \text{const } b_n(h, \lambda, x)$$

and

$$(56) \quad |f'_{n-2}(-Bh^{-2/3})| \leq \text{const } b_n(h, \lambda, x).$$

Thus (52) – (56) yield

$$(57) \quad I_{h,1}(\lambda, x) = \pi a_2 f_n(-Bh^{-2/3})h^{-2n/3} + b_n(h, \lambda, x)O(h^{-2n/3+2/3}).$$

Analogously,

$$(58) \quad I_{h,0}(\lambda, x) = b_n(h, \lambda, x)O(h^{-2n/3+2/3}).$$

To compute the coefficient  $a_2$ , we note that the points  $(0, \sqrt{B}\omega)$  are images of the critical points  $(0, \xi)$ ,  $\xi^2/2 = \lambda - V(x)$ , therefore (47), (44), (42) give

$$(59) \quad a_2 = \frac{(2\pi)^{-n-1}}{n} \frac{2(\lambda - V(x))}{B(\lambda, x)} \int_{|\omega|=1} J(0, \sqrt{B}\omega) d\omega.$$

On the other hand, from (39), (40) it follows that

$$(60) \quad \left( \det \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \eta} \right)^2 = (-2)^n \left( \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial t} \right)^n$$

and  $J^2 \det \psi'' = 2^{n+1} (\tau^2 - \eta^2) \tau^{n-1}$  in the critical points. Hence  $J(0, \sqrt{B}\omega) = 2 \left( \frac{2(\lambda - V(x))}{B(\lambda, x)} \right)^{n/2-1}$  and (59) implies

$$(61) \quad a_2 = (2\pi)^{-n-1} 2V_n \left( \frac{2(\lambda - V(x))}{B(\lambda, x)} \right)^{n/2}.$$

Now (36), (41), (43), (57), (58), (59) lead to

$$(62) \quad e_{h,\rho_T}(\lambda, x) = a_n(h, \lambda, x)h^{-2n/3} + b_n(h, \lambda, x)O(h^{-2n/3+2/3}),$$

where the coefficients  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  are given by (3), (4).

Analogously,

$$(63) \quad |e'_{h,\rho_T}(\lambda, x)| \leq \text{const } b_n(h, \lambda, x)h^{-2n/3+1/3} (1 + O(h)).$$

To use the Tauberian theorem, we have to verify the properties (iii), (iv) for the function  $b_n(h, \lambda, x)$ . First we prove the asymptotics (14), (15). From the asymptotics of the Airy function it follows that:

$$f_0(s) = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}(-s)^{-3/4} \cos\left(\frac{2}{3}(-s)^{3/2} + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + O(|s|^{-9/4}), \quad s \rightarrow -\infty$$

and

$$f_0''(s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}(-s)^{1/4} \cos\left(\frac{2}{3}(-s)^{3/2} + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + O(|s|^{-5/4}) \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow -\infty.$$

Therefore (12) shows that  $f_2(s) = -s + O(|s|^{-5/4}), s \rightarrow -\infty$ . Analogously,

$$(64) \quad f_{2k}(s) = (-s)^k + O(|s|^{k-9/4}), \quad s \rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{if } k=2, 3.$$

Now the formula (64) follows inductively for every  $k \geq 1$  in view of (12). In the same way (13) and (12) imply

$$f_1(s) = (-s)^{1/2} + \frac{(-s)^{-1}}{2} \cos \frac{4}{3}(-s)^{3/2} + O(|s|^{-5/2}), \quad s \rightarrow -\infty$$

and

$$f_1''(s) = -\frac{1}{2} \cos \frac{4}{3}(-s)^{3/2} + O(|s|^{-3/2}), \quad s \rightarrow -\infty,$$

whence

$$(65) \quad f_{2k+1}(s) = (-s)^{k+1/2} + O(|s|^{k-5/2}), \quad s \rightarrow -\infty, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Further, we need the bound

$$(66) \quad f_0(s) > 0.$$

Indeed, it suffices to see that

$$(67) \quad I_k = \int_{s_{2k+2}}^{s_{2k+1}} Ai(\sigma) d\sigma > 0, \quad k \geq 0; \quad s_0 = \infty,$$

where  $0 > s_1 > s_2 > \dots$  are the zeros of the Airy function  $Ai(s)$ , so  $s_{n+1} - s_{n+2} < s_n - s_{n+1}$  and  $Ai(\sigma) > 0$  on the intervals  $(s_{2k+1}, s_{2k}), k \geq 0$ . Since

$$I_k = \int_{s_{2k+1}}^{s_{2k}} Ai(\sigma) d\sigma + \int_{s_{2k}}^{r_k} Ai(2s_{2k+1} - \sigma) d\sigma,$$

where  $r_k = 2s_{2k+1} - s_{2k+2}$ , then

$$(68) \quad I_k \geq \int_{s_{2k+1}}^{r_k} (Ai(\sigma) - f(\sigma)) d\sigma,$$

where  $f(\sigma) = -Ai(2s_{2k+1} - \sigma)$  if  $\sigma \in (s_{2k+1}, r_k)$ . To compare the functions  $Ai(\sigma)$  and  $f(\sigma)$  on the interval  $(s_{2k+1}, r_k)$ , we observe that there

$$\begin{aligned}
 f''(\sigma) + (\sigma - 2s_{2k+1})f(\sigma) &= 0, \quad Ai''(\sigma) + (-\sigma)Ai(\sigma) = 0, \\
 -\sigma < \sigma - 2s_{2k+1}, \quad \sigma - 2s_{2k+1} > 0, \quad f(\sigma) > 0, \quad Ai(\sigma) > 0, \\
 f(s_{2k+1}) &= Ai(s_{2k+1}) = 0, \quad f'(s_{2k+1}) = Ai'(s_{2k+1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f(\sigma) < Ai(\sigma)$  on the same interval and (67) follows from (68).

We assert that

(69)  $f_n(s)$  is positive and decreasing function if  $n \geq 1$ .

Indeed, for  $s \leq 0$  it is evident. Since  $f'_1(s) = -\pi 2^{-1/3} Ai^2(4^{-1/3}s) \leq 0$  and  $f'_2(s) = -f_0(s) < 0$ , we have (69) for  $n=1,2$ . Now we obtain (69) by induction, using the property  $f'_n(s) = -\frac{n}{2}f_{n-2}(s)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ .

Now the bounds (55), (56) follow from the asymptotics (64), (65) and the properties (66), (69). In particular, the function  $b_n$  satisfies (iii).

To verify (iv) with  $d(\lambda, x) = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - V(x))$ , we derive from (10) the equivalence

$$(70) \quad C_1 B(\lambda, x) \leq B(\lambda + \sigma, x) \leq C_2 B(\lambda, x), \quad C_j > 0 \text{ if } |\sigma| \leq d(\lambda, x).$$

Now the property (iv) for the function  $b_n(h, \lambda, x) = f_{n-2}(-Bh^{-2/3})$  is a consequence of (70) and the asymptotics (64), (65) or the estimates (66), (69).

Finally, Theorem 2 follows from (62), (63), (35) by the Tauberian theorem and Remark 3.

### 6. Proof of Theorem 3

In the considered case,  $V(x) \geq \lambda$ , there are not critical points of the phase function  $\psi$  if  $V(x) > \lambda$ . Therefore we can not compute the coefficient  $B(\lambda, x)$  in the formula (40) as before. We shall prove only the asymptotics (10). Namely, (39), (40) and (37) show that

$$(71) \quad (\lambda - V(x)) \frac{\partial t}{\partial \tau}(0, 0, \lambda, x) = -B(\lambda, x).$$

From here and the asymptotics (10) we get

$$(72) \quad \frac{\partial t}{\partial \tau}(0, 0, \lambda, x) = -2|V(x)|^{-2/3} \text{ if } \lambda = V(x).$$

Now (71), (72) and the Taylor formula give the asymptotics (10) as  $V(x) - \lambda \rightarrow 0$ .

Further, following the scheme of the proof of Theorem 2, we have to know the coefficients  $a_0, a_2$  from (46). The Taylor formula, (61) and (10) give

$$(73) \quad a_2(\lambda, x) = (2\pi)^{-n-1} 2V_n |V(x)|^{n/3} + O(V(x) - \lambda) \text{ as } V(x) - \lambda \rightarrow 0.$$

From (46), (45), (42), (37) it follows that

$$(74) \quad a_0 = Bf_1(0, 0), \quad \partial_\tau^2 g_1(0, 0) = 2f_1(0, 0) + O(B).$$

According to (42), (44) we have:  $g_1(\tau, 0) = a(\tau)b(\tau)$ , where  $a(\tau) = \frac{1}{t} \xi \partial_\xi \psi$ , so (37) gives  $a(0) = 0$ ,  $a'(0) = 0$  and

$$(75) \quad a''(0) = 2 \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \tau} \left[ -\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \tau} + \frac{1}{2} V'(x) \frac{\partial t}{\partial \tau} \right], \quad \tau = 0.$$

Further, from (37) we derive

$$\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \eta} V'(x) \left( \frac{\partial t}{\partial \tau} \right)^2 - 2 \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \tau} \frac{\partial t}{\partial \tau} = O(B) \text{ if } \tau = 0, \eta = 0,$$

hence (60) and (75) show that  $a''(0) = O(B)$  and (74) implies

$$(76) \quad a_0 = O(B^2).$$

Note that the function  $f_n(s)$  is bounded for  $s \geq 0$  and  $B(\lambda, x) \leq 0$  if  $V(x) \geq \lambda$ . Therefore, instead of (57), (58) now we obtain respectively

$$(77) \quad I_{h,1}(\lambda, x) = \pi h^{-2n/3} [a_0 f_{n-2}(-Bh^{-2/3}) h^{-2/3} + a_2 f_n(-Bh^{-2/3}) + O(h^{2/3})],$$

$$(78) \quad I_{h,0}(\lambda, x) = O(h^{-2n/3+2/3}), \quad h \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $|B| \leq C \cdot h^{2/3}$  it follows from (77), (76), (73) and (10), (11)

$$(79) \quad I_{h,1}(\lambda, x) = (2\pi)^{-n} V_n |V'(x)|^{n/3} f_n(-B_0 h^{-2/3}) h^{-2n/3} + O(h^{-2n/3+2/3}).$$

Thus (44), (43), (79), (78) imply

$$(80) \quad e_{h,\rho_T}(\lambda, x) = a_n(h, \lambda, x) h^{-2n/3} + O(h^{-2n/3+2/3}),$$

where the coefficient  $a_n$  is given by (13).

Analogously,

$$(81) \quad |e'_{h,\rho_T}(\lambda, x)| \leq \text{const } h^{-2n/3+1/3} (1 + O(h)), \quad h \rightarrow 0.$$

Finally, Theorem 3 follows from (80), (81), (35) and Remark 3.

## 7. Proof of Theorems 4 and 5

If  $V(x) \geq \lambda + h^{2/3-\varepsilon}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  then  $f_n(-Bh^{-2/3}) = O(h^\infty)$ , therefore analogously to the proof of Theorem 3 we obtain,

$$(82) \quad e_{h,\rho}(\lambda, x) = O(h^\infty), \quad e'_{h,\rho}(\lambda, x) = O(h^\infty),$$

whence the estimate (15) follows.

If  $V(x) \geq \lambda + h^{1/2-\varepsilon}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then we can integrate by parts in the integral (23), using the estimate  $(\partial_t \psi)^2 + (\partial_\xi \psi)^2 \geq C(V(x) - \lambda + \xi^2)^2$ . Here we do not need the condition  $V'(x) \neq 0$ . Thus we have the estimates (82).

## References

1. В. И. Арнольд, А. Н. Варченко, С. М. Гусейн-Заде. Особенности дифференцируемых отображений. Москва, „Наука“, 1982.
2. J. Chazarain. Spectre d'un hamiltonien quantique et mécanique classique. *Comm. P. D. E.*, 5, 1980, 595-644.
3. J. Duistermaat, V. Guillemin. The spectrum of positive elliptic operators and periodic bicharacteristics. *Invent. Math.*, 29, 1975, 39-79.
4. C. Gérard, A. Martinez. Semi-classical asymptotics for the spectral function of long range Schrödinger operators. Prépublications mathem. d'Orsay (1987).
5. V. Guillemin, S. Sternberg. Geometric asymptotics. *Amer. Math. Soc., Math. surveys*, 14, 1977.
6. B. Helffer, D. Robert. Comportement semi-classique du spectre des hamiltoniens quantiques elliptiques. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 31, 1981, 169-223.
7. L. Hörmander. The analysis of linear partial differential operators. Springer-Verlag, 1985.
8. В. Я. Иврий. О точных квазиклассических спектральных асимптотиках для -псевдодифференциальных операторов, действующих в расслоениях. *Труды семин. Соболева, Новосибирск*, 1, 1983, 51-76.
9. В. Я. Иврий. О квазиклассической спектральной асимптотике для оператора Шредингера на многообразиях с краем и для -псевдодифференциальных операторов, действующих в расслоениях, *Докл. АН*.
10. G. E. Karadzhov. Semi-classical asymptotics of the spectral function for some Schrödinger operators. *Math. Nachr.*, 128, 1986, 103-114.
11. V. Petkov, D. Robert. Asymptotique semi-classique du spectre d'hamiltoniens quantiques et trajectoires classique periodiques. *Comm. in P. D. E.*, 10, No 4, 1985, 365-390.
12. V. Poènaru. Singularités  $C^\infty$  en présence de symétrie. *Lect. notes in Math.*, v. 510, Springer-Verlag, 1976.
13. D. Robert. Autour de l'approximation semi-classique. Recife, 1983.
14. Ю. Г. Сафаров. Асимптотика спектральной функции положительного эллиптического оператора без условия неловушечности. Препринты ЛОМИ, 1987, 1-27.

*Bulgarian Academy of Sciences  
Institute of Mathematics with Computer Center  
P. O. Box 373  
1090 Sofia,  
BULGARIA*

*Received 03.07.1989*