

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Mathematica Balkanica

Mathematical Society of South-Eastern Europe
A quarterly published by
the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences – National Committee for Mathematics

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on Mathematica Balkanica visit the website of the journal
<http://www.mathbalkanica.info>

or contact:

Mathematica Balkanica - Editorial Office;
Acad. G. Bonchev str., Bl. 25A, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria
Phone: +359-2-979-6311, Fax: +359-2-870-7273,
E-mail: balmat@bas.bg

Jackson's Order of Approximation in the Problem of Approximation of Continuous Set-Valued Map

S. N. Nikolskii

Presented by P. Kenderov

In the theory of approximation of real functions in uniform metric the classical theorem of D. Jackson about the order of approximation of continuous function by algebraic polynomials is well-known. We generalize this result onto continuous set-valued maps.

1. Notations and definitions

Denote by R^m ($m \geq 1$) the real Euclidean space whose elements are ordered sets of m numbers written in columns. For $x \in R^m$ put $|x| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_m^2}$ where x_i is i -th component of vector x . Let us denote by $K(R^m)$ the set of non-empty compacta in R^m , by $Kv(R^m)$ the set of non-empty convex compacta in R^m . We shall consider the spaces $K(R^m)$, $Kv(R^m)$ as complete metric spaces with Hausdorff metric $h(\cdot, \cdot)$ (see for example [1]).

Let us consider continuous set-valued map (SVM)

$$\Omega: [0, 1] \rightarrow K(R^m).$$

Such SVM form the complete metric space $C(I, K, (R^m))$, where $I = [0, 1]$, with metric

$$(1) \quad \rho(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) = \max_{t \in I} h(\Omega_1(t), \Omega_2(t)).$$

In a similar way the complete metric space $C(I, Kv(R^m))$ is introduced.

2. The statement of approximation problem

By analogy with the classical theory of approximation it is natural to consider the problem of approximation in the uniform metric (1) of SVM $\Omega \in C(I, K(R^m))$ by SVM which has simple structure (see for example 2). In the capacity of such a SVM we shall consider n -bunch of polynomials.

Definition 1. A SVM $P_n: I \rightarrow K(R^m)$ is called a n -bunch of polynomials if it is of the kind

$$(2) \quad P_n(t) = \mathcal{L}_n(t)A,$$

where $n \geq 0$ is integer, $A \in K(R^{m(n+1)})$, there matrix $\mathcal{L}_n(t)$ of dimension $m \times m (n+1)$ is defined by the formula

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{L}_n(t) = (E, tE, \dots, t^n E)$$

(here E is a unit matrix of order m).

Remark. For fixed $a \in A$, $\mathcal{L}_n(t)a$ is the vector polynomial of degree $\leq n$. Further, $\forall t \in [0, 1]$ it is fulfilled (see (2))

$$P_n(t) = \bigcup_{a \in A} \mathcal{L}_n(t)a.$$

By these arguments the choice of the name for $P_n(t)$ is explained (see (2)).

Put for $n=0, 1, \dots$ and $\Omega \in C(I, K(R^m))$

$$(4) \quad \sigma_n = \inf_{A \in K(R^m)} \rho(\Omega, P_n),$$

where SVM P_n is defined by formula (2). Consider the next

Problem. Estimate the above value σ_n for $n=1, 2, \dots$

3. Obtaining of the estimate

For $\Omega \in C(I, K(R^m))$ the modulus of continuity $\omega(r)$, $r \in I$, is defined in such a way

$$(5) \quad \omega(r) = \max_{\substack{|t_1 - t_2| \leq r \\ t_1, t_2 \in I}} h(\Omega, (t_1), \Omega(t_2)).$$

Let us determine the effective method of construction of SVM $P_n = \Omega_n$; $n \geq 1$ (see (2)), approximating "sufficiently well" a given $\Omega \in C(I, K(R^m))$. Fix an integer $n \geq 1$. Denote $t_i = i/n$, $i=0, \dots, n$. Consider the segment $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$, where $i=0, \dots, n-1$. For $a \in \Omega(t_i)$, let us denote by $B_i(a)$ the set of $b \in \Omega(t_{i+1})$, nearest to a . For $b \in \Omega(t_{i+1})$, denote by $A_i(b)$ the set of $a \in \Omega(t_i)$, nearest to b . For $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$, denote (compare with [3], p.45) by $\mathfrak{A}_n(t)$ the set of all points of the form $[1 - n(t - t_i)]a + n(t - t_i)b$ where $a \in \Omega(t_i)$, $b \in \Omega(t_{i+1})$ and either $a \in A_i(b)$ or $b \in B_i(a)$. According to [3], the SVM \mathfrak{A}_n takes values in $K(R^m)$ for $t \in I$, belongs to $C(I, K(R^m))$ and on every segment $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$, $i=0, \dots, n-1$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$h(\mathfrak{A}_n(t'), \mathfrak{A}_n(t'')) \leq nh(\Omega(t_i), \Omega(t_{i+1})) \cdot |t' - t''|,$$

where $t', t'' \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$. Hence and by the definition of the modulus of continuity $\omega(r)$ (see (5)) we get the inequality

$$(6) \quad h(\mathfrak{A}_n(t'), \mathfrak{A}_n(t'')) \leq n\omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) |t' - t''|$$

for arbitrary $t', t'' \in I$.

From the equalities $\mathfrak{A}_n(t_i) = \Omega(t_i)$, $i=0, \dots, n$, the properties of Hausdorff metric and formulas (5), (6) it follows that for $t \in I$,

$$(7) \quad h(\Omega(t), \mathfrak{A}_n(t)) \leq \frac{3}{2} \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

Consider an arbitrary continuous piecewise linear m -dimensional vector function $\xi(t)$, for which at $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$, $i=0, \dots, n-1$, the next formula is correct

$$\xi(t) = [1 - n(t - t_i)]a + n(t - t_i)b,$$

where $a \in \Omega(t_i)$, $b \in \Omega(t_{i+1})$ and either $a \in A_i(b)$ or $b \in B_i(a)$.

The vector $v = (\xi^*(t_0), \dots, \xi^*(t_n))^* \in R^{m(n+1)}$ corresponds uniquely to the function $\xi(\cdot)$, where $\xi(t_i) \in \Omega(t_i)$, $i=0, \dots, n$ and $*$ means transposition.

Looking over various functions $\xi(\cdot)$ we obtain the set $\mathfrak{B}_n \subset R^{m(n+1)}$ of all vectors v corresponding to it. To every vector $v \in \mathfrak{B}_n$ the piecewise linear function is determined uniquely and we denote it by $f_n(t, v)$. Using the upper semi-continuity (see [1]) of SVM $B_i(a)$ on $\Omega(t_i)$ and of SVM $A_i(b)$ on $\Omega(t_{i+1})$ for $i=0, \dots, n-1$, it is possible to prove that \mathfrak{B}_n is compact in $R^{m(n+1)}$.

It is easy to see that the function $f_n(t, v)$ is continuous on $I \times \mathfrak{B}_n$,

$$\mathfrak{A}_n(t) = \bigcup_{v \in \mathfrak{B}_n} f_n(t, v), \quad \forall t \in I$$

and (compare with (6)) for every $t', t'' \in I$, $v \in \mathfrak{B}_n$

$$(8) \quad |f_n(t', v) - f_n(t'', v)| \leq n\omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)|t' - t''|.$$

Denote by $f_n^i(t, v)$ the i -th component of the vector function $f_n(t, v)$. To the continuous function $f_n^i(t, v)$ we associate the best approximation $p_n^i(t, v)$ among the scalar polynomials of degree $\leq n$ in the uniform metric. The polynomial $p_n^i(t, v)$ is uniquely defined (see, for example, [4]). The scalar polynomials $p_n^i(t, v)$, $i=1, \dots, m$, are the components of the vector polynomial $p_n(t, v)$. Since $f_n(t, v)$ is continuous on $I \times \mathfrak{B}_n$, then the function $p_n(t, v)$ is continuous on $I \times \mathfrak{B}_n$ and the SVM

$$(9) \quad \Omega_n(t) = \bigcup_{v \in \mathfrak{B}_n} p_n(t, v)$$

is compact-valued for $t \in I$.

By means of the well-known Jackson's inequality (see [4], p. 161, Theorem 1), inequality (8) and well-known properties of modulus of continuity for single-valued functions (see [4]) we obtain the next inequality

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} |f_n(t, v) - p_n(t, v)| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m |f_n^i(t, v) - p_n^i(t, v)| \\ &\leq \sigma m \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \quad \forall t \in I, \quad \forall v \in \mathfrak{B}_n. \end{aligned}$$

The vector polynomial $p_n(t, v)$ is uniquely represented in the following form (see (3)):

$$(11) \quad p_n(t, v) = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i(v)t^i = \mathcal{L}_n(t)c(v),$$

where $t \in I$, $c_i(v) \in R^m$,

$$(12) \quad c(v) = (c_0^*(v), \dots, c_n^*(v))^*;$$

here $*$ denotes transposition. The function $c(v)$ is continuous on the compact \mathfrak{B}_n , since the function $p_n(t, v)$ is continuous on $I \times \mathfrak{B}_n$ (see Theorem 1 on p. 42 in [4]). Hence it follows that the set

$$(13) \quad M = c(\mathfrak{B}_n)$$

is compact in $R^{m(n+1)}$.

From (9), (11)–(13) it follows that

$$\Omega_n(t) = \mathcal{L}_n(t)M \quad \forall t \in I$$

and that Ω_n is n -bunch of polynomials.

By virtue of (1), (4), (7), (10) and the properties of the Hausdorff metric we get the next inequality for σ_n , $n \geq 1$

$$(14) \quad \sigma_n \leq \rho(\Omega, \Omega_n) \leq \left(\sigma m + \frac{3}{2}\right) \omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

As $\Omega \in C(I, K(R^m))$, then $\omega\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$. The estimate (14) is an analogy, for $\Omega \in C(I, K(R^m))$, to the well-known Jackson's estimate (see [4], p. 161, Theorem 1) for the value of the best approximation of continuous scalar function by polynomials of degree $\leq n$.

4. Some properties of n -bunch of polynomials

In this item we shall present without proof two properties of n -bunch of polynomials P_n (see (2)).

Lemma 1. For the value σ_n (see (4)) the formula

$$\sigma_n = \min_{A \in K(R^m)} \rho(\Omega, P_n)$$

is correct.

Lemma 2. If $\Omega \in C(I, Kv(R^m))$, then

$$\sigma_n = \min_{A \in Kv(R^m)} \rho(\Omega, P_n).$$

5. Final remarks

The generalized polynomials of Bernstein for $\Omega \in C(I, Kv(R^m))$ are defined in the following way (see [2]):

$$B_n(\Omega; t) = \sum_{k=0}^n C_n^k t^k (1-t)^{n-k} \Omega\left(\frac{k}{n}\right),$$

where $n = 1, 2, \dots$, C_n^k is binomial coefficient, the multiplication of number by a set and a sum of sets are understood in algebraic sense (see, for example, [1]).

In [2] it is proved that

$$\rho(\Omega, B_n(\Omega)) \rightarrow 0$$

for $n \rightarrow \infty$. Using the ideas of proof of the well-known Popovichiu's theorem (see [4], pp.245–246, Theorem 1) it is possible to show that

$$(15) \quad \rho(\Omega, B_n(\Omega)) \leq \frac{3}{2} \omega\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right).$$

Note that $B_n(\Omega)$ is n -bunch of polynomials. Comparing the estimates (14), (15) we see that the estimate (14) has a better order than estimate (15).

References

1. В. И. Благодатских, А. Ф. Филиппов. Дифференциальные включения и оптимальное управление. *Труды МИАН СССР*, **169**, 1985, 194–252.
2. R. A. Vitale. Approximation of Convex Set-Valued Functions. *J. of Approx. Theory*, **26**, 1979, 301-316.
3. Z. Artstein. Piecewise Linear Approximations of Set-Valued Maps. *J. of Approx. Theory*, **56**, 1989, 41-47.
4. И. П. Натансон. Конструктивная теория функций. ГИТТЛ, М., Л., 1949.

*Institute of Mathematics
USSR Academy of Sciences
117966, GSP-1 Moscow
Vavilova 42
U.S.S.R*

Received 12.02.1990