

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.  
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

# Mathematica Balkanica

Mathematical Society of South-Eastern Europe  
A quarterly published by  
the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences – National Committee for Mathematics

---

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on Mathematica Balkanica visit the website of the journal  
<http://www.mathbalkanica.info>

or contact:

Mathematica Balkanica - Editorial Office;  
Acad. G. Bonchev str., Bl. 25A, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria  
Phone: +359-2-979-6311, Fax: +359-2-870-7273,  
E-mail: [balmat@bas.bg](mailto:balmat@bas.bg)

## On a Type of Contact Manifolds\*

*U.C. De, G. Pathak*

*Presented by P. Kenderov*

The object of this paper is to characterize a contact metric manifold which satisfies  $R(\xi, X)\bar{C} = 0$  and  $\text{div } \bar{C} = 0$ , where  $\bar{C}$  is the concircular curvature tensor and  $\text{div}$  denotes divergence.

### 1. Introduction

In this paper we consider a contact metric manifold  $M^{2m+1}(\phi, \eta, \xi, g)$  with characteristic vector field  $\xi$  belonging to the  $K$ -nullity distribution. In a recent paper [1] the first author and N. Guha prove that a Sasakian manifold satisfying  $R(X, Y)\bar{C} = 0$ , where  $R(X, Y)$  denotes the derivation of the tensor algebra at each point of the tangent space and  $\bar{C}$  is the concircular curvative tensor [2], is locally isometric with a unit sphere  $S^n(1)$ . In section 2 of this paper we extend this result to contact metric manifolds and prove that either  $M^{2m+1}$  is locally isometric to the Riemannian product  $E^{m+1} \times S^m(4)$  or  $M^{2m+1}$  is an Einstein manifold. Also the first author and D. Tarafdar [3] study a Sasakian manifold satisfying  $\text{div } \bar{C} = 0$ . In section 3 of this paper we extend this result also in contact manifolds. Contact Riemannian manifolds satisfying  $R(\xi, X).R = 0$  has been studied by D. Perrone [4].

### 2. Contact Riemannian manifolds

A contact manifold is a  $C^\infty$   $(2m+1)$ -dimensional manifold  $M^{2m+1}$  equipped with a global 1-form  $\eta$  such that  $\eta \times (d\eta)^m \neq 0$  everywhere on  $M^{2m+1}$ . Given a contact form  $\eta$  it is well known that there exists a unique vector field  $\xi$  on  $M^{2m+1}$  satisfying

$$(1) \quad \eta(\xi) = 1$$

and

$$(2) \quad d\eta(\xi, X) = 0$$

\* Dedicated to Professor M. C. Chaki on his 80-th birthday

for any vector field  $X$  on  $M^{2m+1}$ .

A Riemannian metric  $g$  is said to be an associated metric if there exists a tensor field  $\phi$  of type (1,1) such that

$$(3) \quad d\eta(X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y)$$

$$(4) \quad \eta(X) = g(X, \xi)$$

and

$$\phi^2 = -X + \eta(X)\xi.$$

The structure  $(\phi, \eta, \xi, g)$  on  $M^{2m+1}$  is called a contact metric structure and  $M^{2m+1}$  equipped with such a structure is said to be a contact metric manifold. We refer the reader to [5] as a general reference for the ideas of this section. Denoting by  $L$  the Lie differentiation, we define a tensor field  $h$  by  $h = (1/2)L_\xi\phi$ .  $h$  is symmetric and satisfies  $\phi h = -h\phi$ . So if  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $h$  with eigenvector  $X$ ,  $-\lambda$  is also an eigenvalue with eigenvector  $\phi X$ . We also have  $Trh = Tr\phi h = 0$  and  $h\xi = 0$ . Moreover, if  $\nabla$  denotes the Riemannian connection of  $g$ , the following formulas hold:

$$(5) \quad \nabla_X \xi = -\phi X - \phi h X$$

$$(6) \quad \nabla_\xi \phi = 0$$

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

The vector field  $\xi$  is killing with respect to  $g$  if and only if  $h = 0$ . A contact metric manifold  $M^{2m+1}(\phi, \eta, \xi, g)$  for which  $\xi$  is killing is said to be a  $K$ -contact manifold. If the almost complex structure  $J$  on  $M^{2m+1} \times R$ , defined by  $J(X, f d/dt) = (\phi X - f\xi, \eta(X)d/dt)$  where  $f$  is a real-valued function is integrable, then the structure is said to be normal and  $M^{2m+1}(\phi, \eta, \xi, g)$  is said to be Sasakian. If  $R$  denotes the curvature tensor, a Sasakian manifold may be characterized by  $R(X, Y)\xi = \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y$ . A Sasakian manifold is  $K$ -contact, but the converse holds only if  $\dim M^{2m+1} = 3$ .

The  $K$ -nullity distribution [6] of a Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  for a real number  $K$  is a distribution

$$N(K) : p \rightarrow N_p(K) = \{Z \in T_p M / R(X, Y)Z = K(g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y)\}$$

for any  $X, Y \in T_p M$ .

Supposing that  $M^{2m+1}(\phi, \eta, \xi, g)$  is a contact metric manifold with  $\xi$  belonging to the  $K$ -nullity distribution, i.e.

$$(7) \quad R(X, Y)\xi = K\{\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y\}.$$

From (9) we get

$$(8) \quad Q\xi = (2mK)\xi$$

where  $Q$  is the Ricchi operator defined by

$$(9) \quad S(X, Y) = g(QX, Y)$$

**3. Contact Manifolds with  $R(\xi, X).\bar{C} = 0$**

The first author and N. G u h a in their paper [1] considered Sasakian manifold  $M^{2m+1}$  satisfying  $R(X, Y).\bar{C} = 0$ . In this paper we have considered the weaker hypothesis  $R(\xi, Y).\bar{C} = 0$  instead of  $R(X, Y).\bar{C} = 0$ .

We suppose that

$$(10) \quad R(\xi, X).\bar{C} = 0$$

The concircular curvative tensor  $\bar{C}$  is defined as follows:

$$(11) \quad \bar{C}(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z - \frac{r}{2m(2m+1)}[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y],$$

where  $r$  is the scalar curvature.

We have

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} g(\bar{C}(\xi, Y)Z, \xi) &= g[R(\xi, Y)Z - \frac{r}{2m(2m+1)}(g(Y, Z)\xi - g(\xi, Z)Y), \xi] \\ &= [g(Y, Z) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)](K - \frac{r}{2m(2m+1)}) \end{aligned}$$

by (1), (4) and (9).

Now

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} (R(X, Y).\bar{C})(U, V)W &= R(X, Y)\bar{C}(U, V)W - \bar{C}(R(X, Y)U, V)W \\ &\quad - \bar{C}(U, R(X, Y)V)W - \bar{C}(U, V)R(X, Y)W \end{aligned}$$

Putting  $X = \xi$  in (15), we get by virtue of (12)

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} R(\xi, Y)\bar{C}(U, V)W - \bar{C}(R(\xi, Y)U, V)W \\ - \bar{C}(U, R(\xi, Y)V)W - \bar{C}(U, V)R(\xi, Y)W = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} g[R(\xi, Y)\bar{C}(U, V)W, \xi] - g[\bar{C}(R(\xi, Y)U, V)W, \xi] \\ - g[\bar{C}(U, R(\xi, Y)V)W, \xi] - g[\bar{C}(U, V)R(\xi, Y)W, \xi] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\{e_i\}, i = 1, 2, \dots, 2m+1$  be an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at any point. Then the relation (17) gives for  $1 \leq i \leq 2m+1$  and for  $Y = U = e_i$

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} g[R(\xi, e_i)\bar{C}(e_i, V)W, \xi] - g[\bar{C}(R(\xi, e_i)e_i, V)W, \xi] - \\ - g[\bar{C}(e_i, R(\xi, e_i)V)W, \xi] - g[\bar{C}(e_i, V)R(\xi, e_i)W, \xi] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 & g(R(\xi, e_i)\overline{C}(e_i, V)W, \xi) \\
 & = g(K[g(\overline{C}(e_i, V)W, e_i)\xi - \eta(\overline{C}(e_i, V)W)e_i], \xi) \\
 (17) \quad & = K[g(\overline{C}(e_i, V)W, e_i) - g(\overline{C}(\xi, V)W, \xi)] \\
 & = K[S(V, W) - \frac{r}{(2m+1)}g(V, W)] - Kg(\overline{C}(\xi, V)W, \xi)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & g(\overline{C}(R(\xi, e_i)e_i, V)W, \xi) \\
 (18) \quad & = g(\overline{C}(K[g(e_i, e_i)\xi - g(e_i, \xi)e_i], V)W, \xi) = \\
 & = K(2m+1)g(\overline{C}(\xi, V)W, \xi) - g(e_i, \xi)Kg(\overline{C}(e_i, V)W, \xi) \\
 & = 2mKg(\overline{C}(\xi, V)W, \xi)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & g(\overline{C}(e_i, R(\xi, e_i)V)W, \xi) \\
 (19) \quad & = g(\overline{C}(e_i, K(g(e_i, V)\xi - g(V, \xi)e_i))W, \xi) \\
 & = K[g(e_i, V)g(\overline{C}(e_i, \xi)W, \xi) - g(V, \xi)g(\overline{C}(e_i, e_i)W, \xi)] \\
 & = Kg(\overline{C}(V, \xi)W, \xi)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (20) \quad & g(\overline{C}(e_i, V)R(\xi, e_i)W, \xi) = \\
 & = K[g(\overline{C}(W, V)\xi, \xi) - g(W, \xi)g(\overline{C}(e_i, V)e_i, \xi)]
 \end{aligned}$$

But  $g(\overline{C}(W, V)\xi, \xi) = 0$  and

$$g(\overline{C}(e_i, V)e_i, \xi) = -K(2m)\eta(V) + \frac{r}{(2m+1)}\eta(V).$$

Then (22) reduces to

$$g(\overline{C}(e_i, V)R(\xi, e_i)W, \xi) = 2mK^2\eta(W)\eta(V) - \frac{Kr}{(2m+1)}\eta(V)\eta(W).$$

Now from (18) using (14) and (19) to (22) we get

$$K[S(V, W) - 2mKg(V, W)] = 0$$

Then either

$$(21) \quad K = 0$$

or

$$(22) \quad S(V, W) = 2mKg(V, W).$$

But we know the following result:

**Result 1.** [7] Let  $M^{2m+1}(\phi, \eta, \xi, g)$  be a contact metric manifold with  $R(X, Y)\xi = 0$  for all vector fields  $X, Y$ . Then  $M^{2m+1}$  is locally the Riemannian product of a flat  $(m+1)$ -dimensional manifold and an  $m$ -dimensional manifold of positive curvature 4.

Hence from Result 1 and (23), (24) we can state the following theorem:

**Theorem 1** *Let  $M^{2m+1}(\phi, \eta, \xi, g)$  be a contact metric manifold with  $\xi$  belonging to the  $K$  nullity distribution satisfying  $R(\xi, X).\bar{C} = 0$ . Then either  $M^{2m+1}$  is locally the Riemannian product of a flat  $(m + 1)$ -dimensional manifold and an  $m$ -dimensional manifold of positive curvature 4 or  $M^{2m+1}$  is an Einstein manifold.*

If  $K = 1$ , then from (24) we can state the following:

**Corollary** *A Sasakian manifold  $M^{2m+1}$  satisfying  $R(\xi, X).\bar{C} = 0$  is an Einstein manifold.*

The above corollary generalizes the theorem 2 of [1].

#### 4. Contact metric manifolds satisfying $div \bar{C} = 0$

From (13) we get

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} (div \bar{C})(X, Y)Z &= (\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y S)(X, Z) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2m(2m+1)}[g(Y, Z)dr(X) - g(X, Z)dr(Y)] \end{aligned}$$

Let us suppose that in a contact metric manifold

$$(24) \quad div \bar{C} = 0.$$

Now from (25) and (26) we get

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} (\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y S)(X, Z) &= \\ = \frac{1}{2m(2m+1)}[g(Y, Z)dr(X) - g(X, Z)dr(Y)] &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Putting  $Y = Z = e_i$  in (27) we get

$$(26) \quad dr(X) - (div Q)(X) + \frac{dr(X)}{(2m+1)} = 0,$$

where  $Q$  is defined by (11).

From Bianchi identity we get

$$(27) \quad (div Q)(X) = \frac{1}{2}dr(X)$$

Hence from (28) and (29) we get

$$r = \text{constant}$$

Thus (27) reduces to  $(\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) = (\nabla_Y S)(X, Z)$ , i.e.

$$(28) \quad (\nabla_X Q)(Y) = (\nabla_Y Q)(X).$$

In a recent paper [8] C. Baikoussis and T. Koufogiorgos prove the following result:

**Result 2.** Let  $M^{2m+1}(\phi, \eta, \xi, g)$  be a contact metric manifold with  $\xi$  belonging to the  $K$ -nullity distribution. If the curvature tensor is harmonic, then either  $M^{2m+1}$  is locally the Riemannian product of a flat  $(m+1)$ -dimensional manifold and an  $m$ -dimensional manifold of constant curvature 4 or  $M^{2m+1}$  is an Einstein Sasakian manifold.

Hence by result 2 we can state the following:

**Theorem 2.** Let  $M^{2m+1}$  be a contact metric manifold with  $\xi$  belonging to the  $K$ -nullity distribution satisfying  $\operatorname{div} \bar{C} = 0$ . Then either  $M^{2m+1}$  is locally the Riemannian product of a flat  $(m+1)$ -dimensional manifold and an  $m$ -dimensional manifold of constant curvature 4 or  $M^{2m+1}$  is an Einstein Sasakian manifold.

## References

- [1] U. C. De, N. Guha. On a type of Sasakian manifold. *Mathematica Balkanica*, **6**, 1992, 187-192.
- [2] K. Yano. Conircular geometry I. *Proc. Imp. Acad. Sci. of Japan*, **16**, 1940, 195-200.
- [3] U. C. De, D. Tarafdar. On  $K$ -contact and Sasakian manifold with  $\operatorname{div} \bar{C} = 0$ . *Ind. J. Math.*, Allahabad (to appear).
- [4] D. Perrone. Contact Riemannian manifolds satisfying  $R(\xi, X).R = 0$ . *The Yokohama Math. J.*, **39**, 1992, 141-149.
- [5] D. E. Blair. Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry. *Lect. Notes in Mathematics*, **509**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- [6] S. Tanno. Ricci curvatures of contact Riemannian manifolds. *Tohoku Math. J.*, **40**, 1988, 441-448.
- [7] D. E. Blair. Two remarks on contact metric structures. *Tohoku Math. J.*, **29**, 1977, 319-324.
- [8] C. Baikoussis, T. Koufogiorgos. On a type of contact manifolds. preprint.

Department of Mathematics,  
University of Kalyani,  
Kalyani 741 235,  
West Bengal,  
INDIA

Received 17.04.1992