Provided for non-commercial research and educational use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Mathematica Balkanica

Mathematical Society of South-Eastern Europe
A quarterly published by
the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences – National Committee for Mathematics

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on Mathematica Balkanica visit the website of the journal http://www.mathbalkanica.info

or contact:

Mathematica Balkanica - Editorial Office; Acad. G. Bonchev str., Bl. 25A, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria Phone: +359-2-979-6311, Fax: +359-2-870-7273, E-mail: balmat@bas.bg



New series Vol.7, 1993, Fasc.3-4

Absolute Cesàro Summability Factors

Hüseyin Bor
Presented by P. Kenderov

In this paper a general theorem on absolute Cesàro summability factors of infinite series has been proved. Also some known results follow as special cases.

1. Introduction

Let $\sum a_n$ be a given infinite series with the sequence of partial sums (s_n) , and let u_n^{α} and t_n^{α} denote the *n*-th Cesàro means of order α ($\alpha > -1$) of the sequences (s_n) and (na_n) , respectively. The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|C, \alpha|_k, k \geq 1$, if (see [2])

(1)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |u_n^{\alpha} - u_{n-1}^{\alpha}|^k < \infty.$$

But since $t_n^{\alpha} = n(u_n^{\alpha} - u_{n-1}^{\alpha})$ (see [4]), condition (1) can also be written as

(2)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |t_n^{\alpha}|^k < \infty.$$

The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be bounded $[R, \log n, 1]_k, k \geq 1$, if (see [7])

(3)
$$\sum_{v=1}^{n} v^{-1} |s_v|^k = O(\log n) \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

340 Hüseyin Bor

A sequence (λ_n) is said to be convex, if $\Delta^2 \lambda_n \geq 0$ for every positive integer n (see [13]).

S. M. Mazhar [6] (see also B. P. Mishra [7]) proved the following theorem.

Theorem A. Let (λ_n) be a convex sequence such that $\sum n^{-1}\lambda_n$ is convergent. If $\sum a_n$ is bounded $[R, \log n, 1]_k$, then the series $\sum a_n\lambda_n$ is summable $|C, 1|_k$, $k \geq 1$.

K. N. Mishra and R. S. L. Srivastava [9] have obtained a more general theorem than Theorem A under weaker conditions. They proved the following theorem.

Theorem B. Let (X_n) be a positive non-decreasing sequence and suppose that there are sequences (β_n) and (λ_n) such that

$$(4) |\Delta \lambda_n| \le \beta_n,$$

$$\beta_n \to 0 \ as \ n \to \infty,$$

(6)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |\Delta \beta_n| X_n < \infty,$$

(7)
$$|\lambda_n| X_n = O(1) \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

If

(8)
$$\sum_{v=1}^{n} v^{-1} |s_{v}|^{k} = O(X_{n}) \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $|C,1|_k$, $k \geq 1$.

2. The main theorem

The object of this paper is to obtain a more general theorem than Theorem B. Now, we shall prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let (X_n) be a positive non-decreasing sequence and the sequences (β_n) and (λ_n) satisfy conditions (4)-(6) of Theorem B. If

(9)
$$|\lambda_n|X_n\gamma_n = O(1) \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

and

(10)
$$\sum_{v=1}^{n} v^{-1} |s_v|^k = O(X_n \gamma_n) \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

where (γ_n) is a positive non-decreasing sequence such that

(11)
$$nX_n\gamma_n\Delta(1/\gamma_n) = O(1) \text{ as } n\to\infty,$$

then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n(\gamma_n)^{-1}$ is summable $|C,1|_k, k \geq 1$.

We need the following lemma for the proof of our theorem.

Lemma ([9]). Under the conditions on (X_n) , (β_n) and (λ_n) as taken in the statement of Theorem B, the following conditions hold, when (6) is satisfied:

$$(12) n\beta_n X_n = O(1)$$

(13)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n X_n < \infty.$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Let (T_n) be the *n*-th (C,1) mean of the sequence $(na_n \frac{\lambda_n}{\gamma_n})$. To prove the theorem, it is enough to show that

(14)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |T_n|^k < \infty, \text{ by } (2).$$

Applying Abel's transformation we have

$$T_{n} = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{v=1}^{n} v a_{v} \lambda_{v} (\gamma_{v})^{-1} = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta \left\{ \frac{v \lambda_{v}}{\gamma_{v}} \right\} s_{v} + \frac{n}{n+1} \frac{s_{n} \lambda_{n}}{\gamma_{n}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v \Delta \lambda_{v} (\gamma_{v})^{-1} s_{v} + \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v \lambda_{v+1} \Delta (1/\gamma_{v}) s_{v}$$

$$- \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \lambda_{v+1} (\gamma_{v+1})^{-1} s_{v} + \frac{n s_{n} \lambda_{n}}{(n+1) \gamma_{n}} = T_{n,1} + T_{n,2} + T_{n,3} + T_{n,4}, \text{ say.}$$

To complete the proof of the theorem, by Minkowski's inequality, it is sufficient to show that

(15)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |T_{n,r}|^k < \infty, \text{ for } r = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

342 Hüseyin Bor

Now, applying Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{1}{n} |T_{n,1}|^k \leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{1}{n^{k+1}} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v |\Delta \lambda_v| \frac{1}{\gamma_v} |s_v| \right\}^k \\ &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{1}{n^2} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v |\Delta \lambda_v| (\frac{1}{\gamma_v})^k |s_v|^k \right\} \times \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v |\Delta \lambda_v| \right\}^{k-1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} v |\Delta \lambda_v| \frac{1}{\gamma_v} (\frac{1}{\gamma_v})^{k-1} |s_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{1}{n^2} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} v |\Delta \lambda_v| \frac{1}{\gamma_v} v^{-1} |s_v|^k = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} \frac{v \beta_v}{\gamma_v} v^{-1} |s_v|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta \left\{ \frac{v \beta_v}{\gamma_v} \right\} \sum_{r=1}^{v} r^{-1} |s_r|^k + O(1) \frac{m \beta_m}{\gamma_m} \sum_{v=1}^{m} v^{-1} |s_v|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\Delta \left\{ \frac{v \beta_v}{\gamma_v} \right\} |X_v \gamma_v + O(1) m \beta_m X_m = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v |\Delta \beta_v| X_v \\ &+ O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\beta_{v+1}| v X_v \gamma_v \Delta \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_v} \right) + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \frac{|\beta_{v+1}|}{\gamma_{v+1}} X_v \gamma_v + O(1) m \beta_m X_m \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v |\Delta \beta_v| X_v + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\beta_{v+1}| + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\beta_{v+1}| X_v + O(1) m \beta_m X_m. \end{split}$$

Since $1/X_v = O(1)$, by hypothesis, we have that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{1}{n} |T_{n,1}|^k = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v |\Delta \beta_v| X_v + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\beta_{v+1}| X_{v+1} \frac{1}{X_{v+1}}$$

$$+ O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\beta_{v+1}| X_v + O(1) m \beta_m X_m$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v |\Delta \beta_v| X_v + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\beta_{v+1}| X_{v+1} + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\beta_{v+1}| X_v$$

$$+ O(1) m \beta_m X_m = O(1) \text{ as } m \to \infty,$$

by virtue of the hypothesis and the lemma.

Since
$$v|\Delta(\frac{1}{\gamma_v})| = O(\frac{1}{X_v\gamma_v})$$
, by (11), and $1/X_v = O(1)$, by hypothesis, and

$$\begin{split} |\lambda_{v}| &= (\frac{1}{X_{v}\gamma_{v}}) = O(1), \ |\lambda_{v}|X_{v} = O(1/\gamma_{v}) = O(1), \ \text{by (9), we have that} \\ \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{1}{n} |T_{n,2}|^{k} &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{1}{n^{k+1}} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\lambda_{v+1}| |s_{v}| v \Delta(\frac{1}{\gamma_{v}}) \right\}^{k} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{1}{n^{k+1}} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{|\lambda_{v+1}|}{\gamma_{v}} |s_{v}| \frac{1}{X_{v}} \right\}^{k} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{1}{n^{k+1}} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{|\lambda_{v+1}|}{\gamma_{v}} |s_{v}| \frac{1}{x_{v}} \right\}^{k} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{1}{n^{2}} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{|\lambda_{v+1}|}{\gamma_{v}} |s_{v}|^{k} \right\} \times \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{|\lambda_{v+1}|}{\gamma_{v}} \right\}^{k-1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m} |\lambda_{v+1}| \frac{1}{\gamma_{v}} |s_{v}|^{k} \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{1}{n^{2}} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} \frac{|\lambda_{v+1}|}{\gamma_{v}} v^{-1} |s_{v}|^{k} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta \left\{ \frac{|\lambda_{v+1}|}{\gamma_{v}} \right\} \sum_{v=1}^{v} r^{-1} |s_{r}|^{k} + O(1) \frac{|\lambda_{m+1}|}{\gamma_{m}} \sum_{v=1}^{m} v^{-1} |s_{v}|^{k} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\Delta \lambda_{v+1}| X_{v} + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\lambda_{v+2}| x_{v} \gamma_{v} \Delta(\frac{1}{\gamma_{v}}) + O(1) |\lambda_{m+1}| X_{m} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \beta_{v+1} X_{v} + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta(\frac{1}{\gamma_{v}}) + O(1) |\lambda_{m+1}| X_{m} \\ &= O(1) \ \text{as } m \to \infty, \end{split}$$

by virtue of the hypothesis and the lemma.

As in $T_{n,2}$, we have that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{1}{n} |T_{n,3}|^k = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{|\lambda_v|}{\gamma_v} v^{-1} |s_v|^k = O(1) \text{ as } m \to \infty.$$

Finally, again as in $T_{n,2}$, we have that

$$\sum_{v=1}^{m} \frac{1}{n} |T_{n,4}|^k = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} \frac{|\lambda_v|}{\gamma_v} v^{-1} |s_v|^k = O(1) \text{ as } m \to \infty,$$

Therefore, we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{1}{n} |T_{n,r}|^k = O(1) \text{ as } m \to \infty, \text{ for } r = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Special cases:

- i) If we take (λ_n) as a convex sequence such that $\sum n^{-1}\lambda_n$ is convergent, $X_n = \log n$, and $\gamma_n = 1$ in Theorem 1, then we get Theorem A.
 - ii) If we take $\gamma_n = 1$ in Theorem 1, then we get Theorem B.
- iii) If we take (λ_n) as a convex sequence such that $\sum n^{-1}\lambda_n$ is convergent and $X_n = \log n$ in Theorem 1, then we get a theorem due to S. U mar [11].

3. Application to Nörlund method

Let (p_n) be a sequence of constants real or complex, and let us write

(16)
$$P_n = p_0 + p_1 + p_2 + \dots p_n \neq 0, \ (n \geq 0).$$

The sequence-to-sequence transformation

(17)
$$z_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{v=0}^n p_{n-v} s_v$$

defines the sequence (z_n) of Nörlund mean of the sequence (s_n) , generated by the sequence of coefficients (p_n) . The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be $\sup m = b$ le $|N, p_n|_k, k \ge 1$, if (see [1])

(18)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |z_n - z_{n-1}|^k < \infty.$$

In the special case in which $p_n = 1$ and $P_n = n$, the Nörlund mean reduces (C, 1) mean and then $|N, p_n|_k$ summability becomes $|C, 1|_k$ summability.

Concerning $|C,1|_k$ and $|N,p_n|_k$ summability the following theorem is known due to R. S. Varma [12].

Theorem C. Let $p_0 > 0$ and (p_n) be a non-negative and non-increasing sequence. If $\sum a_n$ is summable $|C,1|_k$, then the series $\sum a_n P_n(n+1)^{-1}$ is summable $|N,p_n|_k$, $k \ge 1$.

In view of Theorem C, we get the following:

Theorem 2. Let $p_0 > 0$ and (p_n) be a non-negative and non-increasing sequence. If Theorem 1 holds (i. e. $\sum a_n \lambda_n(\gamma_n)^{-1}$ is summable $|C, 1|_k, k \ge 1$), then the series $\sum a_n P_n \lambda_n [(n+1)\gamma_n]^{-1}$ is summable $|N, p_n|_k, k \ge 1$.

Application of Theorem 2:

- i) If we take $p_n = 1$, $X_n = \log n$, $\gamma_n = 1$, and (λ_n) as a convex s such that $\sum n^{-1}\lambda_n$ is convergent in Theorem 2, then we get Theorem A.
- ii) If we take k = 1 and $\gamma_n = 1$ in our Theorem 2, then we obtain a theorem due to K. N. Mishra [8].
- iii) If we take k = 1, $\gamma_n = 1$ and $\lambda_n = 1$ in Theorem 2, then we get a theorem due to N. Kishore [3].
- iv) If we put $p_n = 1/n$, so that $P_n \sim \log n$ as $n \to \infty$, $X_n = \log n$, $\gamma_n = 1$, k = 11, and (λ_n) as a convex sequence such that $\sum n^{-1}\lambda_n$ is convergent in Theorem 2, then a theorem due to S.N. Lal [5] becomes a special case of Theorem 2.
- v) Finally, for k = 1 and $\gamma_n = 1$, the merit of our Theorem 2 is that it proves the following theorem due to S. Ram [10] under weaker conditions.

Theorem D. Let $p_0 > 0$ and (p_n) be a non-negative and non-increasing sequence. If

(19)
$$\sum_{v=1}^{n} v^{-1}|s_v| = O(X_n) \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

where (X_n) is a positive non-decreasing sequence and if (λ_n) is such that

(20)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|\Delta^2 \lambda_n|X_n < \infty$$

and

(21)
$$|\lambda_n|X_n = O(1) \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

then the series $\sum a_n p_n \lambda_n (n+1)^{-1}$ is summable $|N, p_n|$.

Remark. It may be noticed that the condition (6) is a weaker requirement than the condition (20) (see [9]).

References

- [1] D. Borwein, F. P. Cass. Strong Nörlund summability. Math. Zeit., 103, 1968, 94-
- [2] T.M. Flett. On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., 7, 1957, 113-141.
- [3] N. Kishore. On the absolute Nörlund summability factors. Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (2), **6**, 1965, 129–134.
- [4] E. Kogbetliantz. Sur les séries absolutment sommables par la méthode des moyennes arithmetiques. Bull. Sci. Math., 49, 1925, 234-256.
- [5] S. N. Lal. On the absolute harmonic summability of factored power series its circle of convergence. *Indian J. Math.*, **5**, 1963, 55–66.
- [6] S. M. Mazhar. On |C, 1|k summability factors of infinite series. Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), **27**, 1966, 67–70.
- [7] B. P. Mishra. On the absolute Cesàro summability factors of infinite series. Red. Circl. Mat. Palermo, 14, 1965, 189-193.
- [8] K. N. Mishra. On the absolute Nörlund summability factors of infinite series. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 14, 1983, 40-43.
- [9] K. N. Mishra, R. S. L. Srivastava. On absolute Cesaro summability factors of infinite series. Portugaliae Math., 42, 1983-1984, 53-61.
- [10] S. Ram. On absolute Nörlund summability factors of infinite series. Indian J. Pure
- Appl. Math., 2, 1971, 275-282.
 [11] S. Umar. On the absolute Cesáro summability factors of infinite series. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 6, 1975, 288-293.
- [12] R.S. Varma. On the absolute Nörlund summability factors of infinite series. Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (4), 3, 1977, 27-33.
 [13] A. Zygmund. Trigonometric Series. Cambridge University Press, 1979.

Department of Mathematics, Erciyes University. Kayseri 38039, TÜRKEY

Received 02.09.1992