

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Mathematica Balkanica

Mathematical Society of South-Eastern Europe
A quarterly published by
the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences – National Committee for Mathematics

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on Mathematica Balkanica visit the website of the journal
<http://www.mathbalkanica.info>

or contact:

Mathematica Balkanica - Editorial Office;
Acad. G. Bonchev str., Bl. 25A, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria
Phone: +359-2-979-6311, Fax: +359-2-870-7273,
E-mail: balmat@bas.bg

On a Problem Stated by Gyori and Ladas

V. Petrov

Presented by P. Kenderov

In the present paper a problem stated by Gyori and Ladas in [4, p.161] is solved. Sufficient conditions are obtained under which all nonoscillatory solutions of the equation

$$(1) \quad (x(t) + p(t)x(t - \tau))' + q(t)x(t - \sigma) = 0$$

where $\tau > 0$, $\sigma \geq 0$ and $p(t)$, $q(t)$ are continuous functions, tend to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

1. Introduction

The asymptotic behaviour of solutions of neutral differential equations has been the subject of intensive investigations during the past few years ([1]-[5]). In particular in case when $p(t)$ and $q(t)$ are constant functions the problem of existence of the limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)$ is almost completely solved. If $p(t)$ and $q(t)$ are nonconstant functions the asymptotic behaviour of nonoscillatory solutions of (1) has been investigated under the following conditions on $q(t)$:

$$Q1. \quad q(t) \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R}_+) \text{ and } 0 < q_1 \leq q(t) \leq q_1$$

$$Q2. \quad q(t) \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R}_+) \text{ and } \int_{t_0}^{\infty} q(t)dt = \infty$$

We shall note that no example is known when the limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)$ (finite or infinite) exists if condition $Q1$ is met, but does not exist if $Q2$ is met. In view of this fact the question asked by Gyori and Ladas in [4, p.161] is quite natural: "For the equation $[x(t) + x(t - \tau)]' + q(t)x(t - \sigma) = 0$ let $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} q(t)dt = \infty$. Is it true that all nonoscillating solutions of the equation tend to zero?" In the present paper an example is given answering negatively to the above question. A problem arises of finding sufficient conditions under which each nonoscillating solution of (1) tends to zero.

2. Main Results

By a solution of (1) we mean a continuous function on the interval $[t_0, \infty)$ such that $x(t) + p(t)x(t - \tau)$ is continuously differentiable and x satisfies (1). As is customary, a solution of (1) is said to be oscillatory if it has arbitrary large zeroes, otherwise it is said to be nonoscillatory. In the sequel, for convenience, we will assume that inequalities concerning values of functions are satisfied eventually, that is for all large t .

Example 1. Consider the equation

$$(2) \quad [x(t) + x(t-2)]' + q(t)x(t) = 0$$

where

$$q(t) = \frac{\frac{1}{t^2} + \frac{1}{(t-2)^2}}{\varphi(t) + \frac{1}{t}}$$

and $\varphi(t)$ is a 4-periodic function

$$\varphi(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & , t \in [0, 1] \\ t-1 & , t \in (1, 2] \\ 1 & , t \in (2, 3] \\ 4-t & , t \in (3, 4] \end{cases}$$

A straightforward verification yields that $x(t) = \varphi(t) + \frac{1}{t}$ is a nonoscillatory solution of (2) and that the limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)$ does not exist. On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \int_4^{\infty} q(t) dt &> \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{4k}^{4k+1} \frac{\frac{1}{t^2} + \frac{1}{(t-2)^2}}{\varphi(t) + \frac{1}{t}} dt = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{4k}^{4k+1} \frac{\frac{1}{t^2} + \frac{1}{(t-2)^2}}{\frac{1}{t}} dt > \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{4k}^{4k+1} \frac{dt}{t} \end{aligned}$$

The last series is obviously divergent. Thus $\int_4^{\infty} q(t) dt = \infty$.

The example we consider gives a negative answer to the question of Györi and Ladas and states the problem to find conditions such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$ for each nonoscillatory solution. In this direction most general are the results of Lu Wudu [5] and Bainov, Myshkis, Zahariev [1].

Theorem 1 ([5]). *Let condition Q1 hold and*

$$(3) \quad -1 \leq p_1 \leq p(t) \leq p_2$$

Then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$ for each nonoscillatory solution $x(t)$ of (1).

Before formulating the result of Bainov, Myshkis, Zahariev we shall introduce the notation $Q3$. $q(t) \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R}_+)$ and for each measurable set Q such that $meas(Q \cap [t, t + 2\tau]) \geq \tau$ for each $t \geq t_0$, $\int_Q q(t)dt = \infty$.

Theorem 2 ([1]). *Let condition $Q3$ holds and $p(t) \equiv p \geq 0$. Then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$ for each nonoscillatory solution $x(t)$ of (1).*

We shall say that condition $Q4$ is met if the following condition holds.

$$Q4. \quad q(t) \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R}_+) \text{ and } \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \bar{q}(t)dt = \infty$$

where $\bar{q}(t) = \min\{q(t), q(t + \tau)\}$. Define the function $z(t)$ in the following way:

$$(4) \quad z(t) = x(t) + p(t)x(t - \tau)$$

Theorem 3. *Let conditions $Q4$ and (3) hold. Then, for each nonoscillatory solution of (1) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality let $x(t) > 0$. We shall split up the proof of the theorem into 3 steps: i), ii), iii).

i) $z(t) > 0$

From the inequality $x(t) > 0$ and from the equality

$$(5) \quad z'(t) = -q(t)x(t - \sigma)$$

it follows that $z(t)$ is a nondecreasing function. $Q4$ implies that $z(t)$ is not an eventually constant function. Suppose that $z(t) < 0$. There exists $c > 0$ such that $z(t) < -c$. Then

$$-x(t - \tau) < p(t)x(t - \tau) < x(t) + p(t)x(t - \tau) = z(t) < -c$$

From $Q4$, (5) and from the inequality $x(t) > c$ it follows that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t) = -\infty$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup x(t) = \infty$. On the other hand from (3), (4) and $z(t) < 0$ we obtain the inequalities

$$x(t) < -p(t)x(t - \tau) < x(t - \tau)$$

But the inequality $x(t) < x(t - \tau)$ contradicts the relation

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup x(t) = \infty,$$

hence $z(t) > 0$.

ii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t) = 0$.

From (5) it follows that

$$(6) \quad z'(t) - \tilde{q}(t - \tau)p(t - \sigma)x(t - \tau - \sigma) = -q(t)x(t - \sigma) - \tilde{q}(t - \tau)p(t - \sigma)x(t - \sigma - \tau)$$

Then making use of the definition of $\tilde{q}(t)$ and (3) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} z'(t) - p_2\tilde{q}(t - \tau)x(t - \tau - \sigma) &\leq -\tilde{q}(t - \tau)[x(t - \sigma) + p(t - \sigma)x(t - \sigma - \tau)] = \\ &= -\tilde{q}(t - \tau)z(t - \sigma) \end{aligned}$$

Since $z(t)$ is a positive nonincreasing function then there exist the non-negative limit $c = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t)$. Suppose that $c > 0$. Then $z(t) \geq c$ and (6) takes the form

$$z'(t) - p_2\tilde{q}(t - \tau)x(t - \tau - \sigma) \leq -c\tilde{q}(t - \tau)$$

Integrate the last inequality from t_1 to t and obtain

$$z(t) - z(t_1) - p_2 \int_{t_1}^t \tilde{q}(s - \tau)x(s - \tau - \sigma)ds \leq -c \int_{t_1}^t \tilde{q}(s - \tau)ds.$$

Since $z(t)$ is a bounded function Q4 implies that $\int_{t_1}^{\infty} \tilde{q}(t)x(t - \sigma)dt = \infty$. From the definition of $\tilde{q}(t)$ it follows that

$$(7) \quad \int_{t_1}^{\infty} q(t)x(t - \sigma)dt = \infty$$

Integrating (5) from t_2 to t we obtain the equality

$$z(t) - z(t_2) = - \int_{t_2}^t q(s)x(s - \sigma)ds$$

Then (7) implies the relation $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t) = -\infty$. The contradiction obtained shows that $c = 0$, i.e. $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t) = 0$.

iii) $\lim x(t) = 0$.

Let us assume that $x(t)$ is an unbounded function. There exist a sequence $\{t_n\}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \infty$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(t_n) = \infty$ and $\max_{[t_1, t_n]} x(s) = x(t_n)$. Then

$$z(t_n) = x(t_n) + p(t_n)x(t_n - \tau) \geq x(t_n) + p_1x(t_n - \tau) \geq (1 + p_1)x(t_n)$$

Since $1 + p_1 > 0$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z(t_n) = \infty$ which contradicts the relation $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t) = 0$ proved in ii). Hence $x(t)$ is bounded. Let $d = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup x(t)$. Choose a sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(\alpha_n) = d$.

Since $\{p(\alpha_n)\}$ and $\{x(\alpha_n - \tau)\}$ are bounded we can choose a subsequence $\{n_k\} \subseteq \{n\}$ such that $\{p(\alpha_{n_k})\}$ and $\{x(\alpha_{n_k} - \tau)\}$ converge. Then

$$0 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} z(\alpha_{n_k}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup x(t) + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(\alpha_{n_k}) \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x(\alpha_{n_k} - \tau).$$

If $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(\alpha_{n_k}) \geq 0$ then $0 \geq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup x(t) \geq 0$.

If $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(\alpha_{n_k}) < 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup x(t) + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(\alpha_{n_k}) \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x(\alpha_{n_k} - \tau) \geq \\ &\leq \left(1 + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(\alpha_{n_k})\right) \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup x(t) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0$.

It is clear that the assertion of Theorem 3 is stronger than that of Theorem 1. The relation between Q3 and the condition Q4 which we introduced is not so obvious. Let us denote with F3 (F4) the set of functions satisfying condition Q3 (Q4).

Proposition 1. $F3 \subseteq F4$.

Proof. Let $q \in F_3$. We shall prove that $q \in F_4$. Consider the intervals

$$\{[t_0 + 2k\tau, t_0 + (2k + 2)\tau]\} \quad , k = 0, 1, 2, ..$$

$$\{[t_0 + (2k + 1)\tau, t_0 + (2k + 3)\tau]\} \quad , k = 0, 1, 2, ..$$

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and introduce the sets

$$E_{n1} = \{s \in [t_0 + 2n\tau, t_0 + (2n + 1)\tau] / \bar{q}(s) = q(s)\}$$

$$E_{n2} = \{s \in [t_0 + (2n + 1)\tau, t_0 + (2n + 2)\tau] / \bar{q}(s - \tau) = q(s)\}$$

$$F_{n1} = \{s \in [t_0 + (2n + 1)\tau, t_0 + (2n + 2)\tau] / \bar{q}(s) = q(s)\}$$

$$F_{n2} = \{s \in [t_0 + (2n + 2)\tau, t_0 + (2n + 3)\tau] / \bar{q}(s - \tau) = q(s)\}$$

$$E_n = E_{n1} \cup E_{n2}, \quad F_n = F_{n1} \cup F_{n2}, \quad E = \cup_0^\infty E_n, \quad F = \cup_0^\infty F_n$$

We shall prove that $meas \{(E \cup F) \cap [\alpha, \alpha + 2\tau]\} \geq \tau$ for each $\alpha \geq t_0$.

Fix $\alpha \in [t_0, \infty)$. Let $\alpha \in [t_0 + 2n\tau, t_0 + (2n + 1)\tau]$ (the case when $\alpha \in [t_0 + (2n + 1)\tau, t_0 + (2n + 2)\tau]$ is considered analogously). Then

$$\begin{aligned} (E \cup F) \cap [\alpha, \alpha + 2\tau] &\supseteq (E_n \cup F_n) \cap [\alpha, \alpha + 2\tau] = \\ &= (E_n \cap [\alpha, \alpha + 2\tau]) \cup (F_n \cap [\alpha, \alpha + 2\tau]) = \end{aligned}$$

$$= (E_{n1} \cap [\alpha, \alpha + 2\tau]) \cup (E_{n2} \cap [\alpha, \alpha + 2\tau]) \cup (F_{n1} \cap [\alpha, \alpha + 2\tau]) \cup (F_{n2} \cap [\alpha, \alpha + 2\tau])$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} G_{n1} &= E_{n1} \cap [\alpha, t_0 + (2n + 1)\tau] \\ G_{n2} &= E_{n2} \cap [\alpha + \tau, t_0 + (2n + 2)\tau] \\ H_{n1} &= F_{n1} \cap [t_0 + (2n + 1)\tau, \alpha + \tau] \\ H_{n2} &= F_{n2} \cap [t_0 + (2n + 2)\tau, \alpha + 2\tau] \end{aligned}$$

Obviously

$$G_{ni} \subseteq E_{ni} \cap [\alpha, \alpha + 2\tau] \quad i = 1, 2.$$

$$H_{ni} \subseteq F_{ni} \cap [\alpha, \alpha + 2\tau] \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Let $\tilde{G}_{n2} = \{s - \tau/s \in G_{n2}\}$, $\tilde{H}_{n2} = \{s - \tau/s \in H_{n2}\}$. Then

$$[\alpha, t_0 + (2n + 1)\tau] = G_{n1} \cup \tilde{G}_{n2}$$

$$[t_0 + (2n + 1)\tau, \alpha + \tau] = H_{n1} \cup \tilde{H}_{n2}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{meas}(G_{n1} \cup G_{n2}) &\geq t_0 + (2n + 1)\tau - \alpha \\ \text{meas}(H_{n1} \cup H_{n2}) &\geq \alpha + \tau - (t_0 + (2n + 1)\tau) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{meas}(G_{n1} \cup G_{n2}) \cap (H_{n1} \cup H_{n2}) = 0$ then $\text{meas}(G_{n1} \cup G_{n2} \cup H_{n1} \cup H_{n2}) \geq \tau$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \text{meas} \{ (E_{n1} \cap [\alpha, \alpha + 2\tau]) \cup (E_{n2} \cap [\alpha, \alpha + 2\tau]) \cup \\ \cup (F_{n1} \cap [\alpha, \alpha + 2\tau]) \cup (F_{n2} \cap [\alpha, \alpha + 2\tau]) \} \geq \tau \end{aligned}$$

and $\text{meas} \{ (E \cup F) \cap [\alpha, \alpha + 2\tau] \} \geq \tau$.

$$\int_{E \cup F} q(t) dt \leq \int_E q(t) dt + \int_F q(t) dt = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \int_{E_i} q(t) dt + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \int_{F_i} q(t) dt$$

Consider the integral $\int_{E_k} q(t) dt$.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E_k} q(t) dt &= \int_{E_{k1}} q(t) dt + \int_{E_{k2}} q(t) dt = \\ &= \int_{E_{k1}} \tilde{q}(t) dt + \int_{E_{k2}} \tilde{q}(t - \tau) dt = \int_{E_{k1}} \tilde{q}(t) dt + \int_{\tilde{E}_{k2}} \tilde{q}(t) dt \\ (\tilde{E}_{k2} &= \{s - \tau/s \in E_{k2}\}) \end{aligned}$$

Since $E_{k1} \cup \bar{E}_{k2} = [t_0 + 2k\tau, t_0 + (2k + 1)\tau]$ then

$$\int_{E_{k1}} \bar{q}(t)dt + \int_{\bar{E}_{k2}} \bar{q}(t)dt \leq 2 \int_{t_0+2k\tau}^{t_0+(2k+1)\tau} \bar{q}(t)dt.$$

Hence

$$\int_{E_k} q(t)dt \leq 2 \int_{t_0+2k\tau}^{t_0+(2k+1)\tau} \bar{q}(t)dt.$$

and

$$\int_E q(t)dt \leq 2 \sum_0^\infty \int_{t_0+2k\tau}^{t_0+(2k+1)\tau} \bar{q}(t)dt.$$

Analogously

$$\int_F q(t)dt \leq 2 \sum_0^\infty \int_{t_0+(2k+1)\tau}^{t_0+(2k+2)\tau} \bar{q}(t)dt.$$

Thus

$$\int_{E \cup F} q(t)dt \leq 2 \int_{t_0}^\infty \bar{q}(t)dt.$$

Q3 implies that $\int_{E \cup F} q(t)dt = \infty$ and therefore $2 \int_{t_0}^\infty \bar{q}(t)dt = \infty$.

The following example shows that the inclusion in proposition 1 is strict.

Example 2. Consider the function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{2^k}{\tau}x + 1 + k2^k & , x \in [k\tau, (k + \frac{1}{2^k})\tau] \\ 0 & , x \in [(k + \frac{1}{2^k})\tau, (k + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^k})\tau] \\ \frac{2^k}{\tau}x + 1 - (k + \frac{1}{2})2^k & , x \in [(k + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^k})\tau, (k + \frac{1}{2})\tau] \\ 1 & , x \in [(k + \frac{1}{2})\tau, (k + 1)\tau] \end{cases}$$

where $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. It is immediately verified that $f(x) \in C([\tau, \infty), \mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\int_\tau^\infty \bar{f}(x)dx = \infty$. On the other hand if we consider the set $Q = \cup_{k=1}^\infty [k\tau, (k + \frac{1}{2})\tau]$ then $meas\{Q \cap [t_0, t_0 + 2\tau]\} = \tau$ for each $t_0 \geq \tau$ but $\int_Q f(x)dx < \infty$. Hence $f \in F_4$ but $f \notin F_3$.

References

1. Bainov, Myshkis and Zahariev. Oscillatory and Asymptotic Properties of a Class of Operator-differential Inequalities, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh*, **96 A**, 1984, 5-13.
2. Chuanxi, Kulenovic and Ladas. Oscillations of Neutral Equations with Variable Coefficients, *Radovi Matematički*, **5**, 1989, 321-331.

3. Graef, Grammatikopoulos and Spikes. Asymptotic and Oscillatory Behaviour of Solutions of First Order Nonlinear Neutral Differential Equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **155**, 1991, 562-571.
4. Gyori and Ladas. Oscillation Theory of Delay Differential Equations, *Clarendon Press, Oxford*, 1991.
5. Lu W u d u. Oscillations of High Order Neutral Differential Equations with Variable Coefficients, *Math. Appl.*, **3**, 1990, 333-338.

Technical University
Plovdiv
BULGARIA

Received 02.09.1993