

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Mathematica Balkanica

Mathematical Society of South-Eastern Europe
A quarterly published by
the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences – National Committee for Mathematics

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on Mathematica Balkanica visit the website of the journal
<http://www.mathbalkanica.info>

or contact:

Mathematica Balkanica - Editorial Office;
Acad. G. Bonchev str., Bl. 25A, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria
Phone: +359-2-979-6311, Fax: +359-2-870-7273,
E-mail: balmat@bas.bg

Complete Systems of Gegenbauer Polynomials in Spaces of Holomorphic Functions

Peter Rusev

Presented by V. Kiryakova

In the paper sufficient conditions are given for a sequence of Gegenbauer polynomials to be complete in suitable spaces of holomorphic functions.

AMS Subj. Classification: 33C45

Key Words: complete systems of orthogonal polynomials, Gegenbauer polynomials, spaces of holomorphic functions

1. Introduction

1.1 Let B be a nonempty open set in the extended complex plane $\bar{C} = C \cup \{\infty\}$ and let $H(B)$ be the C -vector space of the complex functions holomorphic in B . As usually we consider $H(B)$ with the topology of uniform convergence on the compact subset of B .

A subset of $H(B)$ is said to be complete in $H(B)$ if its closed linear span is the whole space $H(B)$.

Let $B^* = \bar{C} \setminus B$ be nonempty and let E be a closed subset of B^* having a point of common with every (connected) component of B^* . Then the classical Runge's theorem says that the set of all rational functions with poles in E is complete in $H(B)$. In particular if $B \subset C$ does not separate \bar{C} i.e. if B^* is connected, then the set of all polynomials is complete in $H(B)$. Indeed in the latter case we can choose $E = \{\infty\}$.

1.2 Let $\gamma \subset C$ be a rectifiable Jordan curve and let C_γ be the closure of that component of $\bar{C} \setminus \gamma$ which contains the point ∞ . Denote by H_γ the C -vector space of the complex functions everyone of which is holomorphic in an open set containing C_γ and vanishes at the point ∞ .

There is a criterion for completeness of a sequence $\{h_n(z)\}_{n=0}^\infty \subset H(G)$ provided that $G \subset C$ is a simply connected region namely [1, p. 211, Theorem 17]:

(CC) Let $G \subset C$ be a simply connected region. Then a sequence $\{h_n(z)\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset H(G)$ is complete in $H(G)$ iff whatever the rectifiable Jordan curve $\gamma \subset G$ be, the only function $F \in H_\gamma$ which is orthogonal to everyone of the functions $\{h_n(z)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is identically zero i.e. the equalities

$$\int_{\gamma} F(z)h_n(z) dz = 0, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

imply $F \equiv 0$.

2. Gegenbauer polynomials and associated functions

2.1 Gegenbauer polynomials $\{P_n^{(\lambda)}(z)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ (called also ultraspherical) when $2\lambda \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$ are defined by the equalities

$$P_n^{(\lambda)}(z) = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + 1/2)\Gamma(n + 2\lambda)}{\Gamma(2\lambda)\Gamma(n + \lambda + 1/2)} P_n^{(\lambda-1/2, \lambda-1/2)}(z), n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $\{P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are the Jacobi polynomials with parameters α, β . By definition $P_0^{(0)}(z) \equiv 1$ and $P_n^{(0)}(z) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda^{-1} P_n^{(\lambda)}(z)$ when $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ i.e.

$$P_n^{(0)}(z) = \frac{2\Gamma(1/2)\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(n + 1/2)} P_n^{(-1/2, -1/2)}(z), n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

The above definition of Gegenbauer polynomials can be found in [2, 4.7] as well as in [3, 10.9]. Another way to define these polynomials is by means of a generating function. Namely, if $2\lambda \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$ then for every $z \in C$ and $w \in C$ we have

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(2\lambda)P_n^{(\lambda)}(z)w^n}{2^{\lambda-1/2}\Gamma(\lambda + 1/2)\Gamma(n + 2\lambda)} = ((1 - z^2)^{1/2}w)^{1/2-\lambda} J_{\lambda-1/2}((1 - z^2)^{1/2}) \exp(zw),$$

where J_α is the Bessel function of the first kind with index α [2, (4.10.6)].

2.2 Let $\Re \lambda > -1$, then the functions $\{Q_n^{(\lambda)}(z)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ defined in the region $\overline{C} \setminus [-1, 1]$ by means of the equalities

$$Q_n^{(\lambda)}(z) = - \int_{-1}^1 \frac{(1 - t^2)^\lambda P_n^{(\lambda)}(t)}{t - z} dt, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

are called Gegenbauer associated functions.

Let ω be that inverse of Žukowski function $z = (w + w^{-1})/2$ for which $\omega(\infty) = \infty$. For every $r \in (1, \infty)$, $e(r) := \{z \in C \setminus [-1, 1] : |\omega(z)| = r\}$ is an ellipse with focuses at the points $-1, 1$. We denote by $E(r)$ the interior of $e(r)$ and define $E^*(r) = \overline{C} \setminus \overline{E(r)}$. By definition $E(1) = \emptyset$ and $E^*(1) = \overline{C} \setminus [-1, 1]$.

Let $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of complex numbers such that

$$(2.2) \quad 1 \leq R = \max\{1, \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|^{1/n}\} < \infty.$$

Then the series

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n Q_n^{(\lambda)}(z)$$

is absolutely uniformly convrgent on every closed subset of $E^*(R)$ and diverges in $E(R) \setminus [-1, 1]$. Further if F is a complex function holomorphic in the region $E^*(R)$ ($1 \leq R < \infty$) and $F(\infty) = 0$, then it has there an expansion in a series of the kind (2.3) i.e.

$$(2.4) \quad F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^{(\lambda)}(F) Q_n^{(\lambda)}(z).$$

Moreover whatever $r \in (R, \infty)$ be, for the coefficients of the series in (2.4) we have the representations

$$(2.5) \quad a_n^{(\lambda)}(F) = (2\pi i K_n^{(\lambda)})^{-1} \int_{e(r)} F(z) P_n^{(\lambda)}(z) dz, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where

$$K_n^{(\lambda)} = \int_{-1}^1 (1-t^2)^\lambda \{P_n^{(\lambda)}(t)\}^2 dt, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

3. The main result

3.1 We define $a(z, t) = z + t(1 - z^2)^{1/2}$ provided that $z \in C \setminus [-1, 1]$ and $t \in [-1, 1]$. Further if $0 \leq \eta < 1$ and $\theta \in R$, then a region $G \subset C \setminus [-1, 1]$ is called (η, θ) -admissible when for every $z \in G$ and every $t \in [-1, 1]$ the following inequality holds namely

$$(3.1) \quad |\arg(a(z, t) \exp i\theta)| < (1 - \eta) \frac{\pi}{2}$$

Remark. It is clear that if a region $G \subset C \setminus [-1, 1]$ is (η, θ) -admissible then every its subregion has the same property.

Let us note that (η, θ) -admissible regions really exist. Let $\rho > 1$ and $A(\eta, \theta, \rho)$ be the region defined by $|\arg(z \exp i\theta)| < (1 - \eta)\pi/2$ and $|z| > \rho$. Since $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z^{-1}a(z, t) = 1 + t$ uniformly when $t \in (-1, 1]$, for every $\epsilon \in (0, 1 - \eta)$ there exists $\rho_0 = \rho_0(\epsilon) > 1$ such that $A(\eta + \epsilon, \theta, \rho)$ is (η, θ) -admissible region provided that $\rho > \rho_0$.

3.2 For an increasing sequence $k = \{k_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ of nonnegative integers is said that it has density $\delta(k)$ if there exists $\delta(k) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n/k_n)$.

Theorem. Let $0 \leq \eta < 1$, $\theta \in R$, $\Re\lambda > 0$ and let $k = \{k_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be an increasing sequence of nonnegative integers with density $\delta(k) > (1 - \eta)/2$. Then the system $\{P_{k_n}^{(\lambda)}(z)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is complete in every space $H(G)$ provided that $G \subset C \setminus [-1, 1]$ is a simply connected (η, θ) -admissible region.

Proof. We define the system of polynomials $\{\tilde{P}_n^{(\lambda)}(z)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ by the equalities

$$(3.2) \quad \tilde{P}_n^{(\lambda)}(z) = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda)\Gamma(2\lambda)\pi^{1/2}(-1)^n}{\Gamma(\lambda + 1/2)\Gamma(n + 2\lambda)} P_n^{(\lambda)}(z), n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

provided that $2\lambda \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$

Under the assumption $\Re\lambda > 0$ we define the function $P^{(\lambda)}(z, w)$ for $z \in C \setminus [-1, 1]$ and $w \in C$ by

$$(3.3) \quad P^{(\lambda)}(z, w) = \int_{-1}^1 (1 - t^2)^{\lambda-1} \exp(-a(z, t)w) dt.$$

Then as a corollary of (2.3), (3.2) and the Poisson integral representation of the function J_α [3, 7.12, (7)] we find that

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{n=0}^\infty \tilde{P}_n^{(\lambda)}(z)w^n = P^{(\lambda)}(z, w).$$

Suppose now the statement we wish to prove is not true. By the completeness criterion (CC) that means there exist a (η, θ) -admissible simply connected region $G \subset C \setminus [-1, 1]$, a Jordan rectifiable positively oriented curve $\gamma \subset G$ and a function $F \in H_\gamma$ which is not identically zero but

$$\int_\gamma P_{k_n}^{(\lambda)}(z)F(z) dz = 0, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

In view of the equalities (3.2) that means that

$$(3.5) \quad \int_\gamma \tilde{P}_{k_n}^{(\lambda)}(z)F(z) dz = 0, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

We define the function f by

$$(3.6) \quad f(w) = \int_{\gamma} P^{(\lambda)}(z, w) F(z) dz.$$

It is clear that f is holomorphic in the whole complex plane i.e. it is an entire function. We will see that its Taylor expansion centered at the origin is

$$(3.7) \quad f(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n^{(\lambda)}(F) w^n,$$

where

$$(3.8) \quad A_n^{(\lambda)}(F) = \int_{\gamma} \tilde{P}_n^{(\lambda)}(z) F(z), n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Indeed, (3.3), (3.4) and (3.6) give that for every $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned} A_n^{(\lambda)}(F) &= (n!)^{-1} f^{(n)}(0) \\ &= (n!)^{-1} (-1)^n \int_{\gamma} \left\{ \int_{-1}^1 (1-t^2)^{\lambda-1} (z + t(z^2 - 1)^{1/2})^n dt \right\} F(z) dz. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Gamma(2\lambda) = 2^{2\lambda-1} \pi^{-1/2} \Gamma(\lambda) \Gamma(\lambda + 1/2)$ [3, 1.2, (15)], the validity of the equalities (3.8) is a corollary of the integral representation [3, 10.9, (31)] of Gegenbauer polynomials as well as of the equalities (3.2).

Let us note that f is not identically zero. Otherwise we would have $A_n^{(\lambda)}(F) = 0$ for every $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and since the system $\{P_n^{(\lambda)}(z)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is complete in any space $H(G)$ with G simply connected, the completeness criterion (CC) would imply $F \equiv 0$.

We define $R_0 = \inf\{R : F \in E^*(R)\}$. It is clear that $R_0 < \infty$. The assumption $R_0 = 1$ leads to the conclusion that the function F is holomorphic in $\overline{C} \setminus [-1, 1]$. Since it is holomorphic in a neighbourhood of the segment $[-1, 1]$, it follows that F is holomorphic on \overline{C} i.e. F is a constant function. But $F(\infty) = 0$ i.e. $F \equiv 0$ which contradicts the assumption we made. Thus we have proved that $1 < R_0 < \infty$.

For the function F we have the representation (2.4) with coefficients given by (2.5). If we assume that the ellipse $e(r)$ is positively oriented, then we can assert that for every $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\int_{\gamma} P_n^{(\lambda)}(z) F(z) dz = \int_{e(r)} P_n^{(\lambda)}(z) F(z) dz.$$

Therefore in view of (3.2) and (3.8) we will have that

$$(3.9) \quad A_n^{(\lambda)}(F) = \frac{2\pi^2 i}{(n + \lambda)\Gamma(n + 1)} a_n^{(\lambda)}(F), n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

The set of n 's for which $|a_n^{(\lambda)}(F)| > 1$ is not finite. Otherwise we would have $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n^{(\lambda)}(F)| \leq 1$ and in view of (3.5) the series in (3.7) would be convergent in the region $E^*(1)$ i.e. the function F would be holomorphic in $E^*(1)$ which as we have already seen is not possible.

Now we are going to prove that the entire function (3.6) is of order one and type R_0 . Let $p = \{p_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be the nonnegative integers for which $|a_{p_n}^{(\lambda)}(F)| > 1$ and let q be the complementary sequence of p with respect to the set of all nonnegative integers.

Since $R_0 < \infty$, the sequence $\{|a_n^{(\lambda)}(F)|^{1/n}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is bounded and in particular we have $1 < |a_{p_n}^{(\lambda)}(F)|^{1/p_n} \leq B < \infty (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$. Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p_n \log p_n)^{-1} \log |a_{p_n}^{(\lambda)}(F)| = 0$$

and then from (3.9) it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n \log p_n (\log(|A_{p_n}^{(\lambda)}(F)|^{-1}))^{-1} = 1.$$

If q is finite, then [1, Chapter I: (1.05), (1.06)] give that f is of order one and type R_0 .

Suppose q is not finite and let $q = \{q_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$. Then we have

$$\frac{q_n \log q_n}{\log(|A_{q_n}^{(\lambda)}(F)|^{-1})} \leq \frac{q_n \log q_n}{\log \Gamma(q_n + 1) + \log |q_n + \lambda| + \log(2\pi)}.$$

Therefore

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n \log q_n (\log(|A_{q_n}^{(\lambda)}(F)|^{-1}))^{-1} \leq 1$$

and again [1, Chapter I: (1.05), (1.06)] yield that f is of order one and type R_0 .

Let us note that $a(z, t)$ is a continuous and nonvanishing function of the variables $z \in C \setminus [-1, 1]$ and $t \in [-1, 1]$. Since $\gamma \subset G$ is a compact set we can assert that the real number μ defined by

$$(3.10) \quad \mu = \min\{|a(z, t)| : z \in \gamma, t \in [-1, 1]\}$$

is positive.

Further since G is (η, θ) -admissible there exists $\sigma \in (0, 1 - \eta)$ such that the inequality

$$(3.11) \quad |\arg(a(z, t) \exp i\theta)| \leq (1 - \eta - \sigma) \frac{\pi}{2}$$

holds whenever $z \in \gamma$ and $t \in [-1, 1]$.

Let $W(\eta, \theta)$ be the angular domain defined by $|\arg(w \exp(-i\theta))| < \eta\pi/2$. Then from (3.10) and (3.11) it follows that $\Re(a(z, t)w) \geq -\mu \sin(\sigma\pi/2)|w|$ provided that $z \in \gamma$, $t \in [-1, 1]$ and $w \in \overline{W(\eta, \theta)}$ and as a corollary of (3.3) and (3.6) we obtain

$$(3.12) \quad |f(w)| \leq L(\lambda) \exp(-\mu \sin(\sigma\pi/2)|w|)$$

with

$$L(\lambda) = M(F)l(\gamma) \int_{-1}^1 (1 - t^2)^{\Re\lambda - 1} dt,$$

where $M(F) = \max\{|F(z)| : z \in \gamma\}$ and $l(\gamma)$ is the length of γ .

That means f is of order one and nonpositive type on the closed angular domain $\overline{W(\eta, \theta)}$.

Let k^* be the complementary sequence of $k = \{k_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ with respect to the nonnegative integers. Since it is supposed that $A_{k_n}(F) = 0$ for every $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, k^* is not finite. Otherwise f would be a nonzero polynomial which because of (3.12) is not possible.

Further we denote by \tilde{k} the sequence of indices of the nonzero coefficients in the Taylor expansion (3.7). The assumption that \tilde{k} is finite leads again to a contradiction.

Let $\Delta(\tilde{k})$ be the maximal density of the sequence \tilde{k} [4]. Then by a theorem of G. Pólya [4, p. 626, Satz VII], $\Delta(\tilde{k}) \geq (1 + \eta)/2$.

It is not difficult to prove that the sequence k^* also has density and moreover $\delta(k^*) = 1 - \delta(k)$. Since \tilde{k} is a subsequence of k^* , we have $\Delta(\tilde{k}) \leq \delta(\tilde{k})$ [5, Note I, 2.] and therefore $\Delta(\tilde{k}) \leq 1 - \delta(k) < (1 + \eta)/2$. We come to a contradiction and thus our statement is proved.

4. Comments

Let $\Gamma_1 \subset C$ be a continuous curve joining the points 0 and ∞ and such that every circle centered at the origin intersects Γ_1 in a single point. Suppose that $0 < \tau \leq 1$ and define $\Gamma_2 = \exp(2\pi i)\Gamma_1$. It is clear that Γ_1 and Γ_2 have no point in common except 0 and ∞ . Let further D be the region "between" Γ_1 and Γ_2 and let call it curvilinear angular domain of angle $2\pi\tau$.

In [6] it is proved that if the sequence $\{k_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ has density τ , then the system of Jacobi polynomials $\{P_{k_n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is complete in every space $H(G)$ with $G \subset C \setminus [-1, 1]$ provided $\omega(G)$ is the part of a curvilinear domain of angle $2\pi\tau$ lying outside of the unit disk $U(0; 1)$. In view of (2.1) the same is true for the system $\{P_{k_n}^{(\lambda)}(z)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$.

As it was mentioned above the angular domain $A(\eta + \epsilon, \theta, \rho)$ is (η, θ) -admissible when $0 < \epsilon < 1 - \eta$ and $\rho > 1$ is large enough. Since any point of the unit circle is not a boundary point of the region $A(\eta + \epsilon, \theta, \rho)$, it can't be of the kind $D \setminus D \cap \bar{U}(0; 1)$.

Aknoedgment. The author is partly supported by the National Science Fund at the Ministry of Science and Education, Bulgaria, under Project MM 433/94.

References

- [1] B. Ja. L e v i n. *Distribution of Zeros of Entire Functions*. Translations of Math. Monographs **5**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 1964.
- [2] G. S z e g ö. *Orthogonal Polynomials*. Colloquium Publications **23**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 1939.
- [3] H. B a t e m a n, A. E r d é l y i. *Higher Transcendental Functions*, vol-s. I, II, III. Mc Graw-Hill, New York, 1953.
- [4] G. P ó l y a. Untersuchungen über Lücken und Singularitäten von Potenzreihen. *Mathem. Zeitschrift*, **29**, 1929, 549-640.
- [5] V l. B e r n s t e i n. *Leçons sur les progrès récent de la théorie des séries de Dirichlet*. Gauthier - Villars, Paris, 1933.
- [6] A. F. L e o n t i e v. On the completeness of certain systems of polynomials in domains of the complex plane. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **126**, No 4, 1959, 939-942 (In Russian).

*Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Sofia 1090, BULGARIA
e-mail: pkrusevmath.acad.bg*

Received: 26.06.1996