# Finite Difference Schemes for Generalized Boussinesq Equation

Natalia Kolkovska

Institute of Mathematics and Informatics Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria, e-mail: natali@math.bas.bg

#### Mathematical Modeling and Computational Physics, DUBNA, 2013

Supported by Bulgarian National Science Fund Grant DDVU 02/71



## Boussinesq Equation

- Introduction
- Properties to the Boussinesq equation

## 2 Finite difference schemes

- Finite difference schemes
- Discrete conservation law
- Convergence

## 3 Numerical results

- Numerical algorithms
- Tables
- Graphics, 1D, 2D

## Introduction

## We study the Cauchy problem for

Boussinesq Equation (BE)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} &= \Delta u + \beta_1 \Delta \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - \beta_2 \Delta^2 u + \Delta f(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ t > 0, \\ u(x,0) &= u_0(x), \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x,0) = u_1(x), \end{aligned}$$

on the unbounded region  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with asymptotic boundary conditions  $u(x, t) \to 0$ ,  $\Delta u(x, t) \to 0$  as  $|x| \to \infty$ , where  $\Delta$  is the Laplace operator  $\beta$  and  $\beta$  are positive constants.

where  $\Delta$  is the Laplace operator,  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  are positive constants.

This is a 4-th order differential equation in x and 2-nd order in t with non-linearity contained in the term f(u). f is a polynomial of u. Examples:  $f(u) = \alpha u^2$ ;  $f(u) = au^3 + bu^5$ .



# Numerical methods for BE, references

- finite difference methods (Ortega, Sanz Serna, 1990; Christov, 1994);
- finite element methods (Pani, 1997);
- spectral method with Christov functions (Christou, 2010);
- Godunov-type central-upwind scheme (Chertock, Christov, Kurganov, 2011)
- theoretical analysis, numerical implementation, comparison of several FDS (Kolkovska, 2010; Christov, Vasileva, Kolkovska, 2010; Kolkovska, Dimova, 2011, 2012);
- vector additive schemes (multicomponent alternating direction method) (Kolkovska, Angelow, 2013)  $O(h^2 + \tau)$ , non conservative method;

We assume that the initial data satisfy such regularity conditions that BE has a unique smooth enough solution.



Introduction Properties to the Boussinesq equation

## Properties to the Boussinesq equation

Let  $\|\cdot\|$  denote the standard norm in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Define the energy functional

$$E(u(t)) = \left\| (-\Delta)^{-1/2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \beta_1 \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \|u\|^2 + \beta_2 \|\nabla u\|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} F(u) du$$
  
with

$$F(u) = \int_0^u f(s) ds$$

#### Theorem (Conservation law)

The solution u to Boussinesq problem satisfies the following energy identity

$$E(u(t)) = E(u(0)) \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

We obtain similar energy identities for the solutions of the FDS employed in the discretization of BPE.



Finite difference schemes Discrete conservation law Convergence

## Notations for case n = 2:

- Domain  $\Omega = [-L_1, L_1] \times [-L_2, L_2]$ ,  $L_1, L_2$  sufficiently large;
- a uniform mesh with steps  $h_1$ ,  $h_2$  in  $\Omega$ :  $x_i = ih_1, i = -M_1, M_1$ ;  $y_j = jh_2, j = -M_2, M_2$ ;
- au the time step,  $t_k = k au, k = 0, 1, 2, ...;$

- $v_{(i,j)}^{(k)}$  denotes the discrete approximation  $u(x_i, y_j, t_k)$ ;
- notations for some discrete derivatives of mesh functions:

•  $v_{t,(i,j)}^{(k)} = (v_{(i,j)}^{(k+1)} - v_{(i,j)}^{(k)})/\tau;$ •  $v_{\bar{x}x,(i,j)}^{(k)} = (v_{(i+1,j)}^{(k)} - 2v_{(i,j)}^{(k)} + v_{(i-1,j)}^{(k)})/h_1^2;$ •  $v_{\bar{t}t,(i,j)}^{(k)} = (v_{(i,j)}^{(k+1)} - 2v_{(i,j)}^{(k)} + v_{(i,j)}^{(k-1)})/\tau^2;$ •  $\Delta_h v = v_{\bar{x}x} + v_{\bar{y}y}$  - the 5-point discrete Laplacian. •  $(\Delta_h)^2 v = v_{\bar{x}x\bar{x}x} + v_{\bar{y}y\bar{y}y} + 2v_{\bar{x}x\bar{y}y}$  - the discrete biLaplacian.



Boussinesq Equation Finite difference schemes Numerical results Discrete conservation law Convergence

In approximation of  $\Delta_h v$  and  $(\Delta_h)^2 v$  we use  $v^{\theta}$  – the symmetric  $\theta$ -weighted approximation to  $v_{(i,j)}^k$ :  $v_{(i,j)}^{\theta,k} = \theta v_{(i,j)}^{k+1} + (1-2\theta) v_{(i,j)}^k + \theta v_{(i,j)}^{k-1}$ ,  $\theta \in R$ .

#### Three level FDS

$$v_{tt}^k - \Delta_h v_{tt}^k - \Delta_h v^{ heta,k} + (\Delta_h)^2 v^{ heta,k} = \Delta_h rac{F(v^{k+1}) - F(v^{k-1})}{v^{k+1} - v^{k-1}}$$

$$\begin{split} v_{(i,j)}^{0} &= u_{0}(x_{i}, y_{j}), \\ v_{(i,j)}^{1} &= u_{0}(x_{i}, y_{j}) + \tau u_{1}(x_{i}, y_{j}) \\ &+ 0.5\tau^{2}(I - \Delta_{h})^{-1} \left(\Delta_{h}u_{0} - (\Delta_{h})^{2}u_{0} + \Delta_{h}g(u_{0})\right)(x_{i}, y_{j}). \end{split}$$

The equations, boundary and initial conditions form a family of 3-levels finite difference schemes.



Boussinesq Equation Finite difference schemes Numerical results Discrete conservation law Convergence

The second time derivative at the time level  $t^k + \tau/2$  is approximated with error  $O(\tau^2)$  using four consecutive time levels (k + 2), (k + 1), (k) and (k - 1) as

$$v_{\hat{t}\hat{t}}^{(k)} = 0.5(v^{(k+2)} - v^{(k+1)} - v^{(k)} + v^{(k-1)})\tau^{-2}$$

For the approximation of  $\Delta_h v$  and  $(\Delta_h)^2 v$  we introduce two symmetric approximations to  $u(\cdot, t^k + \tau/2)$  with real parameters  $\theta$  and  $\mu$ :

$$v^{\theta(k)} = \theta v^{(k+2)} + (0.5 - \theta) v^{(k+1)} + (0.5 - \theta) v^{(k)} + \theta v^{(k-1)},$$
  
$$v^{\mu(k)} = \mu v^{(k+2)} + (0.5 - \mu) v^{(k+1)} + (0.5 - \mu) v^{(k)} + \mu v^{(k-1)},$$

For the approximation of non-linear term we use

$$\frac{F(v^{(k+1)}) - F(v^{(k)})}{v^{(k+1)} - v^{(k)}}.$$

Note that function f(v) is a polynomial of v, thus the integrals F(v) could be explicitly evaluated!



Finite difference schemes Discrete conservation law Convergence

### Four level FDS:

$$(I - \beta_1 \Delta_h)(v^{(k+2)} - v^{(k+1)} - v^{(k)} + v^{(k-1)})/(2\tau^2) - \Delta_h v^{\theta(k)} + \beta_2(\Delta_h)^2 v^{\mu(k)} = \Delta_h \frac{F(v^{(k+1)}) - F(v^{(k)})}{v^{(k+1)} - v^{(k)}}$$

Here *I* stands for the identity operator. Initial values  $v^{(0)}$ ,  $v^{(1)}$  and  $v^{(-1)}$  on time levels t = 0,  $t = \tau$  and  $t = -\tau$  are evaluated by formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}_{i,j}^{(0)} &= u_0(x_i, y_j), \\ \mathbf{v}_{i,j}^{(1)} &= u_0(x_i, y_j) + \tau u_1(x_i, y_j) \\ &+ 0.5\tau^2 (I - \beta_1 \Delta_h)^{-1} \left( \Delta_h u_0 - \beta_2 (\Delta_h)^2 u_0 + \Delta_h f(u_0) \right) (x_i, y_j), \\ \mathbf{v}_{\bar{t}t}^{(0)} &= \left( \mathbf{v}_{(i,j)}^{(1)} - 2\mathbf{v}_{(i,j)}^{(0)} + \mathbf{v}_{(i,j)}^{(-1)} \right) \tau^{-2} \\ &= (I - \beta_1 \Delta_h)^{-1} \left( \Delta_h u_0 - \beta_2 \Delta_h^2 u_0 + \Delta_h f(u_0) \right) (x_i, y_j). \end{aligned}$$

N. Kolkovska

FDS for Boussinesq Equation

Boussinesq Equation Finite difference schemes Numerical results Finite difference schemes Discrete conservation law Convergence

Consider the space of functions, which vanish on the boundary of  $\Omega_h$ , with the scalar product

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \sum_{i,j} h_1 h_2 v_{(i,j)}^{(k)} w_{(i,j)}^{(k)};$$

We define operators

$$A = -\Delta_h$$

$$B = I - \beta_1 \Delta_h - 2\tau^2 \theta \Delta_h + 2\tau^2 \beta_2 \mu (\Delta_h)^2$$

A , B - self-adjoint and positive definite operators for  $\theta \geq 0$  and  $\mu \geq 0$ 

Boussinesq Equation Finite difference schemes Numerical results Discrete conservation law Convergence

The energy functional  $E_h^L$  (obtained from the linear part of the equation) at the k-th time level is  $E_h^L(v^{(k)}) = \left\langle A^{-1}v_t^{(k)}, v_t^{(k)} \right\rangle + \left\langle v_t^{(k)}, v_t^{(k)} \right\rangle + \tau^2(\theta - 1/4) \left\langle (I+A)v_t^{(k)}, v_t^{(k)} \right\rangle \\ + 1/4 \left\langle v^{(k)} + v^{(k+1)} + A(v^{(k)} + v^{(k+1)}), v^{(k)} + v^{(k+1)} \right\rangle$ 

The full discrete energy functional is (including the non-linearity)

$$E_h(\mathbf{v}^{(k)}) = E_h^L(\mathbf{v}^{(k)}) + \left\langle F(\mathbf{v}^{(k+1)}), 1 \right\rangle + \left\langle F(\mathbf{v}^{(k)}), 1 \right\rangle$$

Theorem (Discrete conservation law, Three level FDS )

The solution to the iterative scheme (IM) satisfies the energy equalities ((k)) = ((k)) = (0)

$$E_h(v^{(k)}) = E_h(v^{(0)}), \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

*i.e.* the discrete energy is conserved in time.

Boussinesq Equation Finite difference schemes Numerical results Discrete conservation law Convergence

We introduce the linear functional  $E_{h,L}(v^{(k)})$  as

$$E_{h,L}(v^{(k)}) = 0.5 \left\langle A^{-1} B v_t^{(k)}, v_t^{(k-1)} \right\rangle + 0.5 \left\langle v^{(k)} + \beta_2 A v^{(k)}, v^{(k)} \right\rangle$$

and the full discrete energy functional  $E_h(v^{(k)})$  as

$$E_h(v^{(k)}) = E_{h,L}(v^{(k)}) + \langle F(v^{(k)}), 1 \rangle.$$

#### Theorem (**Discrete conservation law, Four level FDS** )

The solution to the considered FDS satisfies the energy equalities

$$E_h(v^{(k)}) = E_h(v^{(0)}), \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

*i.e.* the discrete energy is conserved in time.

Our calculations confirm that the discrete energy functional  $E_h(v^{(k)})$  is preserved in time with a high accuracy (for  $t \in (0, 20]$  - with  $10^{-8}$  error)

#### Theorem (Convergence of the 3-level FDS)

Assume that the parameter  $\theta$  satisfies

$$heta > rac{1+\epsilon}{4} - rac{1}{ au^2 ||A||}, \epsilon > 0$$

Assume that the solution u is in  $C^{4,4}(\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0,T))$  and the solution v to the 3-level FDS is bounded in the maximal norm. Then v converges to the exact solution u as  $|h|, \tau \to 0$  and the following estimate holds for the error z = y - u:

$$\begin{split} \max_{i} |z_{i}^{(k)}| &< Ce^{Mt_{k}}\sqrt{\frac{1+\epsilon}{\epsilon}}\left(|h|^{2}+\tau^{2}\right), \qquad n=1;\\ \max_{i,j} |z_{i,j}^{(k)}| &< Ce^{Mt_{k}}\sqrt{\ln N}\sqrt{\frac{1+\epsilon}{\epsilon}}\left(|h|^{2}+\tau^{2}\right), \qquad n=2. \end{split}$$

Finite difference schemes Discrete conservation law Convergence

#### Theorem (Convergence of the 4-level FDS)

Assume that f is a polynomial of u and that:

(i) parameters  $\theta$  and  $\mu$  satisfy the operator inequality

$$A^{-1} + \beta_1 I + \tau^2 (2\theta - 0.5)I + \tau^2 \beta_2 (2\mu - 0.5)A > \epsilon I, \ \epsilon > 0$$

with some positive real number  $\epsilon$  independent on h,  $\tau$ , u; (ii)  $u \in C^{4,4}(\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T);$ 

(iii) the discrete solution v is bounded in the maximal norm. Then the discrete solution v converges to the exact solution u as  $|h|, \tau \rightarrow 0$  and the following estimate holds for the error z = u - v:

$$\max_{i} |z_{i}^{(k)} + z_{i}^{(k+1)}| \leq Ce^{Mt^{k}} (|h|^{2} + \tau^{2}), n = 1;$$
  
$$\max_{i,j} |z_{i}^{(k)} + z_{i}^{(k+1)}| \leq Ce^{Mt^{k}} \sqrt{\ln(\max\{N_{1}, N_{2}\})} (|h|^{2} + \tau^{2}), n = 2.$$

Boussinesq Equation	
Finite difference schemes	Discrete conservation law
Numerical results	Convergence

Table: Restrictions on parameters  $\theta,\,\mu$  for validity of condition (i) in the convergence Theorem

$\mu$	θ	sufficient conditions
$\mu \geq$ 0.25	$ heta \geq 0.25$	no restrictions
$\mu \geq$ 0.25	heta < 0.25	$ au^2 < rac{eta_1 - \epsilon +  au^2 (2\mu - 0.5) eta_2 4/L^2}{(0.5 - 2 heta)}$
$\mu < 0.25$	$ heta \geq 0.25$	$\tau^2 < h^2 \frac{\beta_1 - \epsilon}{4n(0.5 - 2\mu)\beta_2}$
$\mu < 0.25$	heta < 0.25	$ au^2 < h^2 rac{eta_1 - \epsilon +  au^2 (2 heta - 0.5)}{4n(0.5 - 2\mu)eta_2}$

Here  $L = \max(L_1, L_2)$  is the semi-length of the computational domain and n = 1, 2 is the dimension.



Numerical algorithms Tables Graphics, 1D, 2D

# Algorithm for 3 level FDS

**A.** Evaluate 
$$v^{(0)}$$
,  $v^{(1)}$  from the initial conditions

**B.** For 
$$k = 1, 2, ...$$
 do  $(v^{(k-1)}, v^{(k)} \text{ are known})$ 

**1** take 
$$v^{(k+1)[0]} = v^{(k)}$$

● for 
$$s = 1, 2, ...$$
 repeat steps (a), (b) below until  $|v^{(k+1)[s+1]} - v^{(k+1)[s]}| < \epsilon |v^{(k+1)[s]}|$ 

(a) find w by standard elliptic solver  

$$(I - \Delta_h)w = \Delta_h \frac{F(v^{(k+1)[s]}) - F(v^{(k-1)})}{v^{(k+1)[s]} - v^{(k-1)}},$$
  
 $w = 0$  (BC),  
(b) obtain  $v^{(k+1)[s+1]}$  from  
 $(I - \theta \tau^2 \Delta_h) v^{(k)[s+1]}_{\bar{t}t} - \Delta_h v^{(k)[s+1]} = w,$   
 $v^{(k+1)[s+1]} = 0$  (BC)  
 $(k+1) = (k+1)[s+1]$ 

3 set  $v^{(k+1)} = v^{(k+1)[s+1]}$ 



æ

<ロト <部ト < 注ト < 注ト

Numerical algorithms Tables Graphics, 1D, 2D

#### Numerical algorithm, 4-level FDS

1. Evaluate  $v^{(0)}$ ,  $v^{(1)}$ ,  $v^{(-1)}$  from the initial conditions; 2. For k = 0, 1, 2, ... do  $(v^{(k-1)}, v^{(k)}, v^{(k+1)}$  are known):

$$\begin{split} (I - \beta_1 \Delta_h) (\mathbf{v}^{(k+2)}) / (2\tau^2) &- \theta \Delta_h \mathbf{v}^{(k+2)} + \mu \beta_2 \Delta_h^2 \mathbf{v}^{(k+2)} \\ &= \Delta_h \frac{F(\mathbf{v}^{(k+1)}) - F(\mathbf{v}^{(k)})}{\mathbf{v}^{(k+1)} - \mathbf{v}^{(k)}} - (0.5 - \mu) \beta_2 (\Delta_h)^2 (\mathbf{v}^{(k+1)} + \mathbf{v}^{(k)}) \\ &- \mu \beta_2 \Delta_h^2 \mathbf{v}^{(k-1)} + (0.5 - \theta) \Delta_h (\mathbf{v}^{(k+1)} + \mathbf{v}^{(k)}) + \theta \Delta_h \mathbf{v}^{(k-1)} \\ &+ (I - \beta_1 \Delta_h) (\mathbf{v}^{(k+1)} + \mathbf{v}^{(k)} - \mathbf{v}^{(k-1)}) / (2\tau^2) \end{split}$$

#### Remarks:

if  $\mu \neq 0$  - 4-th order elliptic equation for  $v^{(k+2)} \Rightarrow$  choose  $\mu = 0!$ for  $\mu = 0$  - second order elliptic equation for  $v^{(k+2)}$  - the numerical method is efficient!

No inner iterations are needed for evaluation of  $v^{(k+2)}$ . Despite this fact, this method is conservative!



Boussinesq EquationNumerical algorithmsFinite difference schemesTablesNumerical resultsGraphics, 1D, 2D

## Preliminaries

• An analytical solution of the 1D equation (one solitary wave):

$$u(x, t; x_0, c) = \frac{3}{2} \frac{c^2 - 1}{\alpha} \operatorname{sech}^2 \left( \frac{x - x_0 - ct}{2} \sqrt{\frac{c^2 - 1}{\beta_1 c^2 - \beta_2}} \right),$$

where  $x_0$  is the initial position of the peak of the solitary wave,

- Parameters:  $\alpha = 3$ ,  $\beta_1 = 1.5$ ,  $\beta_2 = 0.5$ , c is the wave speed.
- Initial conditions for one solitary wave or two solitary waves:

$$u(x,0) = u(x,0;-40,2) + u(x,0;50,-1.5)$$
  
$$\frac{du}{dt}(x,0) = u(x,0;-40,2)_t + u(x,0;50,-1.5)_t$$

• schemes with  $\mu = 0$  and several  $\theta$ :  $\theta = 0.25$ ,  $\theta = 0.5$ ,  $\theta = 0$ .



## One solitary wave

Errors in uniform norm and rate of convergence for  $t \in [0,20], \; \theta = 0.5$ 

	c=2		c=0.5	
h	Error	Rate	Error	Rate
0.1	0.0011424		0.0094145	
0.05	0.00028569	1.99954544	0.0022174	2.08601543
0.025	7.1534 e-005	1.99783019	0.0005475	2.01793817
0.0125	1.9402 e-005	1.88234306	0.0001359	2.01031351

• 
$$\tau = h \sqrt{(eta_1/(8eta_2))}$$
,  $\epsilon = 0.5eta_1$ ,  $au^2 < 0.5eta_1$ 

- The error is the difference between the calculated and the exact solution in uniform norm for t = 20.
- The calculations confirm the schemes are of order  $O(h^2 + \tau^2)$ .

## Interaction of two solitary waves with different speeds

Errors in uniform norm and rate of convergence for  $t \in [0, 40]$ 

h	heta = 0.5		heta=0	
	error	rate	error	rate
0.08				
0.04	0.00231463		0.00034355	
0.02	0.00057865	2.00002063	8.55658155e-005	2.31582697
0.01	0.00013966	2.05076806	1.71856875e-005	2.00541487

- For every *h* the error is calculated by Runge method as  $E_1^2/(E_1 E_2)$  with  $E_1 = ||u_{[h]} u_{[h/2]}||$ ,  $E_2 = ||u_{[h/2]} u_{[h/4]}||$ , where  $u_{[h]}$  is the calculated solution with step *h* for t = 40.
- The numerical rate of convergence is  $(\log E_1 \log E_2)/\log 2$ .
- The calculations confirm the schemes are of order  $O(h^2 + \tau^2)$ .
- For two solitary waves the scheme with  $\theta = 0$  is 6 to 7 times more precise than the scheme with  $\theta = 0.5!$



Comparison, 3-level and 4-level conservative schemes

Errors in uniform norm for one and two solitary waves

	1 soliton, T=40			2 solitons, T=80	
	4-level	3-level		4-level	3-level
h	$\theta = 0.25$	Con.FDS	h	$\theta = 0.25$	Con.FDS
0.2	0.01288	0.14412	0.2		
0.1	0.00324	0.03753	0.1	0.04019	
0.05	0.00081	0.00948	0.05	0.01907	0.102754
0.025	0.00020	0.00238	0.025	0.009212	0.026027
0.0125	5.25e-05	0.00059	0.0125	0.004010	0.006528

- for one solitary wave: the 4-level FDS is approximately 10 times more precise than the 3-level FDS;
- for two solitary waves: the 4-level FDS is approximately 2 times more precise than the 3-level FDS.



 Boussinesq Equation
 Numerical algorithms

 Finite difference schemes
 Tables

 Numerical results
 Graphics, 1D, 2D

With respect to the error magnitude the 'new' four-level scheme performs much better than the 'old' three-level schemes! *Justification*: Consider both FDS. We expand all terms in Taylor series about the point  $(x_i, t^{(k)} + \tau/2)$  or  $(x_i, t^k)$  and get for the leading terms

$$R_{4-lev} = \frac{1}{8}\alpha\tau^2\Delta_h\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(x_i, t^{(k)} + \tau/2)\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}(x_i, t^{(k)} + \tau/2),$$
  

$$R_{3-lev} = \frac{1}{4}\alpha\tau^2\Delta_h\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}(x_i, t^k)\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}(x_i, t^k).$$

Thus,  $R_{3-lev} \approx 2 * R_{4-lev}$ . This has essential impact on the total error, when the solution has large derivatives!

Boussinesq Equation	Numerical algorithms
Finite difference schemes	
Numerical results	Graphics, 1D, 2D

## Movie

# Interaction of two solitary waves with different speeds $x \in [-120, 120]$ , $t \in [0, 35]$ , $c_1 = 2$ , $c_2 = -1.5$



-

# Graphics, 1D



Interaction of two solitary waves with different speeds  $x \in [-80, 120]$ ,  $t \in [0, 35]$ ,  $c_1 = 2$ ,  $c_2 = -1.5$ 



# Graphics, 1D



Interaction of two solitary waves with different speeds  $x \in [-80, 120]$ ,  $t \in [0, 35]$ ,  $c_1 = 2$ ,  $c_2 = -1.5$ 



# Graphics, 2D



Figure: Evolution of the numerical solution in time For t < 5 the shape of the numerical solution is similar to the initial solution. For larger times the numerical solution changes its initial form and transforms into a diverging propagating wave.





Figure: Cross section x = 0 of the solution v with c = 0.2 at times t = 0, 2.4, 4.8, 7.2, 9.6, 12

Concluding remarks:

- We compare a three level FDS and a four level FDS for BE.
- Both schemes are conservative, i.e. the corresponding discrete energy of the numerical solution is preserved in time.
- Both schemes are second order accurate with respect to space and time steps in the uniform norm and in the first Sobolev norm.
- The numerical algorithm for evaluation of the discrete solution to 3 level FDS needs inner iterations and it is efficient.
- For  $\mu = 0$  the numerical algorithm for evaluation of the discrete solution to 4 level FDS is efficient.
- The numerical experiments show good agreement with the theoretical results in 1D and 2D cases.



# Thank you for your attention!



P

3