

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

PLISKA

STUDIA MATHEMATICA
BULGARICA

ПЛИСКА

БЪЛГАРСКИ
МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКИ
СТУДИИ

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Pliska Studia Mathematica Bulgarica
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~pliska/>
or contact: Editorial Office

Pliska Studia Mathematica Bulgarica
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: pliska@math.bas.bg

AN IMPROVEMENT OF SENDOV'S ESTIMATION FOR PARAMETRIC APPROXIMATION OF PARTIALLY ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

GEORGI L. ILIEV

The following estimate for the best parametric approximation, introduced by Bl. Sendov, is given:

$$\epsilon_{n,n}(f) \leq \exp[-cn^{2/3}(\ln n)^{1/3}],$$

where f is a function continuous in $[-1, 1]$ and identically equal to two analytic functions respectively in $[-1, 1]$ and $[0, 1]$. It is proved that this estimate is exact in one particular case of parametric approximations.

1. The parametric approximation of continuous functions was introduced by Bl. Sendov [1]. Denote by H_n the set of all algebraic polynomials of degree not greater than n , and by \hat{H}_n denote the subset of H_n , as follows: $P \in \hat{H}_n$ if $P(-1) = -1$, $P(1) = 1$, $P'(x) \geq 0$ for $|x| \leq 1$.

If $f \in C[-1, 1]$, define $\epsilon_{m,n}(f) = \inf_{P \in \hat{H}_m} \inf_{Q \in H_n} \max_{|x| \leq 1} f(P(x)) - Q(x)$.

It can be easily seen that for every $f \in C[-1, 1]$ and a pair of integers m, n , there exists a pair of polynomials $P^* \in \hat{H}_m$ and $Q^* \in H_n$ for which the best parametric approximation $\epsilon_{n,m}(f)$ of the function f can be obtained. Provided one knows the pair of polynomials of the best parametric approximation P^* and Q^* , it is easy to find the approximate value of f at any point $y \in [-1, 1]$. It is sufficient to obtain the unique root of the equation $y = P^*(x)$, and, if it is x_0 , then $f(y) \approx Q^*(x_0)$.

It is well-known that for functions having particular features at a finite number of points, the parametric approximation is better than the usual polynomial approximation.

In [2] Bl. Sendov has proved the following

Theorem 1. *If f is a partially analytic function of the form*

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi_1(x), & -1 \leq x \leq 0, \\ \varphi_2(x), & 0 \leq x \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

where φ_1, φ_2 are analytic functions in a disc having its centre at the origin and radius $r > 1$, and $\varphi_1(0) = \varphi_2(0)$, then for any positive integer n

$$\epsilon_{n,n}(f) \leq e^{-c_1 \sqrt[n]{n}}, \quad c_1 > 0$$

holds.

In [3] J. Szabados proves

Theorem 2. Let f be continuous in $[-1, 1]$ and $-1 = \xi_0 < \xi_1 < \dots < \xi_s = 1$, ($s \geq 2$), $\tau = \min_{1 \leq j \leq s} (\xi_j - \xi_{j-1})$, $I_j = [\xi_{j-1}, \xi_j]$, $j = 1, \dots, s$. If on any of the intervals I_j , f coincides with a function analytic in the disc $c_{j,r} = \{z : |z - \eta_j| \leq (\xi_j - \xi_{j-1})r/2\}$ ($j = 1, \dots, s; r > 1$), $\eta_j = (\xi_j + \xi_{j-1})/2$ then

$$\epsilon_{n,n}(f) \leq e^{-c_2/n}, \quad c_2 = c_2(s, \tau) > 0.$$

In the present paper the estimate from Theorem 1 is improved, i. e. if f satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1, then

$$(1) \quad \epsilon_{n,n}(f) \leq e^{-c_3 \sqrt[3]{n^2 \ln n}}.$$

It can be proved that if f satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2 for $s=2$, then the estimate (1) also holds. Note that for $s \geq 3$ the results of J. Szabados has been improved in [4] by proving that under the conditions of Theorem 2

$$\epsilon_{n,n}(f) \leq e^{-c_4 \sqrt[3]{n \ln n}}, \quad c_4 = c_4(s, \tau).$$

When finding (1), it is actually proved that

$$(2) \quad \epsilon_{n,n}^*(f) = \inf_{0 \leq k \leq [n/2]} \inf_{Q \in H_n} \max_{|x| \leq 1} f(x^{2k+1}) - Q(x) \leq e^{-c_5 \sqrt[3]{n^2 \ln n}}$$

hence follows (1), since for each k , $0 \leq k \leq [n/2]$, $x^{2k+1} \in \hat{H}_n$.

In the present paper it has been proved that there exists a function f^* satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1, for which $\epsilon_{n,n}^*(f^*) \geq \exp(-c_5 \sqrt[3]{n^2 \ln n})$, i. e. the estimate (2) is exact.

2. By $E_n(f; [a, b])$ denote, as usual, the uniform best approximation of the continuous function f by polynomials from H_n in $[a, b]$.

Lemma 1. Let $f(u)$ be an analytic function in a disc with radius a , $a > 1$ and centre the origin, and let f assume real values for real values of u . Then there exists a constant c_6 , such that for any positive integer k

$$E_n(\varphi_k; [-1, 1]) \leq e^{-c_6 n^{1/k}},$$

where $\varphi_k(z) = f(z^k)$, $z = x + iy$.

Proof. The following generalization of Bernstein, given in [5], will be used. Let $f(z)$ be an analytic function which is regular in the interior of an ellipse with focuses at the points ± 1 and half-sum of the axes $1/q$, ($z = x + iy$). Then

$$(3) \quad E_{n-1}(f(x); [-1, 1]) \leq \frac{8}{\pi} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m \frac{1}{2m+1} \cdot \frac{q^{(2m+1)n}}{1 + q^{2(2m+1)n}}.$$

For every k substitute $u = z^k$. We obtain $\varphi_k(z) = f(z^k)$. For each k the function $\varphi_k(z)$ is analytic in a disc having its centre at the origin and a radius $b = a^{1/k}$. But then it is regular in the interior of an ellipse with focuses at ± 1 and half-sum of the axes $b + \sqrt{b^2 - 1}$ as well. So, from (3), for $1/q = b + \sqrt{b^2 - 1}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_{n-1}(\varphi_k(x); [-1, 1]) &\leq \frac{8}{\pi} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m \frac{1}{2m+1} \cdot \frac{q^{(2m+1)n}}{1+q^{2(2m+1)n}} \\
 &\leq \frac{8}{\pi} q^n \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m \frac{1}{2m+1} \cdot \frac{q^{2mn}}{1+q^{2(2m+1)n}} \leq c_7 q^n \\
 &= c_7 (a^{1/k} + \sqrt{a^{2/k} - 1})^{-n} \leq c_7 [(1 + \frac{\sqrt{a^{2/k} - 1}}{a})^{1/k}]^{-n/k},
 \end{aligned}$$

where c_7 is an absolute constant. But $a^{2/k} - 1 \geq c_8/k$. We obtain

$$E_n(\varphi_k(x); [-1, 1]) \leq c_7 [(1 + c_9/\sqrt{k})^{1/k}]^{-(n+1)/k} \leq e^{-c_{10}/\sqrt{k}}$$

Thus the Lemma is proved.

Theorem 3. Let $f \in C[-1, 1]$, $f(0) = 0$ and

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} f_1(x) & \text{for } -1 \leq x \leq 0, \\ f_2(x) & \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

where f_1 and f_2 are analytic functions in a disc having its centre at the origin and a radius a , $a > 1$, assuming real values for real values of their arguments. Then

$$\epsilon_{n,n}(f) \leq \exp(-c_{10} \sqrt[3]{n^2 \ln n}).$$

Proof. Consider the functions $f_1(z^k)$ and $f_2(z^k)$. From Lemma 1 it follows that there exist polynomials $Q_1 \in H_{n/2}$ and $Q_2 \in H_{n/2}$ and an absolute constant c_{11} , such that

$$(4) \quad f_1(x^k) - Q_1(x) \Big|_{[-1,1]} \leq e^{-c_{11}/\sqrt{k}},$$

$$(5) \quad f_2(x^k) - Q_2(x) \Big|_{[-1,1]} \leq e^{c_{11}/\sqrt{k}}.$$

Consider the function

$$\sigma(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & -1 \leq x \leq 0, \\ 1, & 0 < x \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

From [4] it is known that for every $\delta > 0$ there exists a polynomial $\sigma_n(x) \in H_{n/2}$, such that

$$(6) \quad \sigma_n(x) \leq 1 \quad \text{for } x \in [-\delta, \delta],$$

$$(7) \quad \sigma_n(x) \leq e^{-c_{12}n\delta} \quad \text{for } x \in [-1, \delta],$$

$$(8) \quad \sigma_n(x) - 1 \leq e^{-c_{12}n\delta} \quad \text{for } x \in [\delta, 1].$$

Form the polynomial $Q(x) = Q_1(x) + (Q_2(x) - Q_1(x))\sigma_n(x)$, $Q \in H_n$.

Estimate $f(x^k) - Q(x)$, for $x \in [-1, 1]$. For $x \in [-1, \delta]$, from (4) and (7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (9) \quad f(x^k) - Q(x) &= f_1(x^k) - Q_1(x) - (Q_2(x) - Q_1(x))\sigma_n(x) \\
 &\leq f_1(x^k) - Q_1(x) + Q_2(x) - Q_1(x) |\sigma_n(x)| \leq e^{-c_{11}/\sqrt{k}} + Me^{-c_{12}n\delta},
 \end{aligned}$$

since Q_1 and Q_2 are obviously bounded in $[-1, 1]$.

For $x \in [\delta, 1]$, from (5) and (8), we get

$$(10) \quad f(x^k) - Q(x) \leq f_2(x^k) - Q_2(x) + |Q_2(x) - Q_1(x)| |1 - \sigma_n(x)| \\ \leq e^{-c_{11}n/\sqrt{k}} + Me^{-c_{12}n\delta}.$$

For $x \in [-\delta, \delta]$

$$(11) \quad |f(x^k) - Q(x)| = |f(x^k) - Q_1(x)(1 - \sigma_n(x)) - Q_2(x)\sigma_n(x)| \\ \leq |f(x^k) + f_1(x^k) - Q_1(x)| |1 - \sigma_n(x)| + |f_2(x^k) - \sigma_n(x)| \\ + |f_2(x^k) - Q_2(x)| \sigma_n(x) + |f_1(x^k)| |1 - \sigma_n(x)|.$$

But f_1, f_2 and $f \in \text{Lip}_{c_i}, 1$ and $f_1(0) = f_2(0) = f(0)$. Therefore

$$(12) \quad |f_i(x^k) - f_i(x^k) - f(0)| \leq c_{13} x^k \leq c_{13} \delta^k, \quad i = 1, 2, \\ |f(x^k)| \leq c_{13} \delta^k.$$

Besides, from (6) for $x \in [-\delta, \delta]$

$$(13) \quad \sigma_n(x) \leq 1 \text{ and } 1 - \sigma_n(x) \leq 1 + \sigma_n(x) \leq 2.$$

From (11), (12) and (13), for $x \in [-\delta, \delta]$ we obtain

$$(14) \quad |f(x^k) - Q(x)| \leq c_{14} \delta^k + \exp(-c_{15}n/\sqrt{k}).$$

Or, from (9), (10) and (14), we get for every $\delta > 0, 1 \leq k \leq n, k - \text{odd}$

$$(15) \quad |f(x^k) - Q(x)|_{[-1,1]} \leq e^{-c_{16}n/\sqrt{k}} + e^{-c_{17}n\delta} + c_{14} \delta^k.$$

If $k = n^{2/3} (\ln n)^{-2/3}, \delta = n^{-1/3} (\ln n)^{1/3}$,

$$(16) \quad \exp(-c_{16}n/\sqrt{k}) = \exp[-c_{16}n^{2/3} (\ln n)^{1/3}], \\ \exp(-c_{17}n\delta) = \exp[-c_{17}n^{2/3} (\ln n)^{1/3}], \\ \delta^k = \left(\frac{\ln n}{n}\right)^{n^{2/3} (\ln n)^{-2/3}} = \exp\{1/3[\ln \ln n - \ln n] n^{2/3} (\ln n)^{-2/3}\} \\ \leq \exp[-c_{18}n^{2/3} (\ln n)^{1/3}].$$

From (15) and (16), since for $k - \text{odd}, 1 \leq k \leq n, x_k \in \hat{H}_n$ it follows that $\varepsilon_{n,n}(f) \leq \exp(-c_{10} \sqrt[3]{n^2 \ln n})$.

3. Let for $x \in [-1, 1], f^*(x) = |x/(x-a)|, a > 1$. This function possesses the conditions of Theorem 1. We will prove that

$$\varepsilon_{n,n}^*(f^*) = \inf_{1 \leq k \leq [n/2]} \inf_{Q \in H_n} \max_{|x| \leq 1} |f(x^{2k+1}) - Q(x)| \geq \exp(-c_{19} \sqrt[3]{n^2 \ln n}).$$

Lemma 2. There exists an absolute constant c_{20} , such that for every odd $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$\varepsilon_n^*(k) = \inf_{Q \in \tilde{H}_n} |x^k/(x^k - a) - Q(x)|_{[0,1]} \geq \exp[-c_{20}n/\sqrt{k}],$$

where \tilde{H}_n is the set of all even polynomials of degree not greater than n .

Proof. Since $x^k/(x^k - a) = a/(x^k - a) + 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_n^*(k) &= a \inf_{Q \in \tilde{H}_n} \left\| \frac{1}{x^k - a} - Q(x) \right\|_{[0,1]} \\ &= a \inf_{Q \in \tilde{H}_n} \left\| \frac{1}{(x-b)(x^{k-1} + x^{k-2}b + \dots + b^{k-1})} - Q(x) \right\|_{[0,1]}, \end{aligned}$$

where $b = a^{1/k}$. But

$$x^{k-1} + x^{k-2}b + \dots + b^{k-1} \Big|_{[0,1]} = 1 + b + \dots + b^{k-1} = (b^k - 1)/(b - 1),$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} (17) \quad \varepsilon_n^*(k) &\geq a \frac{b-1}{b^k-1} \inf_{Q \in H_{n+k-1}} \left\| \frac{1}{x-b} - Q(x) \right\|_{[0,1]} \\ &\geq a \frac{b-1}{b^k-1} \inf_{Q \in H_{2n}} \left\| \frac{1}{x-b} - Q(x) \right\|_{[0,1]}. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{Q \in H_{2n}} \left\| \frac{1}{x-b} - Q(x) \right\|_{[0,1]} &= \inf_{Q \in H_{2n}} \left\| \frac{2}{y+1-2b} - Q(y) \right\|_{[-1,1]} \\ &= 2 \inf_{Q \in H_{2n}} \left\| \frac{1}{y-c} - Q(y) \right\|_{[-1,1]}, \end{aligned}$$

where $c = 2b - 1$. In [6] it is proved that

$$(18) \quad \inf_{Q \in H_{2n}} \left\| \frac{1}{y-c} - Q(y) \right\|_{[-1,1]} = \frac{1}{(c^2-1)(c + \sqrt{c^2-1})^n}.$$

From (17) and (18) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (19) \quad \varepsilon_n^*(k) &\geq 2a \frac{b-1}{b^k-1} \cdot \frac{1}{[(2b-1)^2-1][2b-1 + \sqrt{(2b-1)^2-1}]^n} \\ &= \frac{a}{2(a-1)b} \cdot \frac{1}{[2b-1 + \sqrt{4b^2-4b}]^n}, \end{aligned}$$

where $b = a^{1/k}$. Let $a = 1 + c_{21}$, $c_{21} > 0$. Then $b = (1 + c_{21})^{1/k}$.

But

$$\begin{aligned} (20) \quad (1 + c_{21})^{1/k} - 1 &= \frac{1}{k} c_{21} + \frac{(1/k-1)}{k \cdot 2!} c_{21}^2 + \dots + \frac{(1/k-1) \dots (1/k-i+1)}{k \cdot i!} c_{21}^i \\ &= \frac{1}{k} c_{21} \left(1 + \frac{1/k-1}{1!} c_{21} + \dots + \frac{(1/k-1) \dots (1/k-i+1)}{i!} c_{21}^{i-1} + \dots \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{k} c_{21} \left(1 + \frac{1/k-1}{1!} c_{21} + \dots + \frac{(1/k-1) \dots (1/k-i+1)}{(i-1)!} c_{21}^{i-1} + \dots \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{k} c_{21} (1 + c_{21})^{1/k-1} \leq \frac{c_{22}}{k}, \end{aligned}$$

where c_{22} does not depend on k .

From (19) and (20) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_n^*(k) &\geq \frac{a}{2(a-1)b} \left[a^{1/k} + \frac{c_{22}}{k} + 2\sqrt{\frac{a^{1/k} \cdot c_{22}}{k}} \right]^{-n} \\ &= \frac{a}{2(a-1)b} \left[a^{1/k} \left(1 + \frac{c_{22}}{a^{1/k}} \cdot \frac{1}{k} + 2\sqrt{\frac{c_{22}}{a^{1/k}} \cdot \frac{1}{k}} \right) \right]^{-n} \\ &\geq \frac{a}{2(a-1)b} \left(1 + \frac{c_{22}}{k} \right)^{-n/k} a^{-n/k} \\ &\geq e^{-c_{22}n/k} \cdot a^{-n/k} \geq e^{-c_{22}n/\sqrt{k}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the lemma is proved.

Lemma 3. *There exists an absolute constant c_{25} , such that for every odd k , $1 \leq k \leq n$*

$$\varepsilon_n^*(k) \geq (k/ne)^{c_{25}k}.$$

Proof. Since $x \leq 1$, $a > 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (21) \quad \varepsilon_n^*(k) &= \inf_{Q \in \tilde{H}_n} \frac{x^k}{x^k - a} - Q(x) \Big|_{[0,1]} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{a-1} \inf_{Q \in \tilde{H}_n} x^k - Q(x)x^k + aQ(x) \Big|_{[0,1]}. \end{aligned}$$

Let inf in (21) be reached for $Q^* \in \tilde{H}_n$.

It can be easily seen that

$$(22) \quad Q^*(0) \leq 1/2.$$

But then, since $Q \in \tilde{H}_n$, k is odd, the polynomial $x^k - Q(x)x^k + aQ(x)$ is a polynomial of degree not greater than $2n$ and with a coefficient $1 + \delta$ in front of x^k , where $-1/2 \leq \delta \leq 1/2$.

But then, from (21) and (22)

$$(23) \quad \varepsilon_n^*(k) \geq \frac{1}{2(a-1)} \inf_{P \in H_{2n}^k} x^k - P(x) \Big|_{[0,1]},$$

where H_{2n}^k is the set of all polynomials from H_{2n} with coefficient equal to 0 in front of x^k . Besides, from [7] it is known that $\inf_{P \in H_{2n}^k} x^k - P(x) \Big|_{[0,1]}$

$= 1/A_k$, where

$$(24) \quad A_k = 2^{2k} 2n(2n+k-1)(2n+k-2) \dots (2k+1)/(2n-k)! \leq 1/(k/ne)^{c_{26}k}.$$

From (23) and (24), we obtain $\epsilon_n^*(k) \geq (k/ne)^{c_{26}k}$.

Theorem 4. If $f^*(x) = |x/(x-a)|$, $a > 1$, then

$$\epsilon_{n,n}^*(f^*) \geq \exp(-c_{27} \sqrt[3]{n^2 \ln n}).$$

Proof. We will consider k to be odd.

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} \epsilon_{n,n}^*(f^*) &= \inf_{1 \leq k \leq n} \inf_{Q \in H_n} \max_{|x| \leq 1} |f(x^k) - Q(x)| \\ &= \inf_{1 \leq k \leq n} \inf_{Q \in \tilde{H}_n} \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} f(x^k) - Q(x). \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 3 it follows that

$$(26) \quad \epsilon_{n,n}^*(f^*) \leq \exp[-c_{28} \sqrt[3]{n^2 \ln n}].$$

Then, by Lemma 2 and (26), it follows that the k for which inf is reached in (25) must be such that $n/\sqrt{k} \geq c_{29} \sqrt[3]{n^2 \ln n}$. Or,

$$(27) \quad k \leq c_{30}(n/\ln n)^{2/3}.$$

But from Lemma 2 it follows that if k satisfies (27), then

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{n,n}^*(f^*) &\geq \left[\left(\frac{n}{\ln n} \right)^{2/3} \cdot \frac{1}{n} \right]^{c_{31}(n/\ln n)^{2/3}} \geq (n^{-1/3})^{c_{32}(n/\ln n)^{2/3}} \\ &= \exp[-c_{32} \ln n (n/\ln n)^{2/3} / 3] = \exp(-c_{27} \sqrt[3]{n^2 \ln n}). \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

1. Бл. Сендов. Некоторые вопросы теории приближений функций и множеств в Хаусдорфовой метрике. *Успехи мат. наук*, **24**, 1969, № 5, 142 — 178.
2. Бл. Сендов. Параметрично аппроксимиране. *Годишник Соф. унив.*, **64**, 1971, 237 — 247.
3. J. Szabados. On Parametric Approximation. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung.*, **23**, 1972, 275 — 287.
4. V. Попов, G. Илиев. Parametric approximation of piecewise analytical functions. *Pliska Studia math. bulg.*, **1**, 1977, 72—78.
5. Н. И. Ахиезер. Лекции по теории аппроксимации. Москва, 1947, с. 235.
6. Н. И. Ахиезер. Лекции по теории аппроксимации. Москва, 1947, с. 69.
7. С. Н. Бернштейн. Собрание сочинения. Том 1. Москва, 1952, с. 51.

Centre for Research and Education
in Mathematics and Mechanics
1000 Sofia P. O. Box 373

Received 8. 9. 1976